



**Fourth Quarter & Full Year 2016 Earnings Conference Call
February 28, 2017**

David Mordy – Director of Investor Relations

Thank you, Thea. Good morning, everyone. Welcome to our fourth quarter 2016 earnings conference call. Scott Prochazka, president and CEO, Tracy Bridge, executive vice president and president of our Electric Division, Joe McGoldrick, executive vice president and president of our Gas Division and Bill Rogers, executive vice president and CFO, will discuss our fourth quarter and full-year 2016 results and provide highlights on other key areas.

In conjunction with the call today, we will be using slides which can be found under the Investors' section on our website, CenterPointEnergy.com. For a reconciliation of the non-GAAP measures used in providing earnings guidance in today's call, please refer to our earnings press release and our slides, which along with our Form 10-K have been posted on our website.

Please note that we may announce material information using SEC filings, press releases, public conference calls, webcasts and posts to the Investors' section of our website. In the future, we will continue to use these channels to communicate important information and encourage you to review the information on our website.

Today, management is going to discuss certain topics that will contain projections and forward-looking information that are based on management's beliefs, assumptions and information currently available to management. These forward-looking statements are subject to risks or uncertainties. Actual results could differ materially based upon factors including weather variations, regulatory actions, economic conditions and growth, commodity prices, changes in our service territories, and other risk factors noted in our SEC filings.



**Fourth Quarter & Full Year 2016 Earnings Conference Call
February 28, 2017**

We will also discuss our guidance for 2017. The guidance range considers Utility Operations performance to date and certain significant variables that may impact earnings, such as weather, regulatory and judicial proceedings, throughput, commodity prices, effective tax rates, and financing activities. In providing this guidance, the company uses a non-GAAP measure of adjusted diluted earnings per share that does not include other potential impacts, such as changes in accounting standards or unusual items, earnings or losses from the change in the value of the Zero-Premium Exchangeable Subordinated Notes or ZENS securities and the related stocks, or the timing effects of mark-to-market accounting in the company's Energy Services business. The guidance range also considers such factors as Enable's most recent public forecast and effective tax rates. The company does not include other potential impacts such as any changes in accounting standards or Enable Midstream's unusual items.

Before Scott begins, I would like to mention that this call is being recorded.

Information on how to access the replay can be found on our website.

And with that, I will now turn the call over to Scott.

Scott Prochazka – president and CEO

Thank you, David and good morning ladies and gentlemen. Thank you for joining us today and thank you for your interest in CenterPoint Energy. I will begin on slide 4. 2016 was a strong year for CenterPoint. On a guidance-basis, EPS grew more than 5% and we finished the year at \$1.16 per share versus 2015 earnings of \$1.10 per share. \$1.16 represents the midpoint



**Fourth Quarter & Full Year 2016 Earnings Conference Call
February 28, 2017**

of our initial guidance range of \$1.12 - \$1.20, but the lower end of the updated guidance we provided on our third quarter call. Our full-year earnings were impacted by certain fourth quarter events which Bill will discuss in more detail during his remarks. Our Utility Operations contributed over 11% earnings growth on a guidance basis, finishing at \$0.88 for 2016 versus \$0.79 in 2015. Midstream Investments exceeded expectations by earning \$0.28 per share, which was at the top end of our guidance range.

Today we are reaffirming our 2017 guidance range of \$1.25 - \$1.33. Our forecast is built around ongoing growth contributions from both utility operations and midstream investments.

For 2018, we are forecasting that our earnings momentum will continue as we expect growth in both Utility Operations and Midstream Investments. With the current and anticipated rate filings, a fully-integrated Energy Services business and continued strong performance from Enable, we are now targeting achieving or exceeding the upper end of our 4-6% EPS growth rate for 2018 compared to 2017.

Turning to slide 5, our 2016 performance drivers, which were concentrated in our electric and natural gas utilities, include customer growth and rate increases associated with growth in rate base. We added more than 90,000 combined utility customers, grew rate base at approximately 5.4% and increased rate relief by \$95 million. We accomplished this while earning near our authorized ROEs, and while holding O&M increases to less than 2%, excluding items with revenue offsets as well as our recent acquisitions. In addition to these accomplishments, I am very proud of all of the hard work that went into our two Energy



**Fourth Quarter & Full Year 2016 Earnings Conference Call
February 28, 2017**

Services transactions. These acquisitions complement our core business and are accretive to earnings at an attractive return. The integrations have been, and will continue to be, well executed and are expected to deliver long-term value to our customers and our shareholders.

Our updated 5-year capital plan of approximately \$7 billion translates to an annualized rate base growth in excess of 5% through 2021. This projected investment reflects continued growth throughout our six state utility footprint. Tracy and Joe will provide capital highlights for their respective businesses.

Slide 6 shows some of Enable's highlights for 2016. Enable Midstream continues to be the dominant gathering and processing player in both the SCOOP and STACK plays. With 23 active rigs, and some of the best returns of any of the shale plays, the SCOOP and STACK continue to fuel Enable's growth. In 2016, Enable accomplished a number of goals including increasing their fee based margin, extending their average contract length and reducing both O&M and G&A expenses. We continue to believe Enable is well positioned for success in their industry. They have an attractive footprint, strong balance sheet and are focused on pursuing accretive growth and maintaining solid coverage. Further, we believe that North American commodity resources are cost advantaged over foreign alternatives. Plus, the evolving regulatory environment should encourage additional production, which in turn, benefits the midstream sector.

Turning to slide 7, a year ago we announced our intention to evaluate ownership alternatives for our Midstream Investments segment. This evaluation was driven by our desire to reduce earnings volatility associated with our ownership interests in Enable. As such, our



**Fourth Quarter & Full Year 2016 Earnings Conference Call
February 28, 2017**

criteria for completing a sale or spin of our Midstream Investments include achieving comparable earnings and dividends per share, improving the visibility of future earnings, and lowering overall earnings volatility, all while seeking to maintain current credit ratings. We continue to have dialogue with interested parties and will evaluate OGE's recent offer made pursuant to the ROFO terms of our partnership agreement. We also continue our work to understand tax characteristics and market implications of a spin. If we determine that neither a sale nor a spin would fulfill our criteria, our third path will be to maintain our stake in Enable and continue to support efforts to reduce exposure to commodity price influences. While the process is taking longer than originally anticipated, we expect to clarify which path we are on by the second quarter earnings call.

As we have disclosed, Joe McGoldrick, who leads our gas division, will officially retire tomorrow after 38 years of service with CenterPoint and its predecessor companies. Joe has been an exceptional leader and highly valued member of the company's executive committee. His deep industry knowledge and sharp business mind will be missed. Joe's responsibilities will be separated into two roles. Scott Doyle, who has more than 20 years of electric and natural gas experience with the company, and most recently led our Regulatory and Public Affairs departments, will head up the Natural Gas Distribution business. Joe Vortherms, who has been with the company for 29 years, will continue to lead our growing Energy Services business. Joe has extensive experience across multiple areas of our gas business and also led the two recent gas marketing transactions.



**Fourth Quarter & Full Year 2016 Earnings Conference Call
February 28, 2017**

I'll close by expressing my appreciation to everyone in Houston who made Super Bowl 51 a success. The world saw Houston at its best as it prepared for and hosted this special event. We were proud to do our part behind the scenes and we look forward to working with the city of Minneapolis as they prepare for Super Bowl 52 in 2018. Tracy will now update you on Electric Operations.

Tracy Bridge – EVP & President – Houston Electric

Thank you, Scott.

2016 was another strong year for Houston Electric. Turning to slide 9, Houston Electric's core operating income was 537 million dollars in 2016 compared to 502 million dollars in 2015, an increase of 7 percent year-over-year. The business benefited in 2016 from rate relief, customer growth and higher equity return, primarily due to true-up proceeds. These benefits were partially offset by higher depreciation and other taxes, higher O&M expenses and lower right-of-way revenues.

Houston Electric added over 54,000 metered customers last year, representing 2.3 percent growth since the fourth quarter of 2015. This year, we are forecasting 2 percent customer growth, which equates to approximately 25 million to 30 million dollars of incremental base revenue.



**Fourth Quarter & Full Year 2016 Earnings Conference Call
February 28, 2017**

I'm pleased to report that we managed O&M expense growth to under 1 percent versus 2015, excluding expenses that have revenue offsets. This year, we are focused on keeping annual O&M growth under 2 percent.

In 2016, Houston Electric used both of our cost recovery mechanisms, Transmission Cost of Service, or TCOS, and Distribution Cost Recovery Factor, or DCRF for timely rate relief. A complete overview of regulatory developments in 2016 can be found on slide 29.

In December, we filed TCOS for 7.8 million dollars in annualized rate relief for transmission capital invested in 2016. We expect to file DCRF in April and TCOS in the second quarter of 2017.

Turning to slide 10, Houston Electric invested 858 million dollars of capital in 2016, including 72 million dollars related to the Brazos Valley Connection project, a nearly 60-mile transmission project.

In response to ongoing customer and load growth, Houston Electric will continue to invest significant capital to ensure our system is safe, resilient, and reliable. Our new 5-year plan includes 4.1 billion dollars of capital investment.

We anticipate capital investment in 2017 and 2018 will be higher than later years in the 5-year plan due to our investment in the Brazos Valley Connection project. We began construction this month and the project is proceeding as scheduled. Total capital investment in



**Fourth Quarter & Full Year 2016 Earnings Conference Call
February 28, 2017**

the project is expected to be 310 million dollars. We expect to complete construction and energize the Brazos Valley Connection by June 2018.

As shown on slide 11, our planned capital investments translate to projected rate base growth of approximately 5 percent on a compound annual growth basis through 2021.

I am very pleased with Houston Electric's strong operational and financial results in 2016, and we expect continued growth in the coming years. I'll now turn the call over to Joe for an update on Natural Gas Operations.

Joe McGoldrick – EVP & President – Natural Gas Operations

Thank you, Tracy.

As we previously mentioned, we expected Natural Gas Operations to be an earnings catalyst in 2016, and we delivered. Our Natural Gas Operations, which includes both our Natural Gas Distribution business and our non-regulated Energy Services business, had a strong year.

Turning to slide 13, Natural Gas Distribution's operating income was \$303 million in 2016 compared to \$273 million in 2015, an increase of 11% year-over-year, despite continued extremely mild temperatures across our service territories. The business benefited from rate relief, revenue from decoupling mechanisms, lower bad debt expense and customer growth. These benefits were partially offset by increased depreciation, higher labor and benefits



**Fourth Quarter & Full Year 2016 Earnings Conference Call
February 28, 2017**

expenses, and increased contract services expense related to pipeline integrity and system safety.

Natural Gas Distribution added over 35,000 metered customers last year, representing 1% growth since the fourth quarter of 2015. Natural Gas Distribution is forecasting 1% annual customer growth again in 2017.

O&M expenses in 2016 were approximately 2% higher than 2015, excluding certain expenses that have revenue offsets. O&M expense discipline remains a priority of the business, and I am very pleased with the improvements that we have made in our credit and collections processes, as one example of that disciplined approach.

Natural Gas Distribution's multi-jurisdictional regulatory strategy resulted in strong rate relief in 2016. For a complete overview of regulatory developments in 2016, please see slides 30 and 31.

In November, we filed a Texas Gulf rate case that seeks to combine our operationally and geographically-aligned Houston and Texas Coast jurisdictions. This case was required based on a prior settlement with the City of Houston and we had exhausted the statutorily allowed GRIP filings there, requiring us to establish new base rates. The filing seeks to recover \$31 million in rate relief, including recovery of deferred expenses and changes in depreciation rates, and a requested ROE of 10.25%. A final order is expected in the second quarter of 2017.



**Fourth Quarter & Full Year 2016 Earnings Conference Call
February 28, 2017**

Though we are unable to file GRIP in the Texas Gulf area during the rate proceeding, we expect to file GRIP in South Texas and East Texas in the second quarter and a rate case in South Texas in the fourth quarter. In April, we will make our first Formula Rate Plan filing, or FRP, in Arkansas. We also anticipate filing a rate case in Minnesota later this year.

During 2017, Natural Gas Distribution is unlikely to repeat 2016's performance in terms of new incremental rate relief as a result of the time required to prosecute the rate case in Texas and the corresponding delay in GRIP filings.

Turning to slide 14, we invested \$510 million in Natural Gas Distribution last year, back to a more normal level after completing our automated meter reading capital project in 2015. Our new 5-year plan includes \$2.7 billion of capital expenditures and reflects consistent annual investment. We are prioritizing capital investments with a focus on safety, reliability and growth.

As a result of our capital plan, as you can see on slide 15, rate base is projected to grow at a 6.6% compound annual growth rate through 2021.

Turning to slide 16, Energy Services delivered solid results in 2016. Operating income was \$41 million in 2016 compared to \$38 million in 2015, excluding a mark-to-market loss of \$21 million and gain of \$4 million, respectively, and despite incurring \$3 million of O&M expenses and \$3 million of amortization expenses specifically related to acquisition and



**Fourth Quarter & Full Year 2016 Earnings Conference Call
February 28, 2017**

integration costs during the year. The \$3 million improvement is due in part to the acquisition of Continuum's retail energy services business.

In January, we closed on the acquisition of Atmos Energy Marketing, or AEM. With similar business models and a commitment to customer service, AEM is a strategic fit for Energy Services that will allow us to access new markets and expand customer segments. Similar to the Continuum transaction, the AEM acquisition is expected to be modestly accretive in the first year, even after accounting for integration expenses.

Both of our recent transactions have positioned us to effectively serve our customers, as well as improve margins and throughput. We expect to capture synergies and reduce G&A over time as we leverage economies of scale, while maintaining our low value-at-risk, cost-effective organizational structure. We anticipate Energy Services will contribute \$45 million to \$55 million in operating income in 2017.

I am very pleased with Natural Gas Operations' performance in 2016. We expect strong growth going forward as we continue to focus on financial and operational performance.

Before I turn the call over to Bill, I'd like to thank all of the investors and analysts that I worked with over the years. Without you, we cannot grow our business. I have enjoyed a long and fulfilling career at a great company, and I always tried to make a difference and lead by example. I am confident that Scott Doyle and Joe Vortherms will do the same.

I will now turn the call over to Bill, who will cover financial activities.



**Fourth Quarter & Full Year 2016 Earnings Conference Call
February 28, 2017**

Bill Rogers - executive vice president and CFO

Thank you, Joe, and congratulations on a distinguished career of service to our CenterPoint customers. Good morning to everyone.

I will start with the reconciliation of our GAAP and guidance earnings for the fourth quarter and for the full year, as provided on slide 18. This morning we reported \$0.23 earnings per diluted share on a GAAP basis and \$0.26 in earnings per diluted share on a guidance basis for the fourth quarter. This compares to a GAAP loss of \$1.18 and guidance basis income of \$0.27 for fourth quarter 2015. In fourth quarter 2016, we add back one cent of mark-to-market adjustments from our Energy Services business and two cents of ZENS related adjustments in order to arrive at fourth quarter 2016 earnings on a guidance basis of \$0.26. In fourth quarter 2015, we added back \$1.44 associated with the impairment of our investment in Enable and one cent per share loss related to ZENS, for \$0.27.

For the full year 2016 we reported \$1.00 in earnings per diluted share on a GAAP basis and \$1.16 per share on a guidance basis. This compares to a GAAP loss of \$1.61 and guidance basis earnings per share of \$1.10 for the full year 2015. For 2016, we add back three cents of mark-to-market adjustments from our Energy Services business and thirteen cents of ZENS related adjustments to arrive at our 2016 earnings on a guidance basis. For 2015 we added back the full year impairment loss of \$2.69 and a net loss of three cents associated with ZENS. We also subtracted one cent of mark-to-market gains to arrive at a guidance basis EPS of \$1.10 for 2015.



**Fourth Quarter & Full Year 2016 Earnings Conference Call
February 28, 2017**

Whether the comparison is on a GAAP or guidance basis, we had solid earnings performance improvement in 2016 relative to 2015. And that includes certain one-time events in fourth quarter 2016 that I will address shortly.

Next we move to slide 19 and I will summarize comments from Scott, Tracy and Joe to review Utility Operations performance and the contributions that take us from 79 cents of utility operations guidance EPS in 2015 to 88 cents in 2016. Core operating income improvements, excluding amounts associated with equity return, equates to a net eight cent accretion. We had a further three cent improvement from equity return, primarily related to true-up proceeds, and we had a three cent improvement as a result of our \$363 million investment in Enable 10% preferred securities which closed in the first quarter of 2016. Debt refinancing and balance sheet management reduced our year on year interest expense by two cents. That interest expense savings is inclusive of an increase in debt of approximately \$200 million. The year-on-year earnings improvements were partially offset by a fourth quarter \$22 million pre-tax or three cents per share after tax charge for a redemption premium to retire \$300 million of debt that would otherwise mature in 2018. The other category totaled a reduction of four cents a share and this category includes higher income taxes and lower other income.

Now turning our attention to slide 20 we show the combined nine cent utility benefit and the three cent year on year decline for our midstream investments, bridging the \$1.10 of 2015 EPS guidance to the \$1.16 of 2016 EPS guidance. The components of our year on year decline of three cents related to midstream begin with a six cent year on year increase from



**Fourth Quarter & Full Year 2016 Earnings Conference Call
February 28, 2017**

basis-difference accretion that was triggered by our 2015 impairment charges. On a going forward basis, accretion will be seven cents a share, assuming no further impairments, our current effective tax rate, and our current share count. This increase was more than offset by a two cent per share tax adjustment, including amounts associated with Louisiana income at the Enable level. Further, in 2016 Enable had mark to market accounting losses relative to its gains in 2015. These 2016 losses on mark to market accounting relative to 2015 gains resulted in a year on year difference of seven cents.

On slide 21 we review our balance sheet strength and financing plans. We are very pleased that funds from operations to debt increased to 24% in 2016 versus 23% for 2015. Although we do not expect future years' FFO/debt metric to be as strong, we continue to target a minimum 18-20% FFO/debt in order to maintain or improve existing credit ratings as well as maintain our debt capacity within our credit ratings. We continue to look for opportunities to reduce interest expense. For example, in 2016 we had over \$600 million of above 6% debt that was retired; and, our new issue 2016 financing, all at the CEHE level, had coupons of 1.85 and 2.4%. Our fourth quarter redemption of 6 ½ % debt otherwise maturing in 2018 is another example of thoughtful balance sheet management. Now, moving forward to 2017, we anticipate \$200 - \$500 million of incremental borrowings to support our approximately one and a half billion dollar capital program and our recent acquisition of Atmos Energy Marketing. Although we anticipate higher debt by year end 2017 we expect interest expense to decrease given recent refinancing activity and the coupons on 2017 maturities relative to the current



**Fourth Quarter & Full Year 2016 Earnings Conference Call
February 28, 2017**

interest rate forward curve. We do not anticipate issuing equity in 2017 or 2018, as we expect credit metrics to be at or above our targets.

With respect to our dividend, in January we declared a dividend with a 4% increase relative to the most recent paid quarterly dividend. We target competitive increases in our dividend and with our earnings growth we anticipate the payout ratio to decline as a result of our forecasted earnings momentum.

Moving to slide 22, we are reiterating our 2017 full year guidance range of \$1.25 to \$1.33. This is comprised of 93 to 97 cents for Utility Operations and 31 to 37 cents for Midstream Investments. The growth at the Utility Operations guidance range to a 2017 midpoint of \$0.95 has a number of drivers beyond utility rate relief and customer growth. We anticipate Energy Services will deliver \$45 million to \$55 million of operating income for 2017 versus \$41 million in 2016 after adjusting for the 2016 mark-to-market loss. This forecasted increase is both a result of recent acquisitions and expected higher operating income margin. We expect to receive a full year of preferred dividends from Enable in 2017. The additional full quarterly payment is an increase of approximately \$9 million in net income. As previously discussed, we anticipate capturing additional interest expense savings providing \$10 to \$20 million of net income benefit. Midstream's investment range is a direct translation of Enable's \$315 million to \$385 million net income guidance attributable to unit-holders. We then apply CenterPoint's 54% share of the LP units, add basis difference accretion and tax effect the result. Lastly, our 2017 effective tax rate should be 36%.



**Fourth Quarter & Full Year 2016 Earnings Conference Call
February 28, 2017**

With that review of 2017 drivers, looking forward to 2018, as Scott stated earlier in the call, we are targeting to achieve or exceed the upper end of our 4% to 6% EPS growth rate in 2018 over actual performance in 2017. Finally, we appreciate that many of you are closely watching the potential for comprehensive tax reform. We have prepared a few slides to explain CenterPoint's current tax position from an income statement, cash payment and balance sheet perspective beginning on slide 24.

I have previously discussed our 2016 and projected 2017 effective tax rate. For 2016, CenterPoint's cash tax rate was approximately 4%. This is significantly lower than the statutory rate primarily as a result of bonus depreciation and tax shield provided by Enable. Having provided that, it is important to note that CenterPoint is now and is expected to be a cash tax payer. At the end of 2016, we had no remaining federal tax carry-forwards. And, we do not have tax credits. With respect to our investment in the Enable partnership, the taxable income is based on their tax elections at the partnership level. These elections currently include bonus depreciation.

We provide our deferred tax liability and deferred tax asset disclosure on slide 25. This is substantially the same disclosure in our Form 10-K filed this morning, with the separation of these deferred tax assets and liabilities into utility related and non- utility related columns. The majority of our deferred tax liabilities are not related to our utilities. A lower corporate tax rate for these non-utility related items will likely be recognized as an income statement benefit or other comprehensive income, strengthening our balance sheet and reducing associated cash taxes over time. A lower corporate tax rate for our regulated utility related investments would



**Fourth Quarter & Full Year 2016 Earnings Conference Call
February 28, 2017**

likely result in a lower deferred tax liability with an associated and equal increase in a regulatory liabilities. These regulatory liabilities would be amortized over time, providing lower rates for our customers.

Next I will move to slide 26. We have made three basic assumptions to provide a directional view of financial results from contemplated comprehensive tax reform relative to our current forecast. These assumptions are a lower corporate tax rate of 20%, the election to deduct one hundred per cent of capital expenditures, and the disallowance of existing and future interest expense. We would expect the loss of interest expense deduction to be a permanent item and therefore increase our effective tax rate relative to the new lower statutory rate. Under only those basic assumptions the impact to CenterPoint should be a stronger balance sheet, greater earnings per share from a lower effective tax rate, and lower cash taxes as a result of both lower statutory rates and the capital expenditure deduction. Under our current capital plan, the rate of growth in our rate base would modestly decline as a result of the increase in deferred tax liability and associated offset to rate base. As I stated, all of these directional assumptions are relative to our current forecast and are based on our current business mix. And, none of this is to suggest we have any insight into comprehensive tax reform or its timing, if at all.

I'll close by reminding you of the 26.75 cents per share quarterly dividend declared by our Board of Directors on January 5th. This represents a 4% increase over the previous quarterly dividend, consistent with our 4% increases in 2015 and 2016, and marks the twelfth consecutive year we have increased our dividend.



**Fourth Quarter & Full Year 2016 Earnings Conference Call
February 28, 2017**

With that, I will now turn the call back over to David.

David Mordy – Director of Investor Relations

Thank you, Bill. We will now open the call to questions. In the interest of time, I will ask you to limit yourself to one question and a follow-up. Thea?

Operator: The first question will come from Jonathan Arnold with Deutsche Bank.

Jonathan Arnold: Just a quick one, Scott. I think you said you expected to give an update on your decision on direction with Enable on the second quarter call. Did you mean the call will take place in the second quarter or the actual reporting of second quarter earnings in Q3?

Scott Prochazka: It would be the reporting of the second quarter earnings in Q3. We anticipate the exercise would be virtually completed or essentially completed by the second quarter. But our first opportunity to discuss it would be in the third quarter call – or the second quarter call, sorry.

Jonathan Arnold: Sorry about that. I just wanted to clarify.

Scott Prochazka: Yes.

Jonathan Arnold: And then could you also just talk about how you've thought about Enable in making the statement on 2018 growing at the high end off of whatever you earn in 2017. Does that contemplate the current status or do you think you could be there in an exit scenario? What should we take from that?

Scott Prochazka: We assumed that the performance of Enable continues to be strong, but we did do some testing of various performance levels of Enable and have concluded that under a number of growth scenarios for Enable including

very modest growth, we would still be able to achieve that.

Jonathan Arnold: Do you think you'd be able to achieve that if you no longer held the position for 2018? Should we assume that too?

Scott Prochazka: Well, I think, under that scenario, you've got a very different picture to look at. But as I told you, to the extent that we move forward with an opportunity around the transaction, our objectives were to maintain comparable earnings and dividend.

Jonathan Arnold: Yeah. I saw that. So I guess we take this as a statement that should apply in all scenarios?

Scott Prochazka: Yes. That's a fair way to look at it.

Operator: The next question will come from Steve Fleishman with Wolfe Research.

Steve Fleishman: So, first just a technical question. What was the year-end tax basis for Enable?

Scott Prochazka: Steve, I'm looking at Bill. I think he's trying to find that number at the moment.

Steve Fleishman: Okay. And maybe in the meantime, just – in terms of just thinking about what you're going to know by the second quarter versus what you know now. I mean I don't know if tax reform is something that you need to know for things like the spin or, I guess, I'm just a little confused like why hasn't something happened now and what are things that could happen in the next quarter or two that suddenly you know, you'll have an answer by then? Or is that -

- Scott Prochazka: It's not connected to clarity around tax policy. This is just the ongoing dialogue we've been having with parties and our estimate of when we believe that would come to an end. It has nothing to do with tax. In fact, as we've mentioned, this review is really around trying to address the volatility of earnings. And we're going to conclude this even without having clarity on what the future tax policy may look like.
- Steve Fleishman: Okay. And then, I guess, Bill, do you have the Enable answer?
- Bill Rogers: I have that, Steve. Steve, that's within our footnote on income taxes. And best way to think about it is the deferred tax liability is \$1.38 billion. The other piece of that, you need on that, is where we record the investment at Enable. That's in our assets on our balance sheet and that's equivalent of \$10.71 a share at year-end.
- Steve Fleishman: Okay. Okay. And then just when you look at the plan for 2017 or 2018, can you give us a sense of just where your earned returns are and just kind of make sure.. comfort that those are going to be? Okay. I don't think you need to – as part of your reviews like DCRF or TCOS whatever, there is no real kind of review of returns, right?
- Scott Prochazka: The mechanism that has a return review would be the DCRF. So we cannot make a DCRF filing if we are earning over our authorized return per our EMR that we file. That's the one that has the structured limitation to it. That said, we do anticipate filing DCRF this year.
- Bill Rogers: And, Steve, our expected return on equity within the equity calculation for rate base would be within 25 basis points to 100 basis points less than our allowed return, depending upon the entity.

- Operator: The next question will come from Shar Pourreza with Guggenheim Partners.
- Shar Pourreza: Just to confirm. Your growth guidance, your trajectory for 2018 doesn't assume any sort of tax policy changes including some of the accretion that you may anticipate. And then when you think about longer-term growth, how we should think about that, when you got sort of a front - end loaded CapEx picture as far as on the electric side? How we should think about the trajectory a little bit further out?
- Scott Prochazka: Shar, I'll answer the first part of that. I'll ask Bill to comment on the second. The first part is no, we do not assume as we look to 2017 or 2018 forecast that are projected targeted growth performance that there is any form of change in tax policy. Bill, do you want to comment on the second part of that?
- Bill Rogers: With respect to longer-term growth, I think your anchor point should be of rate base growth and we would expect earnings contribution to grow approximately 1% less than that.
- Shar Poureza: Okay. That's helpful. And then, sorry if I missed this, but what was the O&M guidance for the Gas business for 2017? And then when you look at the utility, in general, how we should think about cost inflation for your five-year plan?
- Joe McGoldrick: Shar, this is Joe. We remain committed to managing O&M in a very disciplined way. And so, that'll be approximately 2%, perhaps slightly above that in some years given the activity around pipeline integrity expenses. But we'll do everything we can to continue with the strong O&M discipline that we've been executing on in the past.
- Operator: The next question will come from Michael Lapidés with Goldman Sachs.



Fourth Quarter & Full Year 2016 Earnings Conference Call
February 28, 2017

- Michael Lapides: Hey, guys. Congrats on a good 2016. One question. I want to make sure I understand for Energy Services what is included and if anything what is not included in your 2017 guidance? Are you including all of the impact of both Continuum and AEM's acquisition in the 2017 guidance?
- Scott Prochazka: Michael, this is Scott. Good morning. Yeah. We have factored in the net impact of all of that in our comments around 2017 performance which includes essentially an integrated Continuum and then the effects of the integration process associated with AEM. And we think AEM will be – I'll describe it as modestly accretive this year, but all of that is included in the numbers that we provided.
- Michael Lapides: And do you think that business has a different growth rate than the gas distribution business does?
- Scott Prochazka: I think that has the potential to grow at or slightly stronger than our utility business. It's really predicated on opportunities that come as a result of additional scale but I would say it's very close in growth rate. It's not something that's dramatically different.
- Michael Lapides: Got it. And different topic and this one may be for Bill. When I look at your debt schedule, both at CERC even a little bit at CE and not much left at the parent, but you've still got a number of tranches kind of in the almost 6% range up to the high-6%s, almost to the 6.9%. And it doesn't look like the make-wholes are really that expensive, just kind treasury yield plus 20 basis points to 35 basis points or so. How are you thinking about refinancing what effectively is high cost debt in this environment and kind of the timeline for taking some of that out?
- Bill Rogers: All right. Well, Michael, first, you're right. We do have, I think, in today's environment, what might be considered high coupon debt. The debt that just matured in February of this year had a coupon of 5.95%. The debt that matures in November has a coupon of 6.8%. We think about that as

an investment decision. And if it's net present value positive on a cash-on-cash basis to redeem that early then we'll do that. And that's exactly how we thought about it in late 2016, when we executed the make-whole call and redeemed \$300 million of debt. It was a \$22 million charge to our earnings, but it was a net present value positive decision on our part.

Michael Lapidès: And was that \$22 million charge – was that all cash?

Bill Rogers: Yes.

Michael Lapidès: Okay. And the only reason why I ask is that the debt – a lot of the refinancing opportunities that may exist right now are actually either at CERC or at CE, meaning not necessarily as much at the parent, because you've done a good job of dealing with parent debt. It strikes me that would – refinancing a lot of that debt down at the OpCos would give you the opportunity over time especially as you go in for rate relief to potentially impact customer bills, maybe alleviate any upward pressure on customer bills due to the investments you're making and maybe even give you more headroom to increase the amount of capital you deploy?

Bill Rogers: You're correct. And we take a look at those opportunities regularly and should they be NPV positive for the customer in the case of CEHE and our CERC related gas utilities, then we will redeem that debt and refinance it. And in that case -

Michael Lapidès: Got it. Thank you.

Bill Rogers: There will be no change to earnings.

Michael Lapidès: Got it. Thanks Bill, much appreciated.

Operator: The next question will come from Ali Agha with SunTrust.

- Ali Agha: Good morning. Scott, coming back to your thinking through on the Enable ownership, obviously, you guys have been working at it for a while now and one of the impediments and then you alluded to that again has been the tax leakage associated with that, particularly if there is a sale for cash. Is it fair to assume that that scenario is probably not high on the table given the tax leakage implications and perhaps sale for stock or spin-off, if you are going to do anything, are the two most likely outcomes?
- Scott Prochazka: We haven't handicapped each of these individually, but we've certainly been clear about the challenges we have with a cash sale from a tax leakage standpoint. So, I would say, your characterization is perhaps accurate. We do continue to have the challenges associated with tax leakage, if we were to pursue a sale as you pointed out.
- Ali Agha: And on the OG&E ROFO, they had a ROFO before you guys decided not to take them and go the other way and now they've come back with the ROFO second time around. I mean again is that procedural or is that something as a real option given that we've already been through this exercise before with them?
- Bill Rogers: Ali, it's Bill. That is largely procedural. If we intend to have discussions with third-parties, then under our partnership agreements, our partner has a right of first offer. And so as long as we're having those discussions and don't complete a transaction within a time limit, we'll need to give them another right of first offer.
- Ali Agha: Right. And so, Bill, fair to say, I mean this is just the same ROFO that's come back again?
- Bill Rogers: That's correct.
- Ali Agha: I see. And then, Scott, also to be clear on your comments. As you mentioned, if none of these options comes to a conclusion, doing nothing and working with the system is an option. Am I to read into that that's probably become a

bigger option today than maybe it was when you started the process? I mean are you committed to doing something or doing nothing may end up being the best option after all?

Scott Prochazka:

Yeah Ali, I think, we've been pretty consistent about expressing that any of these are viable options. But the real gating item here is whether something other than retaining our ownership would allow us to achieve the objectives we've laid out. If we can't achieve the objectives we set forth then our option of maintaining our ownership and continuing to work with Enable to be less volatile is certainly a very viable path. We've been doing that all along, quite frankly. And they've had some great successes in the efforts that they've made in 2016 to just do that and that effort would continue going forward.

Ali Agha:

Okay. And last question, again, just to round this out. Fair to say that what has taken longer than expected has been to try to figure out the most tax efficient way of making an exit if possible? Has that really been the issue that's held you guys back?

Scott Prochazka:

For practical purposes, we didn't really – weren't able to start this process until the latter part of the summer last year. Shortly after we made the announcement, the market fell off precipitously and we needed to have a viable forecast from Enable that we could use in these discussions. So we weren't really able to start anything until the August timeframe of last year. So we're not as far into this as it appears we might be, but we are committed to working this through and exploring the various options that we have. And we will make our decisions accordingly based on our ability to achieve those objectives.

Ali Agha:

Understood. Thank you.

Operator:

The next question will come from Kevin Vo with Tudor, Pickering.

- Kevin Vo: Just following up on Ali's questions on Enable. Could you – I know you mentioned how the decision will likely come before any potential tax reform. Could you kind of just walk us through how the tax reform could lower the tax leakage at all from Enable – from a sale or spin of Enable? How should we think about the impact there?
- Bill Rogers: Kevin, it's, Bill. So, on a cash sale of Enable, assuming we had a lower statutory rate and that statutory rate was also the capital gains rate for corporations, then that would lower our tax bill.
- Kevin Vo: Okay. That's the question I had. Thank you.
- Operator: The next question will come from Charles Fishman with Morningstar.
- Charles Fishman: Joe, a couple years ago, you instituted that you were able to get together with the Minnesota Commission and get a decoupling mechanism for weather. And if my memory serves me, this might be your first winter where that's really going to come in handy. Is that working? Do you anticipate it working to your expectations this winter?
- Joe McGoldrick: Yes, Charles. Your memory is good. This is, in fact – we've had it in place for almost a year now and it benefited us last year as well. And, obviously, with these mild temperatures this year, it will also continue to be a benefit. So, as I said in my remarks, we had a great 2016 despite these mild temps and that was in large part due to the Minnesota decoupling. And we've recently got – it was a \$25 million true-up that was approved last fall that we have begun to bill under that mechanism. And it's a three-year pilot. So we're hopeful that we can translate that into a permanent tariff after that three-year period expires.
- Charles Fishman: Okay. And then just as a follow-up with the transmission loop around Minneapolis that you're working on, where does that stand?



**Fourth Quarter & Full Year 2016 Earnings Conference Call
February 28, 2017**

Joe McGoldrick: The Belt Line project continues to go well. We're actually a little bit ahead of schedule. I can't remember the exact date as to when that will conclude, but we're spending significant capital on replacing that 60 or plus so miles loop around the city of Minneapolis. And everything is on track if not ahead of schedule.

Charles Fishman: I mean, you're on the home stretch of that, aren't you, just another year or two years or?

Joe McGoldrick: No, there's more than that. There's about – yeah, there's about four or five more years, Charles.

Charles Fishman: Okay. Thank you. That's all I had. Then good luck, Joe.

Operator: Our final question is from Nick Raza with Citigroup.

Nick Raza: Thank you, guys. Just a couple of quick follow-ups. On Enable, assuming that a transaction does occur, is there a thought around what you'd do with the preferreds you currently own with the company?

Bill Rogers: Nick, it's Bill. Should there be a transaction, we could go one of two directions. We could continue to own the preferred and make sure that we are protected in the right way by its current non-cumulative feature and so we build that into the original structure that we negotiated with Enable. Or we could include that preferred in the sale or a transaction with another party.

Nick Raza: Okay. And that would effectively reduce the tax basis, correct?

Bill Rogers: The preferred is its own tax basis.

Nick Raza: Okay. Fair enough. Fair enough. And then, I guess, on the – if I look at slides 15 and 14, your rate base is growing on average about \$200, we'll call it \$250. And I guess you're spending about \$534 million a year, pretty flat for natural gas distribution. But if I take out the system maintenance and improvements, that's only about \$100 million. Am I missing something?

Scott Prochazka: Are you looking at slide 14?

Nick Raza: Slides 14 and 15.

Scott Prochazka: Yeah. So, you are correct. The majority of the spend is in that blue category, if that's what you're trying to confirm.

Nick Raza: Well, I guess, what I'm getting at is that if I take the system maintenance and improvements out, you only have about \$100 million left. So I mean, how is the rate base going from \$2.8 billion to \$3.7 billion, which is an average about \$200 million a year ago? I'm sure it's probably something I'm missing.

Joe McGoldrick: Nick, this is Joe. I think if you're just trying to back into the rate base number it'd be – for the most part it's CapEx minus D&A.

Nick Raza: Okay.

Joe McGoldrick: And deferred taxes.

Bill Rogers: Hi. It's Bill. Let me just jump in here and follow on Joe McGoldrick's comments. Rate base is the CapEx less depreciation less deferred taxes.

Nick Raza: Okay. And then I guess that's actually a good segue. In terms of your current deferred tax liabilities, on slide 25, I understand your \$2.3 billion is in the utility business. Where is most of that located? Is it transmission distribution or natural gas distribution?



**Fourth Quarter & Full Year 2016 Earnings Conference Call
February 28, 2017**

- Bill Rogers: Well, it's across all asset classes, but you can see really the majority of that is in PP&E as disclosed both in this table on 25 as well as our tax footnote.
- Nick Raza: Okay. And you mentioned there'd be a liability. Should there be a tax relief presented out there, there'd be a reduction in rates. Do you know what that would be if all of this deferred tax liability were to go away in terms of percentage of rate reduction?
- Bill Rogers: That would depend on when new rates are set. So it would be either a matter of going through our mechanisms where you add them at the gas utilities or through general rate cases. And I said regulatory liabilities, because there would likely be different regulatory liabilities depending upon the nature of the original deferred tax liability and the amortization of that life of the regulatory liability would be part of the rate case and/or the mechanism.
- Nick Raza: Okay. Fair enough. And so – fair enough. All right. Thanks, guys.
- David Mordy: Thank you, everyone, for your interest in CenterPoint Energy. We will now conclude our fourth quarter 2016 earnings call. Have a nice day.
- Operator: This concludes CenterPoint Energy's fourth quarter and full year 2016 earnings conference call. Thank you for your participation. You may now disconnect.



Fourth Quarter & Full Year 2016 Earnings Conference Call February 28, 2017

CenterPoint Energy, Inc., headquartered in Houston, Texas, is a domestic energy delivery company that includes electric transmission & distribution, natural gas distribution and energy services operations. The company serves more than five million metered customers primarily in Arkansas, Louisiana, Minnesota, Mississippi, Oklahoma, and Texas. The company also owns a 54.1 percent limited partner interest in Enable Midstream Partners, a publicly traded master limited partnership it jointly controls with OGE Energy Corp., which owns, operates and develops natural gas and crude oil infrastructure assets. With more than 7,700 employees, CenterPoint Energy and its predecessor companies have been in business for more than 140 years. For more information, visit the website at www.CenterPointEnergy.com.

This news release includes forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These forward-looking statements are based upon assumptions of management which are believed to be reasonable at the time made and are subject to significant risks and uncertainties. Actual events and results may differ materially from those expressed or implied by these forward-looking statements. Any statements in this news release regarding future earnings, and future financial performance and results of operations, including, but not limited to earnings guidance, targeted dividend growth rate and any other statements that are not historical facts are forward-looking statements. Each forward-looking statement contained in this news release speaks only as of the date of this release. Factors that could affect actual results include (1) state and federal legislative and regulatory actions or developments affecting various aspects of CenterPoint Energy's businesses (including the businesses of Enable Midstream Partners (Enable Midstream)), including, among others, energy deregulation or re-regulation, pipeline integrity and safety, health care reform, financial reform, tax legislation, and actions regarding the rates charged by CenterPoint Energy's regulated businesses; (2) state and federal legislative and regulatory actions or developments relating to the environment, including those related to global climate change; (3) recording of non-cash goodwill, long-lived asset or other than temporary impairment charges by or related to Enable Midstream; (4) timely and appropriate rate actions that allow recovery of costs and a reasonable return on investment; (5) the timing and outcome of any audits, disputes or other proceedings related to taxes; (6) problems with construction, implementation of necessary technology or other issues with respect to major capital projects that result in delays or in cost overruns that cannot be recouped in rates; (7) industrial, commercial and residential growth in CenterPoint Energy's service territories and changes in market demand, including the effects of energy efficiency measures and demographic patterns; (8) the timing and extent of changes in commodity prices, particularly natural gas and natural gas liquids, and the effects of geographic and seasonal commodity price differentials, and the impact of commodity changes on producer related activities; (9) weather variations and other natural phenomena, including the impact on operations and capital from severe weather events; (10) any direct or indirect effects on CenterPoint Energy's facilities, operations and financial condition resulting from terrorism, cyber-attacks, data security breaches or other attempts to disrupt its businesses or the businesses of third parties, or other catastrophic events; (11) the impact of unplanned facility outages; (12) timely and appropriate regulatory actions allowing securitization or other recovery of costs associated with any future hurricanes or natural disasters; (13) changes in interest rates or rates of inflation; (14) commercial bank and financial market conditions, CenterPoint Energy's access to capital, the cost of such capital, and the results of its financing and refinancing efforts, including availability of funds in the debt capital markets; (15) actions by credit rating agencies; (16) effectiveness of CenterPoint Energy's risk management activities; (17) inability of various counterparties to meet their obligations; (18) non-payment for services due to financial distress of

-more-

CenterPoint Energy's and Enable Midstream's customers; (19) the ability of GenOn Energy, Inc. (formerly known as RRI Energy, Inc.), a wholly owned subsidiary of NRG Energy, Inc., and its subsidiaries to satisfy their obligations to CenterPoint Energy and its subsidiaries; (20) the ability of retail electric providers, and particularly the largest customers of the TDU, to satisfy their obligations

to CenterPoint Energy and its subsidiaries; (21) the outcome of litigation; (22) CenterPoint Energy's ability to control costs, invest planned capital, or execute growth projects; (23) the investment performance of pension and postretirement benefit plans; (24) potential business strategies, including restructurings, joint ventures, and acquisitions or dispositions of assets or businesses, for which no assurance can be given that they will be completed or will provide the anticipated benefits to CenterPoint Energy; (25) acquisition and merger activities and successful integration of such activities, involving CenterPoint Energy or its competitors; (26) the ability to recruit, effectively transition and retain management and key employees and maintain good labor relations; (27) future economic conditions in regional and national markets and their effects on sales, prices and costs; (28) the performance of Enable Midstream, the



Fourth Quarter & Full Year 2016 Earnings Conference Call February 28, 2017

amount of cash distributions CenterPoint Energy receives from Enable Midstream, and the value of its interest in Enable Midstream, and factors that may have a material impact on such performance, cash distributions and value, including certain of the factors specified above and: (A) the integration of the operations of the businesses contributed to Enable Midstream; (B) the achievement of anticipated operational and commercial synergies and expected growth opportunities, and the successful implementation of Enable Midstream's business plan; (C) competitive conditions in the midstream industry, and actions taken by Enable Midstream's customers and competitors, including the extent and timing of the entry of additional competition in the markets served by Enable Midstream; (D) the timing and extent of changes in the supply of natural gas and associated commodity prices, particularly natural gas and natural gas liquids, the competitive effects of the available pipeline capacity in the regions served by Enable Midstream, and the effects of geographic and seasonal commodity price differentials, including the effects of these circumstances on re-contracting available capacity on Enable Midstream's interstate pipelines; (E) the demand for crude oil, natural gas, NGLs and transportation and storage services; (F) changes in tax status; (G) access to growth capital; and (H) the availability and prices of raw materials for current and future construction projects; (29) effective tax rate; (30) the effect of changes in and application of accounting standards and pronouncements; (31) other factors discussed in CenterPoint Energy's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2016, and other reports CenterPoint Energy or its subsidiaries may file from time to time with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Use of Non-GAAP Financial Measures by CenterPoint Energy in Providing Guidance

In addition to presenting its financial results in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP), including presentation of net income and diluted earnings per share, CenterPoint Energy also provides guidance based on adjusted net income and adjusted diluted earnings per share, which are non-GAAP financial measures. Generally, a non-GAAP financial measure is a numerical measure of a company's historical or future financial performance that excludes or includes amounts that are not normally excluded or included in the most directly comparable GAAP financial measure. CenterPoint Energy's adjusted net income and adjusted diluted earnings per share calculation excludes from net income and diluted earnings per share, respectively, the impact of ZENS and related securities, mark-to-market gains or losses resulting from the company's Energy Services business and adjustments for impairment charges. CenterPoint Energy is unable to present a quantitative reconciliation of forward looking adjusted net income and adjusted diluted earnings per share because changes in the value of ZENS and related securities, mark-to-market gains or losses resulting from the company's Energy Services business and impairment charges are not estimable.

Management evaluates the company's financial performance in part based on adjusted net income and adjusted diluted earnings per share. We believe that presenting these non-GAAP financial measures enhances an investor's understanding of CenterPoint Energy's overall financial performance by providing them with an additional meaningful and relevant comparison of current and anticipated future results across periods. The adjustments made in these non-GAAP financial measures exclude items that Management believes does not most accurately reflect the company's fundamental business performance. These excluded items are reflected in the reconciliation tables of this news release, where applicable. CenterPoint Energy's adjusted net income and adjusted diluted earnings per share non-GAAP financial measures should be considered as a supplement to, and not as a substitute for, or superior to, net income and diluted earnings per share, which respectively are the most directly comparable GAAP financial measures. These non-GAAP financial measures also may be different than non-GAAP financial measures used by other companies.

###