

# MANHATTAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC

## FORM 10KSB/A (Amended Annual Report (Small Business Issuers))

Filed 4/2/2004 For Period Ending 12/31/2003

Address	787 SEVENTH AVENUE 48TH FLOOR NEW YORK, New York 10019
Telephone	212-554-4555
CIK	0001001316
Industry	Biotechnology & Drugs
Sector	Healthcare
Fiscal Year	12/31

# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

## AMENDMENT NO. 1 TO FORM 10-KSB/A

Annual Report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange  
Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003

Transition Report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities  
Exchange Act of 1934 for the transition period from \_\_\_ to \_\_\_

*Commission File Number 0-27282*

## MANHATTAN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

(Exact name of issuer as specified in its charter)

Delaware

36-3898269

-----  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

-----  
(IRS Employer Identification No.)

787 Seventh Avenue, 48th Floor, New York, New York

10019

-----  
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

-----  
(Zip Code)

(212) 554-4525

-----  
(Issuer's telephone number)

### SECURITIES REGISTERED PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(b) OF THE EXCHANGE ACT:

None

### SECURITIES REGISTERED PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(g) OF THE EXCHANGE ACT:

Units, each unit consisting of one share of Common Stock and one Redeemable Warrant Common Stock, par value \$.001 per share  
Redeemable Warrants

Check whether the issuer: (1) filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the past  
12 months (or for such shorter period that the issuer was required to file such reports), and  
(2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Check if there is no disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-B is not contained herein, and no disclosure will be  
contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form  
10-KSB or any amendment to this Form 10-KSB.

The issuer's revenues for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003 were \$0.

As of March 26, 2004 there were 26,731,033 outstanding shares of common stock, par value \$.001 per share.

The aggregate market value of the voting common stock of the issuer held by non-affiliates of the issuer on March 26, 2003 based on the  
closing price of the common stock as quoted by the NASD Over-the-Counter Bulletin Board on such date was \$23,704,868.

Transitional Small Business Disclosure Format: Yes  No

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
PART I	
Item 1	Description of Business.....1
Item 2	Legal Proceedings.....17
Item 3	Description of Property.....17
Item 4	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.....17
PART II	
Item 5	Market for Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters.....17
Item 6	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations or Plan of Operations.....19
Item 7	Consolidated Financial Statements.....25
Item 8	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.....27
Item 8A	Controls and Procedures.....28
PART III	
Item 9	Directors, Executive Officers, Promoters and Control Persons; Compliance with Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act.....28
Item 10	Executive Compensation.....30
Item 11	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matter .....33
Item 12	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions.....36
Item 13	Exhibit List and Reports on Form 8-K.....37
Item 14	Principal Accountant Fees and Services.....41
	Signature.....42
	Index to Consolidated Financial Statements.....F-1

References to the "Company," the "Registrant," "we," "us," or "our" or in this Annual Report on Form 10-KSB refer to Manhattan Pharmaceuticals, Inc., a Delaware corporation, and our consolidated subsidiaries, together taken as a whole, unless the context indicates otherwise.

## **FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS**

This Annual Report on Form 10-KSB contains statements that are not historical but are forward-looking in nature, including statements regarding the expectations, beliefs, intentions or strategies regarding the future. In particular, the "Risk Factors" section following Item 1 and the "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" section in Item 6 of this annual report include forward-looking statements that reflect our current views with respect to future events and financial performance. We use words such as we "expect," "anticipate," "believe," and "intend" and similar expressions to identify forward-looking statements. Investors should be aware that actual results may differ materially from our expressed expectations because of risks and uncertainties inherent in future events, particularly those risks identified in the subsection entitled "Risk Factors" following Item 1 in this Annual Report, and should not unduly rely on these forward looking statements.

## **PART I**

### **ITEM 1. DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS**

#### **OVERVIEW**

We are engaged in the business of developing and commercializing biomedical and pharmaceutical technologies. We aim to acquire proprietary rights to these technologies, by license or acquiring an ownership interest, fund their research and development and eventually bring the technologies to market. We do not have any drugs or other products available for sale, but we are currently researching and developing two biomedical technologies:

o Oleoyl-estrone, an orally administered hormone attached to a fatty-acid that has been shown to cause significant weight loss in preclinical animal studies regardless of dietary modifications; and

o Lingual spray propofol, a proprietary lingual spray technology to deliver propofol for pre-procedural sedation prior to diagnostic, therapeutic or endoscopic procedures.

To date, we have not commenced clinical testing of either of our product candidates and neither product candidate has received marketing approval of the Federal Drug Administration ("FDA"). Further, we have not received any commercial revenues to date. Although we are primarily focused on developing these technologies, we continue to seek to acquire proprietary rights to other biomedical and pharmaceutical technologies, by licensing or acquiring an ownership interest, funding their research and development and bringing the technologies to market.

Our company resulted from the February 21, 2003 reverse merger between Atlantic Technology Ventures, Inc., which was incorporated on May 18, 1993, and privately-held Manhattan Research Development, Inc., incorporated on August 6, 2001. We are incorporated in the State of Delaware. In connection with the merger, the former stockholders of Manhattan Research received a number of shares of Atlantic's common stock so that following the merger they collectively owned 80 percent of the outstanding shares. Upon completion of the merger, Atlantic changed its name to Manhattan Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and thereafter adopted the business of Manhattan Research Development.

We were incorporated originally under the name "Atlantic Pharmaceuticals, Inc." and in March 2000, we changed our name to "Atlantic Technology Ventures, Inc." On February 21, 2003, we completed a "reverse" acquisition of privately-held Manhattan Research Development, Inc. (formerly known as Manhattan Pharmaceuticals, Inc.), a Delaware corporation. To effect this transaction, Manhattan Pharmaceuticals Acquisition Corp., a wholly-owned subsidiary of Atlantic Technology Ventures, merged with and into Manhattan Research Development, with Manhattan Research Development surviving as a wholly owned subsidiary of Atlantic Technology Ventures. In accordance with the terms of the merger, the outstanding shares of common stock of Manhattan Research Development automatically converted into an aggregate of approximately 80 percent of the outstanding common stock of Atlantic Technology Ventures (after giving effect to the transaction). While in connection with the merger, Atlantic Technology Ventures changed its name to "Manhattan Pharmaceuticals, Inc.", for accounting purposes, Manhattan Research Development was treated as the acquiring company. Accordingly, when we refer to our business or financial information for periods prior to the merger, we are referring to the business and financial information of Manhattan Research Development, unless the context indicates otherwise.

## **OLEOYL-ESTRONE**

Oleoyl-estrone, a hormone modified by an attachment to a fatty acid, is an orally administered small molecule that has been shown to cause significant weight loss in preclinical animal studies regardless of dietary modifications. We believe that oleoyl-estrone causes weight loss in two ways. First, we believe oleoyl-estrone has an effect on the hypothalamus. It is believed that one's body weight is regulated by the hypothalamus in a manner similar to the way in which a thermostat regulates a room's temperature. Preclinical studies suggest that oleoyl-estrone resets the brain, telling the body that a lower weight is normal. We believe that this signal then decreases appetite, which leads to weight loss that may be maintained even after oleoyl-estrone treatment is discontinued. Second, fat cells that have been treated with oleoyl-estrone appear to shrink in size, indicating that oleoyl-estrone has a local effect acting directly on cells. The apparent dual effect of oleoyl-estrone leads us to believe that the drug has the potential to cause weight loss in a variety of obese and overweight patients.

Oleoyl-estrone was initially developed by researchers at the University of Barcelona ("UB") in Spain. Through a decade of research, scientists of the Nitrogen-Obesity Research Group at UB noted that hormones that effect metabolism play a significant role in body weight regulation. At the same time, the obesity research community suggested that weight is regulated by the ponderostat, a central mechanism in the hypothalamus of the brain believed to set the point of ideal weight. Researchers at UB believe that a hormone controls the ponderostat, raising or lowering body weight by changing the central set point for the entire body.

After examining the available work related to estrogens, changes in body weight and body fat percentage (such as during pregnancy), researchers at UB noted that estrone, an estrogen-like hormone, was elevated in the blood of both obese men and women. Initially thought to be a simple estrogen, UB researchers noticed that although estrone levels were elevated, very few obese men manifest the effects of elevated estrogen levels. Further testing revealed that oleoyl-estrone was the main form of estrone that existed in obese patients. The researchers suggested that when cells become filled with fat they produce oleoyl-estrone, signaling the brain to lose weight. They further suggested that fat cells in obese people do not produce sufficiently high levels of oleoyl-estrone to signal the ponderostat to suppress appetite and cause weight loss. Based on this concept, investigators at UB believed that they could induce weight loss by increasing levels of oleoyl-estrone in obese individuals. When oleoyl-estrone was given to rats, the rats lost weight in a dose-dependent manner, supporting the idea that oleoyl-estrone is a primary weight loss signal produced by fat cells. At the doses employed, no side effects were observed in the rats and, in female rats, uterine size remained unchanged, indicating that oleoyl-estrone did not act as an estrogen.

Based on FDA's review of the Company's Pre IND information package for oleoyl-estrone, we have completed designing the balance of the preclinical program and begun to assemble the Investigational New Drug application.

In the second half of the year we expect to file an IND for our oleoyl-estrone product candidate. Such prediction assumes that no unusual findings are made during the balance of the toxicology/pharmacology studies that will precede the IND filings. Following IND allowance, we intend to initiate a Phase I program in the United States.

The Phase I studies for oleoyl-estrone will necessarily recruit subjects who are clinically obese in accordance with FDA guidelines. Such Phase I studies for oleoyl-estrone are expected to occur during calendar year 2005.

## **LINGUAL SPRAY PROPOFOL**

Pursuant to an April 2003 license agreement with NovaDel Pharma Inc. ("NovaDel"), we are developing NovaDel's proprietary lingual spray technology to deliver propofol for preprocedural sedation prior to diagnostic, therapeutic or endoscopic procedures. Propofol is currently delivered in an oily emulsion for intravenous infusion for induction and maintenance of general anesthesia or "monitored anesthesia care" in operating rooms, or deep sedation in intensive care units. Sales of Midazolam, a currently prescribed sedative, were reported to be in excess of \$536 million annually in 1999. Propofol has previously not been available for dosing via a convenient route of administration for office-based and other ambulatory uses. Accordingly, we have filed a patent disclosure for the oral transmucosal method of use. We are preparing other patent applications related to Manhattan's novel formulation.

We believe that delivering propofol via this proprietary delivery system provides many advantages over currently formulated sedatives. In addition to the convenience and ease of administration, we believe the lingual spray route will eliminate delayed onset and poor coordination of timing associated with administering oral sedatives, and allow for rapid clinical responses typical of intravenous delivery (i.e., less than 5 minutes). Lingual spray propofol is intended to allow patients to tolerate unpleasant procedures, by relieving anxiety and producing a pleasant, short-term amnesia. Particularly in children and adults unable to cooperate, mild sedation expedites the conduct of numerous ambulatory procedures that are not particularly painful, but which require the patient to remain still for the best technical result.

Novadel's delivery systems (both patented and patent-pending) are lingual sprays, enabling drug absorption through the oral mucosa and more rapid absorption into the bloodstream than presently available oral delivery systems. NovaDel refers to its delivery system as Immediate-Immediate Release (I2RTM) because its delivery system is designed to provide therapeutic benefits within minutes of administration. We are working with NovaDel to develop, manufacture and commercialize the licensed product. For propofol lingual spray, the FDA has expressed support for our objective to pursue a bioequivalent strategy for development. We are planning Phase I studies to occur during the first half of 2005 following IND issuance. Pivotal Phase III trials will follow should bioequivalence be demonstrated.

Although we have the sole right and obligation to develop and commercialize lingual spray propofol on a worldwide basis, NovaDel has undertaken to perform certain development activities on our behalf. NovaDel's responsibilities include formulation of development, formulation stability testing, formulation analytic method development and testing and manufacture of clinical trial material for the pre-clinical and early clinical development. We will oversee pre-clinical testing, as necessary, and have responsibility for overall product development and product management. In addition, we will design and oversee clinical trials and be responsible for regulatory filings and meetings. The license agreement provides that these development activities are to be performed under the supervision of a development committee, which is comprised of an equal number of appointees of us and NovaDel. Within 30 days of the end of each calendar quarter in which any agreed-upon development activities are to be performed, each of us and NovaDel are to provide a written progress report to the development committee, which should describe the activities that have been performed and evaluate the work performed in relation to the goals of the development plan and budget. Currently, a proprietary formulation has been prepared and is undergoing one, two, three and six month stability tests, as well as specification analysis. The license agreement also provides that NovaDel will manufacture and supply us with lingual spray propofol for use in clinical development and for commercial purposes pursuant to a manufacturing agreement to be entered into between us and NovaDel.

Based on FDA's review of our Pre IND information package for propofol lingual spray, we have completed designing the abbreviated preclinical program, and accelerated clinical program, in accord with a "bioequivalence" development pathway (505(b)2), and begun to assemble the Investigational New Drug application for propofol lingual spray. We expect to file an IND for propofol lingual spray in the second half of 2004, assuming that no unusual findings are made during the balance of the toxicology/pharmacology studies that will precede the filing of the IND. Following IND allowance, we intend to initiate a Phase I program in the United States. We expect the Phase I study will commence in 2005.

## **MARKET AND COMPETITION**

According to estimates, the market for prescription anti-obesity drugs is approximately \$10 billion, or equal to that of diabetes. It is estimated that 61 percent of Americans are overweight and that 26 percent are obese. According to the National Institute of Health's estimate, direct costs for the treatment of obesity in 1988 were in excess of \$45 billion and accounted for nearly 8 percent of the total national cost of health care in the United States. By 1999, direct costs for the treatment of obesity had reached \$102.2 billion dollars. Meridia(R) and Xenical(R), two currently approved anti-obesity medications, together accounted for approximately \$800 million in sales in 2001. We believe that the disease currently lacks a treatment that is safe and effective for most patient groups, and that oleoyl-estrone has the potential to meet the needs of this market.

To date, Midazolam (now a generic), which is delivered both intravenously and orally, has dominated the preprocedural sedation market, posting sales of \$536 million in 1999. However, serious adverse events are reported in midazolam's package insert, including respiratory depression, airway obstruction, oxygen desaturation, apnea and even respiratory arrest. In contrast, at the doses being developed by us, we believe that Propofol Lingual Spray may offer a safer, noninvasively administered alternative to midazolam. Propofol's rapid onset profile will allow clinicians to more accurately time its peak effects during procedures, as well as to determine the precise concentration needed for desired levels of sedation.

Competition in the pharmaceutical industry, and the anti-obesity drug market in particular, is intensely competitive. In addition to Abbott Laboratories, Inc. and Roche Holdings AG, the makers of Meridia(R) and

Xenical,(R) respectively, some of the largest drug companies in the world have anti-obesity drugs currently in development, including GlaxoSmithKline PLC, Johnson & Johnson, Inc., Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, Regeneron Pharmaceutical, Inc., Phytopharm, PLC, Amgen, Inc. These companies are all substantially larger and more established than we are and have significantly greater financial and other resources than we do.

## **INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY AND LICENSE AGREEMENTS**

Our goal is to obtain, maintain and enforce patent protection for our products, formulations, processes, methods and other proprietary technologies, preserve our trade secrets, and operate without infringing on the proprietary rights of other parties, both in the United States and in other countries. Our policy is to actively seek to obtain, where appropriate, the broadest intellectual property protection possible for our product candidates, proprietary information and proprietary technology through a combination of contractual arrangements and patents, both in the U.S. and elsewhere in the world.

We also depend upon the skills, knowledge and experience of our scientific and technical personnel, as well as that of our advisors, consultants and other contractors, none of which is patentable. To help protect our proprietary know-how which is not patentable, and for inventions for which patents may be difficult to enforce, we rely on trade secret protection and confidentiality agreements to protect our interests. To this end, we require all employees, consultants, advisors and other contractors to enter into confidentiality agreements which prohibit the disclosure of confidential information and, where applicable, require disclosure and assignment to us of the ideas, developments, discoveries and inventions important to our business.

### **Oleoyl-estrone License Agreement**

Through Manhattan Research Development, our wholly-owned subsidiary, we currently have worldwide, exclusive license rights to the U.S. and foreign patents and patent applications set forth below pursuant to license agreements with Oleoyl-estrone Developments, SL, a Spanish corporation, regarding the use of oleoyl-estrone for the treatment of human disease:

1. US Patent No. 5,798,348 entitled "Fatty-acid monesters of estrogens for the treatment of obesity and/or overweight." M. Alemany, Inventor. Application filed, October 30, 1996. Patent issued August 25, 1998.
2. European Patent No. 771.817 entitled "Fatty-acid monoesters of estrogens for the treatment of obesity and/or overweight." M. Alemany, Inventor. Application filed, October 28, 1996. Patent issued May 7, 1997.
3. Patent Cooperation Treaty and Spanish Patent Application No. ES 200100785 entitled "Fatty-acid monoesters of estrogens acting as anti-diabetic and hypolipidemia agents." M. Alemany Lamana, Francisco Javier Remesar Betiloch, and Jose Antonio Fernandez Lopez, Inventors. Application filed March 28, 2001.

The U.S. and European patents have numerous, detailed, and specific claims for both the composition of oleoyl-estrone, and its method of use for weight loss. Our rights to these patents are subject to the terms of a February 2002 license agreement between us and Oleoyl-estrone Developments. The license agreement provides us with an exclusive, worldwide right to the intellectual property covered by the license agreement, including the right to grant sublicenses.

In consideration for the license, we paid an initial license fee of \$175,000 and the license agreement provide for aggregate further cash payments of \$9,250,000, payable as follows: \$250,000 payable upon treatment of the first patient in a Phase I clinical trial under an IND sponsored by us; \$250,000 upon treatment of the first patient in a Phase II clinical trial; \$750,000 upon the first successful completion of a Phase II clinical trial; \$2,000,000 upon the first successful completion of a Phase III clinical trial; and \$6,000,000 upon the first final approval of a New Drug Application ("NDA") for oleoyl-estrone by the FDA. The license agreement does not require us to make any royalty payments.

### **Propofol**

Pursuant to the NovaDel license agreement, we have an exclusive, worldwide license to NovaDel's proprietary lingual spray technology to deliver propofol for preprocedural sedation prior to diagnostic, therapeutic or endoscopic procedures. Our rights under the NovaDel License include license rights to the following patents held by NovaDel:

1. U.S. Patent No. 5,955,098, entitled "Buccal Non Polar Spray or Capsule." H.A. Dugger, III, Inventor. Application filed April 12, 1996. Patent issued September 21, 1999.
2. U.S. Patent No. 6,110,486, entitled "Buccal Polar Spray or Capsule." H.A. Dugger, III, Inventor. Application filed November 25, 1998. Patent issued August 29, 2000.
3. European Patent No. 0904055 entitled "Buccal, Non-Polar Spray or Capsule." H.A. Dugger, III, Inventor. Application filed, February 21, 1997. Patent issued April 16, 2003.

In consideration for our rights under the NovaDel license agreement, we paid NovaDel an initial license fee of \$500,000 upon the completion of our \$10 million private placement of Series A Convertible Preferred Stock in November 2003. In addition, the license agreement requires us to make certain milestone payments as follows: \$1,000,000 payable following the date that the first IND for lingual spray propofol is accepted for review by the FDA; \$1,000,000 following the date that the first European Marketing Application is accepted for review by any European Union country; \$2,000,000 following the date when the first filed NDA for lingual spray propofol is approved by the FDA; \$2,000,000 following the date when the first filed European Marketing Application for lingual spray propofol is approved by a European Union country; \$1,000,000 following the date on which an application for commercial approval of lingual spray propofol is approved by the appropriate regulatory authority in each of Australia, Canada, Japan and South Africa; and \$50,000 following the date on which an application for commercial approval for lingual spray propofol is approved in any other country (other than the U.S. or a member of the European Union).

In addition, we are obligated to pay NovaDel an annual royalty based on a fixed rate of net sales of licensed products, or if greater, the annual royalty is based on our net profits from the sale of licensed products of a rate that is twice the net sales rate.

### **MANUFACTURING**

We do not have any manufacturing capabilities. We have been in contact with several contract "Good Manufacturing Process" (GMP) manufacturers for the supply of both oleoyl-estrone and lingual spray propofol that will be necessary to conduct Phase I human clinical trials. A method has been identified for synthesizing oleoyl-estrone, and can be done through simple reactions that produce the substance at above 99 percent purity. We believe that the production of oleoyl-estrone will involve one contract manufacturer for clinical trials. Bids are being received from multiple providers, so that provider redundancy can be maintained during product launch.

## **GOVERNMENT REGULATION**

Regulation by government authorities in the United States and foreign countries is a significant factor in the research, development, manufacture, and marketing of oleoyl-estrone and lingual spray propofol. Oleoyl-estrone and any future product candidate will require regulatory approval before they can be commercialized. In particular, human therapeutic products are subject to rigorous preclinical and clinical trials and other premarket approval requirements by the FDA and foreign authorities. Many aspects of the structure and substance of the FDA and foreign pharmaceutical regulatory practices have been reformed during recent years, and continued reform is under consideration in a number of forums. The ultimate outcome and impact of such reforms and potential reforms cannot be reasonably predicted.

Clinical trials are conducted in accordance with certain standards under protocols that detail the objectives of the study, the parameters to be used to monitor safety, and the efficacy criteria to be evaluated. Each protocol must be submitted to the FDA. The phases of clinical studies may overlap. The designation of a clinical trial as being of a particular phase is not necessarily indicative that such a trial will be sufficient to satisfy the parameters of a particular phase, and a clinical trial may contain elements of more than one phase notwithstanding the designation of the trial as being of a particular phase. We cannot assure you that the results of preclinical studies or early stage clinical trials will predict long-term safety or efficacy of our compounds when they are tested or used more broadly in humans. Various federal and state statutes and regulations also govern or influence the research, manufacture, safety, labeling, storage, record keeping, marketing, transport, or other aspects of such products. The lengthy process of seeking these approvals and the compliance with applicable statutes and regulations require the expenditure of substantial resources. Any failure by us or our any future collaborators or licensees to obtain, or any delay in obtaining, regulatory approvals could adversely affect the marketing of our product candidates and any other products and our ability to receive product or royalty revenue.

## **EMPLOYEES**

We currently have 4 employees: a president & chief executive officer, a chief financial officer & chief operating officer, a manager of clinical development and an administrative assistant.

## **RISK FACTORS**

An investment in our securities is speculative in nature, involves a high degree of risk, and should not be made by an investor who cannot bear the economic risk of its investment for an indefinite period of time and who cannot afford the loss of its entire investment. You should carefully consider the following risk factors and the other information contained elsewhere in this Annual Report before making an investment in our securities.

### **RISKS RELATED TO OUR BUSINESS**

#### **WE CURRENTLY HAVE NO PRODUCT REVENUES AND WILL NEED TO RAISE ADDITIONAL CAPITAL TO OPERATE OUR BUSINESS.**

Until, and if, we receive approval from the U.S. Federal Drug Administration or FDA, and other regulatory authorities for OE and future product candidates, we cannot sell our drugs and will not have product revenues. Therefore, for the foreseeable future, we will have to fund all of our operations and capital expenditures from our cash on hand, licensing fees and grants. We will therefore need additional sources of financing, which may not be available on favorable terms, if at all. If we do not succeed in raising additional funds on acceptable terms, we may be unable to complete planned pre-clinical and clinical trials or obtain approval of our product candidates

from the FDA and other regulatory authorities. In addition, we could be forced to discontinue product development, reduce or forego sales and marketing efforts and forego attractive business opportunities. Any additional sources of financing will likely involve the issuance of our equity securities, which will have a dilutive effect on our stockholders.

### **WE ARE NOT CURRENTLY PROFITABLE AND MAY NEVER BECOME PROFITABLE.**

We have a history of losses and expect to incur substantial losses and negative operating cash flow for the foreseeable future, and we may never achieve or maintain profitability. Even if we succeed in developing and commercializing one or more of our product candidates, we expect to incur substantial losses for the foreseeable future and may never become profitable. We also expect to continue to incur significant operating and capital expenditures and anticipate that our expenses will increase substantially in the foreseeable future as we:

- o continue to undertake pre-clinical development and clinical trials for our product candidates; o seek regulatory approvals for our product candidates; o implement additional internal systems and infrastructure; o lease additional or alternative office facilities; and o hire additional personnel.

We also expect to experience negative cash flow for the foreseeable future as we fund our operating losses and capital expenditures. As a result, we will need to generate significant revenues in order to achieve and maintain profitability. We may not be able to generate these revenues or achieve profitability in the future. Our failure to achieve or maintain profitability could negatively impact the value of our common stock.

### **WE HAVE A LIMITED OPERATING HISTORY UPON WHICH TO BASE AN INVESTMENT DECISION.**

Manhattan Pharmaceuticals, Inc. is a development-stage company and has not yet demonstrated any ability to perform the functions necessary for the successful commercialization of OE or any other product candidates. The successful commercialization of our product candidates will require us to perform a variety of functions, including:

- o continuing to undertake pre-clinical development and clinical trials;
- o participating in regulatory approval processes;
- o formulating and manufacturing products; and
- o conducting sales and marketing activities.

Since its inception, Manhattan Pharmaceuticals' operations have been limited to organizing and staffing, and acquiring, developing and securing our proprietary technology and undertaking pre-clinical trials of principal product candidates. These operations provide a limited basis for you to assess our ability to commercialize our product candidates and the advisability of investing in our securities.

### **WE MAY NOT OBTAIN THE NECESSARY U.S. OR WORLDWIDE REGULATORY APPROVALS TO COMMERCIALIZE OUR PRODUCT CANDIDATES.**

We will need FDA approval to commercialize our product candidates in the U.S. and approvals from the FDA equivalent regulatory authorities in foreign jurisdictions to commercialize our product candidates in those jurisdictions. In order to obtain FDA approval of any of our product candidates, we must submit to the FDA a New Drug Application, or NDA, demonstrating that the product candidate is safe for humans and effective for its intended use. This demonstration requires significant research and animal tests, which are referred to as pre-clinical studies, as well as human tests, which are referred to as clinical trials. Satisfaction of the FDA's regulatory requirements typically takes many years, depends upon the type, complexity and novelty of the product candidate and requires substantial resources for research, development and testing. We cannot predict whether our research and clinical approaches will result in drugs that the FDA considers safe for humans and effective for indicated uses. The FDA

has substantial discretion in the drug approval process and may require us to conduct additional pre-clinical and clinical testing or to perform post-marketing studies. The approval process may also be delayed by changes in government regulation, future legislation or administrative action or changes in FDA policy that occur prior to or during our regulatory review. Delays in obtaining regulatory approvals may:

- o delay commercialization of, and our ability to derive product revenues from, our product candidates;
- o impose costly procedures on us; and
- o diminish any competitive advantages that we may otherwise enjoy.

Even if we comply with all FDA requests, the FDA may ultimately reject one or more of our NDAs. We cannot be sure that we will ever obtain regulatory clearance for our product candidate. Failure to obtain FDA approval of any of our product candidate will severely undermine our business by reducing our number of salable products and, therefore, corresponding product revenues.

In foreign jurisdictions, we must receive approval from the appropriate regulatory authorities before we can commercialize our drugs. Foreign regulatory approval processes generally include all of the risks associated with the FDA approval procedures described above. There can be no assurance that we will receive the approvals necessary to commercialize our product candidate for sale outside the United States.

### **CLINICAL TRIALS ARE VERY EXPENSIVE, TIME-CONSUMING AND DIFFICULT TO DESIGN AND IMPLEMENT.**

Human clinical trials are very expensive and difficult to design and implement, in part because they are subject to rigorous regulatory requirements. The clinical trial process is also time consuming. We estimate that clinical trials of our product candidates will take at least several years to complete. Furthermore, failure can occur at any stage of the trials, and we could encounter problems that cause us to abandon or repeat clinical trials. The commencement and completion of clinical trials may be delayed by several factors, including:

- o unforeseen safety issues;
- o determination of dosing issues;
- o lack of effectiveness during clinical trials;
- o slower than expected rates of patient recruitment;
- o inability to monitor patients adequately during or after treatment; and
- o inability or unwillingness of medical investigators to follow our clinical protocols.

In addition, we or the FDA may suspend our clinical trials at any time if it appears that we are exposing participants to unacceptable health risks or if the FDA finds deficiencies in our IND submissions or the conduct of these trials.

## **THE RESULTS OF OUR CLINICAL TRIALS MAY NOT SUPPORT OUR PRODUCT CANDIDATE CLAIMS.**

Even if our clinical trials are completed as planned, we cannot be certain that their results will support our product candidate claims. Success in pre-clinical testing and early clinical trials does not ensure that later clinical trials will be successful, and we cannot be sure that the results of later clinical trials will replicate the results of prior clinical trials and pre-clinical testing. The clinical trial process may fail to demonstrate that our product candidates are safe for humans and effective for indicated uses. This failure would cause us to abandon a product candidate and may delay development of other product candidates. Any delay in, or termination of, our clinical trials will delay the filing of our NDAs with the FDA and, ultimately, our ability to commercialize our product candidates and generate product revenues. In addition, our clinical trials involve a small patient population. Because of the small sample size, the results of these clinical trials may not be indicative of future results.

## **PHYSICIANS AND PATIENTS MAY NOT ACCEPT AND USE OUR DRUGS.**

Even if the FDA approves our product candidates, physicians and patients may not accept and use them. Acceptance and use of our product will depend upon a number of factors including:

- o perceptions by members of the health care community, including physicians, about the safety and effectiveness of our drugs;
- o cost-effectiveness of our product relative to competing products;
- o availability of reimbursement for our products from government or other healthcare payers; and
- o effectiveness of marketing and distribution efforts by us and our licensees and distributors, if any.

Because we expect sales of our current product candidates, if approved, to generate substantially all of our product revenues for the foreseeable future, the failure of any of these drugs to find market acceptance would harm our business and could require us to seek additional financing.

## **OUR DRUG-DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM DEPENDS UPON THIRD-PARTY RESEARCHERS WHO ARE OUTSIDE OUR CONTROL.**

We depend upon independent investigators and collaborators, such as universities and medical institutions, to conduct our pre-clinical and clinical trials under agreements with us. These collaborators are not our employees and we cannot control the amount or timing of resources that they devote to our programs. These investigators may not assign as great a priority to our programs or pursue them as diligently as we would if we were undertaking such programs ourselves. If outside collaborators fail to devote sufficient time and resources to our drug-development programs, or if their performance is substandard, the approval of our FDA applications, if any, and our introduction of new drugs, if any, will be delayed. These collaborators may also have relationships with other commercial entities, some of whom may compete with us. If our collaborators assist our competitors at our expense, our competitive position would be harmed.

## **WE RELY EXCLUSIVELY ON THIRD PARTIES TO FORMULATE AND MANUFACTURE OUR PRODUCT CANDIDATES.**

We have no experience in drug formulation or manufacturing and do not intend to establish our own manufacturing facilities. We lack the resources and expertise to formulate or manufacture our own product candidates. We currently have no contract for the manufacture of our product candidate. We intend to contract with one or more manufacturers to manufacture, supply, store and

distribute drug supplies for our clinical trials. If any of our product candidates receive FDA approval, we will rely on one or more third-party contractors to manufacture our drugs. Our anticipated future reliance on a limited number of third-party manufacturers, exposes us to the following risks:

- o We may be unable to identify manufacturers on acceptable terms or at all because the number of potential manufacturers is limited and the FDA must approve any replacement contractor. This approval would require new testing and compliance inspections. In addition, a new manufacturer would have to be educated in, or develop substantially equivalent processes for, production of our products after receipt of FDA approval, if any.
- o Our third-party manufacturers might be unable to formulate and manufacture our drugs in the volume and of the quality required to meet our clinical needs and commercial needs, if any.
- o Our future contract manufacturers may not perform as agreed or may not remain in the contract manufacturing business for the time required to supply our clinical trials or to successfully produce, store and distribute our products.
- o Drug manufacturers are subject to ongoing periodic unannounced inspection by the FDA, the DEA, and corresponding state agencies to ensure strict compliance with good manufacturing practice and other government regulations and corresponding foreign standards. We do not have control over third-party manufacturers' compliance with these regulations and standards.
- o If any third-party manufacturer makes improvements in the manufacturing process for our products, we may not own, or may have to share, the intellectual property rights to the innovation.

Each of these risks could delay our clinical trials, the approval, if any of our product candidates by the FDA or the commercialization of our product candidates or result in higher costs or deprive us of potential product revenues.

**WE HAVE NO EXPERIENCE SELLING, MARKETING OR DISTRIBUTING PRODUCTS AND NO INTERNAL CAPABILITY TO DO SO.**

We currently have no sales, marketing or distribution capabilities. We do not anticipate having the resources in the foreseeable future to allocate to the sales and marketing of its proposed products. Our future success depends, in part, on our ability to enter into and maintain such collaborative relationships, the collaborator's strategic interest in the products under development and such collaborator's ability to successfully market and sell any such products. We intend to pursue collaborative arrangements regarding the sales and marketing of our products, however, there can be no assurance that we will be able to establish or maintain such collaborative arrangements, or if able to do so, that they will have effective sales forces. To the extent that we decide not to, or are unable to, enter into collaborative arrangements with respect to the sales and marketing of its proposed products, significant capital expenditures, management resources and time will be required to establish and develop an in-house marketing and sales force with technical expertise. There can also be no assurance that we will be able to establish or maintain relationships with third party collaborators or develop in-house sales and distribution capabilities. To the extent that we depend on third parties for marketing and distribution, any revenues we receive will depend upon the efforts of such third parties, and there can be no assurance that such efforts will be successful. In addition, there can also be no assurance that we will be able to market and sell our product in the United States or overseas.

**IF WE CANNOT COMPETE SUCCESSFULLY FOR MARKET SHARE AGAINST OTHER DRUG COMPANIES, WE MAY NOT ACHIEVE SUFFICIENT PRODUCT REVENUES AND OUR BUSINESS WILL SUFFER.**

The market for our product candidates is characterized by intense competition and rapid technological advances. If our product candidates receive FDA approval, they will compete with a number of existing and future drugs and therapies developed, manufactured and marketed by others. Existing or future

competing products may provide greater therapeutic convenience or clinical or other benefits for a specific indication than our products, or may offer comparable performance at a lower cost. If our products fail to capture and maintain market share, we may not achieve sufficient product revenues and our business will suffer.

We will compete against fully integrated pharmaceutical companies and smaller companies that are collaborating with larger pharmaceutical companies, academic institutions, government agencies and other public and private research organizations. Many of these competitors have product candidates that will compete with ours already approved or in development. In addition, many of these competitors, either alone or together with their collaborative partners, operate larger research and development programs and have substantially greater financial resources than we do, as well as significantly greater experience in:

- o developing drugs;
- o undertaking pre-clinical testing and human clinical trials; o obtaining FDA and other regulatory approvals of drugs; o formulating and manufacturing drugs; and o launching, marketing and selling drugs.

**DEVELOPMENTS BY COMPETITORS MAY RENDER OUR PRODUCTS OR TECHNOLOGIES OBSOLETE OR NON-COMPETITIVE.**

Companies that currently sell both generic and proprietary anti-obesity compounds formulations include among others Abbot Laboratories, Inc., Amgen, Inc., and Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Inc. Alternative technologies are being developed to treat obesity and overweight disease, several of which are in advanced clinical trials. In addition, companies pursuing different but related fields represent substantial competition. Many of these organizations competing with us have substantially greater capital resources, larger research and development staffs and facilities, longer drug development history in obtaining regulatory approvals and greater manufacturing and marketing capabilities than we do. These organizations also compete with us to attract qualified personnel, parties for acquisitions, joint ventures or other collaborations.

**IF WE FAIL TO ADEQUATELY PROTECT OR ENFORCE OUR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS OR SECURE RIGHTS TO PATENTS OF OTHERS, THE VALUE OF OUR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS WOULD DIMINISH.**

Our success, competitive position and future revenues will depend in part on our ability and the abilities of our licensors to obtain and maintain patent protection for our products, methods, processes and other technologies, to preserve our trade secrets, to prevent third parties from infringing on our proprietary rights and to operate without infringing the proprietary rights of third parties.

To date, we hold the exclusive licenses to certain patent rights, including rights under U.S. patents and U.S. patent applications, as well as rights under foreign patents and patent applications. We anticipate filing additional patent applications both in the U.S. and in other countries, as appropriate. However, we cannot predict:

- o the degree and range of protection any patents will afford us against competitors including whether third parties will find ways to invalidate or otherwise circumvent our patents;
- o if and when patents will issue;
- o whether or not others will obtain patents claiming aspects similar to those covered by our patents and patent applications; or

o whether we will need to initiate litigation or administrative proceedings which may be costly whether we win or lose.

Our success also depends upon the skills, knowledge and experience of our scientific and technical personnel, our consultants and advisors as well as our licensors and contractors. To help protect our proprietary know-how and our inventions for which patents may be unobtainable or difficult to obtain, we rely on trade secret protection and confidentiality agreements. To this end, we require all of our employees, consultants, advisors and contractors to enter into agreements which prohibit the disclosure of confidential information and, where applicable, require disclosure and assignment to us of the ideas, developments, discoveries and inventions important to our business. These agreements may not provide adequate protection for our trade secrets, know-how or other proprietary information in the event of any unauthorized use or disclosure or the lawful development by others of such information. If any of our trade secrets, know-how or other proprietary information is disclosed, the value of our trade secrets, know-how and other proprietary rights would be significantly impaired and our business and competitive position would suffer.

**IF WE INFRINGE THE RIGHTS OF THIRD PARTIES WE COULD BE PREVENTED FROM SELLING PRODUCTS, FORCED TO PAY DAMAGES, AND DEFEND AGAINST LITIGATION.**

If our products, methods, processes and other technologies infringe the proprietary rights of other parties, we could incur substantial costs and we may have to:

- o obtain licenses, which may not be available on commercially reasonable terms, if at all;
- o redesign our products or processes to avoid infringement;
- o stop using the subject matter claimed in the patents held by others;
- o pay damages; or
- o defend litigation or administrative proceedings which may be costly whether we win or lose, and which could result in a substantial diversion of our valuable management resources.

**OUR ABILITY TO GENERATE PRODUCT REVENUES WILL BE DIMINISHED IF OUR DRUGS SELL FOR INADEQUATE PRICES OR PATIENTS ARE UNABLE TO OBTAIN ADEQUATE LEVELS OF REIMBURSEMENT.**

Our ability to commercialize our drugs, alone or with collaborators, will depend in part on the extent to which reimbursement will be available from:

- o government and health administration authorities;
- o private health maintenance organizations and health insurers; and
- o other healthcare payers.

Significant uncertainty exists as to the reimbursement status of newly approved healthcare products. Healthcare payers, including Medicare, are challenging the prices charged for medical products and services. Government and other healthcare payers increasingly attempt to contain healthcare costs by limiting both coverage and the level of reimbursement for drugs. Even if our product candidates are approved by the FDA, insurance coverage may not be available, and reimbursement levels may be inadequate, to cover our drugs. If government and other healthcare payers do not provide adequate coverage and reimbursement levels for any of our products, once approved, market acceptance of our products could be reduced.

**WE MAY NOT SUCCESSFULLY MANAGE OUR GROWTH.**

Our success will depend upon the expansion of our operations and the effective management of our growth, which will place a significant strain on our management and on our administrative, operational and financial resources. To manage this growth, we must expand our facilities, augment our operational, financial and management systems and hire and train additional qualified personnel. If we are unable to manage our growth effectively, our business would be harmed.

**WE MAY BE EXPOSED TO LIABILITY CLAIMS ASSOCIATED WITH THE USE OF HAZARDOUS MATERIALS AND CHEMICALS.**

Our research and development activities may involve the controlled use of hazardous materials and chemicals. Although we believe that our safety procedures for using, storing, handling and disposing of these materials comply with federal, state and local laws and regulations, we cannot completely eliminate the risk of accidental injury or contamination from these materials. In the event of such an accident, we could be held liable for any resulting damages and any liability could materially adversely effect our business, financial condition and results of operations. In addition, the federal, state and local laws and regulations governing the use, manufacture, storage, handling and disposal of hazardous or radioactive materials and waste products may require us to incur substantial compliance costs that could materially adversely effect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

**WE RELY ON KEY EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND SCIENTIFIC AND MEDICAL ADVISORS, AND THEIR KNOWLEDGE OF OUR BUSINESS AND TECHNICAL EXPERTISE WOULD BE DIFFICULT TO REPLACE.**

We are highly dependent on our principal scientific, regulatory and medical advisors. We do not have "key person" life insurance policies for any of our officers. The loss of the technical knowledge and management and industry expertise of any of our key personnel could result in delays in product development, loss of customers and sales and diversion of management resources, which could adversely affect our operating results.

**IF WE ARE UNABLE TO HIRE ADDITIONAL QUALIFIED PERSONNEL, OUR ABILITY TO GROW OUR BUSINESS MAY BE HARMED.**

We will need to hire additional qualified personnel with expertise in pre-clinical testing, clinical research and testing, government regulation, formulation and manufacturing and sales and marketing. We compete for qualified individuals with numerous biopharmaceutical companies, universities and other research institutions. Competition for such individuals, particularly in the New York City area, is intense, and we cannot be certain that our search for such personnel will be successful. Attracting and retaining qualified personnel will be critical to our success.

**WE MAY INCUR SUBSTANTIAL LIABILITIES AND MAY BE REQUIRED TO LIMIT COMMERCIALIZATION OF OUR PRODUCTS IN RESPONSE TO PRODUCT LIABILITY LAWSUITS.**

The testing and marketing of medical products entail an inherent risk of product liability. If we cannot successfully defend ourselves against product liability claims, we may incur substantial liabilities or be required to limit commercialization of our products. Our inability to obtain sufficient product liability insurance at an acceptable cost to protect against potential product liability claims could prevent or inhibit the commercialization of pharmaceutical products we develop, alone or with corporate collaborators. We currently do not carry clinical trial insurance or product liability insurance. Although we intend to obtain clinical trial insurance prior to the commencement

of any clinical trials, we, or any corporate collaborators, may not be able to obtain insurance at a reasonable cost, if at all. Even if our agreements with any future corporate collaborators entitle us to indemnification against losses, such indemnification may not be available or adequate should any claim arise.

## **WE ARE CONTROLLED BY CURRENT OFFICERS, DIRECTORS AND PRINCIPAL STOCKHOLDERS.**

Our directors, executive officers and principal stockholders beneficially own approximately 53 percent of our outstanding common stock. Accordingly, these persons and their respective affiliates will have the ability to exert substantial influence over the election of our Board of Directors and the outcome of issues submitted to our stockholders.

## **RISKS RELATED TO OUR SECURITIES**

### **THE ILLIQUIDITY OF THE MARKET FOR OUR COMMON STOCK COULD ADVERSELY AFFECT OUR ABILITY TO RAISE FUNDS.**

Since being delisted from the Nasdaq SmallCap Market in August 2001, trading in our securities has been conducted on the National Association of Securities Dealers' Over-the-Counter Bulletin Board, or "OTC Bulletin Board." This has adversely effected the liquidity of our securities, not only in terms of the number of securities that can be bought and sold at a given price, but also through delays in the timing of transactions and reduction in security analysts' and the media's coverage of us. This may result in lower prices for our securities than might otherwise be obtained and could also result in a larger spread between the bid and asked prices for our securities. In addition, our delisting could adversely affect our ability to raise funds.

In addition, our common stock is a "penny stock." Broker-dealers who sell penny stocks must provide purchasers of these stocks with a standardized risk-disclosure document prepared by the SEC. This document provides information about penny stocks and the nature and level of risks involved in investing in the penny-stock market. A broker must also give a purchaser, orally or in writing, bid and offer quotations and information regarding broker and salesperson compensation, make a written determination that the penny stock is a suitable investment for the purchaser, and obtain the purchaser's written agreement to the purchase. The penny stock rules may make it difficult for you to sell your shares of our stock. Because of the rules, there is less trading in penny stocks. Also, many brokers choose not to participate in penny-stock transactions.

### **OUR STOCK PRICE IS, AND WE EXPECT IT TO REMAIN, VOLATILE, WHICH COULD LIMIT INVESTORS' ABILITY TO SELL STOCK AT A PROFIT.**

During the last two fiscal years, our stock price has traded at a low of \$0.05 (in the fourth quarter of 2002) to a high of \$2.50 (in the third quarter of 2003). The volatile price of our stock makes it difficult for investors to predict the value of their investment, to sell shares at a profit at any given time, or to plan purchases and sales in advance. A variety of factors may affect the market price of our common stock. These include, but are not limited to:

- o publicity regarding actual or potential clinical results relating to products under development by our competitors or us;
- o delay or failure in initiating, completing or analyzing pre-clinical or clinical trials or the unsatisfactory design or results of these trials;
- o achievement or rejection of regulatory approvals by our competitors or us;
- o announcements of technological innovations or new commercial products by our competitors or us;
- o developments concerning proprietary rights, including patents;
- o developments concerning our collaborations;
- o regulatory developments in the United States and foreign countries;
- o economic or other crises and other external factors;

- o period-to-period fluctuations in our revenues and other results of operations;
- o changes in financial estimates by securities analysts; and
- o sales of our common stock.

We will not be able to control many of these factors, and we believe that period-to-period comparisons of our financial results will not necessarily be indicative of our future performance.

In addition, the stock market in general, and the market for biotechnology companies in particular, has experienced extreme price and volume fluctuations that may have been unrelated or disproportionate to the operating performance of individual companies. These broad market and industry factors may seriously harm the market price of our common stock, regardless of our operating performance.

**TRADING IN OUR STOCK OVER THE LAST 12 MONTHS HAS BEEN LIMITED, SO INVESTORS MAY NOT BE ABLE TO SELL AS MUCH STOCK AS THEY WANT AT PREVAILING PRICES.**

The daily trading volume of our common stock is very small. If limited trading in our stock continues, it may be difficult for investors to sell their shares in the public market at any given time at prevailing prices. Also, the sale of a large block of our securities could depress the price of our securities to a greater degree than a company that typically has higher volume of trading of securities.

**WE HAVE NEVER PAID DIVIDENDS.**

We have never paid dividends on our capital stock and do not anticipate paying any dividends for the foreseeable future. Accordingly, the only time you will realize a return, if any, on an investment in our common stock is when you sell your shares at a higher price than the price at which you purchased the shares.

## ITEM 2. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We are not a party to any material legal proceedings.

## ITEM 3. DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY

Our executive offices are located at 787 Seventh Avenue, 48th Floor, New York, New York 10119. We currently occupy this space pursuant to an oral understanding under which we pay rent of approximately \$6,400 per month. We are currently negotiating a longer-term written lease with our landlord and we anticipate our monthly rental payments to remain at the current amount.

We believe that our existing facilities are adequate to meet our current requirements. We do not own any real property.

## ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS.

During the fourth quarter of our fiscal year ended December 31, 2003, there were no matters submitted to a vote of our stockholders.

## PART II

## ITEM 5. MARKET FOR COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

### MARKET FOR COMMON STOCK

Our common stock is quoted on the Over-the-Counter Bulletin Board, or "OTC Bulletin Board" under the symbol "MHTT.OB." The following table lists the high and low price for our common stock as quoted, in U.S. dollars, on the OTC Bulletin Board during each quarter within the last two fiscal years:

QUARTER ENDED	<u>PRICE RANGE</u>			
	2003		2002	
	HIGH	LOW	HIGH	LOW
March 31	\$ 0.850	\$ 0.250	\$ 0.300	\$ 0.160
June 30	1.650	0.600	0.340	0.120
September 30	2.500	1.100	0.190	0.100
December 31	2.000	1.200	0.170	0.050

The quotations from the OTC Bulletin Board reflect inter-dealer prices, without retail mark-up, mark-down or commission, and may not represent actual transactions.

### RECORD HOLDERS

The number of holders of record of our common stock as of March 26, 2004 was 494.

### DIVIDENDS

We have not paid or declared any dividends on our common stock and we do not anticipate paying dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future.

## **RECENT SALES OF UNREGISTERED SECURITIES**

In November 2003, we sold 1,000,000 shares of our newly-designated Series A Convertible Preferred Stock at a total offering price of \$10,000,000. Each share of Series A Convertible Preferred Stock is convertible into approximately 9.1 shares of common stock. We engaged Maxim Group LLC and, indirectly, Paramount BioCapital, Inc. as placement agents and paid aggregate commissions of \$700,000, plus non-accountable expenses of \$150,000. We also issued to the placement agents warrants to purchase an aggregate of 909,090 shares of common stock at a price of \$1.10 per share. The offer and sale of the Series A Convertible Preferred Stock and the placement agent warrants did not involve a public offering and was made solely to "accredited investors," and was, therefore, exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Act pursuant to Section 4(2) and Rule 506 promulgated thereunder.

On January 13, 2004, we completed a private placement of 3,368,637 shares of our common stock at a per share price of \$1.10. After deducting commissions and other expenses relating to the private placement, we received net proceeds of approximately \$3,444,000. We also issued to the placement agent engaged in connection with the private placement a 5-year warrant to purchase 336,864 shares of common stock at a price of \$1.10 per share. The financing was completed by Paramount BioCapital, Inc. of New York.

## **ITEM 6. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS OR PLAN OF OPERATIONS.**

### **OVERVIEW**

Our company resulted from the February 21, 2003 reverse merger between Atlantic Technology Ventures, Inc., which was incorporated on May 18, 1993, and privately-held Manhattan Research Development, Inc., incorporated on August 6, 2001. We are incorporated in the State of Delaware. In connection with the merger, the former stockholders of Manhattan Research received a number of shares of Atlantic's common stock so that following the merger they collectively owned 80 percent of the outstanding shares. Upon completion of the merger, Atlantic changed its name to Manhattan Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and thereafter adopted the business of Manhattan Research Development.

We are a development stage biopharmaceutical company that holds an exclusive world-wide, royalty-free license to certain intellectual property related to oleoyl-estrone, which is owned by Oleoyl-Estrone Developments, SL ("OED") of Barcelona, Spain. Oleoyl-estrone is an orally administered small molecule that has been shown to cause significant weight loss in pre-clinical animal studies regardless of dietary modifications. We also hold the worldwide, exclusive rights to proprietary lingual spray technology to deliver the drug propofol for procedural sedation prior to diagnostic, therapeutic or endoscopic procedures.

You should read the following discussion of our results of operations and financial condition in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto appearing elsewhere in this Form 10-KSB. This discussion includes "forward-looking" statements that reflect our current views with respect to future events and financial performance. We use words such as we "expect," "anticipate," "believe," and "intend" and similar expressions to identify forward-looking statements. Investors should be aware that actual results may differ materially from our expressed expectations because of risks and uncertainties inherent in future events, particularly those risks identified under the heading "Risk Factors" following Item 1 in this Annual Report, and should not unduly rely on these forward looking statements. All share and per share information in this discussion has been adjusted for the 1-for-5 combination of our common stock effected on September 25, 2003.

### **RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

#### **2003 VERSUS 2002**

During each of the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002, we had no revenue.

For the year ended December 31, 2003, research and development expense was \$1,724,043 as compared to \$700,798 for the year ended December 31, 2002. The increase of \$1,023,245 is due in part to an acceleration of pre-clinical and clinical development for product candidates, oleoyl-estrone and propofol lingual spray of approximately \$256,000. Related research and development consulting increased by approximately \$267,000. In addition, in connection with our license agreement with NovaDel Pharma Inc., we made license payments of \$500,000 in 2003 which we did not have in 2002.

For the year ended December 31, 2003, general and administrative expense was \$1,786,080 as compared to \$317,384 for the year ended December 31, 2002. The increase of \$1,468,696 is due primarily to expenses associated with hiring full time employees and consultants of approximately \$572,000 and \$261,000, respectively. In addition, we had increases in legal and accounting fees of approximately \$220,000 associated with becoming subject to the reporting obligations under the Exchange Act following completion of the Atlantic

Technology Ventures, Inc. - Manhattan Research Development, Inc. merger in February 2003. Insurance, recruiters fees, travel, transfer agent fees and other expenses increased by approximately \$144,000, \$46,000, \$32,000, \$28,000 and \$21,000, respectively. Finally, in 2003, we had amortization of intangible assets of approximately \$145,000.

Net loss for the year ended December 31, 2003, was \$5,960,907 as compared to \$1,037,320 for the year ended December 31, 2002. This increase in net loss is attributable to the factors described above and to a loss on the disposition of intangible assets as a result of our sale of our remaining rights to CT-3 to Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc. of \$1,213,878 as well as an impairment of intangible assets of \$1,248,230 as a result of a decision by Bausch & Lomb not to pursue the Avantix cataract removal technology.

## **2002 VERSUS 2001**

We had no revenue during the year ended December 31, 2002 and from August 6, 2001 (date of inception) through December 31, 2001.

For the year ended December 31, 2002, research and development expense was \$700,798 as compared to \$24,599 during 2001. The increase of \$676,199 is due to the fact that substantially all of the pre-clinical work was done in 2002. In addition, we paid license fees of \$175,000 in connection with our licensing exclusive world wide rights to our product candidate oleoyl-estrone to Oleoyl-estrone Developments, Inc in 2002.

For the year ended December 31, 2002, general and administrative expense was \$317,384 as compared to \$32,197 for 2001. This increase of \$285,187 was primarily due to various activities that occurred in 2002 including the following: recruiting fees in connection with recruiting management, office service fees, accounting fees for the audits, patent review and other due diligence expenses.

Interest expense was \$19,138 for the year ended December 30, 2002 compared to zero in 2001. This increase was caused by bank loans entered into in 2002. The proceeds of the bank loans were used for general corporate purposes. The loans were repaid in full in December, 2003.

Net loss for the year ended December 31, 2002 was \$1,037,320 as compared to \$56,796 for the interim period of 2001. This increase in net loss is primarily due to an increase in research and development expenses of \$645,562. In addition, we had an increase in general and administrative expenses of \$315,824 and an increase in interest expense of \$19,138.

## **LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES**

From inception to December 31, 2003, we incurred an accumulated deficit of \$7,473,205, and we expect to continue to incur additional losses through the year ending December 31, 2004 and for the foreseeable future. These losses have been incurred through a combination of research and development activities related to the various technologies under our control and expenses supporting those activities.

During 2002, our subsidiary, Manhattan Research Development, Inc. ("Manhattan Research") sold 239,450 shares of common stock in a private placement at \$8 (\$0.63 post merger) per share and received proceeds of \$1,704,318, net of expenses of \$211,181. These shares converted into 3,043,332 shares of our common stock when we completed a reverse acquisition of Atlantic Technology Ventures as described below. In addition, each investor received warrants equal to 10% of the number of shares of common stock purchased and,

accordingly, Manhattan Research issued warrants to purchase 23,945 shares of common stock in 2002 in connection with the private placement. Upon the merger, the warrants converted into the right to purchase 304,333 shares of our common stock at a price of \$0.63 per share. These warrants expire in 2007.

During January and February 2003, Manhattan Research sold an additional 104,000 shares of common stock at \$8 (\$0.63, post merger) per share and warrants to purchase 10,400 shares of common stock exercisable at \$8 (\$0.63 post merger) through the private placement and received net proceeds of \$743,691. These shares converted into 1,321,806 shares of our common stock when we completed our reverse acquisition of Manhattan Research. The warrants to purchase 10,400 shares of common stock converted into warrants to purchase 132,181 common shares of the combined Company.

In addition, in connection with the private placement, Manhattan Research issued to Joseph Stevens & Co., Inc., the placement agent, warrants to purchase 130,511 shares of its common stock that are exercisable at \$8 (\$0.63 post merger) per share and expire in 2008. Upon the merger, these warrants converted into warrants to purchase 1,658,753 shares of common stock of the combined Company.

We have financed our operations since inception primarily through equity and debt financing and our licensing and sale of residual royalty rights of CT-3 to Indevus. During the year ended December 31, 2003, we had a net increase in cash and cash equivalents of \$5,692,680. This increase primarily resulted from net cash provided by financing activities of \$8,983,566 offset by net cash used in operating activities of \$3,451,525 for the year ended December 31, 2003. Total cash resources as of December 31, 2003 were \$7,413,803 compared to \$1,721,123 at December 31, 2002.

On February 21, 2003, we completed a reverse acquisition of privately held Manhattan Research Development, Inc., (formerly Manhattan Pharmaceuticals, Inc.) (Manhattan Research) a Delaware corporation. The merger was effected pursuant to an Agreement and Plan of Merger dated December 17, 2002 (the "Merger Agreement") by and among the Company, Manhattan Research and Manhattan Pharmaceuticals Acquisition Corp, the Company's wholly owned subsidiary ("MPAC"). In accordance with the terms of the Merger Agreement, MPAC merged with and into Manhattan Research, with Manhattan Research remaining as the surviving corporation and our wholly owned subsidiary. Pursuant to the Merger Agreement, upon the effective time of the merger, the outstanding shares of common stock of Manhattan Research automatically converted into an aggregate of 18,689,917 shares of our common stock, which represented 80 percent of our outstanding voting stock after giving effect to the merger. In addition, immediately prior to the merger Manhattan Research had outstanding options and warrants to purchase an aggregate of 172,856 shares of its common stock, which, in accordance with the terms of the merger, automatically converted into options and warrants to purchase an aggregate of 2,196,944 shares of our common stock. Since the stockholders of Manhattan Research received the majority of our voting shares, the merger was being accounted for as a reverse acquisition whereby Manhattan Research was the accounting acquirer (legal acquiree) and we were the accounting acquiree (legal acquirer). Based on the five-day average price of our common stock of \$0.50 per share, the purchase price approximated \$2,336,000 (\$3,167,178 including net liabilities assumed), which represents 20 percent of the market value of our post-merger total outstanding shares of 23,362,396. In connection with the merger, we changed our name from "Atlantic Technology Ventures, Inc." to "Manhattan Pharmaceuticals, Inc." At the time of the merger, Manhattan Research recognized patents and licenses for substantially all of the purchase price. As a result of acquiring Manhattan Research, the Company received new technologies. A formal purchase price allocation was completed in the third quarter of 2003.

On November 7, 2003, we completed a private placement of 1,000,000 shares of our newly-designated Series A Convertible Preferred Stock at a price of \$10 per share, resulting in gross proceeds to us of \$10,000,000. Each share of Series A Convertible Preferred Stock is convertible at the holder's election into shares of our common stock at a conversion price of \$1.10 per share. The conversion price of the Series A Convertible Preferred Stock was less than the

market value of our common stock on November 7, 2003. Accordingly, we recorded a charge for the beneficial conversion feature associated with the convertible preferred stock of \$418,182.

Under an equity-line-of-credit arrangement, Fusion Capital has committed to purchasing \$6,000,000 of our common stock. Our stock price is currently below the \$3.40 minimum required in order for us to be able to sell shares of our common stock to Fusion, but if in the future our stock price exceeds this minimum, we may elect to sell shares of our common stock to Fusion under the equity-line-of-credit arrangement. In addition, in November 2001, Fusion Capital waived the \$3.40 minimum and purchased from us under the equity-line-of-credit arrangement 83,333 shares of our common stock at a price per share of \$1.20, representing an aggregate purchase price of \$100,000. Fusion Capital again waived the \$3.40 minimum in May 2002 and purchased 2,000 shares of common stock for an aggregate purchase price of \$1,667.

The purchase price for the common stock to be issued to Fusion Capital under our equity-line-of credit arrangement with Fusion Capital will fluctuate based on the closing price of our common stock. Fusion Capital may at any time sell none, some or all of the shares of common stock purchased from us. Depending upon market liquidity at the time, sale by Fusion of shares we issue to them could cause the trading price of our common stock to decline. Sale of a substantial number of shares of our common stock by Fusion, or anticipation of such sales, could make it more difficult for us to sell equity or equity related securities in the future at a time and at a price that it might otherwise wish to effect sales. We currently have no plans to seek financing under this arrangement.

In April 2003, we entered into a license and development agreement with NovaDel Pharma, Inc. ("NovaDel"), under which we received certain worldwide, exclusive rights to develop and commercialize products related to NovaDel's proprietary lingual spray technology for delivering propofol for pre-procedural sedation. Under the terms of this agreement, we agreed to use our commercially reasonable efforts to develop and commercialize the licensed products, to obtain necessary regulatory approvals and to thereafter exploit the licensed products. The agreement also provides that NovaDel will undertake to perform, at our expense, a substantial portion of the development activities, including without limitation, preparation and filing of various applications with applicable regulatory authorities.

In consideration of the license, we are required to make certain license and milestone payments. Specifically, we were required to pay a \$500,000 license fee at such time as we had completed a financing transaction resulting in aggregate gross proceeds of at least \$10,000,000. Accordingly, upon completion of our sale of \$10,000,000 of our Series A Convertible Preferred Stock in November 2003, we paid and expensed the \$375,000 balance of the license fee.

We are also required to make various milestone payments to NovaDel under the license agreement as follows: \$1,000,000 payable following the date that the first IND for lingual spray propofol is accepted for review by the FDA; \$1,000,000 following the date that the first European Marketing Application is accepted for review by any European Union country; \$2,000,000 following the date when the first filed NDA for lingual spray propofol is approved by the FDA; \$2,000,000 following the date when the first filed European Marketing Application for lingual spray propofol is approved by a European Union country; \$1,000,000 following the date on which an application for commercial approval of lingual spray propofol is approved by the appropriate regulatory authority in each of Australia, Canada, Japan and South Africa; and \$50,000 following the date on which an application for commercial approval for lingual spray propofol is approved in any other country (other than the U.S. or a member of the European Union).

In addition, we are obligated to pay to NovaDel an annual royalty based on a fixed rate of net sales of licensed products, or if greater, the annual royalty is based on our net profits from the sale of licensed products at a rate that is twice the net sales rate. In the event we sublicense the licensed product to a third party, we are obligated to pay royalties based on a fixed

rate of fees or royalties received from the sublicensee until such time as we recover our out-of-pocket costs, and thereafter the royalty rate doubles. Because of the continuing development efforts required of NovaDel under the agreement, the royalty rates are substantially higher than customary for the industry.

NovaDel may terminate the agreement (i) upon 10 days' notice if we fail to make any required milestone or royalty payments, or (ii) if we become bankrupt or if a petition in bankruptcy or insolvency is filed and not dismissed within 60 days or if we become subject to a receiver or trustee for the benefit of creditors. Each party may terminate the agreement upon 30 days' written notice and an opportunity to cure in the event the other party committed a material breach or default. We may also terminate the agreement for any reason upon 90 days' notice to NovaDel.

Our available working capital and capital requirements will depend upon numerous factors, including progress of our research and development programs, our progress in and the cost of ongoing and planned pre-clinical and clinical testing, the timing and cost of obtaining regulatory approvals, the cost of filing, prosecuting, defending, and enforcing patent claims and other intellectual property rights, competing technological and market developments, changes in our existing collaborative and licensing relationships, the resources that we devote to developing manufacturing and commercializing capabilities, technological advances, the status of our competitors, our ability to establish collaborative arrangements with other organizations and our need to purchase additional capital equipment.

Our continued operations will depend on whether we are able to raise additional funds through various potential sources, such as equity and debt financing, other collaborative agreements, strategic alliances, and our ability to realize the full potential of our technology in development. Such additional funds may not become available on acceptable terms and there can be no assurance that any additional funding that we do obtain will be sufficient to meet our needs in the long term. Through December 31, 2003, a significant portion of our financing has been through private placements of common stock and warrants and debt financing. Unless our operations generate significant revenues, we will continue to fund operations from cash on hand and through the similar sources of capital previously described. We can give no assurances that any additional capital that we are able to obtain will be sufficient to meet our needs. Management believes that we will continue to incur net losses for the foreseeable future. Based on the resources available to us at December 31, 2003, management believes that we will need additional equity or debt financing or will need to generate revenues during 2005 through licensing our products or entering into strategic alliances to be able to sustain our operations through 2005 until we can achieve profitability, if ever.

## **RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS**

### **OLEOYL-ESTRONE**

In December 2003, we submitted to the FDA a pre Investigational New Drug ("IND") information package about our oleoyl-estrone development program. Utilizing the FDA's review of the pre-IND application, we have completed the design of the balance of the preclinical program for oleoyl-estrone, and are currently assembling the IND application while we complete the remaining toxicology and pharmacology studies. We expect to file the IND application by the end of 2004, assuming no unexpected findings are made during the balance of the preclinical studies. Following the FDA's allowance of our IND application, we intend to immediately begin the Phase I human program in the United States in 2005. Under our license agreement with Oleoyl-Estrone Developments, we will be required to make a \$250,000 milestone payment upon the treatment of the first patient in a Phase I trial. Given the uncertainties inherent in early human clinical trials, it is difficult to predict with accuracy when the Phase I program will be completed and, consequently, the timing of subsequent clinical trial programs and any eventual approval by the FDA.

To date, we have incurred \$1,481,451 of project costs related to our development of oleoyl-estrone, of which \$756,054 was incurred in fiscal 2003. Currently, we anticipate that we will need to expend approximately an additional \$1,500,000 to \$2,500,000 in development costs in fiscal 2004. Since oleoyl-estrone is regarded by the FDA as a new entity, we are not currently able to predict the size and the design of the Phase I study at this time and, accordingly, we cannot currently estimate the total costs of completing development of oleoyl-estrone.

Although we currently have sufficient capital to fund our anticipated 2004 R&D expenditures relating to oleoyl-estrone, we will need additional raise capital from debt financings or by selling shares of our capital stock in order to complete the anticipated five or six year development program for the product. If we are unable to raise such additional capital, we may have to sublicense our rights to oleoyl-estrone to a third party as a means of continuing development, or, although less likely, we may be required to abandon further development efforts altogether, either of which would have a material adverse effect on the prospects of our business.

In addition to raising additional capital, whether we are successful in developing oleoyl-estrone is dependent on numerous other factors, including unforeseen safety issues, lack of effectiveness, significant unforeseen delays in the clinical trial and regulatory approval process, both of which could be extremely costly, and inability to monitor patients adequately before and after treatments. See also "Item 1. Description of Business - Risk Factors" in this Form 10-KSB. The existence of any of these factors could increase our development costs or make successful completion of development impractical, which would have a material adverse affect on the prospects of our business.

### **LINGUAL SPRAY PROPOFOL**

We are currently working with NovaDel to develop, manufacture and commercialize a propofol lingual spray. We expect to file an IND toward the end of 2004, assuming no unanticipated findings are made during the balance of the formulation and toxicology studies that will precede the filing of the IND. To date, the FDA has expressed support for our objective to pursue a bioequivalence strategy for development. We are planning Phase I/II studies to occur during the first half of 2005 following IND issuance. We expect that pivotal Phase III trials will follow should bioequivalence be demonstrated, depending on the duration and outcome of the Phase I/II trials. Based upon our current estimates of the

schedule for development of propofol lingual spray, and submission and approval of a marketing application, we anticipate that we may begin receiving revenues from propofol lingual spray in 2006. Such an estimate is subject to numerous risks, however, including unforeseen delays in clinical development or in the regulatory approval process, unforeseen safety issues, and lack of effectiveness during the clinical trials. See also "Item 1. Description of Business - Risk Factors" in this Form 10-KSB.

To date, we have incurred \$967,989 of project costs related to our development of propofol lingual spray, all of which was incurred in fiscal 2003. Currently, we anticipate that we will need to expend an additional \$1,500,000 to \$2,500,000 in development costs in fiscal 2004 and at least an aggregate of approximately \$3,000,000 to \$5,000,000 until we receive FDA approval for propofol, should we opt to continue development until then, including anticipated 2004 costs. As with our development of oleoyl-estrone, we believe we currently have sufficient capital to fund our development activities of propofol lingual spray during 2004 and 2005. Since our business does not generate any cash flow, however, we will need to raise additional capital to continue development of the product beyond 2005. We expect to raise such additional capital through debt financings or by selling shares of our capital stock. To the extent additional capital is not available when we need it, we may be forced to sublicense our rights to propofol lingual spray or abandon our development efforts altogether, either of which would have a material adverse effect on the prospects of our business.

### **CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

In December 2001, the SEC requested that all registrants discuss their most "critical accounting policies" in management's discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations. The SEC indicated that a "critical accounting policy" is one which is both important to the portrayal of the company's financial condition and results and requires management's most difficult, subjective or complex judgments, often as a result of the need to make estimates about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain.

### **USE OF ESTIMATES**

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect certain reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of

expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

## **RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT EXPENSES**

Research and development expenses are expensed as incurred.

## **STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION**

Options, warrants and stock awards issued to non-employees and consultants are recorded at their fair value as determined in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation," and EITF No. 96-18, "Accounting for Equity Instruments That are Issued to Other Than Employees for Acquiring, or in Conjunction with Selling, Goods or Services" and recognized as expense over the related vesting period.

## **RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING STANDARDS**

In June 2002, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 146, "Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities." SFAS No. 146 addresses financial accounting and reporting for costs associated with exit or disposal activities and nullifies Emerging Issues Task Force ("EITF") issue No. 94-3, "Liability Recognition for Certain Employee Termination Benefits and Other Costs to Exit and Activity." SFAS No. 146 requires that liability for a cost associated with an exit or disposal activity be recognized when the liability is incurred. This statement also established that fair value is the objective for initial measurement of the liability. The provisions of SFAS No. 146 are effective for exit or disposal activities that initiated after December 31, 2002. The adoption of SFAS No. 146 did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In December 2002, the FASB issued SFAS No. 148, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation - Transition and Disclosure - an amendment of FASB Statement No. 123." SFAS No. 148 amends SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock Based Compensation" and provides alternative methods for accounting for a change by registrants to the fair value method of accounting for stock-based compensation. Additionally, SFAS No. 148 amends the disclosure requirements of SFAS No. 123 to require disclosure in the significant accounting policy footnote of both annual and interim financial statements of the method of accounting for stock-based compensation and the related pro-forma disclosures when the intrinsic value method continues to be used. SFAS No. 123 is effective for the first fiscal quarter beginning after December 15, 2002.

In May 2003, the FASB issued SFAS No. 150, "Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments with Characteristics of both Liabilities and Equity." SFAS No. 150 changes the accounting for certain financial instruments with characteristics of both liabilities and equity that, under previous pronouncements, issuers could account for as equity. The new accounting guidance contained in SFAS No. 150 requires that those instruments be classified as liabilities in the balance sheet.

SFAS No. 150 affects the issuer's accounting for three types of freestanding financial instruments. One type is mandatory redeemable shares, which the issuing company is obligated to buy back in exchange for cash or other assets. A second type included put options and forward purchase contracts, which involves instruments that do or may require the issuer to buy back some of its shares in exchange for cash or other assets. The third type of instruments that are liabilities under SFAS No. 150 are obligations that can be settled with

shares, the monetary value of which is fixed, tied solely or predominantly to a variable such as market index, or varies inversely with the value of the issuers' shares. SFAS No. 150 does not apply to features embedded in a financial instrument that is not a derivative in its entirety.

Most of the provisions of SFAS No. 150 are consistent with the existing definition of liabilities in FASB Concepts Statement No. 6, "Elements of Financial Statements." The remaining provisions of SFAS No. 150 are consistent with the FASB's proposal to revise that definition to encompass certain obligations that a reporting entity can or must settle by issuing its own shares. SFAS No. 150 shall be effective for financial instruments entered into or modified after May 31, 2003 and otherwise shall be effective at the beginning of the first interim period beginning after June 15, 2003.

#### **ITEM 7. CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

For a list of the consolidated financial statements filed as part of this report, see the Index to Consolidated Financial Statements beginning at Page F-1 of this annual report.

## **ITEM 8. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE**

### **ATLANTIC TECHNOLOGY VENTURES, INC.**

The audit reports of KPMG on the consolidated financial statements of Atlantic Technology Ventures, Inc. and its subsidiaries (a development state company) as of and for the years ended December 31, 2001 and 2000, and for the period from July 13, 1993 (inception) to December 31, 2001, did not contain any adverse opinion or disclaimer of opinion, nor were they qualified or modified as to uncertainty, audit scope, or accounting principles, except as follows:

KPMG's report on the consolidated financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2001, contained a separate paragraph stating that "the Company has suffered recurring losses from operations and has limited liquid resources that raise substantial doubt about its ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans in regard to these matters are also described in Note 1. The consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty."

During the years ended December 31, 2001 and 2000 and the subsequent interim periods through December 5, 2002, there were no disagreements between Atlantic and KPMG on any matter of accounting principles or practices, financial statement disclosure, or auditing scope or procedure which disagreements, if not resolved to the satisfaction of KPMG, would have caused KPMG to make reference to the subject matter of the disagreement with its report.

On December 5, 2002, Atlantic requested that KPMG provide a letter addressed to the Securities and Exchange Commission stating whether KPMG agrees with the above statements, and, if not, stating the respects in which KPMG does not agree. A copy of the letter provided by KPMG in response to that request, which is dated as of December 12, 2002, was filed as an exhibit to Atlantic's current report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on December 12, 2002.

On December 9, 2002, Atlantic engaged J.H. Cohn LLP as its independent public accountants for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2002 and to audit its financial statements. During its two most recent fiscal years and the subsequent interim period preceding the engagement of J.H. Cohn LLP, Atlantic did not consult J.H. Cohn LLP on any matter requiring disclosure under Item 304(a)(2) of Regulation S-B promulgated by the SEC. The selection of J.H. Cohn LLP was based on the recommendation of Atlantic's audit committee.

### **MANHATTAN RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT, INC.**

The audit report of Weinberg & Company, P.A. on the financial statements of Manhattan (a development state company) as of and for the year ended December 31, 2001 and for the period from August 6, 2001 (inception) to December 31, 2001, did not contain any adverse opinion or disclaimer of opinion, nor were they qualified or modified as to uncertainty, audit scope, or accounting principles, except as follows:

Weinberg & Company's report on the consolidated financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2001, contained a separate paragraph stating that: "The financial statements referred to above have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Notes 1 and 2 to the financial statements, the Company, which has suffered recurring losses from operations, completed a merger on February 21, 2003 with Manhattan

Pharmaceuticals, Inc., which has also suffered recurring losses from operations. The combined Company will have limited resources. Such matters raise substantial doubt about the ability of the Company to continue as a going concern. Management's plan in regard to these matters are also described in Note 1. The financial statements referred to above do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty."

During the period from August 6, 2001 (date of inception) through December 31, 2001, there were no disagreements between Manhattan and Weinberg & Company, P.A. on any matter of accounting principles or practices, financial statement disclosure, or auditing scope or procedure which disagreements, if not resolved to the satisfaction of Weinberg & Company, P.A., would have caused Weinberg & Company, P.A. to make reference to the subject matter of the disagreement with its report.

Since at the time of Manhattan's dismissal of Weinberg & Company, P.A. Manhattan was a privately-held company and not subject to the reporting requirements of the Exchange Act of 1934, Manhattan did not request and Weinberg & Company, P.A. did not provide, a letter addressed to the Securities and Exchange Commission stating whether Weinberg & Company, P.A. agreed with the above statements.

On January 23, 2003, Manhattan engaged J.H. Cohn LLP as its independent public accountants for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2002 and to audit its financial statements. During the period from August 6, 2001 (date of inception) through December 31, 2002 and the subsequent interim period preceding the engagement of J.H. Cohn LLP, Manhattan did not consult J.H. Cohn LLP on any matter requiring disclosure under Item 304(a)(2) of Regulation S-B promulgated by the SEC. The selection of J.H. Cohn LLP was approved by Manhattan's board of directors.

#### **ITEM 8A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES**

As of December 31, 2003, we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our chief executive and chief financial officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended). Based upon that evaluation, our chief executive officer and chief financial officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective in alerting them on a timely basis to material information required to be disclosed in our periodic reports to the Securities and Exchange Commission. During the fourth quarter of 2003, there were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that have materially affected, or reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting. There have been no significant changes in our internal controls or in other factors which could significantly affect internal controls subsequent to such evaluation.

**PART III**

**ITEM 9. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS, PROMOTERS AND CONTROL PERSONS; COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 16(A) OF THE EXCHANGE ACT**

**INFORMATION CONCERNING DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS**

NAME ----	AGE ---	POSITION -----
Leonard Firestone, M.D.....	52	President and Chief Executive Officer and Director
Nicholas J. Rossettos, C.P.A.....	38	Chief Financial Officer, Chief Operating Officer and Secretary
Joshua Kazam.....	27	Director
Michael Weiser, M.D., Ph.D.....	40	Director
Joan Pons.....	54	Director
David M. Tanen.....	32	Director

LEONARD FIRESTONE, M.D., has been President, Chief Executive Officer and a director of our company since completion of the merger transaction with Manhattan Research Development in February 2003. Prior to the merger, Dr. Firestone served as president and chief executive officer of Manhattan Research Development since January 2003. From 2001 until he joined Manhattan Research Development, Dr. Firestone served as chief executive officer, director, and chief medical officer of Innovative Drug Delivery Systems, Inc., a privately-held, specialty pharmaceutical development company focused on pain relievers. Dr. Firestone previously was chief executive officer and chairman of University Anesthesiology and Critical Care Medicine Foundation, Inc., one of America's largest clinical practice management companies, from 1996 to 2001, as well as Chair of that Foundation's Pension Trustees from 1996 to 2001. He was awarded the endowed, University Professorship in his specialty at the University of Pittsburgh, and also held faculty appointments at Harvard Medical School (Massachusetts General Hospital), and Yale School of Medicine. Dr. Firestone received an M.D. from Yale University, where he also was a resident and clinical Fellow, and remains certified by his specialty Board. Dr. Firestone is a trained pharmacologist as well as clinician, having served as a National Institutes of Health (NIH) Postdoctoral Fellow at Harvard University, and has held prestigious NIH Principal Investigatorships consecutively from 1985 - 2001 and been a member of numerous NIH review committees and panels.

NICHOLAS J. ROSSETTOS has been our Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer since April 2000 and our Chief Operating Officer since February 2003. From February 1999 until joining our company, Mr. Rossettos was Manager of Finance for Centerwatch, a pharmaceutical trade publisher headquartered in Boston, Massachusetts, that is a wholly owned subsidiary of Thomson Corporation of Toronto, Canada. Prior to that, from 1994, he was Director of Finance and Administration for EnviroBusiness, Inc., an environmental and technical management consulting firm headquartered in Cambridge, Massachusetts. Mr. Rossettos is a certified public accountant and holds an M.S. in Accounting and M.B.A. from Northeastern University.

JOSHUA KAZAM has been a director of our company since the completion of our merger transaction with Manhattan Research Development, Inc. in February 2003. He served as a director of Manhattan Research Development since December 2001. Since 2001, Mr. Kazam has been the Director of Investment for the Orion Biomedical Fund, a New York based private equity fund focused on biotechnology investments. Mr. Kazam holds a Bachelors degree from the Wharton School of the University of Pennsylvania.

JOAN PONS has been a director of our company since February 21, 2003, the date of our merger with Manhattan Research Development. Prior to the merger, he served as a director of Manhattan Research Development from 2002. Since 2002, Mr. Pons has served chief executive officer of Oleoyl-Estrone Development S.L., a spin-off of the University of Barcelona. Pursuant to a January 2002 license agreement, we hold an exclusive worldwide license to several patents and patent applications relating to oleoyl-estrone, which are owned by Oleoyl-Estrone Development. From 1999 until joining Oleoyl-Estrone Development, Mr. Pons has served as Director of Franchising of Pans & Company, a fast-food company. From 1972 until 1999, Mr. Pons was employed in various finance and sales capacities by Gallina Blanca Purina S.A., a joint venture between St. Louis, Missouri based Ralston Purina Co. and Spanish based Agrolimen S.A., most recently serving as its National Sales & Marketing Director.

DAVID M. TANEN has been a director of our company since January 2002. Since 1996, Mr. Tanen has served as an associate director of Paramount Capital, where he has been involved in the founding of a number of biotechnology start-up companies. Since February 2003, Mr. Tanen has also served as a director of Chiral Quest, Inc. (OTC: CQST) and he also serves as an officer or director of several other privately held development-stage biotechnology companies. Mr. Tanen holds a law degree from Fordham University School of Law.

MICHAEL WEISER, M.D., PH.D., has been a director of our company since the completion of our merger transaction with Manhattan Research Development, Inc. in February 2003. He served as a director of Manhattan Research Development since December 2001 and as its Chief Medical Officer from its inception until August 2001. Dr. Weiser is currently also the Director of Research of Paramount Capital Asset Management. Dr. Weiser is also a member of Orion Biomedical GP, LLC, and serves on the board of directors of several privately held companies. Dr. Weiser received an M.D. from New York University School of Medicine and a Ph.D. in Molecular Neurobiology from Cornell University Medical College. Dr. Weiser completed a Postdoctoral Fellowship in the Department of Physiology and Neuroscience at New York University School of Medicine and performed his post-graduate medical training in the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology and Primary Care at New York University Medical Center. Dr. Weiser dedicates only a portion of his time to our business.

There are no family relationships among our executive officers or directors.

#### SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, requires our officers, directors and persons who are the beneficial owners of more than 10% of our common stock to file with the SEC initial reports of ownership and reports of changes in ownership of our common stock. Officers, directors and beneficial owners of more than 10% of our common stock are required by SEC regulations to furnish us with copies of all Section 16(a) forms they file. Based solely on a review of the copies of the Forms 3, 4 and 5 and amendments that we received with respect to transactions during 2003, we believe that all such forms were filed on a timely basis, except for the following: J. Jay Lobell filed a Form 4 on November 17, 2003, reporting a purchase of an aggregate of 34,012 shares of our Series A Convertible Preferred Stock (convertible into 309,200 shares of common stock) on November 7, 2003.

#### Code of Ethics

We currently do not have a Code of Ethics that applies to our President, Chief Executive Officer & Chief Financial Officer and our Controller. Our management is currently in the process of developing such a policy and expects to present it to our board of directors for its review and approval during the second quarter of 2004. Once adopted, we will provide a copy of the Code of Ethics without charge upon written request directed to the Company, Attn: Secretary, 787 Seventh Avenue, 48th Floor, New York, New York 10019.

#### Audit Committee Financial Expert

We have an audit committee comprised of David Tanen, Joshua Kazam and Michael Weiser. None of the members of the audit committee meet the definition of an "audit committee financial expert," as that term is defined by SEC regulations. Further, none of our audit committee members or any of our other current directors are independent, as defined by applicable regulation. We are currently in the process of searching for and recruiting potential director candidates who are independent and who will qualify as an audit committee financial expert.

## ITEM 10. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The following table sets forth, for the last three fiscal years, the compensation earned for services rendered in all capacities by our chief executive officer and the other highest-paid executive officers serving as such at the end of 2003 whose compensation for that fiscal year was in excess of \$100,000. The individuals named in the table will be hereinafter referred to as the "Named Officers." No other executive officer of Manhattan received compensation in excess of \$100,000 during fiscal year 2003.

### Summary Compensation Table

NAME AND PRINCIPAL POSITION	YEAR	ANNUAL COMPENSATION			LONG-TERM COMPENSATION AWARDS	ALL OTHER COMPENSATION (\$)
		SALARY (\$)	BONUS (\$)	OTHER ANNUAL COMPENSATION (\$)	SECURITIES UNDERLYING OPTIONS/SARs (#)	
Leonard Firestone (1) Chief Executive Officer and President	2003	250,000	200,000	0	584,060	0
	2002	--	--	--	--	--
	2001	--	--	--	--	--
Nicholas J. Rossettos Chief Operating Officer, Chief Financial Officer, Treasurer & Secretary	2003	142,788	25,000	22,397 (2)	292,030	0
	2002	107,645	25,000	10,000 (3)	55,000	0
	2001	125,000	25,000	10,000 (3)	10,000	0

(1) Dr. Firestone became chief executive officer of Manhattan Research Development, Inc. in January 2003 and, following the merger with Atlantic Technology Ventures, Inc. on February 21, 2003, he was appointed chief executive officer of the Registrant. The above table reflects Dr. Firestone's combined compensation received from Manhattan Research Development and our company during fiscal 2003.

(2) Represents salary deferred from the prior fiscal year and prior to February 24, 2003.

(3) Represents matching contributions by us pursuant to our company's SAR-SEP retirement plan.

## OPTIONS AND STOCK APPRECIATION RIGHTS

The following table contains information concerning the grant of stock options under our stock option plans and otherwise to the executive officers identified below during the 2003 fiscal year. No stock appreciation rights were granted during the 2003 fiscal year.

### Option Grants in Last Fiscal Year (Individual Grants)

NAME	NUMBER OF SECURITIES UNDERLYING OPTIONS/SARs GRANTED (#)	PERCENT OF TOTAL OPTIONS/SARs GRANTED TO EMPLOYEES IN FISCAL YEAR	EXERCISE OR BASE PRICE (\$/SHARE) (1)	EXPIRATION DATE
Dr. Firestone.....	584,600	67	0.40	2/24/2013
Mr. Rossettos.....	292,030 (2)	33	0.40	2/24/2013

(1) Exercise price is based on the closing sale price of our common stock on the last trading day preceding the grant date.

(2) Option vests 50 percent on February 24, 2004 and 50 percent on February 24, 2005.

### OPTION EXERCISE AND HOLDINGS

The following table provides information with respect to the executive officers named below concerning the exercisability of options during the 2003 fiscal year and unexercisable options held as of the end of the 2003 fiscal year. No stock appreciation rights were exercised during the 2003 fiscal year, and no stock appreciation rights were outstanding at the end of that fiscal year.

Aggregated Option Exercises in Last Fiscal Year and Fiscal Year-End Option Values

NAME	SHARES ACQUIRED ON EXERCISE	VALUE REALIZED (1)	NO. OF SECURITIES UNDERLYING UNEXERCISED OPTIONS/SARs AT FY-END (#)		VALUE OF UNEXERCISED IN-THE-MONEY OPTIONS/SARs AT FY-END (MARKET PRICE OF SHARES AT FY-END LESS EXERCISE PRICE) (\$) (2)	
			EXERCISABLE	UNEXERCISABLE	EXERCISABLE	UNEXERCISABLE
Dr. Firestone (3)	0	--	0	584,600	689,828	0
Mr. Rossettos	0	--	208,515	158,515	192,573	176,423

(1) Equal to the fair market value of the purchased shares at the time of the option exercise over the exercise price paid for those shares.

(2) Based on the fair market value of our common stock on December 31, 2003 of \$1.58 per share, the closing sale price per share on that date on the OTC Bulletin Board.

(3) Although the presentation in the above table reflects options exercisable as of the end of fiscal 2003, 584,600 shares subject to an option held by Dr. Firestone became exercisable on January 2, 2004.

## **LONG TERM INCENTIVE PLAN AWARDS**

No long term incentive plan awards were made to any of our executive officers during the last fiscal year.

## **COMPENSATION OF DIRECTORS**

Non-employee directors are eligible to participate in an automatic stock option grant program pursuant to the 2003 stock option plan. Non-employee directors are granted an option for 50,000 shares of common stock upon their initial election or appointment to the board and an option for 25,000 shares of common stock annually thereafter. During 2003 our board members did not receive any cash compensation for their services as directors, although directors are reimbursed for reasonable expenses incurred in connection with attending meetings of the board and of committees of the board.

## **EMPLOYMENT AGREEMENTS**

### **LEONARD FIRESTONE, M.D.**

Dr. Firestone's employment with us is governed by a one (1) year employment agreement dated January 2, 2004. Under the terms of his employment agreement, Dr. Firestone is entitled to a base salary of \$325,000 per year and a guaranteed bonus of \$75,000 payable within 30 days of the anniversary of the employment agreement so long as Dr. Firestone remains employed by us, and up to an additional \$200,000 upon the achievement of certain performance related milestones. In addition, Dr. Firestone is eligible to receive a discretionary bonus in an amount up to his base salary, as determined by the board of directors in its discretion. We also agreed to grant to Dr. Firestone options to purchase an additional 600,000 shares of our common stock under our 2003 Stock Option Plan, which option will vest in its entirety on the first anniversary of his employment agreement.

In the event Dr. Firestone's employment is terminated by us upon the occurrence of a "change of control," we or our successors must continue to pay Dr. Firestone his base salary for a period of one year following termination, as well as any accrued but unpaid bonuses through the date of termination. However, our obligation to pay such amounts following the termination of Dr. Firestone's employment shall be reduced by any amounts otherwise actually earned by Dr. Firestone during the one-year period following such termination. All stock options granted to Dr. Firestone that have not vested shall vest upon termination of his employment upon a change of control.

### **NICHOLAS J. ROSSETTOS**

Mr. Rossettos' employment with us is pursuant to a February 2003 employment agreement. This agreement has a two-year term ending on February 21, 2005, which may be extended for additional one (1) year periods thereafter. Under the agreement, Mr. Rossettos is entitled to an annual salary of \$150,000 in addition to health, disability insurance and other benefits. Pursuant to his employment agreement, on February 24, 2003, Mr. Rossettos was granted an option to purchase an aggregate of 292,030 shares of common stock at a price of \$0.40 per share. The option vests in two equal installments on each of February 24, 2004 and February 24, 2005. Mr. Rossettos and his dependents are eligible to receive paid medical and long term disability insurance and such other health benefits as we make available to other senior officers and directors. Mr. Rossettos reports to the Chief Executive Officer and President.

## JOSHUA KAZAM

Mr. Kazam provides services to our company pursuant to a consulting agreement dated March 1, 2003. The consulting agreement provides that Mr. Kazam will render services to us in connection with corporate financing activities and preparation of grant applications that we may from time to time need. We are required to pay to Mr. Kazam \$4,167 per month during the term of the consulting agreement. The consulting agreement provided for an initial one year term and is now operating on a month to month basis. Either we or Mr. Kazam may terminate the agreement upon 30 days' notice.

## MICHAEL WEISER, M.D., PH.D.

Dr. Weiser provides services to our company pursuant to a consulting agreement dated March 1, 2003. The consulting agreement provides that Dr. Weiser will provide scientific advisory services to us in the areas of obesity and drug delivery. We are required to pay to Dr. Weiser \$6,250 per month during the term of the consulting agreement. The consulting agreement provided for an initial one year term and is now operating on a month to month basis. Either we or Dr. Weiser may terminate the agreement upon 30 days' notice.

## ITEM 11. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTER

The following table sets forth certain information regarding beneficial ownership of the our common stock as of March 26, 2004, by (i) each person known by us to be the beneficial owner of more than 5 percent of the outstanding common stock, (ii) each director, (iii) each executive officer, and (iv) all executive officers and directors as a group. The number of shares beneficially owned is determined under rules promulgated by the SEC, and the information is not necessarily indicative of beneficial ownership for any other purpose. Under those rules, beneficial ownership includes any shares as to which the individual has sole or shared voting power or investment power and also any shares which the individual has the right to acquire within 60 days of the date hereof, through the exercise or conversion of any stock option, convertible security, warrant or other right. Including those shares in the tables does not, however, constitute an admission that the named stockholder is a direct or indirect beneficial owner of those shares. Unless otherwise indicated, each person or entity named in the table has sole voting power and investment power (or shares that power with that person's spouse) with respect to all shares of capital stock listed as owned by that person or entity. Unless otherwise indicated, the address of each of the following persons is 787 Seventh Avenue, 48th Floor, New York, New York 10019.

NAME	SHARES BENEFICIALLY OWNED	PERCENT OF CLASS
Leonard Firestone(1)	584,060	2.1
Nicholas J. Rossettos(2)	258,650	*
Joshua Kazam(3)	329,198	1.2
Michael Weiser(3)	1,485,216	5.5
Joan Pons Gimbert(4)	3,982,037	14.9
David M. Tanen(5)	405,980	1.5
All directors and officers as a group(6)	7,045,141	25.3
Lindsay A. Rosenwald(7)	2,957,261	10.8

Oleoylstrone Developments, SL(8).....	3,957,037	14.8
Josep Samitier 1-5, Barcelona Science Park		
08028 Barcelona Spain		
Jay Lobell(9).....	4,078,890	15.1
365 West End Avenue		
New York, New York 10024		
Atlas Fund, LLC (10).....	1,818,182	6.8
181 West Madison, Suite 3600		
Chicago, IL 60602		

---

\* Less than 1.0%

(1) Includes 584,060 shares issuable upon the exercise (at a price of \$0.40 per share) of a vested option. (2) Includes shares issuable upon the exercise of options that are currently exercisable or will be exercisable within 60 days: (i) 10,000 shares issuable at an exercise price of \$20.94 per share; (ii) 10,000 shares issuable at an exercise price of \$4.375 per share; (iii) 17,500 shares issuable at an exercise price of \$1.25 per share; (iv) 25,000 shares issuable at an exercise price of \$1.00 per share; (v) 146,150 shares issuable at an exercise price of \$0.40 per share; and (vi) 50,000 shares issuable at an exercise price of \$1.65 per share.

(3) Includes 25,000 shares issuable upon the exercise (at a price of \$1.65 per share) of an option. (4) Includes 3,957,037 shares held by Oleoylstrone Developments, SL, of which Mr. Pons is chief executive officer, and 25,000 shares issuable upon the exercise (at a price of \$1.65 per share) of an option.

(5) Includes shares issuable upon the exercise of options that are currently exercisable, or will be exercisable within 60 days: (i) 12,000 shares issuable at an exercise price of \$1.25 per share; (ii) 400 shares issuable at an exercise price of \$0.40 per share; and (iii) 25,000 shares issuable at an exercise price of \$1.65 per share.

(6) Includes 955,110 shares issuance upon exercise of options.

(7) Includes 220,855 shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of 24,294 shares of Series A Convertible Preferred Stock held by Dr. Rosenwald, and 516,885 shares issuable upon the exercise of warrants. Dr. Rosenwald is also the Chairman of Paramount BioCapital, Inc. Dr. Weiser and Messrs. Kazam and Tanen are employed by Paramount BioCapital, Inc. or one of its affiliates.

(8) Mr. Pons is the chief executive officer of Oleoylstrone Developments, SL.

(9) Includes 88,345 shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of 9,718 shares of Series A Convertible Preferred Stock held by Mr. Lobell. Also includes 3,788,441 shares of common stock held by eight separate trusts with respect to which Mr. Lobell is either trustee or manager and in either case has investment and voting power, including 220,855 shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of 24,294 shares of Series A Convertible Preferred Stock.

(10) Based on a Schedule 13G filed January 20, 2004.

## EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION

The following table summarizes outstanding options under our 1995 Stock Option Plan and our 2003 Stock Option Plan, as well as outstanding options that we have issued to certain officers, directors and employees of our company outside of any plan.

PLAN CATEGORY	NUMBER OF SECURITIES TO BE ISSUED UPON EXERCISE OF OUTSTANDING OPTIONS, WARRANTS AND RIGHTS (A)	WEIGHTED AVERAGE EXERCISE PRICE OF OUTSTANDING OPTIONS, WARRANTS AND RIGHTS (B)	NUMBER OF SECURITIES REMAINING AVAILABLE FOR FUTURE ISSUANCE (EXCLUDING SECURITIES REFLECTED IN COLUMN (A)) (C)
Equity compensation plans approved by stockholders (1).....	156,600	\$9.24	142,167
Equity compensation plans not approved by stockholders (2).....	1,236,090	\$0.72	5,400,000

(1) Represents shares of common stock authorized for issuance under the 1995 Stock Option Plan, as amended.

(2) Represent shares of common stock issuable upon outstanding options issued to employees and directors under our 2003 Stock option Plan and outside of any stock option plan. With respect to the 2003 Stock Option Plan, 5,400,000 shares remain available for issuance.

## **ITEM 12. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS**

### **OLEOYLESTRONE DEVELOPMENTS, SL**

Pursuant to the terms of a license agreement dated February 15, 2002 by and between Manhattan Research Development, Inc., our wholly owned subsidiary, and Oleoylestrone Developments, SL ("OED"), we have an exclusive, worldwide license to U.S. and foreign patents and patent applications relating to certain technologies. Although we are not obligated to pay royalties to OED, the license agreement requires us to make certain performance-based milestone payments. See "Item 1 - Intellectual Property." OED currently owns approximately 16 percent of our outstanding common stock. Additionally, Mr. Pons, a member of our board of directors, is chief executive officer of OED.

### **NOVADEL PHARMA INC.**

As discussed above, pursuant to the terms of a license agreement dated April 4, 2003 by and between us and NovaDel Pharma Inc., we have the rights to develop NovaDel's proprietary lingual spray technology to deliver propofol for preprocedural sedation. The license agreement with NovaDel requires us to make certain license and milestone payments, as well as pay royalties. See "Item 1. Business - Lingual Spray Propofol." During 2003, we paid aggregate license fees of \$500,000 to NovaDel under the license agreement. Lindsay A. Rosenwald, who beneficially owns more than 10 percent of our common stock, also beneficially owns in excess of 20 percent of the common stock of NovaDel and may therefore be deemed to be an affiliate of that company.

### **PARAMOUNT BIOCAPITAL, INC.**

Three members of our board of directors, Joshua Kazam, David Tanen and Michael Weiser, are also employees of Paramount BioCapital, Inc. or one of its affiliates. The sole shareholder of Paramount BioCapital, Inc. is Lindsay A. Rosenwald, M.D. Dr. Rosenwald beneficially owns approximately 11 percent of our common stock. In November 2003, we paid to Paramount BioCapital approximately \$460,000 as commissions earned in consideration for placement agent services rendered in connection with the private placement of our Series A Convertible Preferred Stock, which amount represented 7 percent of the shares sold by Paramount BioCapital in the offering. In connection with the November 2003 private placement, we did not engage Paramount directly, last neither Paramount was engaged as a Sub-agent of the Maxim Group, the broker-dealer we engaged for the offering. In addition, in January 2004, we paid approximately \$260,000 as commissions earned in consideration for placement agent services rendered by Paramount BioCapital in connection with a private placement of our common stock, which amount represented 7 percent of the shares sold by Paramount BioCapital in the private placement. The engagement of Paramount in connection with the January 2004 private placement was approved by all of disinterested directors. In connection with both private placements and as a result of their employment with Paramount BioCapital, Mr. Kazam and Dr. Weiser were allocated 5-year placement agent warrants to purchase 60,174 and 103,655 shares of our common stock, respectively, at a price of \$1.10 per share.

We believe that all the transactions described above were made on terms no less favorable to us than could have been obtained from unaffiliated third parties.

## ITEM 13. EXHIBITS LIST AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K

### EXHIBITS

The following documents are included or referenced in this report.

Exhibit No. -----	Description -----
2.1	Agreement and Plan of Merger among the Company, Manhattan Pharmaceuticals Acquisition Corp. and Manhattan Research Development, Inc. (formerly Manhattan Pharmaceuticals, Inc.) dated December 17, 2002 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 from Form 8-K filed March 5, 2003).
3.1	Certificate of incorporation, as amended through September 25, 2003 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant's Form 10-QSB for the quarter ended September 30, 2003).
3.2	Bylaws, as amended to date (incorporated by reference from Registrant's registration statement on Form SB-2, as amended (File No. 33-98478)).
3.3	Certificate of Designations of Series A Convertible Preferred Stock (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.3 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form SB-2 filed January 13, 2004 (File No. 333-111897)).
4.1	Form of unit certificate (incorporated by reference from Registrant's registration statement on Form SB-2, as amended (File No. 33-98478)).
4.2	Specimen common stock certificate (incorporated by reference from Registrant's registration statement on Form SB-2, as amended (File No. 33-98478)).
4.3	Form of redeemable warrant certificate (incorporated by reference from Registrant's registration statement on Form SB-2, as amended (File No. 33-98478)).
4.4	Form of redeemable warrant agreement between the Registrant and Continental Stock Transfer & Trust Company (incorporated by reference from Registrant's registration statement on Form SB-2, as amended (File No. 33-98478)).
4.5	Form of underwriter's warrant certificate (incorporated by reference from Registrant's registration statement on Form SB-2, as amended (File No. 33-98478)).
4.6	Form of underwriter's warrant agreement between the Registrant and Joseph Stevens & Company, L.P. (incorporated by reference from Registrant's registration statement on Form SB-2, as amended (File No. 33-98478)).
4.7	Form of bridge warrant (incorporated by reference from Registrant's registration statement on Form SB-2, as amended (File No. 33-98478)).
4.8	Warrant issued to John Prendergast to purchase 37,500 shares of Registrant's common stock (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.24 to the Registrant's Form 10-QSB for the quarter ended March 31, 1997).

- 4.9 Warrant No. 1 issued to Joseph Stevens & Company, Inc. to purchase 150,000 shares of Registrant's Common Stock exercisable January 4, 2000 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.28 to the Registrant's Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 1999).
- 4.10 Warrant No. 2 issued to Joseph Stevens & Company, Inc. to purchase 150,000 shares of Registrant's Common Stock exercisable January 4, 2001 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.29 to the Registrant's Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 1999).
- 4.11 Warrant No. 3 issued to Joseph Stevens & Company, Inc. to purchase 150,000 shares of Registrant's Common Stock exercisable January 4, 2002 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.30 to the Registrant's Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 1999).
- 4.12 Form of stock purchase warrants issued on September 28, 2000 to BH Capital Investments, L.P., exercisable for shares of common stock of the Registrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to the Registrant's Form 10-QSB for the quarter ended September 30, 2000).
- 4.13 Form of stock purchase warrants issued on September 28, 2000 to Excalibur Limited Partnership, exercisable for shares of common stock of the Registrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to the Registrant's Form 10-QSB for the quarter ended September 30, 2000).
- 4.14 Warrant certificate issued March 8, 2001 by the Registrant to Dian Griesel (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.56 to the Registrant's Form 10-QSB for the quarter ended March 31, 2001).
- 4.15 Form of warrant issued by Manhattan Research Development, Inc., which automatically converted into warrants to purchase shares of the Registrant's common stock upon the merger transaction with such company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant's Form 10-QSB for the quarter ended March 31, 2003).
- 4.16 Form of warrant issued to placement agents in connection with the Registrant's November 2003 private placement of Series A Convertible Preferred Stock and the Registrant's January 2004 private placement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.18 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form SB-2 filed January 13, 2004 (File No. 333-111897)).
- 10.1 1995 Stock Option Plan, as amended (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.18 to the Registrant's Form 10-QSB for the quarter ended September 30, 1996).
- 10.2 Common stock purchase agreement dated March 16, 2001, between Registrant and Fusion Capital Fund II, LLC (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.55 of the Registrant's Form 10-QSB for the quarter ended March 31, 2001).
- 10.3 Common stock purchase agreement dated as of May 7, 2001, between Registrant and Fusion Capital Fund II, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.57 of Amendment No. 1 to the Registrant's registration statement on Form SB-2/A filed June 29, 2001 (File 333-61974)).

- 10.4 Form of registration rights agreement between Registrant and Fusion Capital Fund II, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.58 of Amendment No. 1 to the Registrant's registration statement on Form SB-2/A filed June 29, 2001 (File 333-61974)).
- 10.5 Third Amendment to Employment Agreement dated February 21, 2003 between the Registrant and Nicholas J. Rossettos (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Registrant's Form 10-QSB for the quarter ended March 31, 2003).
- 10.6 Employment Agreement dated January 2, 2003, between Manhattan Research Development, Inc. and Leonard Firestone, as assigned to the Registrant effective as of February 21, 2003 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Registrant's Form 10-QSB for the quarter ended March 31, 2003).
- 10.7 Employment Agreement dated February 28, 2003, between the Registrant and Nicholas J. Rossettos (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Registrant's Form 10-QSB for the quarter ended March 31, 2003).
- 10.8 License Agreement dated on or about February 28, 2002 between Manhattan Research Development, Inc. (f/k/a Manhattan Pharmaceuticals, Inc.) and Oleoyl-Estrone Developments SL (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to the Registrant's Amendment No. 2 to Form 10-QSB/A for the quarter ended March 31, 2003 filed on March 12, 2004).
- 10.9 License Agreement dated April 4, 2003 between the Registrant and NovaDel Pharma, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Amendment No. 1 to Form 10-QSB/A for the quarter ended June 30, 2003 filed on March 12, 2004).++
- 10.10 Employment Agreement dated January 2, 2004 between the Registrant and Leonard Firestone (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form SB-2 filed January 13, 2004 (No. 333-111897)).
- 10.11 2003 Stock Option Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-8 filed February 17, 2004).
- 16.1 Letter of KPMG LLP (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99 filed with the Registrant's Form 8-K filed on December 12, 2002).
- 23.1 Consent of J.H. Cohn LLP(Previously filed).
- 23.2 Consent of Weinberg & Company, P.A.(Previously filed).
- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer.
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer.
- 32.1 Certifications of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

-----

++ Confidential treatment has been requested as to certain portions of this exhibit pursuant to Rule 24b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

## **REPORTS ON FORM 8-K**

On November 14, 2003, we filed a Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 7, 2003 disclosing under Item 5 thereof the completion of the private placement of 1,000,000 shares of our Series A Convertible Preferred Stock.

**ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES.**

**FEES BILLED TO THE COMPANY BY ITS INDEPENDENT AUDITORS**

The following is a summary of the fees billed to us by J.H. Cohn LLP for professional services rendered for fiscal year ended December 31, 2003 and by Weinberg & Company, P.A., formerly independent auditors of Manhattan Research Development, Inc., for professional services rendered during the fiscal years ended December 31, 2002 and December 31, 2003:

FEE CATEGORY	J.H. COHN LLP	WEINBERG & COMPANY, P.A.	
	FISCAL 2003 FEES	FISCAL 2003 FEES	FISCAL 2002 FEES
Audit Fees.....	\$ 88,400	\$ --	\$ --
Audit-Related Fees (1).....	8,800	4,100	1,425
Tax Fees (2).....	5,400	--	--
All Other Fees (3).....	--	--	--
Total Fees.....	\$102,600	4,100	1,425

(1) Audit-Related Fees consist principally of assurance and related services that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of the Company's financial statements but not reported under the caption "Audit Fees." These fees include review of registration statements and participation at board of director and audit committee meetings.

(2) Tax Fees consist of fees for tax compliance, tax advice and tax planning.

(3) All Other Fees in consist of aggregate fees billed for products and services provided by the independent auditor, other than those disclosed above. These fees include services related to certain accounting research and assistance with a regulatory matter.

**POLICY ON AUDIT COMMITTEE PRE-APPROVAL OF AUDIT AND PERMISSIBLE NON-AUDIT SERVICES OF INDEPENDENT AUDITORS**

At present, our audit committee approves each engagement for audit or non-audit services before we engage our independent auditor to provide those services. Our audit committee has not established any pre-approval policies or procedures that would allow our management to engage our independent auditor to provide any specified services with only an obligation to notify the audit committee of the engagement for those services. None of the services provided by our independent auditors for fiscal 2003 was obtained in reliance on the waiver of the pre-approval requirement afforded in SEC regulations.

## SIGNATURES

In accordance with Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act, Manhattan Pharmaceuticals, Inc. has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, on April 2, 2004.

### Manhattan Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

By: /s/Leonard Firestone

-----  
Leonard Firestone  
President and Chief Executive Officer

In accordance with the Securities Exchange Act, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of Manhattan Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

SIGNATURE -----	TITLE -----	DATE ----
/s/ Leonard Firestone ----- Leonard Firestone	President, Chief Executive Officer and Director (principal executive officer)	April 2, 2004
/s/ Nicholas J. Rossettos ----- Nicholas J. Rossettos	Treasurer, Secretary and Chief Financial Officer (principal accounting and financial officer)	April 2, 2004
/s/ Joshua Kazam ----- Joshua Kazam	Director	April 2, 2004
/s/ Joan Pons ----- Joan Pons	Director	April 2, 2004
/s/ David M. Tanen ----- David M. Tanen	Director	April 2, 2004
/s/ Michael Weiser ----- Michael Weiser	Director	April 2, 2004

# INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	Page
	----
Report of J.H. Cohn LLP.....	F-2
Report of Weinberg & Company, P.A.....	F-3
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2003 and 2002.....	F-4
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2003 and 2002 and the cumulative period from August 6, 2001 (inception) to December 31, 2003.....	F-5
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity (Deficiency) for the Years Ended December 31, 2003 and 2002 and the cumulative period from August 6, 2001 (inception) to December 31, 2003.....	F-6
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2003 and 2002 and the cumulative period from August 6, 2001 (inception) to December 31, 2003.....	F-8
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.....	F-9

## REPORT OF INDEPENDENT PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders Manhattan Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Manhattan Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Subsidiaries (a development stage company) as of December 31, 2003 and 2002, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity and cash flows for the years then ended and for the period from August 6, 2001 (date of inception) to December 31, 2003. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits. The consolidated financial statements of Manhattan Pharmaceuticals, Inc. for the period from August 6, 2001 to December 31, 2001 were audited by other auditors whose report dated November 1, 2002, expressed an unqualified opinion on those statements with an explanatory paragraph relating to the Company's ability to continue as a going concern.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, based on our audits and, for the period from August 6, 2001 to December 31, 2001, on the report of the other auditor, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Manhattan Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Subsidiaries as of December 31, 2003 and 2002, and their consolidated results of operations and cash flows for the years then ended and for the period from August 6, 2001 (date of inception) to December 31, 2003, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

*/s/ J.H. Cohn LLP*

-----  
*Roseland, New Jersey*  
*February 14, 2004*

## INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of Manhattan Pharmaceuticals, Inc.:

We have audited the balance sheet of Manhattan Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (a development stage company) (the "Company") as of December 31, 2001 and the related statements of operations, changes in stockholders' deficiency and cash flows for the period from August 6, 2001 (date of inception) to December 31, 2001. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly in all material respects, the financial position of Manhattan Pharmaceuticals, Inc. as of December 31, 2001, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the period from August 6, 2001 (date of inception) to December 31, 2001, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. The Company has a net loss from operations of \$56,796 since inception, a negative cash flow from operations of \$27,500 since inception, and a working capital and stockholders' deficiency of \$56,796 as of December 31, 2001. These matters raise substantial doubt about its ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plan in regards to these matters is described in the Notes. These financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

*/s/WEINBERG & COMPANY, P.A.*  
-----

*Boca Raton, Florida  
November 1, 2002*

**MANHATTAN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
(A Development Stage Company)

**Consolidated Balance Sheets**

	AS OF DECEMBER 31,	
ASSETS	2003	2002
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 7,413,803	\$ 1,721,123
Marketable equity securities, available for sale, at market	352,147	
Prepaid expenses	24,981	--
Total current assets	7,790,931	1,721,123
Property and equipment, net	8,021	--
Total assets	\$ 7,798,952	\$ 1,721,123
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 548,595	\$ 164,899
Accrued expenses	417,425	15,973
Note payable to bank	--	600,000
Notes payable to stockholder	--	206,000
Due affiliate	--	96,328
Total liabilities	966,020	1,083,200
Commitments and Contingencies		
Stockholders' equity:		
Series A convertible preferred stock, \$.001 par value Authorized 10,000,000 shares; 1,000,000 and 0 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2003 and December 31, 2002, respectively (liquidation preference aggregating \$10,000,000 and \$0 at December 31, 2003 and December 31, 2002, respectively)	1,000	--
Common stock, \$.001 par value. Authorized 150,000,000 shares; 23,362,396 and 15,753,008 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2003 and December 31, 2002, respectively	23,362	15,753
Additional paid-in capital	14,289,535	1,754,154
Deficit accumulated during development stage	(7,473,205)	(1,094,116)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(7,760)	--
Unearned consulting costs	--	(37,868)
Total stockholders' equity	6,832,932	637,923
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 7,798,952	\$ 1,721,123

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

**MANHATTAN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
(A Development Stage Company)

**Consolidated Statements of Operations**

PERIOD FROM	YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31,		CUMULATIVE
	2003	2002	AUGUST 6, 2001 (INCEPTION) TO DECEMBER 31, 2003
	-----	-----	-----
Revenue	\$ --	\$ --	\$ --
Costs and expenses:			
Research and development	1,724,043	700,798	2,449,440
General and administrative	1,786,080	317,384	2,135,661
Impairment of intangible assets	1,248,230	--	1,248,230
Total operating expenses	4,758,353	1,018,182	5,833,331
Operating loss	(4,758,353)	(1,018,182)	(5,833,331)
Other (income) expense:			
Interest and other income	(16,079)	--	(16,079)
Interest expense	4,755	19,138	23,893
Loss on disposition of intangible assets	1,213,878	--	1,213,878
Total other (income) expense	1,202,554	19,138	1,221,692
Net loss	(5,960,907)	(1,037,320)	(7,055,023)
Imputed preferred stock dividend	(418,182)	--	(418,182)
Net loss applicable to common shares	\$ (6,379,089)	\$ (1,037,320)	\$ (7,473,205)
Net loss per common share:			
Basic and diluted	\$ (0.28)	\$ (0.08)	
Weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding:			
Basic and diluted	22,389,755	12,514,391	

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

**MANHATTAN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
(A Development Stage Company)

**Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Equity (Deficiency)**  
(As Adjusted for a 1-for-5 Stock Combination)

	SERIES A CONVERTIBLE PREFERRED STOCK		COMMON STOCK		ADDITIONAL PAID-IN CAPITAL	SUBSCRIPTION RECEIVABLE
	SHARES	AMOUNT	SHARES	AMOUNT		
Stock issued at \$0.0004 per share for subscription receivable	--	\$ --	10,167,741	\$ 10,168	\$ (6,168)	\$ (4,000)
Net loss	--	--	--	--	--	--
Balance at December 31, 2001	--	--	10,167,741	10,168	(6,168)	(4,000)
Proceeds from subscription receivable	--	--	--	--	--	4,000
Stock issued at \$0.0004 per share for license rights	--	--	2,541,935	2,542	(1,542)	--
Stock options issued for consulting services	--	--	--	--	60,589	--
Amortization of unearned consulting services	--	--	--	--	--	--
Sales of common stock at \$0.63 per share through private placement, net of expenses	--	--	3,043,332	3,043	1,701,275	--
Net loss	--	--	--	--	--	--
Balance at December 31, 2002	--	--	15,753,008	15,753	1,754,154	--
Common stock issued at \$0.63 per share net of expenses	--	--	1,321,806	1,322	742,369	--
Effect of reverse acquisition	--	--	6,287,582	6,287	2,329,954	--
Amortization of unearned consulting costs	--	--	--	--	--	--
Unrealized loss on marketable equity securities	--	--	--	--	--	--
Payment for fractional shares for stock combination	--	--	--	--	(300)	--
Preferred stock issued at \$10 per share net of expenses	1,000,000	1,000	--	--	9,045,176	--
Imputed preferred stock dividend	--	--	--	--	418,182	--
Net loss	--	--	--	--	--	--
Balance at December 31, 2003	1,000,000	\$ 1,000	23,362,396	\$ 23,362	\$ 14,289,535	--

	DEFICIT ACCUMULATED DURING THE DEVELOPMENT STAGE	ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE LOSS	UNEARNED CONSULTING COSTS	TOTAL STOCK- HOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIENCY)
Stock issued at \$0.0004 per share for subscription receivable	\$ --	\$ --	\$ --	\$ --
Net loss	(56,796)	--	--	(56,796)
Balance at December 31, 2001	(56,796)	--	--	(56,796)
Proceeds from subscription receivable	--	--	--	4,000
Stock issued at \$0.0004 per share for license rights	--	--	--	1,000
Stock options issued for consulting services	--	--	(60,589)	--
Amortization of unearned consulting services	--	--	22,721	22,721
Sales of common stock at \$0.63 per share through private placement, net of expenses	--	--	--	1,704,318
Net loss	(1,037,320)	--	--	(1,037,320)
Balance at December 31, 2002	(1,094,116)	--	(37,868)	637,923
Common stock issued at \$0.63 per share net of expenses	--	--	--	743,691
Effect of reverse acquisition	--	--	--	2,336,241
Amortization of unearned consulting costs	--	--	37,868	37,868
Unrealized loss on marketable equity securities	--	(7,760)	--	(7,760)
Payment for fractional shares for stock combination	--	--	--	(300)
Preferred stock issued at \$10 per share net of expenses	--	--	--	9,046,176
Imputed preferred stock dividend	(418,182)	--	--	--
Net loss	(5,960,907)	--	--	(5,960,907)
Balance at December 31, 2003	\$ (7,473,205)	\$ (7,760)	\$ --	\$ 6,832,932

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.



**MANHATTAN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
(A Development Stage Company)

**Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows**

PERIOD FROM	YEARS DECEMBER 31,		CUMULATIVE
	----- 2003	----- 2002	AUGUST 1, 2001 (INCEPTION) TO DECEMBER 31, 2003 -----
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net loss	\$(5,960,907)	\$(1,037,320)	\$ (7,055,023)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:			
Common stock issued for license rights	--	1,000	1,000
Amortization of unearned consulting costs	37,868	22,721	60,589
Amortization of intangible assets	145,162	--	145,162
Depreciation	6,216	--	6,216
Loss on impairment of intangible assets	1,248,230	--	1,248,230
Loss on disposition of intangible assets	1,213,878	--	1,213,878
Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of acquisition:			
Decrease in prepaid expenses and deposits	33,264	--	33,264
Increase in accounts payable	59,961	164,899	224,860
Decrease in accrued expenses	(138,869)	(13,323)	(122,896)
(Decrease) increase in due affiliate	(96,328)	96,328	--
Net cash used in operating activities	----- (3,451,525)	----- (765,695)	----- (4,244,720)
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Purchase of property and equipment	(6,554)	--	(6,554)
Cash paid in connection with acquisition	(32,808)	--	(32,808)
Proceeds from sale of license	200,000	--	200,000
Net cash provided by investing activities	----- 160,638	----- --	----- 160,638
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Proceeds from issuances of notes payable to stockholders	--	206,000	233,500
Repayments of notes payable to stockholders	(206,000)	(27,500)	(233,500)
Proceeds from issuance of note payable to bank	--	600,000	600,000
Repayment of note payable to bank	(600,000)	--	(600,000)
Proceeds from subscriptions receivable	--	4,000	4,000
Payment for fractional shares for stock combination	(300)	--	(300)
Proceeds from sale of common stock, net	743,691	1,704,318	2,448,009
Proceeds from sale of preferred stock, net	9,046,176	--	9,046,176
Net cash provided by financing activities	----- 8,983,567	----- 2,486,818	----- 11,497,885
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	5,692,680	1,721,123	7,413,803
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	1,721,123	--	--
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 7,413,803 =====	\$ 1,721,123 =====	\$ 7,413,803 =====
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:			
Interest paid	\$ 502 =====	\$ 15,665 =====	\$ 26,934 =====
Supplemental disclosure of noncash investing and financing activities:			
Stock options issued for consulting services			
Issuance of common stock for acquisition	\$ --	\$ 60,589	\$ 60,589
Marketable equity securities received in connection with sale of license	2,336,241 359,907 =====	-- -- =====	2,336,241 359,907 =====

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

**MANHATTAN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.**  
(A Development Stage Company)

**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**

**December 31, 2003 and 2002**

(1) MERGER AND NATURE OF OPERATIONS

On February 21, 2003, the Company (formerly known as "Atlantic Technology Ventures, Inc.") completed a reverse acquisition of privately held Manhattan Research Development, Inc. (formerly Manhattan Pharmaceuticals, Inc.), a Delaware corporation. The merger was effected pursuant to an Agreement and Plan of Merger dated December 17, 2002 (the "Merger Agreement") by and among the Company, Manhattan Research and Manhattan Pharmaceuticals Acquisition Corp, the Company's wholly owned subsidiary ("MPAC"). In accordance with the terms of the Merger Agreement, MPAC merged with and into Manhattan Research, with Manhattan Research remaining as the surviving corporation and a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company. Pursuant to the Merger Agreement, upon the effective time of the merger, the outstanding shares of common stock of Manhattan Research automatically converted into an aggregate of 18,689,917 shares of the Company's common stock, which represented 80 percent of the Company's outstanding voting stock after giving effect to the merger. In addition, immediately prior to the merger Manhattan Research had outstanding options and warrants to purchase an aggregate of 172,856 shares of its common stock, which, in accordance with the terms of the merger, automatically converted into options and warrants to purchase an aggregate of 2,196,944 shares of the Company's common stock. Since the stockholders of Manhattan Research received the majority of the voting shares of the Company, the merger was accounted for as a reverse acquisition whereby Manhattan Research was the accounting acquirer (legal acquiree) and the Company was the accounting acquiree (legal acquirer). Based on the five-day average price of the Company's common stock of \$0.50 per share, the purchase price approximated \$2,336,000 (\$3,167,178 including net liabilities assumed) which represents 20 percent of the market value of the combined Company's post-merger total outstanding shares of 23,362,396. In connection with the merger, the Company changed its name from "Atlantic Technology Ventures, Inc." to "Manhattan Pharmaceuticals, Inc." At the time of the merger, Manhattan Research recognized patents and licenses for substantially all of the purchase price. A purchase price allocation was completed in the third quarter of 2003 and did not result in changes to the initial estimate. As a result of acquiring Manhattan Research, the Company received new technologies.

A summary of the purchase price allocation is as follows:

**MANHATTAN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.**  
(A Development Stage Company)

**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**

Common stock issued	\$ 2,336,241
Acquisition costs paid	32,808
	-----
Total purchase price	2,369,049
Net liabilities assumed in acquisition	798,129
	-----
Excess purchase price (allocated to intangible assets)	\$ 3,167,178
	=====
Assets purchased:	
Prepaid expenses	\$ 38,307
Property and equipment	7,683
Deposits	19,938
	-----
	65,928
	-----
Liabilities assumed:	
Accounts payable	323,735
Accrued expenses	540,322
	-----
	864,057
	-----
Net liabilities assumed	\$ (798,129)
	=====

The following unaudited pro forma financial information presents the combined results of operations of Manhattan Pharmaceuticals and Manhattan Research as if the acquisition had occurred as of January 1, 2003 and 2002, after giving effect to certain adjustments, including the issuance of Manhattan Pharmaceuticals common stock as part of the purchase price. For the purpose of this pro forma presentation, both Manhattan Pharmaceuticals' and Manhattan Research's financial information is presented for the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively. The unaudited pro forma condensed consolidated financial information does not necessarily reflect the results of operations that would have occurred had Manhattan Pharmaceuticals and Manhattan Research been a single entity during such periods.

**MANHATTAN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.**  
(A Development Stage Company)

**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**

**December 31, 2003 and 2002**

	Year ended December 31, 2003	Year ended December 31, 2002
Revenues	\$ --	\$ --
Net loss	\$ (6,160,455)	\$ (2,966,731)
Weighted-average shares of common stock outstanding: Basic and diluted	23,362,396	20,123,779
Basic and diluted net loss per common share	\$ (0.26)	\$ (0.15)

On August 22, 2003, the Company sold all if its remaining rights to its CT-3 technology to Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc. ("Indevus"), the Company's licensee, for aggregate consideration of approximately \$559,000. The purchase price was paid through a combination of cash and shares of Indevus' common stock. On the same date, the Company settled its arbitration with Dr. Sumner Burstein, the inventor of the CT-3 technology, which includes a complete mutual release from all claims that either party had against the other. As a result of the sale of the Company's rights to the CT-3 technology to Indevus, the Company recorded a one-time charge of \$1,213,878 in 2003.

In addition, on August 8, 2003, Bausch & Lomb informed the Company that it had elected not to pursue its development of the Avantix technology, effective August 11, 2003. According to the terms of the Company's agreement with Bausch & Lomb, the Company may re-acquire the technology from Bausch & Lomb and sell or re-license the technology to a third party. The price to re-acquire the technology from Bausch & Lomb is 50% of the proceeds from a third party sale to a maximum of \$3,000,000. The Company has no further obligation under the agreement. As a result of Bausch & Lomb's decision not to develop the Avantix technology, the Company recorded a one-time charge of \$1,248,230 in 2003 for the impairment of the related intangible asset.

As a result of the events discussed in the two preceding paragraphs, as of December 31, 2003, all intangible assets were eliminated from the Company's consolidated financial statements and amortization of such intangible assets ceased.

As described above, the Company resulted from the February 21, 2003 reverse merger between Atlantic Technology Ventures, Inc., which was incorporated on May 18, 1993, and privately-held Manhattan Research Development, Inc., incorporated on August 6, 2001. The Company was incorporated in the State of Delaware. In connection with the merger, the former stockholders of Manhattan Research received a number of shares of Atlantic's common stock so that following the merger they collectively owned 80 percent of the outstanding shares. Upon completion of the merger, Atlantic changed its name to Manhattan Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and thereafter adopted the business of Manhattan Research Development.

The Company is a development stage biopharmaceutical company that holds an exclusive world-wide, royalty-free license to certain intellectual property related to oleoyl-estrone, which is owned by Oleoyl-Estrone Developments, SL ("OED") of Barcelona, Spain. Oleoyl-estrone is an orally administered small molecule that has been shown to cause significant weight loss in pre-clinical animal studies regardless of dietary modifications. The Company also holds the

**MANHATTAN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.**  
(A Development Stage Company)

**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**

**December 31, 2003 and 2002**

worldwide, exclusive rights to proprietary lingual spray technology to deliver the drug propofol for procedural sedation prior to diagnostic, therapeutic or endoscopic procedures.

**(2) LIQUIDITY AND BASIS OF PRESENTATION**

**LIQUIDITY**

The Company has reported a net loss of \$1,037,320 for the year ended December 31, 2002 and a net loss of \$5,960,907 for the year ended December 31, 2003. The net loss from date of inception, August 6, 2001, to December 31, 2003 amounts to \$7,055,023.

As discussed above, on February 21, 2003 the Company completed a reverse acquisition of privately held Manhattan Research Development, Inc. Management believes that the Company will continue to incur net losses through at least December 31, 2004. Based on the resources of the Company available at December 31, 2003, management believes that the Company will need additional equity or debt financing or will need to generate revenues during 2005 through licensing its products or entering into strategic alliances to be able to sustain its operations through 2005 until it can achieve profitability, if ever.

The Company's continued operations will depend on its ability to raise additional funds through various potential sources such as equity and debt financing, collaborative agreements, strategic alliances and its ability to realize the full potential of its technology in development. Additional funds may not become available on acceptable terms, and there can be no assurance that any additional funding that the Company does obtain will be sufficient to meet the Company's needs in the long term. Through December 31, 2003, a significant portion of the Company's financing has been through private placements of common and preferred stock and debt financing. Until and unless the Company's operations generate significant revenues, the Company will attempt to continue to fund operations from cash on hand and through the sources of capital previously described.

As described in Note 5, on November 7, 2003, the Company completed a private placement of 1,000,000 shares of its newly-designated Series A Convertible Preferred Stock at a price of \$10 per share, resulting in gross proceeds to the Company of \$10,000,000 (net proceeds \$9,046,176). Each share of Series A Convertible Preferred Stock is convertible at the holder's election into shares of the Company's common stock at a conversion price of \$1.10 per share. The conversion price of the Series A Convertible Preferred Stock was less than the market value of the Company's common stock on November 7, 2003. Accordingly, the Company recorded a charge for the beneficial conversion feature associated with the convertible preferred stock of \$418,182.

Under an equity-line-of-credit arrangement, Fusion Capital has committed to purchasing \$6,000,000 of the Company's common stock. The Company's stock price is currently below the \$3.40 minimum required in order for it to be able to sell shares of its common stock to Fusion, but if in the future its stock price exceeds this minimum, the Company may elect to sell shares of its common stock to Fusion under the equity-line-of-credit arrangement. In addition, in November 2001, Fusion Capital waived the \$3.40 minimum and purchased from the Company under the equity-line-of-credit arrangement 83,333 shares of its common stock at a price per share of \$1.20, representing an aggregate purchase price of

**MANHATTAN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.**  
(A Development Stage Company)

**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**

**December 31, 2003 and 2002**

\$100,000. Fusion Capital again waived the \$3.40 minimum in May 2002 and purchased 2,000 shares of common stock for an aggregate purchase price of \$1,667.

The purchase price for the common stock to be issued to Fusion Capital under the Company's equity-line-of-credit arrangement with Fusion Capital will fluctuate based on the closing price of the Company's common stock. Fusion Capital may at any time sell none, some or all of the shares of common stock purchased from the Company. Depending upon market liquidity at the time, sale by Fusion of shares the Company issues to them could cause the trading price of the Company's common stock to decline. Sale of a substantial number of shares of the Company's common stock by Fusion, or anticipation of such sales, could make it more difficult for the Company to sell equity or equity related securities in the future at a time and at a price that it might otherwise wish to effect sales. The Company currently has no plans to seek financing under this arrangement.

On July 25, 2003, the Board of Directors adopted a resolution authorizing an amendment to the certificate of incorporation providing for a 1-for-5 combination. A resolution approving the 1-for-5 combination was thereafter consented to in writing by holders of a majority of the Company's outstanding common stock. The proposed 1-for-5 combination became effective on September 25, 2003. Accordingly, all share and per share information in these consolidated financial statements has been restated to retroactively reflect the 1-for-5 combination.

**BASIS OF PRESENTATION**

The consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 7, "Accounting and Reporting by Development Stage Enterprises."

**(3) SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

**USE OF ESTIMATES**

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect certain reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

**RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT**

All research and development costs are expensed as incurred and include costs of consultants who conduct research and development on behalf of the Company and its subsidiaries. Costs related to the acquisition of technology rights and patents for which development work is still in process are expensed as incurred and considered a component of research and development costs.

**MANHATTAN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.**  
(A Development Stage Company)

**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**

**December 31, 2003 and 2002**

**INCOME TAXES**

Income taxes are accounted for under the asset and liability method. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to temporary differences between financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities, and their respective tax bases and operating loss and tax credit carryforwards. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date.

**COMPUTATION OF NET LOSS PER COMMON SHARE**

Basic net loss per common share is calculated by dividing net loss applicable to common shares by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted net loss per common share is the same as basic net loss per common share, since potentially dilutive securities from stock options, stock warrants and convertible preferred stock would have an antidilutive effect because the Company incurred a net loss during each period presented. The amounts of potentially dilutive securities excluded from the calculation were 15,420,033 and 3,541,197 in 2003 and 2002 respectively.

**STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION**

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation ("SFAS 123"), provides for the use of a fair value based method of accounting for employee stock compensation. However, SFAS 123 also allows an entity to continue to measure compensation cost for stock options granted to employees using the intrinsic value method of accounting prescribed by Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees ("APB 25"), which only requires charges to compensation expense for the excess, if any, of the fair value of the underlying stock at the date a stock option is granted (or at an appropriate subsequent measurement date) over the amount the employee must pay to acquire the stock, if such amounts differ materially from historical amounts. The Company has elected to continue to account for employee stock options using the intrinsic value method under APB 25. By making that election, it is required by SFAS 123 and SFAS 148, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation - Transition and Disclosure" to provide pro forma disclosures of net income (loss) and earnings (loss) per share as if a fair value based method of accounting had been applied.

Had compensation costs been determined in accordance with the fair value method prescribed by SFAS No. 123 for all options issued to employees and amortized over the vesting period, the Company's net loss applicable to common shares and net loss per common share (basic and diluted) for plan options would have been increased to the pro forma amounts indicated below.

**MANHATTAN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.**  
(A Development Stage Company)

**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**

**December 31, 2003 and 2002**

	2003	2002
	-----	-----
Net loss per common share, as reported	\$(5,960,907)	\$(1,037,320)
Deduct: Total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under fair value method	(302,974)	(603,259)
	-----	-----
Net loss per common share, pro forma	\$(6,263,881)	\$(1,640,579)
	=====	=====
Net loss per common share - basic		
As reported	\$ (0.28)	\$ (0.08)
Pro forma	(0.28)	(0.13)

The fair value of each option granted is estimated on the date of the grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following assumptions used for the grants in 2003 and 2002: dividend yield of 0%; expected volatility of 82% for 2003 and 147% for 2002; risk-free interest rate of 3.2% for 2003 and 4.0% for 2002; and expected lives of eight years for each year presented.

**FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS**

At December 31, 2003 and 2002, the fair values of cash and cash equivalents, prepaid expenses, accounts payable and accrued expenses approximate carrying values due to the short-term nature of these instruments.

**MARKETABLE SECURITIES**

Marketable equity securities are carried at market value since they are considered available-for-sale. The following is a summary of the Company's marketable equity securities:

	Cost	Unrealized Holding loss	Fair value
	-----	-----	-----
Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc. common stock	\$ 359,907	\$ (7,760)	\$ 352,147
	=====	=====	=====

Unrealized loss (and gain, if any) is excluded from operations and included in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). The Company's comprehensive loss for 2003 was \$5,968,667.

**(4) PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT**

Property and equipment consists of the following at December 31:

**MANHATTAN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.**  
(A Development Stage Company)

**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**

**December 31, 2003 and 2002**

	2003	2002
Property and equipment	\$ 27,054	--
Less accumulated depreciation	(19,033)	--
Net property and equipment	\$ 8,021	--

**(5) STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY**

**COMMON STOCK**

The Company issued 10,167,740 shares of common stock to investors during December 2001 for subscriptions receivable of \$4,000 or \$0.0004 per share. During 2002, the Company received the \$4,000.

In August 2002, the Company entered into one-year agreements with four consultants and issued options to these consultants to purchase 101,678 shares of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of \$.0039 per share expiring in August 2007. The Company valued these options at \$60,589, using the minimum value method, and is amortizing the expense through August 2003. Therefore, the Company expensed \$22,721 in 2002 and \$37,868 in 2003. During 2002 and 2003 no options were exercised.

During 2002, the Company commenced a private placement and sold 239,450 shares of common stock at \$8 (\$0.63 post merger) per share and received proceeds of \$1,704,318, net of expenses of \$211,281. These shares converted into 3,043,332 shares of the Company's common stock when the Company completed the reverse acquisition of Manhattan Research as described below. In addition, each investor received warrants equal to 10% of the number of shares of common stock purchased and, accordingly, Manhattan Research issued warrants to purchase 23,945 shares of common stock in 2002 in connection with the private placement. Upon the merger, these converted into warrants to purchase approximately 304,000 shares of the Company's common stock. Each warrant had an exercise price of \$8 per share, which post merger converted to approximately \$0.63. These warrants expire in 2007.

During January and February 2003, the Company sold an additional 104,000 shares of common stock at \$8 (\$0.63, post merger) per share and warrants to purchase 10,400 shares of common stock exercisable at \$8 (\$0.63 post merger) through the private placement and received net proceeds of \$743,691. These shares converted into 1,321,806 shares of the Company's common stock when the Company completed its reverse acquisition of Manhattan Research. The warrants to purchase 10,400 shares of common stock converted into warrants to purchase 132,181 common shares of the Company.

In addition, in connection with the private placement, the Company issued to Joseph Stevens & Co., Inc., a NASD-member broker-dealer, warrants to purchase 130,511 shares of its common stock that are exercisable at \$8 (\$0.63 post merger) per share and expire in 2008. Upon the merger, these warrants converted into warrants to purchase 1,658,753 shares of common stock of the Company.

**MANHATTAN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.**  
(A Development Stage Company)

**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**

**December 31, 2003 and 2002**

**SERIES A PREFERRED STOCK**

On November 7, 2003, the Company completed a private placement of 1,000,000 shares of its newly-designated Series A Convertible Preferred Stock at a price of \$10 per share, resulting in gross proceeds to the Company of \$10,000,000 (net proceeds \$9,046,176). Each share of Series A Convertible Preferred Stock is convertible at the holder's election into shares of the company's common stock at a conversion price of \$1.10 per share. The conversion price of the Series A Convertible Preferred Stock was less than the market value of the Company's common stock on November 7, 2003. Accordingly, the Company recorded a charge for the beneficial conversion feature associated with the convertible preferred stock of \$418,182. The Series A Convertible Preferred Stock has a payment-in-kind dividend of 5 percent.

On all matters submitted for stockholder approval, each share of Series A stock is entitled to such number of votes as is equal to the number of common shares into which such preferred shares are then convertible. In addition, so long as at least 50 percent of the number of Series A shares originally issued are outstanding, the affirmative vote of at least two-thirds of all outstanding Series A shares voting separately as a class shall be necessary to permit, effect any one or more of the following:

- o the amendment, alteration or repeal of any provision of our certificate of incorporation or bylaws so as to adversely affect the relative rights and preferences of the Series A stock;
- o the declaration or payment of any dividend or distribution on any securities of the Company other than the Series A stock;
- o the authorization, issuance or increase of any security ranking prior to or on parity with the Series A stock in connection with a dissolution, sale of all or substantially all of our assets or other "Liquidation Event," or with respect to the payment of any dividends or distributions;
- o the approval of any Liquidation Event; and
- o the effect any amendment of our certificate of incorporation or bylaws that would materially adversely affect the rights of the Series A stock.

The proceeds from the private placement will be used to fund clinical and non-clinical research and development, working capital and general corporate purposes. Maxim Group, LLC of New York, together with Paramount Capital, Inc., a related party, acted as the placement agents in connection with the private placement.

**(6) STOCK OPTIONS**

**2003 STOCK OPTION PLAN**

In December 2003 the Company established the 2003 Stock Option Plan (the 2003 Plan), which provides for the granting of up to 5,400,000 options to officers, directors, employees and consultants for the purchase of stock. No grants were made under this plan in 2003.

**1995 STOCK OPTION PLAN**

In July 1995, the Company established the 1995 Stock Option Plan (the 1995 Plan), which provided for the granting of up to 130,000 options to officers, directors, employees and consultants for the purchase of stock. In July 1996, the 1995 Plan was amended to increase the total number of shares authorized for issuance by 60,000 shares to a total of 190,000 shares and beginning with the 1997 calendar year, by an amount equal to one percent (1%) of the shares of common stock outstanding on December 31 of the immediately preceding calendar year. At December 31, 2003 and 2002, 298,767 and 264,770 shares were authorized for issuance. The options have a maximum term of 10 years and vest over a period determined by the Company's Board of Directors (generally 4 years).

During 2002, the Company granted employees and directors an aggregate of 32,000 Plan options . All stock options granted during 2002 and 2001 were granted at the quoted market price on the date of grant.

Also, during 2002, the Company granted to employees an aggregate of 400,000 options outside of the 1995 Plan. Of these options, 95,000 options represent the annual issuance of stock options to employees on terms similar to those of prior year. They vest 25% upon issuance and the remaining options vest in 25% increments on an annual basis. In addition, 190,000 of these options were issued as incentive options and will vest upon the earlier of the achievement of certain milestones by the Company or five years. The remaining 115,000 options were issued and fully vested in March 2002 as part of voluntary revisions to compensation arrangements with certain employees, which principally resulted in the employees deferring a significant portion of their salary. Initially, this deferred salary was payable on the earlier of the Company's discretion, the employee's termination, and, in certain cases, at the conclusion of the employee's contracts and as such the Company continued

to accrue for those

**MANHATTAN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.**  
(A Development Stage Company)

**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**

**December 31, 2003 and 2002**

salary costs. The 400,000 options were granted at the stock price on the date of issuance, and are exercisable for a period of ten years regardless of whether the grantee continues to be employed by the Company.

A summary of the status of the Company's stock options as of December 31, 2003 and 2002 and changes during the years then ended is presented below:

	2003		2002	
	Shares	Weighted average exercise price	Shares	Weighted average exercise price
Outstanding at beginning of year	689,840	\$ 5.00	262,640	\$ 12.00
Granted	876,490	0.40	432,000	1.20
Cancelled	(173,640)	8.43	(4,800)	47.50
Outstanding at end of year	1,392,690	\$ 1.68	689,840	\$ 5.00
Options exercisable at year-end	398,617		426,673	
Weighted-average fair value of options granted during the year	\$ 0.06		\$ 0.05	

**MANHATTAN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.**  
(A Development Stage Company)

**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**

**December 31, 2003 and 2002**

The following table summarizes the information about stock options outstanding at December 31, 2003:

Exercise price	Number outstanding	Remaining contractual life (years)	Number of options exercisable
\$ 0.400	876,090	9.16	--
0.425	400	9.15	--
1.000	115,000	8.25	115,000
1.250	235,000	8.14	142,500
1.250	32,000	8.08	15,667
3.050	800	7.61	800
4.375	55,000	7.15	46,250
6.565	10,000	5.61	10,000
6.875	2,000	5.41	2,000
7.500	10,000	5.81	10,000
8.750	800	5.73	800
11.565	400	4.66	400
15.938	10,800	6.75	10,800
16.250	2,000	4.61	2,000
20.938	39,600	6.28	39,600
30.470	2,000	6.22	2,000
35.000	400	3.46	400
37.500	400	2.56	400
	----- 1,392,690 =====		----- 398,617 =====

**(7) STOCK WARRANTS RELATING TO ATLANTIC TECHNOLOGY VENTURES, INC.**

As of December 31, 2003, the Company had a total of 348,901 warrants outstanding relating to Atlantic Technology Ventures, Inc. The prices of these warrants range from \$2.95 to approximately \$27. These warrants expire between 2005 and 2007.

**(8) RELATED-PARTY TRANSACTIONS**

In 2003 and 2002 the Company entered into consulting agreements with certain members of its Board of Directors. These agreements require aggregate payments of \$10,417 per month. Consulting expense under these agreements was approximately \$125,000 and \$37,500 for the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively.

**NOVADEL PHARMA INC.**

As discussed in Note 10, pursuant to the terms of a license agreement dated April 4, 2003 by and between the Company and NovaDel Pharma Inc., the Company has the rights to develop NovaDel's proprietary lingual spray technology to deliver propofol for preprocedural sedation. The license agreement with NovaDel requires the Company to make certain license and milestone payments, as well as pay royalties. During 2003, the Company paid aggregate license fees of \$500,000 to NovaDel under the license agreement. Lindsay A. Rosenwald, who beneficially owns more than 10 percent of the Company's common stock, also beneficially owns in excess of 20 percent of the common stock of NovaDel and may therefore be deemed to be an affiliate of that company.

**PARAMOUNT BIOCAPITAL, INC.**

Three members of the Company's board of directors, Joshua Kazam, David Tanen and Michael Weiser, are also employees of Paramount BioCapital, Inc. or one of its affiliates. The sole shareholder of Paramount BioCapital, Inc. is Lindsay A. Rosenwald, M.D. Dr. Rosenwald beneficially owns approximately 11 percent of the Company's common stock. In November 2003, the Company paid to Paramount BioCapital approximately \$460,000 as commissions earned in consideration for placement agent services rendered in connection with the private placement of the Company's Series A Convertible Preferred Stock, which amount represented 7 percent of the shares sold by Paramount BioCapital in the offering. In addition, in January 2004, the Company paid approximately \$260,000 as commissions earned in consideration for placement agent services rendered by Paramount BioCapital in connection with a private placement of the Company's common stock, which amount represented 7 percent of the shares sold by Paramount BioCapital in the private placement. In connection with both private placements and as a result of their employment with Paramount BioCapital, Mr. Kazam and Dr. Weiser were allocated 5-year placement agent warrants to purchase 60,174 and 103,655 shares of the Company's common stock, respectively, at a price of \$1.10 per share.



**MANHATTAN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.**  
(A Development Stage Company)

**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**

**December 31, 2003 and 2002**

(9) INCOME TAXES

There was no current or deferred tax expense for the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002 because of the Company's operating losses.

The components of deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities as of December 31, 2003 and 2002 are as follows:

	2003	2002
	-----	-----
Deferred tax assets:		
Tax loss carryforwards	\$ 1,889,000	\$ 348,000
Research and development credit	51,000	21,000
License costs	84,000	87,000
	-----	-----
Gross deferred tax assets	2,024,000	456,000
Less valuation allowance	(2,024,000)	(456,000)
	-----	-----
Net deferred tax assets	\$ --	\$ --
	=====	=====

**MANHATTAN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.**  
(A Development Stage Company)

**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**

**December 31, 2003 and 2002**

The reasons for the difference between actual income tax benefit for the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002 and the amount computed by applying the statutory federal income tax rate to losses before income tax benefit are as follows:

	2003		2002	
	Amount	% of pretax loss	Amount	% of pretax loss
Income tax benefit at statutory rate	\$(2,027,000)	(34.0)%	\$ (353,000)	(34.0)%
State income taxes, net of Federal tax	(354,000)	(5.9)%	(60,000)	(5.8)%
Change in valuation allowance	1,568,000	26.3%	434,000	41.8%
Credits generated in current year	(30,000)	(0.5)%	(21,000)	(2.0)%
Impairment of intangible assets	424,000	7.1%	--	--%
Loss on sale of intangible assets	412,000	6.9%	--	--%
Other, net	7,000	0.1%	--	--%
Income tax benefit	\$ --	--%	\$ --	--%

A valuation allowance is provided when it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. The net change in the total valuation allowance for the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002 was an increase of \$1,568,000 and \$434,000, respectively. The tax benefit assumed using the federal statutory tax rate of 34% has been reduced to an actual benefit of zero due principally to the aforementioned valuation allowance.

At December 31, 2003, the Company had potentially utilizable federal and state net operating loss tax carryforwards of approximately \$4,723,000. The net operating loss carryforwards expire in various amounts through 2023 for federal and state tax purposes. The Tax Reform Act of 1986 contains provisions, which limit the ability to utilize net operating loss carryforwards in the case of certain events including significant changes in ownership interests. As a result of the merger with Manhattan Research Development, Inc. in February 2003, the Company incurred a significant change in its ownership, limiting its ability to utilize net operating loss carryforwards to approximately \$100,000 annually. If the Company has taxable income in the future which exceeds this permissible annual net operating loss carryforward, the Company would incur a federal income tax liability even though net operating loss carryforwards would be available in future years. At December 31, 2003, the Company also had research and development credit carryforwards of approximately \$51,000 for federal tax purposes which expire in various amounts through 2023.

**MANHATTAN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.**  
(A Development Stage Company)

**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**

**December 31, 2003 and 2002**

(10) LICENSE AND CONSULTING AGREEMENTS

On February 15, 2002, the Company entered into a License Agreement (the "License Agreement") with OED. Under the terms of the License Agreement, OED granted to the Company a world-wide license to make, use, lease and sell the products incorporating the licensed technology (see Note 1). OED also granted to the Company the right to sublicense to third parties the licensed technology or aspects of the licensed technology with the prior written consent of OED. OED retains an irrevocable, nonexclusive, royalty-free right to use the licensed technology solely for its internal, noncommercial use. The License Agreement shall terminate automatically upon the date of the last to expire patent contained in the licensed technology or upon the Company's bankruptcy. OED may terminate the License Agreement in the event of a material breach by the Company that is not cured within the notice period. The Company may terminate the License Agreement for any reason upon 60 days notice.

Under the License Agreement, the Company agreed to pay to OED certain licensing fees which are being expensed as they are incurred. Through December 31, 2003, the Company paid \$175,000 in licensing fees which is included in 2002 research and development expense. In addition, pursuant to the License Agreement, the Company issued 1,000,000 shares of its common stock to OED. The Company valued these shares at their then estimated fair value of \$1,000.

In connection with the License Agreement, the Company has agreed to future milestone payments to OED as follows:

(i) \$250,000 upon the treatment of the first patient in a Phase I clinical trial under a Company-sponsored investigational new drug application ("IND"); (ii) \$250,000 upon the treatment of the first patient in a Phase II clinical trial under a Company-sponsored IND; (iii) \$750,000 upon the first successful completion of a Company-sponsored Phase II clinical trial under a Company-sponsored IND; (iv) \$2,000,000 upon the first successful completion of a Company-sponsored Phase III clinical trial under a Company sponsored IND; and (v) \$6,000,000 upon the first final approval of the first new drug application for the first licensed product by the United States Food and Drug Administration.

In addition to the License Agreement, the Company entered into a consulting agreement with OED. The agreement became effective in February 2002, at a fee of \$6,250 per month, and will terminate when the License Agreement terminates. The fees associated with the consulting agreement are expensed as incurred. OED agreed to serve as a member of the Company's Scientific Advisory Board and to render consultative and advisory services to the Company. Such services include research, development and clinical testing of the Company's technology as well as the reporting of the findings of such tests, assistance in the filing of patent applications and oversight and direction of efforts in regards to personnel for clinical development.

In April 2003, the Company entered into a license and development agreement with NovaDel Pharma, Inc. ("NovaDel"), a company with significant common stockholders with the Company, under which the Company received certain worldwide, exclusive rights to develop and commercialize products related to NovaDel's proprietary lingual spray technology for delivering propofol for pre-procedural sedation.

**MANHATTAN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.**  
(A Development Stage Company)

**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**

**December 31, 2003 and 2002**

Under the terms of this agreement, the Company agreed to use its commercially reasonable efforts to develop and commercialize the licensed products, to obtain necessary regulatory approvals and to thereafter exploit the licensed products. The agreement also provides that NovaDel will undertake to perform, at the Company's expense, a substantial portion of the development activities, including, without limitation, preparation and filing of various applications with applicable regulatory authorities. Holders of a significant portion of the Company's common stock own a significant portion of the common stock of NovaDel.

In consideration for our rights under the NovaDel license agreement, we paid NovaDel an initial license fee of \$500,000 upon the completion of our \$10 million private placement of Series A Convertible Preferred Stock in November 2003. In addition, the license agreement requires us to make certain milestone payments as follows: \$1,000,000 payable following the date that the first IND for lingual spray propofol is accepted for review by the FDA; \$1,000,000 following the date that the first European Marketing Application is accepted for review by any European Union country; \$2,000,000 following the date when the first filed NDA for lingual spray propofol is approved by the FDA; \$2,000,000 following the date when the first filed European Marketing Application for lingual spray propofol is approved by any European Union country; \$1,000,000 following the date on which an application for commercial approval of lingual spray propofol is approved by the appropriate regulatory authority in each of Australia, Canada, Japan and South Africa; and \$50,000 following the date on which an application for commercial approval for lingual spray propofol is approved in any other country (other than the U.S. or a member of the European Union).

In addition, the Company is obligated to pay to NovaDel an annual royalty based on a fixed rate of net sales of licensed products, or if greater, the annual royalty is based on the Company's net profits from the sale of licensed products at a rate that is twice the net sales rate. In the event the Company sublicenses the licensed product to a third party, the Company is obligated to pay royalties based on a fixed rate of fees or royalties received from the sublicensee until such time as the Company recovers its out-of-pocket costs, and thereafter the royalty rate doubles. Because of the continuing development efforts required of NovaDel under the agreement, the royalty rates are substantially higher than customary for the industry. The Company is also required to pay an up-front fee in installments contingent on whether the Company receives certain amounts through financings, revenues or otherwise. Through December 31, 2003, the Company has paid and expensed \$500,000 of such up-front fee.

NovaDel may terminate the agreement (i) upon 10 days' notice if the Company fails to make any required milestone or royalty payments, or (ii) if the Company becomes bankrupt or if a petition in bankruptcy or insolvency is filed and not dismissed within 60 days or if the Company becomes subject to a receiver or trustee for the benefit of creditors. Each party may terminate the agreement upon 30 days' written notice and an opportunity to cure in the event the other party committed a material breach or default. The Company may also terminate the agreement for any reason upon 90 days' notice to NovaDel.

On August 22, 2003, the Company sold all of its remaining rights to its CT-3 technology to Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc. ("Indevus"), the Company's licensee, for aggregate consideration of approximately \$559,000. The purchase price was paid through a combination of cash and shares of Indevus' common stock. On the same date, the Company settled its arbitration with Dr. Sumner Burstein, the inventor of the CT-3 technology, which includes a complete mutual release from all claims that either party had against the other. As a result of the sale of the Company's rights to the CT-3 technology to Indevus, the Company recorded a one-time charge of \$1,213,878 in 2003.

On August 8, 2003, Bausch & Lomb informed the Company that it had elected not to pursue its development of the Avantix technology effective August 11, 2003. According to the terms of Company's agreement with Bausch & Lomb, the Company

**MANHATTAN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.**  
(A Development Stage Company)

**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**

**December 31, 2003 and 2002**

may re-acquire the technology from Bausch & Lomb and sell or re-license the technology to a third party. The price to re-acquire the technology from Bausch & Lomb is 50 percent of the proceeds from a third party sale to a maximum of \$3 million. The Company has no further obligation under the agreement. As a result of Bausch & Lomb's decision not to develop the Avantix technology, the Company recorded a one-time charge of \$1,248,230 in 2003 for the impairment of the related intangible asset.

(11) COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

**LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

The Company is currently not party to any claims or lawsuits.

**EMPLOYMENT AGREEMENTS**

The Company entered into employment agreements with two executives during 2003. These agreements as amended provide for the payment of base salaries totaling \$475,000 as well as performance-based bonuses. The agreements range in term from one to two years.

**CONSULTING AGREEMENTS**

The Company has month to month agreements with certain employees requiring aggregate monthly payments of \$20,834.

(12) SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On January 13, 2004, the Company completed a private placement of 3,368,637 shares of its common stock at a per share price of \$1.10. After deducting commissions and other expenses relating to the private placement, the Company received aggregate net proceeds of approximately \$3,444,000. The Company also issued to the placement agent engaged in connection with the private placement a 5-year warrant to purchase 336,864 shares of common stock at a price of \$1.10 per share.

The proceeds from the private placement will be used to fund clinical and non-clinical research and development, working capital and general corporate purposes. Paramount Capital, Inc., acted as the placement agent in connection with the private placement. Three of the Company's Directors are also employees of Paramount Capital, Inc., a related party.

Exhibit No. -----	Description -----
2.1	Agreement and Plan of Merger among the Company, Manhattan Pharmaceuticals Acquisition Corp. and Manhattan Research Development, Inc. (formerly Manhattan Pharmaceuticals, Inc.) dated December 17, 2002 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 from Form 8-K filed March 5, 2003).
3.1	Certificate of incorporation, as amended through September 25, 2003 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant's Form 10-QSB for the quarter ended September 30, 2003).
3.2	Bylaws, as amended to date (incorporated by reference from Registrant's registration statement on Form SB-2, as amended (File No. 33-98478)).
3.3	Certificate of Designations of Series A Convertible Preferred Stock (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.3 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form SB-2 filed January 13, 2004 (File No. 333-111897)).
4.1	Form of unit certificate (incorporated by reference from Registrant's registration statement on Form SB-2, as amended (File No. 33-98478)).
4.2	Specimen common stock certificate (incorporated by reference from Registrant's registration statement on Form SB-2, as amended (File No. 33-98478)).
4.3	Form of redeemable warrant certificate (incorporated by reference from Registrant's registration statement on Form SB-2, as amended (File No. 33-98478)).
4.4	Form of redeemable warrant agreement between the Registrant and Continental Stock Transfer & Trust Company (incorporated by reference from Registrant's registration statement on Form SB-2, as amended (File No. 33-98478)).
4.5	Form of underwriter's warrant certificate (incorporated by reference from Registrant's registration statement on Form SB-2, as amended (File No. 33-98478)).
4.6	Form of underwriter's warrant agreement between the Registrant and Joseph Stevens & Company, L.P. (incorporated by reference from Registrant's registration statement on Form SB-2, as amended (File No. 33-98478)).
4.7	Form of bridge warrant (incorporated by reference from Registrant's registration statement on Form SB-2, as amended (File No. 33-98478)).
4.8	Warrant issued to John Prendergast to purchase 37,500 shares of Registrant's common stock (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.24 to the Registrant's Form 10-QSB for the quarter ended March 31, 1997).
4.9	Warrant No. 1 issued to Joseph Stevens & Company, Inc. to purchase 150,000 shares of Registrant's Common Stock exercisable January 4, 2000 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.28 to the Registrant's Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 1999).
4.10	Warrant No. 2 issued to Joseph Stevens & Company, Inc. to purchase 150,000 shares of Registrant's Common Stock exercisable January 4, 2001 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.29 to the Registrant's Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 1999).

- 4.11 Warrant No. 3 issued to Joseph Stevens & Company, Inc. to purchase 150,000 shares of Registrant's Common Stock exercisable January 4, 2002 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.30 to the Registrant's Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 1999).
- 4.12 Form of stock purchase warrants issued on September 28, 2000 to BH Capital Investments, L.P., exercisable for shares of common stock of the Registrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to the Registrant's Form 10-QSB for the quarter ended September 30, 2000).
- 4.13 Form of stock purchase warrants issued on September 28, 2000 to Excalibur Limited Partnership, exercisable for shares of common stock of the Registrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to the Registrant's Form 10-QSB for the quarter ended September 30, 2000).
- 4.14 Warrant certificate issued March 8, 2001 by the Registrant to Dian Griesel (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.56 to the Registrant's Form 10-QSB for the quarter ended March 31, 2001).
- 4.15 Form of warrant issued by Manhattan Research Development, Inc., which automatically converted into warrants to purchase shares of the Registrant's common stock upon the merger transaction with such company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant's Form 10-QSB for the quarter ended March 31, 2003).
- 4.16 Form of warrant issued to placement agents in connection with the Registrant's November 2003 private placement of Series A Convertible Preferred Stock and the Registrant's January 2004 private placement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.18 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form SB-2 filed January 13, 2004 (File No. 333-111897)).
- 10.1 1995 Stock Option Plan, as amended (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.18 to the Registrant's Form 10-QSB for the quarter ended September 30, 1996).
- 10.2 Common stock purchase agreement dated March 16, 2001, between Registrant and Fusion Capital Fund II, LLC (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.55 of the Registrant's Form 10-QSB for the quarter ended March 31, 2001).
- 10.3 Common stock purchase agreement dated as of May 7, 2001, between Registrant and Fusion Capital Fund II, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.57 of Amendment No. 1 to the Registrant's registration statement on Form SB-2/A filed June 29, 2001 (File 333-61974)).
- 10.4 Form of registration rights agreement between Registrant and Fusion Capital Fund II, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.58 of Amendment No. 1 to the Registrant's registration statement on Form SB-2/A filed June 29, 2001 (File 333-61974)).
- 10.5 Third Amendment to Employment Agreement dated February 21, 2003 between the Registrant and Nicholas J. Rossettos (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Registrant's Form 10-QSB for the quarter ended March 31, 2003).
- 10.6 Employment Agreement dated January 2, 2003, between Manhattan Research Development, Inc. and Leonard Firestone, as assigned to the Registrant effective as of February 21, 2003 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Registrant's Form 10-QSB for the quarter ended March 31, 2003).
- 10.7 Employment Agreement dated February 28, 2003, between the Registrant and Nicholas J. Rossettos (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Registrant's Form 10-QSB for the quarter ended March 31, 2003).
- 10.8 License Agreement dated on or about February 28, 2002 between Manhattan Research Development, Inc. (f/k/a Manhattan Pharmaceuticals, Inc.) and Oleoyl-Estrone Developments SL (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to the Registrant's Amendment No. 2 to Form 10-QSB/A for the quarter ended March 31, 2003 filed on March 12, 2004).
- 10.9 License Agreement dated April 4, 2003 between the Registrant

and NovaDel Pharma, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Amendment No. 1 to Form 10-QSB/A for the quarter ended June 30, 2003 filed on March 12, 2004).++

- 10.10 Employment Agreement dated January 2, 2004 between the Registrant and Leonard Firestone (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form SB-2 filed January 13, 2004 (No. 333-111897)).
  - 10.11 2003 Stock Option Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-8 filed February 17, 2004).
  - 16.1 Letter of KPMG LLP (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99 filed with the Registrant's Form 8-K filed on December 12, 2002).
  - 23.1 Consent of J.H. Cohn LLP (previously filed).
  - 23.2 Consent of Weinberg & Company, P.A. (previously filed).
  - 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer.
  - 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer.
  - 32.1 Certifications of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 

++ Confidential treatment has been requested as to certain portions of this exhibit pursuant to Rule 24b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

## EXHIBIT 23.1

### CONSENT OF INDEPENDENT PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

Our report on our audit of the consolidated financial statements of Manhattan Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Subsidiaries as of December 31, 2003 and 2002 and for the years then ended and on the consolidated statements of operations, changes in stockholders' equity and cash flows for the period from August 6, 2001 (date of inception) to December 31, 2003, included in this Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 2003, is dated February 14, 2004. We consent to the incorporation by reference of our report in the following Registration Statements previously filed by the Company with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to the Securities Act of 1933: the Registration Statements on Forms S-3 with SEC File Nos. 333-34379, 333-35079, 333-65393, 333-40916, and 333-49036 and the Registration Statements on Forms S-8 with SEC file Nos. 333-15807, 333-112888, 333-112889 and 333-48531.

*/s/ J.H. Cohn LLP*

*Roseland, New Jersey  
March 29, 2004*

**EXHIBIT 23.2**

**CONSENT OF INDEPENDENT PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS**

Our report on our audit of the balance sheet of Manhattan Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (the "Company") as of December 31, 2001 and on the statements of operations, changes in stockholders' deficiency and cash flows for the period from August 6, 2001 (date of inception) to December 31, 2001, included in this Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 2003, is dated November 1, 2002. We consent to the incorporation by reference of our report in the following Registration Statements previously filed by the Company with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to the Securities Act of 1933: the Registration Statements on Forms S-3 with SEC File Nos. 333-34379, 333-35079, 333-65393, 333-40916, 333-49036 and 333-57550 and the Registration Statements on Forms S-8 with SEC file Nos. 333-15807 and 333-48531.

**WEINBERG & COMPANY, P.A.**

Boca Raton, Florida  
March 30, 2004

**EXHIBIT 31.1**

**CERTIFICATIONS**

I, Leonard Firestone, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Amendment No. 1 to Annual Report on Form 10-KSB/A of Manhattan Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (the "Registrant");
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the Registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The Registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) for the Registrant and have:
  - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the Registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - (b) Evaluated the effectiveness of the small business issuer's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - (c) Disclosed in this report any change in the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the Registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the Registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The Registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the Registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the Registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the Registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

*Date: April 2, 2004*

*/s/ Leonard Firestone*

-----  
*Leonard Firestone*  
*President and Chief Executive Officer*

**EXHIBIT 31.2**

**CERTIFICATIONS**

I, Nicholas J. Rossettos, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Amendment No. 1 Annual Report on Form 10-KSB/A of Manhattan Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (the "Registrant");
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the Registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The Registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) for the small business issuer and have:
  - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the Registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - (b) Evaluated the effectiveness of the Registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - (c) Disclosed in this report any change in the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the Registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the Registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The Registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the Registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the Registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the Registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

*Date: April 2, 2004*

*/s/ Nicholas J. Rossettos*  
-----  
*Nicholas J. Rossettos*  
*Chief Financial Officer*

**EXHIBIT 32.1**

**CERTIFICATION  
OF  
CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER AND CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER**

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, each of the undersigned officers of Manhattan Pharmaceuticals, Inc. do hereby certify that:

(a) the Amendment No. 1 Annual Report on Form 10-KSB of Manhattan Pharmaceuticals, Inc. for the year ended December 31, 2003 (the "Report") fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and

(b) information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of Manhattan Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

*Dated: April 2, 2004*

*/s/ Leonard Firestone*

-----  
*Leonard Firestone*  
*President and Chief Executive Officer*

*Dated: April 2, 2004*

*/s/ Nicholas J. Rossettos*

-----  
*Nicholas J. Rossettos*  
*Chief Financial Officer*

---

**End of Filing**

Powered By **EDGAR**  
Online

**© 2005 | EDGAR Online, Inc.**