



**Fourth Quarter and Full Year 2015 Earnings Conference Call  
February 26, 2016**

**David Mordy – Director of Investor Relations**

Thank you, Ginger. Good morning, everyone. Welcome to our fourth quarter 2015 earnings conference call. Thank you for joining us today. Scott Prochazka, president and CEO, Tracy Bridge, executive vice president and president of our Electric Division, Joe McGoldrick, executive vice president and president of our Gas Division and Bill Rogers, executive vice president and CFO, will discuss our fourth quarter 2015 results and provide highlights on other key areas. We also have with us other members of management who may assist in answering questions following the prepared remarks.

In conjunction with the call today, we will be using slides which can be found under the Investors' section on our website, CenterPointEnergy.com. For a reconciliation of the earnings guidance provided in today's call, please refer to our earnings press release and our slides, which along with our Form 10-K have been posted on our website.

Please note that we may announce material information using SEC filings, press releases, public conference calls, webcasts and posts to the Investors' section of our website. In the future, we will continue to use these channels to communicate important information and we encourage you to review the information on our website.

Today, management is going to discuss certain topics that will contain projections and forward-looking information based on management's beliefs, assumptions and information currently available to management. These forward-looking statements are subject to risks or uncertainties. Actual results could differ materially based upon factors including weather



**Fourth Quarter and Full Year 2015 Earnings Conference Call  
February 26, 2016**

variations, regulatory actions, economic conditions and growth, commodity prices, changes in our service territories, and other risk factors noted in our SEC filings.

We will also discuss our guidance for 2016. The Utility Operations guidance range considers performance to date and certain significant variables that may impact earnings, such as weather, regulatory and judicial proceedings, volumes, commodity prices, ancillary services, tax rates, interest rates and financing activities. In providing this guidance, the company does not include other potential impacts, such as changes in accounting standards, the value of ZENS securities and the related stocks, or the timing effects of mark-to-market and inventory. In providing Midstream Investments' guidance related to the company's 55.4% limited partner ownership interest in Enable, the company takes into account such factors as Enable's most recent public forecast, effective tax rates, the amortization of our basis difference in Enable and other factors. The company does not include other potential impacts such as any changes in accounting standards, impairments or Enable Midstream's unusual items.

Before Scott begins, I would like to mention that this call is being recorded.

Information on how to access the replay can be found on our website.

And with that, I will now turn the call over to Scott.

**Scott Prochazka – President and CEO**

Thank you, David and good morning ladies and gentlemen. Thank you for joining us today and thank you for your interest in CenterPoint Energy. 2015 was a strong year for CenterPoint. Our Utility Operations performed well, helping us to achieve our earnings



**Fourth Quarter and Full Year 2015 Earnings Conference Call  
February 26, 2016**

objective, on a guidance basis, by earning at the top end of the guidance range. Enable made a strong contribution to our earnings as well, falling in the middle of the guidance range we had provided at the start of 2015.

Using the same basis that we use when providing guidance, full year adjusted earnings were 475 million dollars, or \$1.10 cents per diluted share. During 2015, we were focused on creating shareholder value through sustainable earnings growth. Our intention remains to grow annual EPS 4-6% through 2018.

Given the reduction in Enable's unit price throughout the year, we recorded non-cash impairment charges in both the third and fourth quarters of 2015. As a result, this morning we reported a loss of \$692 million dollars, or a loss of \$1.61 per diluted share for 2015, compared with net income of \$611 million dollars, or \$1.42 cents per diluted share in 2014. Bill will discuss more about the impairments later in the call.

Slide 4 highlights several of the components that drove our 2015 performance. We continue to see strong customer growth in both our electric and gas utilities. Combined, our utilities added nearly 80,000 new customers in 2015. Our collective rate base grew 10%. We obtained \$90 million in annualized rate relief, excluding \$48 million of interim rate relief in Minnesota that will be decided upon in 2016. Further, we continued to focus attention on O&M and financing costs. The collective impact of these components led to 2015 Utility Operations earnings of \$0.79 per diluted share compared with baseline 2014 utility earnings of \$0.70 per share – an increase of nearly 13%. Each year we conduct an annual assessment and prioritization of capital needs, driven by requirements around safety, growth, maintenance and



**Fourth Quarter and Full Year 2015 Earnings Conference Call  
February 26, 2016**

reliability. As you will see in Tracy's and Joe's slides, our planned capital expenditures for the upcoming years, while remaining well above historic levels, will be down from the peak expenditure level of 2015. Associated with this reduction, we anticipate our utility rate base growth will more closely track utility earnings growth, allowing us to maintain our 4-6 percent earnings growth target for CenterPoint Energy as a whole. Our plan assumes we maintain ROEs at or near our allowed returns.

On slide 5, you can see EPS on a guidance basis for last year, as well as our target for 2016. The percentage of earnings from our utilities is expected to increase from about 65 percent in 2014 to 75 to 80 percent in 2016. Also, the utilities provided over 80% of the cash flow in 2015. As our utilities continue to grow, they provide a larger ballast that can help mitigate additional commodity driven earning challenges that may impact Enable.

Our 2016 earnings guidance of \$1.12 to \$1.20 represents solid growth following a very strong performance in 2015. We anticipate this growth will be built upon many of the same factors that drove us forward in 2015; growing service territories, management of capital and timely recovery on and of our investments. These factors will continue to be aided by ongoing attention to financing and operating costs.

Turning to Midstream Investments, we believe continued focus on Enable's financial performance and balance sheet strength translates into value for CenterPoint Energy shareholders. Despite the commodity environment, Enable remains financially sound with solid fundamentals and encouraging operating statistics. I've noted some of the key takeaways from Enable's call last week on slide 6. Producers remain active within Enable's footprint. Currently



**Fourth Quarter and Full Year 2015 Earnings Conference Call  
February 26, 2016**

there are 28 rigs drilling wells to connect to Enable's system in the Anadarko basin. Enable's processing and transportation volumes are up over 2014 and their Bear Den system in the Bakken has increased volumes by 6,500 barrels per day in the fourth quarter of 2015 compared to the third quarter of 2015. From a financing perspective, Enable will have no debt maturities due this year or in 2017 and, as of year-end 2015, reported 1.2 billion dollars available under their credit facility.

CenterPoint's core strategy remains to operate, maintain and invest in our current utility service territories; deploying capital to address needs for: system growth, maintenance, reliability, safety and customer interactions. Beyond our core strategy, we continue to look for additional opportunities to grow earnings. As shown on slide 7, we recently announced two transactions that demonstrate our commitment to pursuing sustainable earnings growth. First, we announced we would be using funds paid to us by Enable for outstanding debt, to invest in a preferred security at Enable. This is an accretive investment for CenterPoint shareholders with a return of 10 percent. Additionally, we announced an earnings accretive acquisition of Continuum's retail energy business which expands our profitable, low risk, Energy Services business.

As for our announcement about strategic reviews, over the past 12 – 18 months we have been asked by many investors about 2 topics - Enable's fit within our portfolio and, separately, whether we would consider forming a REIT for utility assets. In response, we have announced we will independently study each for sustainable value creation. I want to stress that we are in the evaluation stage. Long-term shareholder value creation and long-term



**Fourth Quarter and Full Year 2015 Earnings Conference Call  
February 26, 2016**

business model sustainability are top priorities in our evaluation process. We will not pursue actions that provide only short-term financial benefits or that will negatively impact our ability to serve our customers and address the growing needs of our vibrant service territories. We do not plan to answer questions as premature discussions could prove confusing and distracting to the process. We plan to share as we reach conclusions, and while we have no definitive timeline, we anticipate providing an update during the second half of 2016.

Turning to slide 8, I will conclude my comments by acknowledging the commitment and expertise of our employees. Their dedication to our vision of leadership can be seen through the awards we have received. For example, J.D. Power and Associates, which measures customer satisfaction, ranked each of our gas distribution companies in the top four in their respective regions. We ranked first in operational satisfaction in natural gas operations and were named an Environmental Champion by Cogent Energy Reports in the Midwest. Cogent also identified our electric utility as ranking number one in Texas for customer engagement. Effective customer service strengthens our relationship with customers and reinforces with regulators our commitment to provide reliable utility service to the communities we serve.

In closing, let me reiterate that we remain committed to our vision....to lead the nation in delivering energy, service and value. We will continue to invest in our energy delivery systems to better serve our customers and to seek timely recovery of those investments. Tracy will now update you on Electric Operations.



**Fourth Quarter and Full Year 2015 Earnings Conference Call  
February 26, 2016**

**Tracy Bridge – EVP & President - Electric Division**

Thank you, Scott.

2015 was another strong year for Houston Electric. As you will see on slide 10, core operating income was 502 million dollars in 2015 compared to 477 million dollars in 2014, representing a 5% increase. The business benefited from higher transmission and distribution related revenues, customer growth and increased usage due to a return to more normal weather. These benefits were partially offset by lower equity return related to true-up proceeds, lower energy efficiency bonus including the absence of a one-time energy efficiency bonus received in 2014, higher depreciation, and lower right of way revenues.

Turning to slide 11, Houston Electric added nearly 50,000 metered customers last year, which equates to 2 percent year-over-year growth. The Houston area added 27,000 net new jobs last year and the Greater Houston Partnership is projecting approximately 22,000 net new jobs this year, mostly from the healthcare and construction industries. We anticipate approximately 2 percent metered customer growth in 2016. Recent monthly meter additions support that planned growth rate. We continue to meet O&M expense management goals. Houston Electric held O&M expenses flat last year compared to 2014, excluding certain expenses that have revenue offsets. We will continue our efforts in 2016, as we work to keep annual O&M expense growth under 2 percent.

Last year, Houston Electric received approval for approximately 67 million dollars in annualized transmission and distribution related rate relief. About 90% of our capital investment is eligible for recovery using our annual cost recovery mechanisms, Transmission Cost of Service, or TCOS, and Distribution Cost Recovery Factor, or DCRF.

We expect to file DCRF in April and TCOS in the second half this year. We do not anticipate a Houston Electric general rate filing in 2016, 2017 or 2018.

Turning to slide 12, Houston Electric invested 934 million dollars of capital in 2015, which represents a 14% increase over 2014 primarily due to load growth investments. Our new 5-year plan includes 3.7 billion dollars of capital expenditures. This investment will be used to improve service reliability and system resiliency, and support load growth and on-going system maintenance.

Right of way work has begun on the largest project in our capital plan - the Brazos Valley Connection. Last month, the Public Utility Commission of Texas approved a Certificate of



**Fourth Quarter and Full Year 2015 Earnings Conference Call  
February 26, 2016**

Convenience and Necessity for Houston Electric to construct this project. We anticipate total capital spend of 270 to 310 million dollars and completion by mid-2018.

As you will see on slide 13, rate base is projected to grow at a 5.2% compound annual growth rate through the 5-year plan.

I am very pleased with Houston Electric's performance in 2015 and our forecast for 2016. I'll now turn the call over to Joe McGoldrick for an update on Natural Gas Operations.

**Joe McGoldrick – EVP & President - Gas Division**

Thank you, Tracy.

Natural Gas Operations, which includes both our natural gas utilities and our non-regulated Energy Services business, had another strong year. Natural Gas Utilities operating income in 2015 was \$273 million compared to \$287 million in 2014. As you will see on slide 15, \$25 million of the decline in operating income is primarily due to a return to more normal weather in 2015 when compared to the extreme weather in the first half of 2014. Rate relief, customer growth, and other revenues added to operating income, but were partially offset by an increase in depreciation and other taxes.

Customer growth remains strong at our utilities having added nearly 30,000 customers since the fourth quarter of 2014, a 1 percent increase. The strongest growth occurred in Minnesota and Texas, and we expect similar customer growth of approximately 1 percent in the foreseeable future.





**Fourth Quarter and Full Year 2015 Earnings Conference Call  
February 26, 2016**

We managed operating costs effectively in 2015. O&M expenses were flat versus 2014, excluding certain expenses that have revenue offsets. We remain committed to disciplined O&M expense management.

We continued to invest in infrastructure and technology. For example, our Natural Gas Utilities completed the deployment of drive-by meter reading technology to 3.4 million meters, and we continue with our pipeline replacement projects, such as cast iron and bare steel in Arkansas and our Minnesota beltline project. These investments are improving the safety, reliability and efficiency of our gas distribution system.

We are also executing on our multi-jurisdictional regulatory strategy, filing base rate increase requests in Minnesota and Arkansas, as well as annual GRIP filings and other annual mechanisms. In 2015, approximately 90% of our capital spend was eligible for recovery through a combination of annual mechanisms and forward test years.

The general rate case that we filed last year in Minnesota, requesting a \$54.1 million annual increase, is on track and we expect a final order in the third quarter of 2016. Interim rates of \$47.8 million went into effect in October of last year.

Additionally, we filed a general rate case in Arkansas in November 2015. This is the first general rate filing we have made there since 2007, requesting \$35.6 million in annualized rate recovery. As part of the filings, we requested approval of a Formula Rate Plan, as allowed by new legislation. The Formula Rate Plan will allow our rates to be prospectively adjusted based



**Fourth Quarter and Full Year 2015 Earnings Conference Call  
February 26, 2016**

on a banded ROE approach and a projected test year. We expect a final decision and new base rates to be implemented in the third quarter of 2016.

Turning to slide 16, we invested \$601 million in our Natural Gas utilities last year, which represents a 14% increase over 2014. The increase was a combination of growth activity and public improvement projects primarily in Minnesota and Texas, in addition to system maintenance activities across all jurisdictions. Our revised 5-year capital plan includes \$2.3 billion. We are prioritizing capital investments with a focus on safety, reliability and growth. And with our automated meter reading capital project now complete and public improvement expenditures expected to decline, our 2016 capital will return to a more normal level.

As you can see on slide 17, rate base is projected to grow at a 6.2% compound annual growth rate through the 5-year plan. We anticipate that capital prioritization and effective implementation of our regulatory strategy will result in convergence of rate base growth and operating income growth over the next 5 years.

On slide 18 you will see that 2015 operating income for our Energy Services business was \$38 million, compared with \$23 million in 2014, excluding mark-to-market gains of \$4 million and \$29 million respectively. Our Energy Services business realized solid customer growth, and has increased operating income substantially over the last two years. The business also benefited from improved margins, a reduction in O&M expense and a lower inventory adjustment in 2015.



**Fourth Quarter and Full Year 2015 Earnings Conference Call  
February 26, 2016**

Energy Services is a profitable business segment that complements our gas distribution business and allows us to provide gas purchase options to CenterPoint customers across multiple states. We have worked hard to grow the commercial retail business within Energy Services, including by entering into an agreement to acquire Continuum's retail energy services business, subject to customary closing conditions. With similar business models and a commitment to customer service, this transaction positions Energy Services to have access to more markets and efficiently grow our customer base by over 30% across 26 states. Moreover, our businesses share a common footprint and we expect to capture synergies and reduce G&A over time as we leverage economies of scale. The transaction is expected to increase annual gross margin by approximately 40 percent. With the addition of Continuum, we expect Energy Services to contribute \$40 to \$50 million of annual operating income. Details for the transaction are provided on slide 19.

Our Natural Gas Operations achieved strong operational and financial results in 2015. We are confident that our businesses will continue to grow in 2016 and beyond, as we continue to enhance service to our customers and communities, and create long-term value for our stakeholders.

I will now turn the call over to Bill, who will cover financial activities.



Fourth Quarter and Full Year 2015 Earnings Conference Call  
February 26, 2016

**Bill Rogers - Executive Vice President and CFO**

Thank you, Joe and good morning to everyone.

I will begin by summarizing comments from Scott, Tracy and Joe to review the contributors to our Utility Operations performance from our baseline of \$0.70 per share in 2014 to \$0.79 delivered in 2015. The primary contributors to this EPS growth were, \$0.06 year-on-year improvement in Houston Electric and \$0.05 year-on-year improvement at Energy Services. These improvements were offset in part by higher income taxes. As noted by Tracy and Joe, holding O&M flat contributed to year-on-year EPS performance at our Utility Operations.

As we have shared with you the past several quarters, we are working on delivering consistent 4-6% annual EPS growth. On slide 21, you'll see a few points regarding our guidance. Our 4-6% growth target begins with the 2015 EPS on a guidance basis of \$1.10 per share. The two cents net accretion from our investment in Enable preferred, plus a net four cents from our combined Utility Operations and Midstream Investment brings us to the mid-point of our 2016 EPS guidance. The EPS from Utility Operations is expected to increase; whereas the EPS from our Midstream Investment is expected to decline. As Scott noted in his comments, strong performance from Utility Operations, which is 80% of our EPS guidance, is expected to offset the anticipated decline in Midstream Investments.

I will also provide some detail on the components of our EPS guidance. For Utility Operations, the midpoint of 2016's \$0.88 to \$0.92 EPS forecast relative to \$0.79 in 2015 consists of five cents from operating income, two cents from lower interest expense and four cents from the dividend income associated with our recent preferred investment in Enable.



**Fourth Quarter and Full Year 2015 Earnings Conference Call  
February 26, 2016**

For our Midstream Investments, Enable provided their earnings forecast on their February 17 earnings call. This earnings forecast translated into \$0.19 to \$0.25 EPS for CenterPoint after accruing for income taxes. That forecast range, plus the accounting income of accretion translates into our guidance of \$0.24 to \$0.28 for the Midstream contribution to our combined 2016 EPS estimate.

There are certain factors which drive variation within the guidance range, which we have included in our disclosure and on page 21. Lower commodity prices are a primary example of this. If oil prices decline to \$20 per barrel, we anticipate EPS from Midstream Investments would be at the low end of the \$0.24 to \$0.28 range. Similarly, continued favorable interest rates versus the year-end forward curves or exceeding our goals for Continuum integration and customer retention could move our Utility Operations EPS to the high end of their range. As with 2015, we are confident in our ability to deliver within our guidance range under a variety of circumstances.

Turning to slide 22, CenterPoint's fourth quarter 2015 earnings reflects a pre-tax, non-cash impairment charge of \$984 million all related to our investment in Enable Midstream. This impairment recognizes the decline in the estimated fair value of our balance sheet investment, which was \$15.41 per unit as of September 30th, 2015. With an Enable unit price of just over \$9.00 at year end, it was appropriate for us to again review the investment for impairment. With these non-cash charges, we have reduced our balance sheet investment in Enable Midstream from \$3.6 billion to \$2.6 billion. The new per unit value of \$11.09 as of year-end is calculated using multiple methods and includes the value of the limited partner common and



**Fourth Quarter and Full Year 2015 Earnings Conference Call  
February 26, 2016**

subordinated units, general partner and incentive distribution rights. Importantly, these impairments do not affect the company's liquidity, cash flow or compliance with debt covenants. After the impairment, the equity percentage in the capital structure is 36% at CenterPoint. At the CERC level, the impairment along the recent \$363 million dividend from CERC to the holding company provides a pro-forma equity to capital of 55% at CERC.

On slide 23, we provide a forecast of our financing plans. Importantly, we do not anticipate issuing equity in 2016. Part of the reason for this is the strength of our cash flow in 2015 and our expectations for similarly strong cash flow from operations in 2016. To illustrate this, in 2015, CenterPoint made record capital investments, of nearly \$1.6 billion. Our cash flow covered all capital expenditures in 2015. As a result, our net increase in borrowings was only \$330 million in 2015. We anticipate continued strong cash flow in upcoming years, with forecasted net new debt of \$150 million by year end 2016. With this limited net increase in debt, our cash flow coverages and our balance sheet are projected to further improve relative to 2015.

During 2015, we worked to provide for a more flexible debt structure. This resulted in similar interest expense in 2015 relative to 2014 despite increased borrowings. And, as I mentioned in previous comments, we anticipate continuing to lower interest expense in 2016 with respect to income tax provisions. Slide 24 also notes our 2015 effective tax rates as well as the anticipated 36% effective tax rate for 2016.



**Fourth Quarter and Full Year 2015 Earnings Conference Call  
February 26, 2016**

I'll close by reminding you of the 25.75 cents per share quarterly dividend declared by our Board of Directors on January 20th. This represents a 4% increase over the previous quarterly dividend and marks the 11th consecutive year we have increased our dividend.

With that, I will now turn the call back over to David.

**David Mordy – Director of Investor Relations**

Thank you, Bill. We will now open the call to questions. In the interest of time, I will ask you to limit yourself to one question and a follow-up. Ginger?

Operator: Our first question is from Jeremy Tonet of J.P. Morgan.

Jeremy Tonet: Good morning; congratulations on the strong quarter. Just had a couple questions, and I apologize in advance if I'm crossing the line here as far as the discussion that you want to have with the strategic review. But was just curious if you could tell us whether the REIT consideration is just with the electric assets; or is the gas assets part of that process?

And is there anything that you could share with us as far as what steps or factors are being considered in this process and how -- any factors that you can share with us in the evaluation of the REIT structure?

Scott Prochazka: Yes, Jeremy, I hate to disappoint you, but given that we're really at the front end of this evaluation, we're not really prepared to make comments on these strategic reviews at this time. But our plan remains to update everybody once we have something to share, or a little bit later in the year.

Jeremy Tonet: Okay, great; I appreciate that. That's it for me. Thank you.

Operator: Our next question is from Neel Mitra of Tudor Pickering.

Neel Mitra: Hi, good morning. I was curious. The \$3.7 billion capital spending plan at Houston Electric, how much of that is contingent upon the 2% customer growth that so far you've been seeing? If that customer growth does come down, is the capital plan affected meaningfully?



**Fourth Quarter and Full Year 2015 Earnings Conference Call  
February 26, 2016**

- Scott Prochazka: Neel, I'll make a quick comment and then I'll ask Tracy to expand on it. The short answer to your question is, it's not linked very heavily to the customer addition number that we talked about. That has to do with the various categories in which we are investing for growth; and it goes well beyond just the addition of new meters for the residential sector. Tracy, if you'd like to add to that.
- Tracy Bridge: I really don't have much to add to that, Neel. You know that we have to plan into the future for this grid. And while we're fairly confident that we're going to continue to see strong customer growth, these capital numbers, as Scott said, are not directly linked to that.
- Scott Prochazka: Neel, for example, we're investing a lot in transmission-level infrastructure, substations, that type of thing. And those are not -- that type of growth is not linked to a residential customer addition.
- Neel Mitra: Got it. Then in regard to the strategic review, just a very general question. Is there a reasoning or thought process behind setting an expectation for specifically the second half of the year for an update? Can you maybe provide any color on that?
- Scott Prochazka: Yes, we don't have a specific timeline; but we think that there is a reasonable window in which we would expect to get back to folks with some information or conclusions from the work that we're doing. So we feel that towards the end of the year we've got a high degree of confidence we'll be at least able to update, if not provide conclusions.
- Neel Mitra: Okay, great. Thank you.
- Operator: Our next question is from Ali Agha of SunTrust.
- Ali Agha: Thank you; good morning. First question, big picture, Scott, just to understand what you guys are looking at. The strategic review, are these mutually exclusive events, thinking about exit from Enable and the REIT? Are they somehow linked?
- And also, again big picture, consistently you've been telling us that the Enable exit is very complicated by the fact that there's a huge tax liability



that would certainly come due. Has that issue been resolved, or is that still part of this review?

Scott Prochazka: Ali, going to your first question, these are independent analyses, first of all, so to answer that.

Then secondly, with respect to the question about the tax issue, the tax issue is still very much there and part of our consideration. Bill, I don't know if you want to add anything to that.

Bill Rogers: Ali, one way I think for you to think about the tax issue is to take a look at our deferred tax footnote in our 2015 Form 10-K, where you'll see an accrual estimate of a deferred tax liability of \$1.2 billion. That's derived from our accrual balance sheet estimate of the value of Enable of \$11.06, which we just described, relative to the basis in Enable tax rate. So maybe that's more information than you wanted, but that's one way you could think through what that might be if we were to sell the units for cash.

Ali Agha: Right; that's helpful. my second question, again to the 4% to 6% EPS growth guidance, since you originally articulated that the Enable outlook has gotten worse; and I think your rate base growth numbers have come down as well from previous numbers. So what has incrementally gotten better, as you are still in that same guidance with a lower rate base growth number and a worse outlook for Enable?

Scott Prochazka: Bill, I'll ask you to answer this.

Bill Rogers: Certainly. I reviewed some of this in the prepared remarks earlier, where we went from \$0.79 to the midpoint of our \$0.88 to \$0.92 for the utility operations. The three components of that, which I had addressed, were \$0.05 better income, lower interest expense of \$0.02, and \$0.04 from the preferred. The operating income is achieved through increase in revenues associated with various rate filings as well as the O&M discipline which both Tracy and Joe mentioned. But we're expecting a strong year out of both utilities. Tracy or Joe?



**Fourth Quarter and Full Year 2015 Earnings Conference Call  
February 26, 2016**

Joe McGoldrick: I think that's right, Bill. We've had a good track record in our gas utilities. And of course, with the addition or the better performance at CES over the last couple years and hopefully closing on the Continuum acquisition we continue to see growth in operating income at our gas business that is very close to the rate base growth.

Bill Rogers: Ali, suffice it for saying it one more time, this strength in the 80% of our business covers off the challenges in the 20% of our Enable Midstream portion of our business.

Scott Prochazka: And, Ali, I'll add one other comment just to remind you, back when we were first talking about this growth rate, we had indicated that we had done our own evaluation in terms of stress testing Enable's performance and our ability to hit that 4% to 6% growth rate under a number of conditions.

Ali Agha: Right, Scott; but just to be clear, your rate base growth numbers have come down. I was looking more in the five-year outlook, not just the 2016 outlook. So what has changed in your thinking that with the lower rate base growth utilities will actually grow at a faster pace than previously thought?

Bill Rogers: I think, Ali, if you'll take a look at it, the rate base growth and the operating income and EPS growth are all converging together within that 4% to 6% range. There are a variety of factors. One is thinking hard about the capital we invest after coming off of a record year -- and Joe and Tracy described why it was a record year; the regulatory lag; and the fact that in this current plan over five years we see a very modest amount of equity as part of our capital formation.

Ali Agha: Thank you.

Operator: Our next question comes from Steve Fleishman of Wolfe Research.



**Fourth Quarter and Full Year 2015 Earnings Conference Call  
February 26, 2016**

- Steve Fleishman: Hey, good morning, everyone. A couple questions. First, just a clarification, Bill, on the comments from the 10-K on the Enable deferred tax of \$1.2 billion.
- Bill Rogers: Yes?
- Steve Fleishman: Pardon this, but just, is that basically your negative tax basis in Enable? Is that equivalent to that? Or is that basic...
- Bill Rogers: I'll answer that, Steve. That number is derived from the accrual value that we have on our books, less our basis -- which you're right to suggest that it's negative -- and then multiplying by 35%.
- Steve Fleishman: Okay. Okay. Then just on the Texas economy and the impact of the energy collapse and all that stuff; obviously didn't seem to affect you at all in 2015, and so far things still seem to be growing. Can you just maybe give a little bit more color overall on just whatever data points or color on how the economy is likely to hold up, given what you're seeing?
- Scott Prochazka: Steve, I'll give you one piece of color. It took me about an hour and 20 minutes to get into work this morning because of all the traffic, which is one sign that the economy is still robust. But I'll ask Tracy to make some comments about what we look at in terms of our views on how the economy is performing.
- Tracy Bridge: Good morning, Steve. As I mentioned in my comments, the Greater Houston Partnership is projecting some 22,000 net new jobs this year. It's obviously not in the energy industry, but we realize a benefit from a more diversified economy than what we had 30 or 35 years ago, the healthcare construction industries. If you see the Houston skyline, you still see cranes all around the downtown area. So we still look at 2% growth this year. One of the notable metrics that I look at is: What are we doing with customers on a month-over-month basis? For January we saw healthy residential customer growth. And that's a sign that the economy, while it may be slowing down a little bit, it's not appearing to slow down very much. So the jury's still out. We still have 10.5 months to go. But so far so good in terms of what we're seeing with customer growth.
- Scott Prochazka: Steve, you've heard me comment, and I think Tracy's commented as well in the past about the number of crews that we have out putting infrastructure



**Fourth Quarter and Full Year 2015 Earnings Conference Call  
February 26, 2016**

into subdivisions ahead of the builders coming in and building homes. We track those crews and that activity. Right now we have more crews working now and then we did a year ago. We also track housing inventory in the area to see if there is a rise in housing inventory. And that number has stayed very, very healthy at -- being a low number; it's about 3.5 months of inventory against what many consider to be a more balanced market of about six months. And that number's really not changing that much either. So we're still seeing a lot of indicators that suggest the housing sector is still very strong and that we're not building up inventories of homes that are sitting around.

Steve Fleishman: Okay, great. Then just, I guess lastly, just -- is the dividend strategy the same, to tie into dividend growth to earnings growth through 2018?

Scott Prochazka: Yes, it is. Our messaging around this is that we're going to have dividends follow earnings; and our earnings growth target is, as we've shared with you, 4% to 6% over this period.

Steve Fleishman: Okay, great. Thank you.

Operator: Our next question is from Faisal Khan of Citigroup.

Faisal Khan: Thanks, good morning. Just wanted to make sure I understood the modest amount of equity needs with the growth in rate base. It sounds like that could all be taken care of through the DRIP program. But does that also -- does it require the distributions from Enable? Or is this independent of the Enable distributions?

Bill Rogers: Faisal, it's Bill. So I said, "modest over the five-year horizon." If you wanted to take today's equity market cap of CenterPoint and derive what modest might mean, it would be low single digits as a percentage of today's equity market cap over five years. So not in each of five years - over five.

To look at it another way, if we were to use our DRIP program and other ongoing programs, in any given year if that were maxed out that might be \$200 million of equity. We didn't use it last year or prior year, nor are we looking at it this year.

With respect to how we think about distributions to Enable and how that fits in, as Scott mentioned in his opening comments, Enable's



Fourth Quarter and Full Year 2015 Earnings Conference Call  
February 26, 2016

contribution to our cash flow was less than 20%. So it's important to us, but it's not a driver in terms of our thinking about capital formation.

Certainly if there were a reduction in that cash flow we'd have to take a hard look at our credit metrics and see if it would be appropriate to issue a little bit more equity.

Faisal Khan: Okay, that's very clear. Thank you, guys. I appreciate the time.

Operator: Our next question is from John Edwards of Credit Suisse.

John Edwards: Yes, good morning, everybody; and my parking garage in downtown Houston has more spaces than it did before. So anecdotally, there is, it looks like some impact, just to your comment earlier. But thank you for commenting on the customer growth environment.

My question then would be just on the Energy Services business. I'm just curious how you envision the Continuum acquisition, how you envision leveraging that, and maybe if you can give us a little color on the longer-term growth outlook and plans for that.

Scott Prochazka: Joe, do you want to take this?

Joe McGoldrick: Sure. Yes, John, we plan on integrating that business fairly quickly after we close. And as we pointed out, it adds about 30% to our **C&I** customer base. Their business is in very similar service territories to what we have currently, so we plan to take advantage of the scale and the reach that we would have by adding those customers and continue to really deliver on what we've been delivering on, we feel, the last few years in that business.

For example, we retained on average 92% of our customers over the last three years. And with the addition of Continuum's customers we can offer better products and services, perhaps more competitive pricing to our customers, and just continue with the success that we've enjoyed the last few years. So we're excited about this opportunity and think that the performance of the business in the last couple years demonstrates our ability to continue to grow that business as a complement to our gas utility.



**Fourth Quarter and Full Year 2015 Earnings Conference Call  
February 26, 2016**

- John Edwards: Okay, that's helpful. Could you just comment on perhaps the kinds of additional products and services that you'd be contemplating?
- Joe McGoldrick: Well, we're going to get -- once we get the business integrated we're going to look at some of the things they've been doing compared to some of the things that we've been doing and just take the best of both, in terms of giving additional services and pricing products to our customers. For example, in this low-price environment, we're starting to see a lot of customers interested in locking these prices, given the low levels. So with the bigger size of the business and some of things that both companies have been doing, we will take advantage of that and stabilize those margins over an extended period of time.
- John Edwards: Okay, that's helpful. Thank you. That's it for me.
- Operator: Our next question comes from Michael Lapedes of Goldman Sachs.
- Michael Lapedes: Hey, guys. Thank you for taking my question. Just want to -- I'm thinking about the utility and the Parent EPS growth for 2016 from 2015, and then longer-term. Because the growth from 2015 to 2016, going from \$0.79 to almost \$0.90, if I use your 4% to 6% EPS growth longer-term, that implies a much lower growth rate after 2016. I'm just kind of doing back-of-the-envelope math. Am I missing something here? Or is that fundamentally the way we should be thinking about this? Because 2015 to 2016 is almost 10% growth, so I'm just trying to think about what the growth rate is beyond that.
- Bill Rogers: Right. Michael, it's Bill. I'll start with that and Scott may have additional comments. First, the income from the preferred investment, that should continue on. The savings from lower interest expense, that should continue on. In fact there are more opportunities in 2017 and 2018 to take a look at interest expense management. And finally and most importantly, I think you'll see an acceleration of the -- or could see an acceleration of the year-on-year operating income delivered by electric and gas as they execute on the strategies which Joe and Tracy have mentioned and as the rate base comes into revenue requirement.

- Michael Lapides: But why wouldn't that lead to a higher growth rate if you're doing 10% in the first year? Because you're capturing the benefit of a lot of that stuff, the initial financing savings, the Enable preferred, you're capturing that in the 10% growth this year. I'm just curious why it wouldn't -- if there are other incremental things that are going to happen in 2017 and beyond, and you're doing 10% in the first year, why you're long-term growth rate would have been a higher number.
- Scott Prochazka: Michael, I think one way you can think of this is the items that we've executed, like the preferred, for example, that just provides a step change that continues going forward. But the base business, we're still committing to a 4% to 6% growth. So if you've had some things in here that provide a step change, like a Continuum or like the preferred, then we would intend to grow off of that higher base as established by those new levels of earnings or those new earnings amounts.
- Michael Lapides: Got it. One other thing, just on cash flow. When I think about the CenterPoint dividend, how much of that dividend comes from cash that is being upstreamed by either the Houston Electric T&D business or the Gas Distribution or Energy Services business? And how much of that dividend is either coming from the Enable contributions or from things like parent debt or other items?
- Bill Rogers: Right. Michael, that would vary from year to year, beginning with recognition that the cash distributions from Enable come into CERC, which owns the LDCs as well as our CES, CIP, business, and Enable. Then we take a look at CERC's balance sheet and determine what's the appropriate strength of that balance sheet, and through its earnings cash flow determine how much we would dividend out of CERC in any given year. As I said, after the impairment and then pro forma for the dividend distribution associated with Enable's paying down debt owed to CERC, their balance sheet is at 55%. So we start with that balance sheet. On the Houston Electric side, again, it depends upon their sources and uses of cash as well as the earnings for the year. But we target to maintain a 45% equity of the capital and then dividend funds after that. Now going to the dividend, which clearly is paid out of the Holding Company, whether there is borrowings from the Holding Company or whether it's fully sourced from CERC and Houston Electric will depend on how much dividends they make; and the borrowings at the Holding Company make up for that.



**Fourth Quarter and Full Year 2015 Earnings Conference Call  
February 26, 2016**

- Michael Lapides: Got it. Yes, I asked that question only because if I look at what's happened in the MLP world over the last six to nine months, the dividend yields of certain stocks sometimes send a signal to the market implying a potential dividend cut of the MLP. And what I'm just trying to think about – and I look at this across all the utilities we cover that own MLPs right now -- is there the risk that if there is a distribution cut at the MLP level, what that means for the utilities' Holding Company dividend level.
- Bill Rogers: Well, Scott mentioned this and I included it as a response to an earlier question. Remember that Enable is less than 20% of the cash flow of CenterPoint. Our utilities are strong and increasing their cash distributions. The second point I'd put to that is that I mentioned really very little in the way of net incremental debt in 2016; and that's associated again with the strong cash flow of our utilities. So while -- if you were suggesting there's going to be a change in the distribution from Enable, if that were to happen I don't think it has a meaningful impact on us in the near term given the relative amount of that cash flow and the strength of our balance sheet.
- Michael Lapides: Got it, Bill, thank you. I appreciate your taking the time and going into that level of detail with us, and I'll follow up afterwards.
- Operator: Our next call comes from Andrew Weisel of Macquarie.
- Andrew Weisel: Hey, good morning. Just a follow-up on that last line of questioning. If you were to divest or spin off your stake of Enable, how would you think about the dividend under that scenario?
- Scott Prochazka: If something like that were to happen, I think you'd have to rethink the whole picture. But again, it's too early to really provide any thoughts or any commentary on what that would look like, other than to say you would have to reconsider it in a more broad base.
- Andrew Weisel: Okay. Well let me ask it this way, then. Could the CenterPoint Energy without Enable support the current dividend? And maybe would you consider taking on additional debt or use potential cash proceeds to sustain the current dividend?



- Bill Rogers: Andrew, I think there are a lot of theoreticals there. So without Enable contemplates we've done something with our Enable ownership, but doesn't yet contemplate what the use of proceeds from that might be.
- Andrew Weisel: Well, I guess that's essentially what I'm asking.
- Bill Rogers: And as Scott has said, we will be back to you as we conclude these reviews in the second half of this year.
- Andrew Weisel: Okay. Understood. My other question is it looks like the 2016 through 2019 CapEx plan has come down quite a bit from what you guided to a year ago. That, in addition to the bonus depreciation that's obviously what's driving the lower rate base numbers. But on the CapEx itself, can you give us some commentary as to why the forecast has come down so sharply?
- Scott Prochazka: I'll give you some commentary, and I'll ask these other gentlemen here if they would like to add into that. Each year we sit down and go through an exercise to assess how much capital is needed in the out-years. 2015 was a very high year; some of the spend that was perhaps looked at for outer-years had been pulled forward. And we've had reductions in other areas -- public improvement, that type of thing. And then Joe mentioned earlier, we have completed some projects that are no longer going to continue into the future years. So it's really driven by the needs of the system. I will say that, as you look further out, there's less clarity about what that specific value looks like as you get out towards the end of the plan. And as we update the needs on an annual basis, you could see that number out there fluctuating based on needs for the system. Joe, Tracy, do you want to add any color to that?
- Tracy Bridge: Andrew, I'd point you to pages 27 to 32 in the appendix. I think those are the best graphical representations of the answer to your question. To make a more complicated story shorter and more digestible, two categories stand out. They are the categories in the red and the categories in the blue. They are public and system improvements and load growth. Both of those represent the majority of the change in the capital structure. And I would just point out that it's not that all the rest of the years are anomalous, it's that 2015 was unusually high, the way I see it. So I think that's the best answer to the question, but Joe may have additional to share.



**Fourth Quarter and Full Year 2015 Earnings Conference Call  
February 26, 2016**

- Joe McGoldrick: It's, Andrew, a very similar answer for gas. In 2015 there was almost \$70 million in there between the completion of our advanced meter project, public improvement that we don't expect to continue at that level, and other expenditures such as some work we were doing on new facilities and our Picarro leak detection technology, etc. So 2015 was somewhat of an anomaly from a standpoint of the level of CapEx and will go back to more normal levels. In addition to we've done a good job and worked hard to prioritize our capital especially in the near term. But we're meeting all of our needs in terms of integrity management CapEx, etc., and have an aggressive strategy still to replace cast-iron and bare steel and so on.
- Scott Prochazka: Andrew, it also can be very difficult -- both these gentlemen mentioned that it can be very difficult to forecast public improvement dollar spend as that's something that is influenced by other activities around us. So that's certainly one of the categories.
- I do want to highlight, too, though that while the spend is reduced from prior plans and the rate base growth is impacted by not just the spend but by bonus depreciation, we're essentially working hard to optimize and maintain the earnings at prior levels that we've been talking about.
- Andrew Weisel: Got it, that makes a lot of sense. Thank you.
- Operator: Our next question comes from Charles Fishman of Morningstar.
- Charles Fishman: If I could just follow-up on that last question, though, yes, 2015 is higher. But if I can compare your new five-year plan with the old five-year plan, 2016 through 2019 the CapEx numbers for both T&D and Gas Distribution are down 15%, 20%. Is that all coming from this public improvements area? I haven't had time to look at those slides in the appendix.
- Scott Prochazka: Charles, those are major contributors to it, but they are not the only. There's also some reductions as our folks look at the systems, particularly on the electric side; some reductions associated with estimates around the growth capital that's needed to fill the needs of the system over this period. And Joe, was there another -- was there any other point for the gas business other than the public improvements?



**Fourth Quarter and Full Year 2015 Earnings Conference Call  
February 26, 2016**

Joe McGoldrick: As we've looked at prioritizing that capital and especially replacing old pipe and old infrastructure, part of what we're doing is taking advantage of our risk-based system that we've had in place in the past, but really fine-tuning it to be very systematic in the way we go about that. In other words, we want to replace the riskiest pipe first. So as we've gotten more clarity around how that occurs, we've actually determined that it doesn't need to be as much capital as we thought just a year ago. So that's another factor in our budget.

Charles Fishman: Scott, when you went into the annual planning process, did you ask your key people, because we're not as certain about what's going to happen with distributions to Enable, to reduce -- to essentially extend out some of these projects that you had originally planned a year ago? Is that some of what's going on?

Scott Prochazka: No, this is driven primarily by the assessments that boil up from the organization about the CapEx that's needed for things like maintenance, reliability, growth. And those requirements and those inputs change year-to-year as the engineers are looking at the demands on the system and projects that are coming and going, where they are being sited. As you look further out I think it becomes less clear as to exactly what's going to occur in those particular years. And as we revise this again this coming year, you could see some fluctuations in those out-years like we've seen here. But we build this based on the operators' input of what's needed to run these systems safely and reliably.

Charles Fishman: But your plan going forward then is to update the five-year plan every year, just once a year?

Scott Prochazka: Yes, that's been our practice.

Charles Fishman: Okay, thank you.

Operator: Our next question is from Kamal Patel of Wells Fargo.

Kamal Patel: Morning, everyone. First of all, thanks for adding slide 33; provides some clarity on the rate case timeline. Bill, this question is probably more for you. Trying to get an idea some of these debt numbers. The refi of \$600 at Houston, I'm guessing that's refi-ing of short-term debt. Then the debt maturities at CenterPoint or -- and CERC, is that going to be refi-ed? Or is that pending some clarity on the strategic review?



**Fourth Quarter and Full Year 2015 Earnings Conference Call  
February 26, 2016**

- Bill Rogers: Sure, so -- right. Today, we have approximately \$1 billion in short-term debt outstanding against just over \$6 billion in total debt. So we have a conservative interest rate risk profile. Having said that, we recognize at Houston Electric, that it's appropriate to term out some of that debt. So the \$600 million that we're terming out is just that: it's largely terming out existing short-term borrowings. Plus Houston Electric will be a borrower this year; it has a sizable CapEx program. Then the maturities, we have one maturity this year at CERC, and then we have maturity next year at CERC and at the Holding Company. We'll take a look at how those might be refinanced as they come due and in the context of our balance sheet.
- As I said in my prepared remarks, inclusive of our pending acquisition of Continuum, we only expect to have incremental borrowings this year of \$150 million. Does that help?
- Kamal Patel: Okay, thank you.
- Operator: Our last question is from Paul Patterson of Glenrock Associates.
- Paul Patterson: Good morning. You guys have answered a lot of questions. I really have one very simple one, and I apologize for not getting this clear. But the \$2.6 billion that's associated with the current carrying value at Enable, what is the tax basis on that? If you could break that down to me, what would be the equivalent tax basis, vis-a-vis the \$11.06 or \$2.6 billion?
- Bill Rogers: Paul, this is Bill. Just to clarify, were you working to connect the impairment relative to the tax?
- Paul Patterson: I'm working to figure out what you guys are currently carrying at Enable in terms of on a tax basis, if you were to actually do a taxable transaction associated with --.



**Fourth Quarter and Full Year 2015 Earnings Conference Call  
February 26, 2016**

- Bill Rogers: Got it. The impairment of our investment in Enable is related to taxes that we might pay should we sell Enable for cash; and they are accrual estimates, right? So that we took an impairment charge based upon year-end factors and brought down the balance sheet investment in Enable to \$11.06. And then when we took down that balance sheet investment we needed to update within our deferred taxes what that deferred tax would be. So both are accrual estimates. And the deferred tax estimate is based both upon the balance sheet number as well as our current tax basis at Enable. On an earlier call we were asked if that's negative. In fact, it is. We can help you through that math, but those are the factors. The actual tax obligation should we consider a cash sale would be based upon the proceeds at that time and a basis at the time.
- Paul Patterson: The tax basis is what?
- Bill Rogers: The current tax basis?
- Paul Patterson: Yes.
- Bill Rogers: The way to derive that is, again, take \$11.06, the \$1.2 billion in the tax footnote, and 35%; and you can derive a tax basis that is negative several hundred million dollars.
- Paul Patterson: Okay. Okay; thanks so much. Sorry for the clarification request. Thanks so much.
- David Mordy: I believe that was our final question. Thank you, everyone, for your interest in CenterPoint Energy. We now conclude our fourth-quarter 2015 earnings call. Have a nice day.