



**Notice of 2008 Annual Meeting of Stockholders
Proxy Statement
Annual Report on Form 10-K for the
Fiscal Year Ended March 31, 2008**

Stockholder Letter

(This page intentionally left blank)

To Our Stockholders,

FY08 was a good year for both the interactive entertainment industry and for EA. In the past calendar year, total software sales grew 26 percent to more than \$33 billion. A few short years ago, gaming was almost entirely about packaged goods games made for four or five platforms. Today, games generate revenue on over 10 platforms including consoles, PCs, handhelds and mobile phones. In addition to purchasing discs in stores, consumers pay for content via downloads, subscriptions and micro-transactions.

Systems like the Wii from Nintendo are leading the way for the industry to capture new audiences — tens of millions of women, seniors and children who were largely unreachable just three years ago. Sony's PLAYSTATION 3 and Microsoft's Xbox 360 enable graphic fidelity that is beyond what many imagined possible five years ago.

Accessible games like *Rock Band* and EA's *Pogo* service are reaching whole new communities of players. Online games are burgeoning around the globe and particularly in Asia where millions of players meet, compete and form communities in rich massive multi-player worlds.

We believe these trends suggest the audience for interactive games can grow from a few hundred million today to as many as two billion in the next decade.

Fiscal 08 Review

EA's performance in FY08 tracked, and in some respects exceeded, the industry's growth. We delivered improved financial results, made good progress on operational imperatives and set a strategic framework for dynamic growth in the next three years.

Our performance was particularly encouraging in that some key negative trends were reversed. After three years of flat sales, we added \$574 million in GAAP revenue, an increase of 19 percent, and nearly \$1 billion in non-GAAP revenue, an increase of 30 percent. We exceeded our top-line revenue guidance for the fiscal year and delivered within our bottom-line guidance range. We are very pleased with the strength of our revenue growth in FY08, however, there is room to improve on profitability.

After experiencing segment share losses in early FY08, we reversed that trend in the back half of the year. This was done in part with the introduction of new intellectual properties such as *Army of Two*, an innovative game from our Montreal Studio which sold more than 1.8 million copies in its debut. Another success was *Burnout Paradise*, a critically acclaimed game from our Criterion Studio which sold 1.5 million copies in the fiscal year.

A major contributor to EA's improved segment share performance in the second half of the year was the resurgence of our EA Partners business, which combines EA's powerful publishing capacity with the creative output of innovative independent game developers. In FY08 we launched critically acclaimed games like *Crysis* with Crytek and *The Orange Box* with Valve. *Rock Band*, which we released in partnership with MTV Networks and Harmonix, was a huge hit.

Our digital direct-to-consumer business, which includes mobile and online, delivered \$342 million in revenue — up over 25 percent. Within that, online revenue was up with the continued expansion of digital downloads and micro-transactions, including the launch of *EA SPORTS FIFA Online 2* in Asia. Our *Pogo* online service is a particularly bright spot in our direct-to-consumer story, generating \$100 million in revenue last year.

In mobile, despite challenges in the first half of the year, we got the business back on track. Our revenue was up seven percent for the full year with the back half up 11 percent year-over-year. We rebuilt our relationship with T-Mobile and launched new games under our Hasbro license. Like other platforms, success on mobile is defined by big hits and we're pleased that our title, *MONOPOLY HERE AND NOW*, is a top-ten seller on each of the four largest carriers in North America.

We also took steps to address EA's cost structure. We closed a studio facility in Chicago and consolidated our UK development operations into one facility. We moved headcount to low-cost locations and increased the focus on outsourcing. And we consolidated our online technology initiatives into a centralized team that will service each label with a common platform.

Finally, we executed on two major strategic initiatives. We completed the acquisition of BioWare and Pandemic Studios, which together brought to EA 10 new intellectual properties and added to our team of incredibly talented people. We also announced a long-term partnership with Hasbro whereby EA will develop content from Hasbro's incredible stable of properties such as *MONOPOLY*, *NERF* and *LITTLEST PET SHOP*. We expect each of these initiatives to add to EA's profitable growth for years to come.

Net, we're pleased with our progress on the top line and put in place strategies that we believe will accelerate profitable growth in the future.

Our Change Agenda

We began FY08 with the recognition that recent business trends — technology transformation, shifting consumer preferences and the competitive landscape — called for a big change at EA. To address these, we outlined four clear strategic objectives for the next three years.

1. **Increase Segment Shares** — We set a long-term goal to increase our packaged goods segment share from the mid-teens to the low 20's on a global basis.
2. **Improve Margins With Cost Efficiency and Increased Productivity** — We established goals to substantially increase our operating margins.
3. **Expand Digital Revenue** — While we will continue to build our traditional packaged goods business, digital direct-to-consumer business models of subscription, digital downloads, micro-transactions and advertising will be a major part of EA's revenue mix in the years to come.
4. **Execute Smart Mergers and Acquisitions** — Smart M&A brings new creative teams and intellectual properties which can be leveraged by the unique strength of EA's global publishing infrastructure. In addition, we are also targeting transactions that will accelerate our move to digital direct-to-consumer revenue streams.

To deliver on these strategic objectives, we re-organized our Company and brought in key new leaders.

We created four labels, each focused on a particular set of consumers, properties and development teams. The key here is focus — each label brings together studios and product marketing teams with a common mission of creating great content and growing the business in a profitable way.

EA CASUAL ENTERTAINMENT LABEL — led by industry veteran **Kathy Vrabeck**, is responsible for establishing a leadership position in the fast-growing market for games played by families, women and kids. They are focused on lighter games and platforms like mobile and casual online gaming.

EA GAMES LABEL — **Frank Gibeau**, an executive with an incredible eye for games, is responsible for growing our largest label. EA Games includes powerhouses like *Need for Speed*, massive multi-player games like *Warhammer Online*, new properties like *SPORE* and independent games published through EA Partners. This label is chartered with making blockbuster titles that appeal to core gamers around the world.

THE SIMS LABEL — led by 24-year EA veteran **Nancy Smith**, is the group that established the template for our re-organization. The Sims team is focused on expanding the brand by creating experiences that allow our users to be creative and social in their game play. The Sims brand recently sold their 100 millionth disc — a huge milestone for our industry.

EA SPORTS LABEL — led by another industry leader and innovator, **Peter Moore**, is focused on extending the reach and profitability of the most widely recognized brand in interactive entertainment. With both league licenses and original properties, they start every season with a new game packed with innovation and updated features, players, teams and stadiums. Every year, EA SPORTS games just keep getting better.

We also appointed **John Pleasants**, an executive with deep experience in both traditional and online businesses, as EA's COO and President of Global Publishing. This role consolidates responsibility for our global publishing business and provides leadership to drive the Company's digital transformation.

Finally, we welcomed a new Chief Financial Officer, **Eric Brown**. Eric will lead our efforts in Finance and IT globally and will be a driver of initiatives to improve efficiency and deliver better margins.

While it's too soon to declare victory, there are early signs that the new structure is working. There are more new games in development at EA than at any other time in our history. Quality scores are trending up. And a recent employee survey confirmed that EA is now a better place to work.

Looking Ahead — FY09

EA is projecting FY09 to be another year of big growth on both the top and bottom line. This growth will be enabled by the new team and organization approach and by the strongest and largest slate of titles ever delivered in EA's history. In total, we are forecasting to ship over 150 SKUs in FY09 — up more than 35 from last year.

This year's game portfolio includes innovative sequels and extensions to some of our most popular franchises, including:

- ***MySims Kingdom*** from the Sims team. This title follows last year's successful launch of the MySims franchise which was exclusive to the Nintendo platforms.
- ***NBA Live*** — this basketball title staged a big comeback last year. Breakthrough innovations being developed this year promise another great experience.
- ***Battlefield: Bad Company*** — One of our most popular PC franchises is moving to the Xbox 360 and PLAYSTATION 3. Early reviews from critics have been very strong.

We are also developing the largest slate of brand new properties in EA's history. Among the 15 new titles we expect to launch are:

- ***Mirror's Edge*** — from our DICE Studio in Sweden — this game is hailed by critics for its fresh look and innovative game play.
- ***Dead Space*** — a sci-fi horror game from our Redwood Shores Studio that is complemented with a serial online graphic novel.
- ***SimAnimals*** — a new property with animal protagonists for the Nintendo platforms.
- ***FaceBreaker*** — this new, humorous, arcade-style boxing game will be the first title to launch under the recently-announced EA SPORTS Freestyle sub-brand and is the first new wholly-owned game from EA SPORTS since 2002.
- ***SPORE*** — a highly anticipated title from the Maxis team. When SPORE debuts in September, it will be supported with a rich menu of digital downloads and community-related features.

In addition — we have increased our slate for the Nintendo platforms. This year we plan to ship roughly 40 games for the Wii and Nintendo DS systems — up from 26 last year. We have specifically tailored versions of our core franchises — such as our whole new line up from EA SPORTS under the All Play sub-brand. In addition, we have Nintendo exclusive titles — including *Boom Blox*, *SimAnimals* and *Skate It*.

In FY09, we will deliver on the promise of two important deals we made in FY08 — Hasbro and VGH.

The Hasbro agreement offers a good example of how EA can vault into leadership in a new genre with a smart partnership. This year with Hasbro, we will launch a series of titles including *NERF N-STRIKE*, *Hasbro Family Game Night* and *LITTLEST PET SHOP* on multiple platforms. In FY09, we will also introduce Hasbro properties on social networks like Facebook.

The VGH acquisition brought us BioWare and Pandemic Studios — two of the most talented developers in our industry. BioWare recently released *Mass Effect* for the PC and is now developing a new role-playing game, *Dragon Age*. The Pandemic team in Los Angeles is set to release *Mercenaries 2* late this summer and a new game, *Saboteur*.

We also expect to see growth from our digital direct-to-consumer revenue streams. *Battlefield Heroes*, a micro-transaction based online game and *Warhammer Online* will launch this fall. And our BioWare team is working with LucasArts on another new massive multi-player online game.

Our *Pogo* online site is generating revenue with a host of business models. Today, *Pogo* has 1.6 million subscribers and is the highest engagement game website. In FY09 we plan to expand our offering by adding new games and more online community features.

EA's mobile team will launch a slate of new titles for handsets including *SPORE*, *Tetris Pop*, *Sims3*, *TRIVIAL PURSUIT*, *Kung Fu Panda* and many more.

These games and services will help us grow in FY09. In short, we've got the right strategy and the team is in place. Now, it is time to execute — to deliver on the innovation, creativity and quality that drive great financial results.

Beyond FY09

Games are quickly evolving from a product you buy to a place that you go. They are moving beyond a solitary activity to a more social experience. They used to be restricted to the family room, now you can take them wherever you go. Increasingly, games will serve as social networks where millions of consumers go to compete, exchange information, download content, socialize with their friends, meet and engage with new friends and competitors.

Our industry is moving beyond a large niche business to become a true global mass market. I believe that Electronic Arts is in a unique position to lead in this evolving market.

I want to finish by thanking our employees, shareholders, retail and content partners, and, most of all, our consumers for the confidence and support they have shown in our company.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "John S. Riccitiello", followed by a long horizontal line extending to the right.

John S. Riccitiello
Chief Executive Officer

This Letter to Stockholders, the discussion under the headings "Business" and "Management's Discussion and Analysis" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2008, and the discussion under the heading "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" in our 2008 Proxy Statement contain forward-looking statements about future events and circumstances that have not yet occurred and are subject to change. All statements, trend analyses and other information related to industry prospects, our products and services, and trends in our financial performance, as well as other statements including words such as "anticipate", "believe", "estimate", "expect", "intend" (and the negative of any of these terms) and statements about the future or in the future tense are forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements are subject to business and economic risks and uncertainties that could cause actual events and future results to differ materially from the expectations set forth in the forward-looking statements. Some of the factors that could cause actual events and future results to differ materially from our expectations include, but are not limited to, those described under the heading "Risk Factors" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2008. These forward-looking statements are made as of June 17, 2008. We undertake no obligation to update these forward-looking statements.

**Notice of 2008 Annual Meeting
and Proxy Statement**

(This page intentionally left blank)



June 17, 2008

DEAR FELLOW STOCKHOLDERS:

You are cordially invited to join us at our 2008 Annual Meeting of Stockholders on July 31, 2008 at 2:00 p.m. The meeting will be held at the headquarters campus of Electronic Arts in Building 250 (please note that the street address for Building 250 is 250 Shoreline Drive, Redwood City, California). For your convenience, we are also pleased to offer a live webcast of our Annual Meeting on the Investor Relations section of our web site at *investor.ea.com*. At this meeting, we are asking the stockholders to:

- Elect eight directors;
- Approve amendments to our 2000 Equity Incentive Plan and 2000 Employee Stock Purchase Plan; and
- Ratify the appointment of KPMG LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal 2009.

After the meeting, we will report on our recent performance and answer your questions.

Details regarding admission to the meeting and the business to be conducted are described in the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials you received in the mail and in this proxy statement. We have also made available a copy of our Annual Report for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2008 with this proxy statement. We encourage you to read our Annual Report. It includes our audited financial statements and provides information about our business and products.

You may have noticed changes in the way we are providing proxy materials to our stockholders in connection with our 2008 Annual Meeting. This is because we have elected to provide access to our proxy materials over the Internet under the Securities and Exchange Commission's new "notice and access" rules. By providing our proxy materials over the Internet, we hope to conserve natural resources and reduce the environmental impact of our Annual Meeting, expedite the delivery of this important information to you, and reduce our printing and mailing costs. For further information, please see the Commonly Asked Questions and Answers section of this proxy statement.

Your vote is important. Whether or not you plan to attend the Annual Meeting, we hope you will vote as soon as possible. You may vote over the Internet, by telephone or, if you requested to receive printed proxy materials, by mailing a proxy or voting instruction card. Please review the instructions on each of your voting options described in this proxy statement as well as in the Notice you received in the mail.

Thank you for your ongoing support of Electronic Arts. We look forward to seeing you at the 2008 Annual Meeting.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'John S. Riccitiello', with a long horizontal line extending to the right.

John S. Riccitiello
Chief Executive Officer

(This page intentionally left blank)

Notice of 2008 Annual Meeting of Stockholders

DATE: July 31, 2008

TIME: 2:00 p.m.

PLACE: ELECTRONIC ARTS' HEADQUARTERS

Building 250*
209 Redwood Shores Parkway
Redwood City, CA 94065

** Please note: Building 250 is located on the headquarters campus at 250 Shoreline Drive*

MATTERS TO BE VOTED UPON:

1. The election of eight directors to hold office for a one-year term;
2. Amendments to the 2000 Equity Incentive Plan;
3. Amendments to the 2000 Employee Stock Purchase Plan;
4. Ratification of the appointment of KPMG LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal 2009; and
5. Any other matters that may properly come before the meeting.

OUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS YOU VOTE FOR EACH OF THE NOMINEES AND FOR EACH PROPOSAL.

Any action on the items of business described above may be considered at the Annual Meeting at the time and on the date specified above or at any time and date to which the Annual Meeting may be properly adjourned or postponed.

Stockholders of record as of the close of business on June 9, 2008 are entitled to notice of the meeting and to attend and vote at the meeting. A complete list of these stockholders will be available at Electronic Arts' headquarters prior to the meeting.

By Order of the Board of Directors,



Stephen G. Bené
*Senior Vice President, General Counsel
and Secretary*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
PROXY STATEMENT	1
VOTING YOUR SHARES	1
COMMONLY ASKED QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS	2
PROPOSAL 1 — ELECTION OF DIRECTORS	6
Required Vote and Board of Directors’ Recommendation	6
Director Biographies	7
Director Independence	8
Board, Board Meetings, and Committees	9
Audit Committee	9
Compensation Committee	10
Nominating and Governance Committee	10
Corporate Governance Guidelines	11
Global Code of Conduct	12
Director Attendance at Annual Meetings	12
Stockholder Communications with the Board of Directors	12
Director Compensation and Stock Ownership Guidelines	12
FISCAL 2008 DIRECTOR COMPENSATION TABLE	14
PROPOSAL 2 — AMENDMENTS TO THE 2000 EQUITY INCENTIVE PLAN	16
PROPOSAL 3 — AMENDMENTS TO THE 2000 EMPLOYEE STOCK PURCHASE PLAN	18
PROPOSAL 4 — RATIFICATION OF THE APPOINTMENT OF KPMG LLP, INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM	19
REPORT OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS	20
PRINCIPAL STOCKHOLDERS	22
COMPENSATION OF EXECUTIVE OFFICERS	24
Compensation Discussion and Analysis	24
Compensation Committee Report on Executive Compensation	37
Summary Compensation Table	38
Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table	40
Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-end	42
Option Exercises and Stock Vested Table	43
Potential Payments Upon Change of Control	44
EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION	44
RELATED PERSON TRANSACTIONS POLICY	46
CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED PERSON TRANSACTIONS	46
COMPENSATION COMMITTEE INTERLOCKS AND INSIDER PARTICIPATION	47
SECTION 16(A) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE	47
STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS FOR 2009 ANNUAL MEETING	47
HOUSEHOLDING OF PROXY MATERIALS	48
REQUESTS TO THE COMPANY	48
OTHER BUSINESS	48
APPENDIX A — GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THE 2000 EQUITY INCENTIVE PLAN	A-1
APPENDIX B — GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THE 2000 EMPLOYEE STOCK PURCHASE PLAN	B-1

PROXY STATEMENT

Our Board of Directors is soliciting proxies for the 2008 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. The proxy materials, including this proxy statement, proxy card and voting instructions, contain important information for you to consider when deciding how to vote on the matters brought before the meeting. Please read them carefully.

The Board has set June 9, 2008 as the record date for the meeting. Stockholders who owned common stock on that date are entitled to notice of the meeting, and to attend and vote at the meeting, with each share entitled to one vote. There were 318,567,924 shares of common stock outstanding on the record date.

In accordance with rules and regulations recently adopted by the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"), we have elected to provide access to our proxy materials to our stockholders by providing such documents on the Internet. The Notice of Annual Meeting, proxy statement, our 2008 Annual Report and form of proxy were distributed and/or made available via the Internet to stockholders on or about June 17, 2008. Stockholders will have the ability to access the proxy materials on a website referred to in the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials (the "Notice") or request a printed set of the proxy materials be sent to them, by following the instructions in the Notice.

The Notice will also provide instructions on how to inform us to send future proxy materials to you electronically by email or in printed form by mail. If you choose to receive future proxy materials by email, you will receive an email next year with instructions containing a link to those materials and a link to the proxy voting site. Your election to receive proxy materials by email or printed form by mail will remain in effect until you terminate it. ***We encourage you to choose to receive future proxy materials by email. Doing so will allow us to provide you with the information you need in a more timely manner, will save us the cost of printing and mailing documents to you, and will help conserve natural resources.***

In this proxy statement:

- "EA", "we", "our" and "the Company" mean Electronic Arts Inc.
- "2000 Equity Plan" and "Equity Plan" mean EA's 2000 Equity Incentive Plan.
- "2000 Purchase Plan" and "Purchase Plan" mean EA's 2000 Employee Stock Purchase Plan.
- "Executive Bonus Plan" means the Electronic Arts Inc. Executive Bonus Plan.
- Holding shares in "street name" means your EA shares are held in an account at a bank, brokerage firm or other nominee.
- "Common stock" means EA's common stock, as described in EA's current Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation.
- "Fiscal 2009", "fiscal 2008", "fiscal 2007", "fiscal 2006", "fiscal 2005", and "fiscal 2004" refer to EA's fiscal years ending or ended (as the case may be) on March 31, 2009, 2008, 2007, 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively.
- We use "independent auditors" to refer to an independent registered public accounting firm.
- "Annual Report" and "2008 Annual Report" refer to our annual report for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2008.
- Unless otherwise noted, all share and per-share information has been adjusted to reflect the September 2000 and November 2003 two-for-one splits of our common stock.

VOTING YOUR SHARES

Your vote is very important. Whether or not you plan to attend the Annual Meeting, we encourage you to read this proxy statement and submit your proxy or voting instructions as soon as possible. For specific instructions on how to vote your shares, please refer to the instructions on the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials you received in the mail, the section entitled Commonly Asked Questions and Answers set forth

below in this proxy statement or, if you requested to receive printed proxy materials, your enclosed proxy card.

COMMONLY ASKED QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Why am I receiving these materials?

Our board of directors has made these materials available to you on the Internet or, upon your request, has delivered printed proxy materials to you in connection with the solicitation of proxies for use at our 2008 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, which will take place on Thursday, July 31, 2008 at 2:00 p.m. local time, at our corporate headquarters in Redwood City, California. This proxy statement describes proposals on which you, as a stockholder, are being asked to vote. It also gives you information on these proposals, as well as other information so that you can make an informed decision. As a stockholder, you are invited to attend the Annual Meeting and are requested to vote on the items of business described in this proxy statement.

Why did I receive a notice in the mail regarding the Internet availability of proxy materials instead of a full set of proxy materials?

In accordance with rules recently adopted by the SEC, we may now furnish proxy materials, including this proxy statement and our annual report, to our stockholders by providing access to such documents on the Internet instead of mailing printed copies. Most stockholders will not receive printed copies of the proxy materials unless they request them. Instead, the Notice, which was mailed to most of our stockholders, provides instructions as to how to access and review all of the proxy materials on the Internet. The Notice also instructs how you may submit your proxy on the Internet. If you would like to receive a paper or email copy of our proxy materials, you should follow the instructions for requesting such materials in the Notice.

Can I vote my shares by filling out and returning the Notice?

No, however, the Notice provides instructions on how to vote by Internet, by telephone, by requesting and returning a paper proxy card, or by submitting a ballot in person at the meeting.

Who can vote at the Annual Meeting?

Stockholders who owned common stock on June 9, 2008 may attend and vote at the Annual Meeting. If your shares are registered directly in your name with our transfer agent, Wells Fargo Shareowner Services, you are considered, with respect to those shares, the shareowner of record. As the shareowner of record, you have the right to vote in person at the meeting. If your shares are held in a brokerage account or by another nominee or trustee, you are considered the beneficial owner of shares held in street name. As the beneficial owner, you are also invited to attend the meeting. Since a beneficial owner is not the shareowner of record, you may not vote these shares in person at the meeting unless you obtain a "legal proxy" from your broker, nominee, or trustee that holds your shares, giving you the right to vote the shares at the meeting. Each share of common stock is entitled to one vote.

What am I voting on?

We are asking you to:

- Elect eight directors;
- Approve amendments to the 2000 Equity Incentive Plan to (a) increase the number of shares authorized under the Equity Plan by 2,185,000 shares, (b) replace the specific limitation on the number of shares that may be granted as restricted stock or restricted stock unit awards with an alternate method of calculating share usage (*i.e.*, each share of restricted stock or restricted stock unit granted on or after July 31, 2008 will reduce the number of shares remaining available for issuance by 1.82 shares, and each share underlying stock options and stock appreciation rights granted after July 31, 2008 will reduce the number of shares remaining available for issuance by 1 share), (c) add additional

performance measures to the list of performance factors for use in granting performance-based equity under the Equity Plan, and (d) extend the term of the Equity Plan by an additional ten years to 2020;

- Approve amendments to the 2000 Employee Stock Purchase Plan to (a) increase by 1.5 million the number of shares of common stock reserved for issuance under the Purchase Plan, and (b) eliminate the ten year Purchase Plan termination date; and
- Ratify the appointment of KPMG LLP as our independent auditors for fiscal 2009.

How do I vote my shares if I won't be able to attend the Annual Meeting in person?

You do not need to attend the Annual Meeting in person in order to vote. You may, instead, vote over the Internet, by telephone or by mail (if you have requested printed proxy materials). By doing so, you are giving a proxy appointing John S. Riccitiello (the Company's Chief Executive Officer) and Eric F. Brown (the Company's Chief Financial Officer) to vote your shares at the meeting as you have instructed. If a proposal comes up for vote at the meeting for which you have not indicated an instruction, Mr. Riccitiello and Mr. Brown will vote your shares according to their best judgment. Even if you currently plan to attend the meeting, it is a good idea to vote on the Internet, by telephone or, if you received printed proxy materials, to complete and return your proxy card before the meeting date just in case your plans change.

- ***By Internet or Telephone*** — If you have telephone or Internet access, you may submit your proxy by following the instructions provided in the Notice or, if you received a printed version of the proxy materials by mail, by following the instructions provided with your proxy materials and on your proxy card or voting instruction card.
- ***By Mail*** — If you request printed proxy materials, you may submit your proxy by mail by signing your proxy card or, for shares held in street name, by following the voting instructions included by your stockbroker, trustee or nominee, and mailing it in the enclosed, postage-paid envelope. If you provide specific voting instructions, your shares will be voted as you have instructed.

What does it mean if I receive more than one Notice or proxy card?

It means that you have multiple accounts at the transfer agent or with stockbrokers. Please complete and return all proxy cards, or follow the instructions on each Notice to vote by telephone or over the Internet, to ensure that all your shares are voted.

What if I change my mind after I give my proxy?

You may revoke your proxy and change your vote at any time before the polls close at the meeting. You may do this by:

- Sending a signed statement to the Company that the proxy is revoked (you may send such a statement to the Company's Secretary at our corporate headquarters address listed on the Notice of 2008 Annual Meeting of Stockholders);
- Signing another proxy with a later date;
- Voting by telephone or on the Internet at any time prior to 11:59 p.m. Eastern Time on July 30, 2008 (your latest vote is counted); or
- Voting in person at the meeting.

Your proxy will not be revoked if you attend the meeting but do not vote.

Who will count the votes?

A representative of Wells Fargo Shareowner Services will tabulate the votes and act as the inspector of election.

How many shares must be present to hold the meeting?

To hold the meeting and conduct business, a majority of EA's outstanding voting shares as of June 9, 2008 must be present or represented by proxies at the meeting. On this date, a total of 318,567,924 shares of common stock were outstanding and entitled to vote. Shares representing a majority, or 159,283,963 shares, of these votes must be present. This is called a quorum.

Shares are counted as present at the meeting if:

- They are voted in person at the meeting, or
- The stockholder has voted via the Internet, by telephone or properly submitted a proxy card.

How are votes counted?

You may vote "for", "against" or "abstain" on each of the proposals. Abstentions, although counted for purposes of determining whether a quorum is present, will not be counted for any other purpose. If you sign and return your proxy without voting instructions, your shares will be counted as a "for" vote in favor of each nominee and in favor of each of the other proposals.

How many votes must the nominees have to be elected as directors?

In an uncontested election (*i.e.*, an election in which EA's Corporate Secretary has not received timely and proper notice from a stockholder indicating an intention to nominate one or more candidates to compete with the Board's nominees), EA's bylaws require each nominee to receive more votes cast "for" than "against" his or her election or re-election in order to be elected or re-elected to the Board. We expect that the election to be held at the 2008 Annual Meeting will be an uncontested election. In the event that the number of nominees for director exceeds the number of directors to be elected, however, directors will instead be elected by a plurality of the votes cast, meaning that the persons receiving the highest number of "for" votes, up to the total number of directors to be elected at the Annual Meeting, will be elected.

In accordance with our Corporate Governance Guidelines, the Board expects an incumbent director to tender his or her resignation if he or she fails to receive the required number of votes for re-election in an uncontested election. In such an event, the Nominating and Governance Committee will act on an expedited basis to determine whether to accept the director's resignation and will submit such recommendation for prompt consideration by the Board. The Board expects the director whose resignation is under consideration to abstain from participating in any decision regarding that resignation. The Nominating and Governance Committee and the Board may consider any factors they deem relevant in deciding whether to recommend/accept a director's resignation. The Board will act on the Nominating and Governance Committee's recommendation within 90 days from the date of the certification of election results and will publicly disclose its decision promptly thereafter.

Shares represented by your proxy will be voted by EA's management "for" the election of the eight nominees recommended by EA's Board of Directors unless you vote against any or all of such nominees or you mark your proxy to "abstain" from so voting.

What happens if one or more of the nominees is unable to stand for election?

The Board may reduce the number of directors or select a substitute nominee. In the latter case, if you have completed and returned your proxy card, Mr. Riccitiello and Mr. Brown shall have the discretion to vote your shares for a substitute nominee. They cannot vote for more than eight nominees.

How many votes are required to pass the amendments to the 2000 Equity Plan and 2000 Purchase Plan, and to ratify the Company's selection of independent auditors?

The Equity Plan and Purchase Plan amendments, and the ratification of independent auditors must receive a "for" vote of a majority of the voting shares present at the meeting in person or by proxy and voting for or

against these proposals. Abstentions and broker non-votes will have no effect on the outcome of these proposals.

Where do I find the voting results of the meeting?

We will announce preliminary voting results at the meeting. We will also publish the final results in a quarterly report on Form 10-Q, which we will file with the SEC. Once filed, you can request a copy of the Form 10-Q by contacting our Investor Relations department at (650) 628-7352 or the SEC at (800) SEC-0330 for the location of its nearest public reference room. You can also get a copy on the Internet at <http://investor.ea.com> or through the SEC's electronic data system called EDGAR at www.sec.gov.

Who will pay for this proxy solicitation?

We will bear the costs of soliciting proxies from our stockholders. These costs include preparing, assembling, printing, mailing and distributing the Notices, proxy statements, proxy cards and annual reports. If you choose to access the proxy materials and/or vote over the Internet, you are responsible for Internet access charges you may incur. If you choose to vote by telephone, you are responsible for telephone charges you may incur. In addition, some of our officers, directors, employees and other agents may also solicit proxies personally, by telephone and by electronic and regular mail, and we will pay these costs as well. EA will also reimburse brokerage houses and other custodians for their reasonable out-of-pocket expenses for forwarding proxy and solicitation materials to the beneficial owners of common stock.

Whom can I call with any questions about my shares?

If you hold shares in "street name", you may contact your broker. If you don't own your shares through a broker but are a shareholder of record, you may also call our transfer agent, Wells Fargo Shareowner Services, at 1-800-468-9716 (or 1-651-450-4064 for international callers) or visit their web site at www.wellsfargo.com/shareownerservices.

PROPOSALS TO BE VOTED ON

PROPOSAL 1. ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

At the Annual Meeting, stockholders will elect eight directors to hold office for a one-year term until the next Annual Meeting (or until their respective successors are elected and qualified). All nominees have consented to serve a one-year term, if elected.

In May 2008, Timothy Mott announced his retirement from the Board, effective as of the commencement of the 2008 Annual Meeting, and therefore will not be standing for re-election. Accordingly, immediately upon Mr. Mott's retirement at the commencement of the 2008 Annual Meeting, the authorized size of our Board will be reduced to eight directors.

The Board has nominated the following directors to stand for re-election:

- Leonard S. Coleman
- Gary M. Kusin
- Gregory B. Maffei
- Vivek Paul
- Lawrence F. Probst III
- John S. Riccitiello
- Richard A. Simonson
- Linda J. Srere

Required Vote and Board of Directors' Recommendation

In accordance with our bylaws, if EA's Corporate Secretary has not received timely and proper notice from a stockholder indicating an intention to nominate one or more candidates to compete with the Board's nominees in a director election, or if such stockholder has withdrawn all such nominations by the tenth day preceding the date on which we first mail our notice of meeting to stockholders, then the election of directors will be considered "uncontested". We did not receive notice from a stockholder indicating an intention to nominate one or more candidates for election at the 2008 Annual Meeting, therefore the 2008 election will be uncontested. As such, each nominee must receive more votes cast "for" than "against" his or her re-election in order to be re-elected to the Board. Shares represented by your proxy will be voted by the proxy holders "for" the election of the eight nominees recommended by EA's Board of Directors unless you vote "against" any or all of such nominees or you mark your proxy to "abstain" from so voting.

In accordance with our Corporate Governance Guidelines, the Board expects a director to tender his or her resignation if he or she fails to receive the required number of votes for re-election in an uncontested election. The Board shall nominate for election or re-election as director only candidates who have previously tendered or, in the case of candidates who have not yet become members of the Board, have agreed to tender promptly following the annual meeting at which they are elected or re-elected as director, irrevocable resignations that will be effective upon (i) a failure to receive the required majority vote at the next annual or special meeting at which they face re-election in an uncontested election, and (ii) Board acceptance of such resignation. In addition, the Board shall fill director vacancies and new directorships only with candidates who agree to tender, promptly following their appointment to the Board, the same form of irrevocable resignation tendered by other directors in accordance with these guidelines.

If an incumbent director fails to receive the required majority vote in an uncontested election, the Nominating and Governance Committee will act on an expedited basis to determine whether to accept the director's resignation and will submit such recommendation for prompt consideration by the Board. The Board expects the director whose resignation is under consideration to abstain from participating in any decision regarding that resignation. The Nominating and Governance Committee and the Board may consider any factors they

deem relevant in deciding whether to recommend/accept a director's resignation. The Board will act on the Nominating and Governance Committee's recommendation within 90 days from the date of the certification of election results and will publicly disclose its decision promptly thereafter.

The Board recommends a vote FOR each of the nominees.

Director Biographies

Each of the following directors, other than Mr. Mott, has been nominated for re-election at the 2008 Annual Meeting. Mr. Mott will be retiring from the Board at the 2008 Annual Meeting.

Leonard S. Coleman

Director since 2001

Mr. Coleman, age 59, served as Senior Advisor to Major League Baseball from 1999 until 2005 and, from 2001 to 2002, was the Chairman of ARENACO, a subsidiary of Yankees/Nets. Mr. Coleman was President of The National League of Professional Baseball Clubs from 1994 to 1999, having previously served since 1992 as Executive Director, Market Development of Major League Baseball. Mr. Coleman serves on the Board of Directors of the following public companies: Avis Budget Group; Churchill Downs Inc.; H.J. Heinz Corporation; and Omnicom Group Inc.

Gary M. Kusin

Director since 1995; Lead Director since 2006

Mr. Kusin, age 57, has been a Partner at TPG (formerly Texas Pacific Group) since June 2006. He served as the President and Chief Executive Officer of Fedex Kinko's Office and Print Services, an operating division of Fedex, Inc. from August 2001 until February 2006. Fedex Kinko's is a leading provider of document solutions and business services. From September 1998 to July 2001, he was the Chief Executive Officer of HQ Global Workplaces, Inc., a global leader in office outsourcing. Prior to September 1998, Mr. Kusin was co-founder and Chairman of Kusin Gurwitch Cosmetics, LLC and co-founder and President of Babbages, Inc. Mr. Kusin serves on the Board of Directors of privately-held companies, including PETCO and Sabre Holdings.

Gregory B. Maffei

Director since 2003

Mr. Maffei, age 48, has served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Liberty Media Corporation, which owns electronic retailing, media, communications and entertainment businesses and investments, since February 2006. He joined Liberty Media in November 2005 as CEO-Elect. From June 2005 until November 2005, Mr. Maffei served as President and Chief Financial Officer of Oracle Corporation. From 2000 until June 2005, Mr. Maffei served as Chief Executive Officer of 360networks Corporation, a broadband telecom service provider, and also became Chairman of the Board of 360networks in 2002. Previously, Mr. Maffei was with Microsoft Corporation from 1993 to 2000, in several positions, including Senior Vice President, Finance and Administration and Chief Financial Officer. Mr. Maffei also served as Chairman of Expedia, Inc. from 1999 to 2002. Mr. Maffei serves on the Board of Directors of Liberty Media and the DIRECTV Group, Inc.

Timothy Mott

Director since 1990; Retiring at the 2008 Annual Meeting

Mr. Mott, age 59, has been Chairman of All Covered, a nationwide information technology outsourcing company focused on small and mid-size businesses, since June 2000 and has served as Chief Executive Officer since September 2006 (a position he had previously held from November 2001 to February 2004). At various times prior to 1999, Mr. Mott co-founded and was Chairman of Audible Inc., co-founded and was Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of Macromedia Inc., co-founded and was Senior Vice President of Electronic Arts, and was a member of the research staff at Xerox PARC. Other than in his role as a director of EA, Mr. Mott has had no operating involvement with EA since he ceased serving as an executive officer in 1990.

Vivek Paul

Director since 2005

Mr. Paul, age 49, has been a partner at TPG (formerly Texas Pacific Group) since October 2005. From July 1999 to September 2005, Mr. Paul served as Vice Chairman of the Board of Directors of Wipro, Ltd., a

provider of integrated business, technology and process solutions, and Chief Executive Officer of Wipro Technologies, Wipro's global information technology, product engineering, and business process services segments. From January 1996 to July 1999, Mr. Paul was General Manager of Global CT Business at General Electric, Medical Systems Division. From March 1993 to December 1995, he served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Wipro GE Medical Systems Limited. Mr. Paul holds a Bachelor of Engineering from the Birla Institute of Technology and Science, and an M.B.A. from the University of Massachusetts, Amherst.

Lawrence F. Probst III

Director since 1991

Mr. Probst, age 58, has been employed by EA since 1984. He has served as Chairman of the Board since July 1994 and, from May 1991 until April 2007, also served as our Chief Executive Officer. Previously Mr. Probst served as President from 1991 until 1998 and Senior Vice President of EA Distribution from 1987 to 1991.

Mr. Probst holds a B.S. degree from the University of Delaware.

John S. Riccitiello

Director since 2007

Mr. Riccitiello, age 48, has served as Chief Executive Officer and a director of EA since April 2007. Prior to re-joining EA, he was a co-founder and Managing Partner at Elevation Partners, a private equity fund. From October 1997 to April 2004, Mr. Riccitiello served as President and Chief Operating Officer of EA. Prior to joining EA, Mr. Riccitiello served as President and Chief Executive Officer of the worldwide bakery division at Sara Lee Corporation. Before joining Sara Lee, he served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Wilson Sporting Goods Co. and has also held executive management positions at Haagen-Dazs, PepsiCo, Inc. and The Clorox Company. Mr. Riccitiello holds a B.S. degree from the University of California, Berkeley.

Richard A. Simonson

Director since 2006

Mr. Simonson, age 48, has served as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Nokia Corporation, a manufacturer of mobile devices and a leader in mobile network equipment, solutions and services, since 2004. From 2001 until 2003, Mr. Simonson served as Vice President & Head of Customer Finance of Nokia. In 2001, Mr. Simonson was Managing Director of the Telecom & Media Investment Banking Group of Barclays Capital. Prior to joining Barclays Capital, Mr. Simonson spent 16 years at Bank of America Securities where he held various positions, including Managing Director & Head of Global Project Finance, Global Corporate & Investment Bank, San Francisco and Chicago. Mr. Simonson holds a B.S. degree from the Colorado School of Mines and an M.B.A. from Wharton School of Business at the University of Pennsylvania.

Linda J. Sreer

Director since 2001

Ms. Sreer, age 52, is currently a marketing and advertising consultant. Previously, Ms. Sreer was President of Young & Rubicam Advertising. Since 1994, Ms. Sreer held many positions with Young & Rubicam Inc. ("Y&R"), including Vice Chairman and Chief Client Officer, Executive Vice President and Director of Business Development, Group Managing Director, and in 1997, was named Chief Executive Officer of Y&R's New York office, becoming the first female CEO in the company's 75-year history. Ms. Sreer also serves on the Board of Directors of Universal Technical Institute, Inc., a technical education provider.

DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

Our Board has determined that each of our non-employee directors qualifies as an "independent director" as that term is used in the NASDAQ Marketplace Rules. Mr. Probst, who served as our CEO through the end of fiscal 2007, and Mr. Riccitiello, our current CEO, do not qualify as independent. The NASDAQ Marketplace Rules have both objective tests and a subjective test for determining who is an "independent director". The objective tests state, for example and among other things, that a director is not considered independent if he or she is an employee of the Company or is a partner in or executive officer of an entity to which the Company made, or from which the Company received, payments in the current or any of the past three fiscal years that exceed 5% of the recipient's consolidated gross revenue for that year. The subjective test states that an

independent director must be a person who lacks a relationship that, in the opinion of the Board, would interfere with the exercise of independent judgment in carrying out the responsibilities of a director. The Board has not established categorical standards or guidelines to make these subjective determinations, but considers all relevant facts and circumstances.

In addition to the board-level standards for director independence, the directors who serve on the Audit Committee each satisfy standards established by the SEC providing that to qualify as “independent” for the purposes of membership on that Committee, members of audit committees may not accept directly or indirectly any consulting, advisory, or other compensatory fee from us other than their director compensation.

BOARD, BOARD MEETINGS, AND COMMITTEES

The Board meets on a fixed schedule four times each year and also occasionally holds special meetings and acts by written consent. In fiscal 2008, the Board met eleven times. At each regularly scheduled meeting, the independent members of the Board meet in executive session separately without management present. A Lead Director, elected by the independent directors, is responsible for chairing executive sessions of the Board and other meetings of the Board in the absence of the Chairman of the Board, serving as a liaison between the Chairman of the Board and the other independent directors, and overseeing the Board’s stockholder communication policies and procedures (including, under appropriate circumstances, meeting with stockholders). Our Lead Director may also call meetings of the independent directors. The independent directors of the Board have chosen Gary Kusin, our current Lead Director, to continue serving as Lead Director for an additional one-year term ending with our 2009 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

The Board currently has three committees, each of which operates under a charter approved by the Board: the Audit Committee; the Compensation Committee; and the Nominating and Governance Committee. The Board of Directors amended and restated the Audit Committee’s charter in May 2006, amended the Compensation Committee’s charter in November 2006, and adopted the Nominating and Governance Committee’s charter in May 2003. Copies of the charters of each Committee may be found in the Investor Relations portion of our website at <http://investor.ea.com>. In accordance with the charters for each, and with current regulatory requirements, all members of these Committees are independent directors. During fiscal 2008, each director participated in at least 75% of all Board meetings and Committee meetings held during the period for which he or she was a member.

From July 26, 2007 (the date of the most recent Board election and beginning of the current Board year) through June 17, 2008, the Committee members were as follows:

July 2007 — July 2008 Committee Assignments

Audit	Gregory B. Maffei (Chair), Vivek Paul, and Richard A. Simonson
Compensation	Linda J. Sreere (Chair), Leonard S. Coleman, and Richard A. Simonson
Nominating and Governance	Gary M. Kusin (Chair), Leonard S. Coleman, Timothy Mott, and Linda J. Sreere

Based on the recommendation of the Nominating and Governance Committee, and subject to the re-election of each of the directors named below, the Board expects Committee assignments following the 2008 Annual Meeting to be as follows:

July 2008 — July 2009 Committee Assignments

Audit	Gregory B. Maffei (Chair), Vivek Paul, and Richard A. Simonson
Compensation	Linda J. Sreere (Chair), Leonard S. Coleman, and Richard A. Simonson
Nominating and Governance	Gary M. Kusin (Chair), Leonard S. Coleman, and Linda J. Sreere

Audit Committee

The Audit Committee assists the Board in its oversight of the Company’s financial reporting and other matters, and is directly responsible for the appointment, compensation and oversight of our independent auditors. The Audit Committee is comprised of three directors, each of whom in the opinion of the Board of Directors meets

the independence requirements and the financial literacy standards of the NASDAQ Marketplace Rules, as well as the independence requirements of the SEC. In the opinion of the Board of Directors, Mr. Maffei and Mr. Simonson meet the criteria for an “audit committee financial expert” as set forth in applicable SEC rules. The Audit Committee met nine times in fiscal 2008. For further information about the Audit Committee, please see the *Report of the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors* below.

Compensation Committee

The Compensation Committee is responsible for setting the overall compensation strategy for the Company, for determining the compensation of the CEO (via recommendation to the Board) and other executive officers and for overseeing the Company’s equity incentive plans and other benefit plans. In addition, the Compensation Committee is responsible for reviewing and recommending to the Board compensation for non-employee directors. The Compensation Committee is comprised of three directors, each of whom in the opinion of the Board of Directors meets the independence requirements of the NASDAQ Marketplace Rules and qualifies as an “outside director” within the meaning of Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code, as amended. The Compensation Committee met 17 times in fiscal 2008 and also acted frequently by written consent. For further information about the Compensation Committee, please see the *Compensation Committee Report on Executive Compensation* below.

Nominating and Governance Committee

The Nominating and Governance Committee is responsible for recommending to the Board nominees for election to the Board of Directors, for appointing directors to Board Committees, and for reviewing developments in corporate governance, reviewing and ensuring the quality of the Company’s succession plans, recommending formal governance standards to the Board, reviewing the performance of the CEO, and establishing the Board’s criteria for selecting nominees for director and for reviewing from time to time the appropriate skills, characteristics and experience required of the Board as a whole, as well as its individual members. The Nominating and Governance Committee is currently comprised of four directors, each of whom in the opinion of the Board of Directors meets the independence requirements of the NASDAQ Marketplace Rules. Following the 2008 Annual Meeting, we expect that the size of the Nominating and Governance Committee will be reduced to three directors. The Nominating and Governance Committee met four times in fiscal 2008.

In evaluating nominees for director to recommend to the Board, the Nominating and Governance Committee will take into account many factors within the context of the characteristics and needs of the Board as a whole. While the specific needs of the Board may change from time to time, all nominees for director are considered on the basis of the following minimum qualifications:

- the highest level of personal and professional ethics and integrity, including a commitment to EA’s values;
- practical wisdom and mature judgment;
- broad training and significant leadership experience in business, entertainment, technology, finance, corporate governance, public interest or other disciplines relevant to the long-term success of EA;
- the ability to gain an in-depth understanding of EA’s business; and
- a willingness to represent the best interests of all EA stockholders and objectively appraise management’s performance.

In determining whether to recommend a director for re-election, the Nominating and Governance Committee will also consider the director’s tenure on the Board, past attendance at meetings, participation in and contributions to the activities of the Board, the director’s continued independence (including any actual, potential or perceived conflicts of interest), as well as the director’s age and changes in his or her principal occupation or professional status.

have developed over the course of their service. Accordingly, consistent with past EA practice, the Nominating and Governance Committee will first consider recommending incumbent directors who wish to continue to serve on the Board for re-election at EA's annual meeting of stockholders.

The Nominating and Governance Committee regularly seeks qualified candidates to serve as directors, particularly so in situations where it determines not to recommend an incumbent director for re-election, an incumbent director declines to stand for re-election, or a vacancy arises on the Board for any reason (including the resignation, retirement, removal, death or disability of an incumbent director or a decision of the directors to expand the size of the Board). The Nominating and Governance Committee may, in its discretion, use a variety of means to identify and evaluate potential nominees for director. The Nominating and Governance Committee has used, and may continue to use, qualified search firms and may also work with members of EA's Human Resources Department to identify potential nominees meeting the Board's general membership criteria discussed above. The Nominating and Governance Committee may also consider potential nominees identified by other sources, including current directors, senior management and stockholders. In determining whether to recommend a candidate to the Board of Directors, the Nominating and Governance Committee will consider the current composition of the Board and capabilities of current directors, as well as any additional qualities or capabilities considered necessary or desirable in light of the existing or anticipated needs of the Board.

The Nominating and Governance Committee will evaluate candidates proposed by stockholders under criteria similar to the evaluation of other candidates, except that it may also consider as one of the factors in its evaluation, the amount of EA voting stock held by the stockholder and the length of time the stockholder has held such stock. Stockholders wishing to submit candidates for consideration by the Nominating and Governance Committee may do so by writing to EA's Corporate Secretary at 209 Redwood Shores Parkway, Redwood City, CA 94065, Attn: Director Nominations. To be considered by the Nominating and Governance Committee in connection with EA's annual meeting of stockholders, recommendations must be submitted in writing to EA not less than 120 calendar days prior to the anniversary of the date on which EA's proxy statement was released to stockholders in connection with the previous year's annual meeting (on or about February 17, 2009, for our 2009 Annual Meeting of Stockholders). Recommendations should include: (1) the stockholder's name, address and telephone number; (2) the amount and nature of record and/or beneficial ownership of EA securities held by the stockholder; (3) the name, age, business address, educational background, current principal occupation or employment, and principal occupation or employment for the preceding five full fiscal years of the proposed candidate; (4) a description of the qualifications and background of the proposed candidate that addresses the minimum qualifications and other criteria for Board membership approved by the Board from time to time and set forth in EA's Corporate Governance Guidelines; (5) the amount and nature of record and/or beneficial ownership of EA securities held by the proposed candidate, if any; (6) a description of all arrangements or understandings between the stockholder and the proposed candidate relating to the proposed candidate's candidacy; (7) a statement as to whether the proposed candidate would be considered an independent director under applicable NASDAQ Marketplace Rules; (8) the consent of the proposed candidate (a) to be named in the proxy statement relating to EA's annual meeting of stockholders, and (b) to serve as a director if elected at such annual meeting; and (9) any other information regarding the proposed candidate that may be required to be included in a proxy statement by applicable SEC rules. The Nominating and Governance Committee may request any additional information reasonably necessary to assist it in assessing a proposed candidate.

Corporate Governance Guidelines

Our Board of Directors has adopted, upon the recommendation of the Nominating and Governance Committee, a formal set of Corporate Governance Guidelines. A complete copy of the Corporate Governance Guidelines is available in the Investor Relations portion of our website at <http://investor.ea.com>. Our Corporate Governance Guidelines contain policies relating to:

- Board membership and independence criteria;
- Election of directors;

- Director resignations;
- Executive sessions of independent directors led by a Lead Director;
- Authority to hire outside advisors;
- Director orientation and education;
- Board and Committee self-evaluations;
- Attendance at annual meetings of stockholders;
- Stock ownership guidelines for our directors and executive officers;
- Stockholder communications with the Board; and
- Access to management, CEO evaluation and management succession planning.

Global Code of Conduct

Our Global Code of Conduct (which includes code of ethics provisions applicable to our directors, principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer, and other senior financial officers) is available in the Investor Relations section of our website at <http://investor.ea.com>. We will post amendments to our Global Code of Conduct in the Investor Relations section of our website. Copies of our charters and Global Code of Conduct are available without charge by contacting our Investor Relations department at (650) 628-7352.

Director Attendance at Annual Meetings

Our directors are expected to make every effort to attend our annual meeting of stockholders. Seven of the nine directors who were elected at the 2007 Annual Meeting of Stockholders attended the meeting.

Stockholder Communications with the Board of Directors

EA stockholders may communicate with the Board as a whole, with a committee of the Board, or with an individual director by sending a letter to EA's Corporate Secretary at Electronic Arts Inc., 209 Redwood Shores Parkway, Redwood City, CA 94065, or by sending an email to StockholderCommunications@ea.com. All stockholder communications received will be handled in accordance with procedures approved by the independent directors serving on the Board. For further information regarding the submission of stockholder communications, please visit the Investor Relations portion of our website at <http://investor.ea.com>.

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION AND STOCK OWNERSHIP GUIDELINES

Our Compensation Committee is responsible for reviewing and recommending to our Board the compensation paid to our non-employee directors. Historically, our non-employee directors have been paid a mix of cash and equity compensation for their service as directors. During fiscal 2008, Mr. Riccitiello and Mr. Probst did not receive any additional compensation for their services as directors. The table below reflects the annualized components of cash compensation for directors other than Mr. Riccitiello and Mr. Probst that were in place during fiscal 2008. Because our Board year does not correspond to our fiscal year, actual amounts paid during fiscal 2008 were pro-rated based on the annualized figures in the following table (for more information

regarding the specific compensation received by each non-employee director during fiscal 2008 see the “Fiscal 2008 Director Compensation Table” below).

Fiscal 2008 Annualized Components of Non-Employee Director Cash Compensation

Annual Retainer	\$50,000
Service on the Audit Committee	\$10,000
Chair of the Audit Committee	\$10,000
Service on the Compensation Committee	\$ 7,500
Chair of the Compensation Committee	\$ 7,500
Service on the Nominating and Governance Committee	\$ 7,500
Chair of the Nominating and Governance Committee	\$ 2,500
Service as Lead Director	\$25,000

In addition, individual directors were eligible to earn up to \$1,000 per day, with the approval of the Board of Directors, for special assignments, which may include providing advisory services to management in such areas as sales, marketing, public relations and finance (provided, however, no independent director is eligible for a special assignment if the assignment or payment for the assignment would prevent the director from being considered independent under applicable NASDAQ Marketplace or SEC rules). No directors earned any compensation for special assignments during fiscal 2008.

Stock Compensation

Non-employee directors are eligible to automatically receive an option grant to purchase 17,500 shares and 2,500 restricted stock units issued under the 2000 Equity Incentive Plan upon their initial appointment or election to the Board, and each continuing non-employee director is eligible to automatically receive an annual option grant to purchase 8,400 shares and 1,200 restricted stock units upon his or her election or re-election to the Board.

In fiscal 2008, annual option grants to purchase 8,400 shares of common stock were made under the Equity Plan to each of the directors (other than Mr. Probst and Mr. Riccitiello) who was re-elected at the 2007 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. All stock options were granted on July 26, 2007, the date of the directors’ re-election to the Board, at an exercise price of \$50.65 per share. Each non-employee director was also granted 1,200 restricted stock units on the same date.

Under the Equity Plan, non-employee directors may elect to receive all or part of their cash compensation in the form of common stock. As an incentive for our non-employee directors to increase their stock ownership in EA, non-employee directors making such an election receive shares of common stock valued at 110% of the cash compensation they would have otherwise received.

Deferred Compensation Plan

We maintain a Deferred Compensation Plan (“DCP”) that allows our directors and certain employees, including our Named Executive Officers, to defer receipt of their salary into cash accounts that mirror the gains and/or losses of several different investment funds which correspond to the funds we have selected for our 401(k) plan. Participants may defer up to 75% of their salary and up to 100% of their bonuses and/or commissions until the date(s) they have specified. We are not required to make any contributions to the DCP and did not do so in fiscal 2008.

Stock Ownership Guidelines

Each non-employee director is required, within three years of becoming a director, to own shares of EA common stock having a value of at least 3 years’ annual retainer for service on the Board. As of June 1, 2008, each of our directors had either fulfilled their ownership requirements or had not yet reached three years of service.

FISCAL 2008 DIRECTOR COMPENSATION TABLE

The following table shows compensation information for each of our directors during fiscal 2008 (other than Mr. Riccitiello).

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$) ⁽¹⁾	Stock Awards (\$) ⁽²⁾	Options Awards (\$) ⁽³⁾	All Other Compensation (\$) ⁽⁴⁾	Total (\$)
M. Richard Asher ⁽⁵⁾	—	24,390	59,394	17,882	101,666
Leonard S. Coleman	—	64,855	139,399	71,474	275,728
Gary M. Kusun	85,000	64,855	139,399	—	289,254
Gregory M. Maffei	70,000	64,855	146,087	—	280,942
Timothy Mott	57,500	64,855	139,399	—	261,754
Vivek Paul	—	64,855	216,042	65,970	346,867
Lawrence F. Probst III	—	—	2,220,346	429,605 ⁽⁶⁾	2,649,951
Richard A. Simonson	55,125	64,855	182,091	11,588	313,659
Linda J. Srere	—	64,855	139,399	77,689	281,943

- (1) The amounts presented in this column represent compensation that was earned and paid as cash. As described above and in footnote 4 below, our non-employee directors may elect to receive all or part of their cash compensation in the form of EA common stock. The value of the EA common stock received in lieu of cash payments during fiscal 2008 by our non-employees directors is reflected in the “All Other Compensation” column above.
- (2) Represents the expense recognized by EA for financial statement reporting purposes in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 123 (revised 2004) (“SFAS No. 123(R)”), as modified to exclude the impact of estimated forfeitures related to service-based vesting conditions, for awards of restricted stock units granted to the non-employee directors in fiscal 2008 as well as prior fiscal years. No stock awards were forfeited by any of the non-employee directors in fiscal 2008. The amounts reflected above represent the value determined by EA for reporting purposes only and do not reflect whether the recipient has actually realized a financial benefit from the awards (such as by vesting in a restricted stock unit award). For additional information regarding the valuation methodology used by EA, see note 12, “Stock-Based Compensation and Employee Benefit Plans”, of the Consolidated Financial Statements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 29, 2008. In fiscal 2008, each non-employee director standing for re-election received a restricted stock unit grant of 1,200 shares of EA common stock, which vests in its entirety on the date of the 2008 Annual Meeting.
- (3) Represents the expense recognized by EA for financial statement reporting purposes in accordance with SFAS No. 123(R), as modified to exclude the impact of estimated forfeitures related to service-based vesting conditions, for awards of stock options granted to the non-employee directors in fiscal 2008 as well as prior fiscal years. No stock options were forfeited by any of the non-employee directors in fiscal 2008. The Board of Directors accelerated the vesting of one option award for Mr. Asher, which was scheduled to vest as to the final 2% of the shares on August 1, 2007. Since he was a Director through July 26, 2007, the Board decided to accelerate the final 2% so that it would vest five days early, on July 26, 2007. The amounts reflected above represent the value determined by EA for reporting purposes only and do not reflect whether the recipient has actually realized a financial benefit from the awards (such as by exercising stock options). For a more detailed discussion on the valuation model and assumptions used to calculate the fair value of EA’s stock options, see note 12, “Stock-Based Compensation and Employee Benefit Plans”, of the Consolidated Financial Statements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 29, 2008. In fiscal 2008, each non-employee director standing for re-election received a stock option to purchase 8,400 shares of EA common stock, which vests in its entirety on the earlier of one year from the grant date or the date of the 2008 Annual Meeting.
- (4) Represents the value of shares of EA common stock elected to be received by a non-employee director in lieu of the cash fees to which they would have otherwise been entitled. Non-employee directors making such an election receive shares of common stock valued at 110% of the cash compensation they would

have otherwise received. Such shares are awarded via the grant and immediate exercise of a stock option having an exercise price equal to the fair market value of our common stock on the date of grant. The following table presents the amount of cash each director was entitled to receive and the number of shares such director received in lieu of such cash:

<u>Name</u>	<u>Cash Fees Earned (\$)</u>	<u>Shares of EA Common Stock Received in Lieu of Cash Fees (#)</u>
M. Richard Asher ⁽⁵⁾	16,250	358
Leonard S. Coleman	65,000	1,401
Vivek Paul	60,000	1,293
Richard A. Simonson	10,500	232
Linda J. Srere	70,625	1,522

⁽⁵⁾ Mr. Asher retired from the Board and did not stand for re-election at the 2007 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. As such, he only served on the Board for a portion of fiscal 2008 (April 1, 2007 through July 26, 2007).

⁽⁶⁾ Represents salary paid to Mr. Probst in fiscal 2008 as an employee of EA.

PROPOSAL 2. AMENDMENTS TO THE 2000 EQUITY INCENTIVE PLAN

The 2000 Equity Incentive Plan, which initially was approved by the stockholders on March 22, 2000, continues EA's program of providing equity incentives to eligible employees, officers and directors. We offer these incentives in order to assist in recruiting, retaining and motivating qualified employees, officers and directors. Since the Equity Plan's adoption, 76,400,000 shares of common stock have been reserved for issuance. The following summary of the proposed amendments to the Equity Plan is subject to the specific provisions contained in the full text of the Equity Plan, as proposed to be amended, which we have filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission along with this proxy statement. For more information regarding the Equity Plan, we urge you to read the full text of the Equity Plan, as proposed to be amended, or the summary of its material terms, as proposed to be amended, included as Appendix A of this proxy statement.

We are proposing amendments to the 2000 Equity Incentive Plan that would:

- ***Increase the number of shares authorized under the Equity Plan by 2,185,000 shares to a total of 78,585,000 shares***

We continue to believe that alignment of the interests of our stockholders and our employees, officers and directors is best advanced through the issuance of equity incentives as a portion of their total compensation. In this way, we reinforce the link between our stockholders and our employees', officers' and directors' focus on personal responsibility, creativity and stockholder returns. We also believe that delivering a portion of their total compensation in the form of long-term equity compensation helps encourage a long-term view in an industry that is subject to lengthy business cycles. Equity incentives such as stock options and restricted stock units also play an important role in our recruitment and retention strategies, as the competition for creative and technical talent and leadership in our industry is intense.

While equity is a strategic tool for recruitment and retention, we also carefully manage stock option and restricted stock unit issuances and strive to keep the dilutive impact of the equity incentives we offer within a reasonable range. Historically, we have made a significant portion of our equity grants in a given fiscal year in connection with our annual reviews and merit increases. Excluding stock options and restricted stock units we granted in connection with acquisitions, during fiscal 2008, a year in which our employee base grew by over 1,100 people, we granted stock options to purchase a total of 6,671,977 shares and restricted stock units to acquire a total of 2,951,743 shares. Together these stock option and restricted stock unit grants represent approximately 3% of our total shares outstanding. During fiscal 2007, we granted stock options and restricted stock units representing approximately 1.8% of our total shares outstanding. Going forward, we intend to continue to responsibly manage issuances of equity incentive awards under the Equity Plan.

The Equity Plan contains several features designed to protect stockholders' interests. For example, the Equity Plan does not allow any options to be granted at less than 100% of fair market value, and the exercise price of outstanding options issued under the Equity Plan may not be reduced without stockholder approval. The Equity Plan does not contain an "evergreen" provision whereby the number of authorized shares is automatically increased on a regular basis. In addition, the Equity Plan prohibits us from loaning, or guaranteeing the loan of, funds to participants under the Equity Plan.

- ***Replace the specific limitation on the number of shares that may be granted as restricted stock or restricted stock unit awards with an alternate method of calculating the number of shares remaining available for issuance under the Equity Plan.***

In May 2005, we began granting restricted stock units to certain of our U.S.-based employees, and in March 2006, we began offering restricted stock units to our employees throughout the world. We expect restricted stock, restricted stock units and stock options to remain important forms of equity incentive compensation. If approved by stockholders, the amendment would remove the specific limitation on the number of shares that may be granted as restricted stock or restricted stock units over the life of the Equity Plan (which is currently set at 11 million shares) and replace it with an alternate method of calculating the number of shares remaining available for issuance under the Equity Plan (sometimes referred to as a "fungible equity grant pool"). As proposed to be amended, each share subject to an option or stock appreciation award would reduce the number of shares available for issuance under Equity Plan by one (1) share, and each share

subject to a full value stock award (*i.e.*, restricted stock or restricted stock units) would reduce the number of shares available for issuance by 1.82 shares. This change will provide us with greater flexibility to utilize the shares remaining available for issuance under the Equity Plan as either stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock or restricted stock units. We believe it is essential to maintain a flexible equity incentive compensation program for new and existing employees, officers and directors in order to maximize our ability to recruit, retain and motivate key employees.

- ***Add additional performance measures for use in granting performance-based equity under the Equity Plan.***

In May 2007, the Board unanimously approved, subject to stockholder approval, the Electronic Arts Inc. Executive Bonus Plan (the “Executive Bonus Plan”). The Executive Bonus Plan was subsequently approved by the stockholders at the 2007 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. The Executive Bonus Plan allows cash bonuses paid under it to be considered “performance-based compensation” within the meaning of Section 162(m) of the Code and therefore fully deductible by Electronic Arts for federal income tax purposes. We are proposing to amend the Equity Plan to add additional performance measures to the list of performance measures for use in granting performance-based equity under the Equity Plan. The additional performance measures to be added under the Equity Plan include profit before tax and other performance factors consistent with the performance factors available under the Executive Bonus Plan. This amendment will allow us to apply consistent performance measures to all forms of performance-based compensation, including performance-based equity compensation.

- ***Extend the term of the Equity Plan for an additional ten years.***

The Equity Plan currently has a ten-year term and is set to expire in March 2010. Rather than adopt a new equity incentive plan prior to the expiration of the Equity Plan, we believe it is more efficient to simply extend the current term for an additional ten years. The ten-year term was initially included in the Equity Plan to help meet the requirements for granting incentive stock options (“ISOs”) under the Code. To date, we have not granted ISOs under the Equity Plan nor do we expect to grant ISOs in the future. While we will retain the ability to grant ISOs under the Equity Plan, we will be restricted from doing so if we do not receive stockholder approval to amend the Equity Plan at least once every ten years.

Plan Benefits

Except for the automatic stock option and restricted stock units grants to non-employee directors, the amount and timing of awards granted under the Equity Plan are determined in the sole discretion of the administrator and therefore cannot be determined in advance. The future awards that would be received under the Equity Plan by executive officers and other employees are discretionary and are therefore not determinable at this time.

Required Vote and Board of Directors’ Recommendation

Approval of this proposal requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the voting shares present at the meeting in person or by proxy and voting for or against the proposal.

The Board recommends a vote FOR the amendments to the 2000 Equity Incentive Plan.

PROPOSAL 3. AMENDMENT TO THE 2000 EMPLOYEE STOCK PURCHASE PLAN

The 2000 Employee Stock Purchase Plan, which initially was approved by the stockholders on July 27, 2000, provides our employees with a convenient means of purchasing equity in the Company through payroll deductions. It also provides an incentive for continued employment. Since its adoption, 8,300,000 shares of common stock have been reserved for issuance under the Purchase Plan.

Since the adoption of the Purchase Plan, we have experienced significant growth in the number of employees who elect to participate in the Purchase Plan. The following table presents information since the beginning of fiscal 2006 relating to the aggregate number of shares purchased under the Purchase Plan, as well as the number of employees who have participated in the Purchase Plan.

	Shares Purchased Pursuant to 2000 Purchase Plan	No. of Employees Participating as of the Last Purchase Date in Fiscal Year
Fiscal 2006	624,629	4,281
Fiscal 2007	705,188	4,255
Fiscal 2008	892,130	4,342
Fiscal 2009	(1)	5,129 ⁽²⁾

⁽¹⁾ Fiscal 2009 purchases under the 2000 Purchase Plan will be made in August 2008 and February 2009.

⁽²⁾ Represents estimated number of participants in the 2000 Purchase Plan as of June 1, 2008. The increase in the number of participants was due in part to an increase in the number of eligible employees following our acquisition of VGH. Participants have the right to withdraw from the 2000 Purchase Plan at any time prior to a purchase date. The number of participants may increase or decrease prior to February 2009, the last purchase date in fiscal 2009.

We are proposing amendments to the 2000 Employee Stock Purchase that would:

- ***Increase the number of shares authorized under the Purchase Plan by 1,500,000 shares to a total of 9,800,000 shares.***

The proposed amendment would increase the number of shares authorized under the Purchase Plan by 1,500,000 to a total of 9,800,000, an amount that we expect will continue to permit all current and potential future employees to fully participate in the Purchase Plan at least through fiscal 2009.

- ***Remove the ten-year term from the Purchase Plan.***

The proposed amendments would remove the ten-year term of the Purchase Plan, which is set to expire in 2010. Rather than adopt a new employee stock purchase plan, we believe it is more efficient to simply extend the life of the Purchase Plan by removing the ten-year term.

For more information about the Purchase Plan, we urge you to read the summary of its material terms included as Appendix B to this proxy statement.

Required Vote and Board of Directors' Recommendation

Approval of this proposal requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the voting shares present at the meeting in person or by proxy and voting for or against the proposal.

The Board recommends a vote FOR the amendments to the 2000 Employee Stock Purchase Plan.

PROPOSAL 4. RATIFICATION OF THE APPOINTMENT OF KPMG LLP, INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

KPMG LLP has audited the financial statements of EA and its consolidated subsidiaries since fiscal 1987. The Board, through the Audit Committee, has appointed KPMG LLP as EA's independent auditors for fiscal 2009. The Audit Committee and the Board believe that KPMG LLP's long-term knowledge of EA and its subsidiaries is valuable to the Company. Representatives of KPMG LLP have direct access to members of the Audit Committee and the Board. We expect one or more representatives of KPMG LLP to attend the Annual Meeting in order to respond to appropriate questions from stockholders, and to make a statement if they desire to do so.

Ratification of the appointment of KPMG LLP as our independent auditors is not required by our bylaws or otherwise. The Board of Directors has determined to submit this proposal to the stockholders as a matter of good corporate practice. If the stockholders do not ratify the appointment, the Audit Committee will review their future selection of auditors. Even if the appointment is ratified, the Audit Committee may, in its discretion, direct the appointment of different independent auditors at any time during the year if they determine that such a change would be in the best interests of the Company and the stockholders.

Fees of Independent Auditors

The aggregate fees billed for the last two fiscal years for each of the following categories of services are set forth below:

<u>Description of Fees</u>	<u>Year Ended March 31, 2008</u>	<u>Year Ended March 31, 2007</u>
Audit ⁽¹⁾		
– Worldwide audit fee	\$4,775,000	\$4,475,000
– Accounting concurrence and regulatory matters	120,000	157,000
Total audit fees	4,895,000	4,632,000
Audit-Related Fees ⁽²⁾		
– Benefit plan audits	76,000	29,000
Total audit-related fees	76,000	29,000
Tax ⁽³⁾		
– Compliance	669,000	410,000
– Planning	—	—
Total tax fees	669,000	410,000
All Other Fees ⁽⁴⁾		
Total all other fees	439,000	216,000
Total All Fees	\$6,079,000	\$5,287,000

(1) Audit Fees: This category includes the annual audit of the Company's financial statements and internal controls over financial reporting (including required quarterly reviews of financial statements included in the Company's quarterly reports on Form 10-Q), and services normally provided by the independent auditors in connection with regulatory filings. This category also includes consultation on matters that arose during, or as a result of the audit or review of financial statements, statutory audits required for our non-US subsidiaries, and services associated with our periodic reports and other documents filed with the SEC and foreign filings, as well as Sarbanes-Oxley Section 404 compliance consultation.

(2) Audit-Related Fees: This category consists primarily of fees related to the annual audit of our 401(k) benefit plan.

(3) Tax Services: This category includes compliance services rendered for US and foreign tax compliance and returns, and transfer pricing documentation, as well as planning and advice, which consists primarily of technical tax consulting.

(4) Other: In fiscal 2008 and 2007, this category included accounting and tax due diligence related to potential and completed acquisitions.

Services Provided by the Independent Auditors

The Audit Committee is required to pre-approve the engagement of, and has engaged, KPMG LLP to perform audit and other services for the Company and its subsidiaries. The Company's procedures for the pre-approval by the Audit Committee of all services provided by KPMG LLP comply with SEC regulations regarding pre-approval of services. Services subject to these SEC requirements include audit services, audit-related services, tax services and other services. The audit engagement is specifically approved and the auditors are retained by the Audit Committee. In some cases, pre-approval for a particular category or group of services is provided by the Audit Committee for up to a year, subject to a specific budget and to regular management reporting. In other cases, the Chairman of the Audit Committee has the delegated authority from the Audit Committee to pre-approve additional services up to a specified dollar limit, and such pre-approvals are then communicated to the full Audit Committee.

The Audit Committee considered and determined that fees for services other than audit and audit-related services are compatible with maintaining KPMG LLP's independence.

Required Vote and Board of Directors' Recommendation

Approval of this proposal requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the voting shares present at the meeting in person or by proxy and voting for or against the proposal.

The Board recommends a vote FOR the ratification of KPMG LLP as our independent auditors for fiscal 2009.

REPORT OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

The following Report of the Audit Committee shall not be deemed to be "soliciting material" or to be "filed" with the Securities and Exchange Commission nor shall this information be incorporated by reference into any future filing under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, except to the extent that EA specifically incorporates it by reference into a filing.

The Audit Committee of the Board of Directors operates under a written charter, which was most recently amended in May 2006. The Audit Committee is comprised of three non-employee directors, each of whom in the opinion of the Board of Directors meets the current independence requirements and financial literacy standards of the NASDAQ Marketplace Rules, as well as the independence requirements of the Securities and Exchange Commission. During fiscal 2008, the Audit Committee consisted of Gregory B. Maffei, Vivek Paul and Richard A. Simonson. In the opinion of the Board of Directors, Mr. Maffei and Mr. Simonson each meet the criteria for a "financial expert" as set forth in applicable SEC rules as well as the above-mentioned independence requirements.

EA's management is primarily responsible for the preparation, presentation and integrity of the Company's financial statements. EA's independent registered public accounting firm, KPMG LLP ("independent auditors"), is responsible for performing an independent audit of the Company's (i) financial statements and expressing an opinion as to the conformity of the financial statements with generally accepted accounting principles, and (ii) internal control over financial reporting in accordance with the auditing standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) and issuing a report thereon.

The function of the Audit Committee is to assist the Board of Directors in its oversight responsibilities relating to the integrity of EA's accounting policies, internal controls and financial reporting. The Audit Committee reviews EA's quarterly and annual financial statements prior to public earnings releases and submission to the SEC; reviews and evaluates the performance of EA's internal audit function; reviews and evaluates the performance of EA's independent auditors; consults with the independent auditors and EA's internal audit function regarding internal controls and the integrity of the Company's financial statements; assesses the independence of the independent auditors; and is responsible for the selection of the independent auditors. In this context, the Audit Committee has met and held discussions with members of management, EA's internal audit function and the independent auditors. Management has represented to the Audit Committee that the Company's consolidated financial statements were prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally

accepted in the United States, and the Audit Committee has reviewed and discussed the consolidated financial statements with management and the independent auditors. Management has also represented to the Audit Committee that the Company's internal control over financial reporting was effective as of the end of the Company's most recently-completed fiscal year, and the Audit Committee has reviewed and discussed the Company's internal control over financial reporting with management and the independent auditors. The Audit Committee also discussed with the independent auditors matters required to be discussed by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 61 (Communications with Audit Committees), as amended, including the quality and acceptability of the Company's financial reporting process and internal controls. The Audit Committee has also discussed with the Company's independent auditors the overall scope and plans for their annual audit and reviewed the results of that audit with management and the independent auditors.

In addition, the Audit Committee has discussed with the independent auditors the auditors' independence from the Company and its management, including the matters in the written disclosures required by Independence Standards Board Standard No. 1 (Independence Discussions with Audit Committees). The Audit Committee has also considered whether the provision of any non-audit services (as described above under "Proposal 4. Ratification of the Appointment of KPMG LLP, Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm" — "Fees of Independent Auditors") and the employment of former KPMG LLP employees by the Company is compatible with maintaining the independence of KPMG LLP.

The members of the Audit Committee are not engaged in the practice of auditing or accounting. In performing its functions, the Audit Committee necessarily relies on the work and assurances of the Company's management and independent auditors.

In reliance on the reviews and discussions referred to in this report and in light of its role and responsibilities, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the audited financial statements of the Company as of and for each of the last three years ended March 31, 2008 be included for filing with the SEC in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended March 31, 2008. The Audit Committee has also approved the selection of KPMG LLP as the Company's independent auditors for fiscal 2009.

AUDIT COMMITTEE

Gregory B. Maffei (Chairman)

Vivek Paul

Richard A. Simonson

PRINCIPAL STOCKHOLDERS

The following table shows, as of June 1, 2008, the number of shares of our common stock owned by our directors, executive officers named in the Summary Compensation Table below, our current directors and executive officers as a group, and beneficial owners known to us holding more than 5% of our common stock. As of June 1, 2008, there were 318,474,411 shares of our common stock outstanding. Except as otherwise indicated, the address for each of our directors and executive officers is c/o Electronic Arts Inc., 209 Redwood Shores Parkway, Redwood City, CA 94065.

<u>Stockholder Name</u>	<u>Shares Owned⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾</u>	<u>Right to Acquire⁽³⁾</u>	<u>Percent of Outstanding Shares⁽⁴⁾</u>
Wellington Management Co. LLP ⁽⁵⁾	28,372,646	—	8.9
T. Rowe Price Associates Inc./MD/ ⁽⁶⁾	20,425,436	—	6.4
Legg Mason Capital Management, Inc. ⁽⁷⁾	17,983,597	—	5.6
Fidelity Management & Research ⁽⁸⁾	16,197,964	—	5.1
Lawrence F. Probst III ⁽⁹⁾	1,042,140	3,192,500	1.3
Timothy Mott ⁽¹⁰⁾	87,624	40,300	*
John S. Riccitiello	47,294	90,000	*
Frank Gibeau	29,098	138,250	*
Warren C. Jenson	21,952	495,800	*
Gerhard Florin	11,489	413,872	*
Gregory B. Maffei	10,000	92,966	*
Linda Srere	7,133	99,272	*
Leonard S. Coleman, Jr.	6,910	124,972	*
Gary M. Kusin	4,574	85,740	*
Vivek Paul	3,285	39,633	*
Richard A. Simonson	927	22,800	*
Peter Moore	—	—	*
All executive officers and directors as a group (20 persons) ⁽²⁾⁽¹¹⁾	1,307,452	4,784,482	1.9

* Less than 1%

- (1) Unless otherwise indicated in the footnotes, includes shares for which the named person has sole or shared voting and investment power. Excludes shares that may be acquired through stock option exercises.
- (2) Includes unvested shares of restricted stock acquired by the following executive officers in connection with EA's 2006 stock option exchange program: Mr. Barker, 9,375 shares; Mr. Gibeau, 18,751 shares; and Mr. Linzner, 18,750 shares.
- (3) Includes (a) shares of common stock that may be acquired through stock option exercises within 60 days of June 1, 2008, (b) in the case of EA's directors (other than Mr. Probst and Mr. Riccitiello), 1,200 restricted stock units that vest within 60 days of June 1, 2008, (c) in the case of Mr. Coleman, Mr. Kusin, Mr. Maffei, Mr. Mott, Mr. Paul and Mr. Simonson, 700 restricted stock units that have vested but remain unexercised; and (d) in the case of Dr. Florin, Mr. Gibeau and Mr. Jenson, 1,250 restricted stock units that vest within 60 days of June 1, 2008.
- (4) Calculated based on the total number of shares owned plus the number of shares that may be acquired through stock option exercises and the vesting of restricted stock units within 60 days of June 1, 2008.
- (5) Based on information contained in a report on Schedule 13F filed with the SEC in which Wellington Management Co. LLP indicated that, as of March 31, 2008, it held shared voting and dispositive power over 28,372,646 shares. The address for Wellington Management is 75 State Street, Boston, MA 02109.
- (6) Based on information contained in a report on Schedule 13F filed with the SEC in which T. Rowe Price Associates Inc. indicated that, as of March 31, 2008, it had held shared voting and dispositive power over

20,425,436 shares. The address for T. Rowe Price Associates Inc. is 100 East Pratt Street, Baltimore, MD 21202.

- (7) Based on information contained in a report on Schedule 13G filed with the SEC in which Legg Mason Capital Management, Inc. indicated that, as of March 31, 2008, it held shared voting and dispositive power over 17,983,597 shares. The address for Legg Mason Capital Management, Inc. is 100 Light Street, Baltimore, MD 21202.
- (8) Based on information contained in a report on Schedule 13F filed with the SEC on March 31, 2008, in which Fidelity Management & Research indicated that it had sole power to vote or direct the vote of 16,197,964 shares. The address for Fidelity Management & Research is 82 Devonshire Street, Boston, MA 02109.
- (9) Includes 87,886 shares of common stock held by Mr. Probst's grantor's retained annuity trust, 16,669 shares held by Mr. Probst's spouse, and 469,713 shares held by the Probst Family LP, of which Mr. Probst is a partner.
- (10) Includes 36,656 shares of common stock held in trust for the benefit of Mr. Mott's son for which Mr. Mott is the trustee.
- (11) Includes all executive officers and directors of EA as of June 1, 2008.

COMPENSATION OF EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

This Compensation Discussion and Analysis describes our executive compensation program for fiscal 2008. We use this program to attract, motivate, reward, and retain the key individuals that lead our business.

This discussion describes our executive compensation program and addresses how we made compensation decisions in fiscal 2008 for our:

- Chief Executive Officer, John S. Riccitiello,
- Former Executive Vice President, Chief Financial and Administrative Officer, Warren C. Jenson,
- Executive Vice President, Publishing (*formerly Executive Vice President, Publishing, Americas and Europe*), Gerhard Florin,
- President, EA SPORTS, Peter Moore, and
- President, EA Games, Frank Gibeau.

Collectively, these individuals are referred to in this discussion as the “Named Executive Officers.” The compensation of the Named Executive Officers is set forth in the compensation tables that follow this Compensation Discussion and Analysis.

Fiscal Year 2008 Overview

In February 2007, we entered into an agreement with Mr. Riccitiello, under which he was appointed as our Chief Executive Officer effective April 2, 2007, the beginning of fiscal 2008. During the year we launched several new initiatives that resulted in a comprehensive review and re-evaluation of our executive compensation program and had a significant impact on individual compensation decisions. In addition, during fiscal 2008, we made several other changes to our senior management team, hiring four new executive officers (some of whom did not join the Company until fiscal 2009) and promoting others into a number of newly-created leadership positions within our reorganized operating structure. Most notably, we initiated the reorganization of the Company into four operating “labels” — EA Games, EA SPORTS, The Sims and EA Casual Entertainment — and an additional group, Global Publishing, that works closely with the labels and which operates in North America, Europe and Asia.

To support the objectives of the reorganization, we reoriented our use of cash and equity compensation to better reflect our new operating structure and to ensure that our incentive pay arrangements are properly aligned to help us achieve our financial and operating objectives. Accordingly, beginning in fiscal 2009, the annual incentive plans for our employees, including our executives, have been revised to provide for cash bonus payments that are directly tied to the Company’s financial performance as a whole (as well as, in certain cases, the financial performance of an employee’s label, studio, or other relevant business unit) and the employee’s individual performance. In addition, to strengthen the relationship between the Company’s operating performance and our employees’ long-term incentives, in May 2008, we granted performance-based restricted stock units to a group of our senior executive officers, including our Named Executive Officers (other than Mr. Jenson), in lieu of annual stock option grants.

The impact of these changes on the compensation we offer our executive officers, including the Named Executive Officers, is described in a separate section of this Compensation Discussion and Analysis.

Compensation Philosophy

We operate in an intensely competitive business environment, which is characterized by ongoing technological advances, constantly changing and difficult-to-predict consumer tastes and preferences, and the emergence of new competitors and technologies. The market for technical, creative, marketing, and other personnel essential to the development, marketing, and sales of our products is extremely competitive. Similarly, the market for

talented executives with the skills, experience, integrity and dedication necessary to oversee a dynamic organization and the vision to anticipate and respond to emerging market developments is equally competitive.

Our success as a global leader in the interactive entertainment industry depends heavily on attracting, motivating, and rewarding a highly-skilled and experienced management team. At the same time, our leading position within the interactive entertainment industry makes us a prime target for our competitors (which range from very small start-up companies with limited resources to very large, diversified corporations with greater financial and marketing resources than ours) seeking to recruit executives and key creative talent.

Accordingly, it is imperative that our executive compensation program be competitive with the organizations with which we compete for executive talent, so that we are able to attract, motivate, reward, and retain the individuals that we believe are capable of leading the Company. At the same time, our program must support our strategic business objectives and promote the short-term and long-term profitable growth of the Company. To achieve these dual objectives, our compensation philosophy is predicated on two basic principles:

- A significant portion of each executive officer's total cash compensation should be performance-based and "at risk," with this amount dependent from year to year on the Company's financial and operational performance, the operational performance of the executive's specific business unit, as appropriate, and his or her individual performance.
- To align each executive officer's interests with the long-term interests of our stockholders, a significant portion of his or her compensation should be equity-based.

Further, we believe that an executive officer's compensation must be appropriate in light of his or her experience, responsibilities, and performance. Our executive compensation program is designed to be consistent with this philosophy and to maintain parity between the compensation of our executive officers.

Compensation-Setting Process

The Compensation Committee of the Board is responsible for establishing the Company's compensation philosophy and making the pay decisions for our executive officers. The Committee's scope of authority is set forth in a written charter and includes the oversight and administration of all compensation, equity, and employee benefit plans and programs, including the annual bonus and equity compensation plans for executive officers.

The Committee regularly meets on a quarterly basis and holds additional meetings as needed during the year. The Committee also takes action by written consent, often after informal telephone discussions among the Committee members. During fiscal 2008, the Committee met 17 times, four of which were regularly-scheduled quarterly meetings and the remainder of which were in special sessions to consider a variety of items, many of which emanated from the reorganization discussed above under the heading "Fiscal Year 2008 Overview". Among other things, the Committee addressed the Company's compensation philosophy, the compensatory aspects of strategic transactions and acquisitions, the adoption of the Key Employee Continuity Plan, the adoption of new annual cash bonus plans, the adoption of a targeted equity-based retention program, and the terms and conditions of the compensation arrangements for new, departing, and continuing executives.

For its regular meetings, the Committee maintains a calendar to help guide the meeting agendas and to ensure fulfillment of the various responsibilities outlined in the Committee's charter. In fiscal 2008, this calendar included a comprehensive review of the Company's total rewards programs, review of the compensation levels for members of our Board, review and approval of all executive employment offers and promotions, review and approval of the fiscal 2007 bonus payments, and a review of all actions taken by management using authority delegated by the Committee.

In fiscal 2008, the Committee reviewed and approved the base salaries (or, as appropriate, the base salary adjustments), target bonus opportunities, and equity awards of each of our executive officers, including the Named Executive Officers, other than Mr. Riccitiello, whose base salary, target bonus opportunity, and equity award were developed and recommended by the Committee and approved by the Board in connection with his appointment as Chief Executive Officer.

For additional information about the Compensation Committee, see the section entitled “Board, Board Meetings and Committees” set forth above in this proxy statement.

Compensation Consultants

The Committee has the authority to engage the services of outside advisors. During fiscal 2008, the Committee engaged Compensia, Inc., a national compensation consulting firm, to assist with its analysis and review of the compensation of our executive officers and other aspects of our total compensation strategy. Compensia also advised the Committee with respect to the design and implementation of the Electronic Arts Executive Bonus Plan.

Compensia works directly with the Committee Chair and Committee members and sends all invoices, including descriptions of services rendered, to the Committee Chair for review and payment approval. Compensia performed no work for our management during fiscal 2008.

From time to time, our management separately engages consulting services in connection with our compensation practices. In fiscal 2008, we retained Frederic W. Cook & Co. to assist management and the Committee with a review of compensation levels for members of the Board, and Mercer Human Resources Consulting to assist management and the Committee with a review of the Company’s Key Employee Continuity Plan.

Role of Management

Some of our executives are involved in formulating executive compensation recommendations for the Committee and/or the Board. Ms. Gabrielle Toledano, our Executive Vice President of Human Resources, is responsible for conducting an annual analysis of market trends, providing documentation of individual executive performance, and creating initial recommendations of base salary adjustments, potential bonus payments, and potential equity awards for our executive officers. To assist in the development of these initial recommendations, our Human Resources Department participates in several comprehensive executive compensation surveys, including the IPAS Technology Survey and the Radford High Technology Executive Compensation Survey. Mr. Riccitiello reviews and provides input on these recommendations (except with respect to his own compensation) prior to and during review by the Committee.

Ms. Toledano also oversees the preparation of the Committee’s meeting materials, works with the Committee Chair to set the meeting agenda, and attends all Committee meetings. In addition, Mr. Riccitiello regularly attends Committee meetings (except when his own compensation is being discussed).

Competitive Positioning

In fiscal 2008, at the direction of the Committee, Compensia conducted a comprehensive analysis of our executive compensation program. This analysis was developed using data from the IPAS Technology and Radford High Technology Executive Compensation surveys, as well as publicly-available compensation information from a group of peer companies selected by our management with input from the Committee and Compensia. For fiscal 2008, this group of peer companies was updated from the fiscal 2007 peer group to (a) better focus on companies of comparable size, geographic markets, financial performance, and expected growth rates and (b) place a greater emphasis on companies that compete with us for executive talent in the media and entertainment industries.

The fiscal 2008 group of peer companies (the “Peer Group”) consisted of:

- Activision
- Adobe Systems
- Amazon
- eBay
- Hasbro
- IAC Interactive Corporation
- Intuit
- Liberty Media Corporation
- Lions Gate Entertainment
- Mattel
- Symantec
- Take-Two Interactive Software
- THQ
- Viacom
- Warner Music Group
- Yahoo!

Compensia compared each of our executive-level positions to similar positions as reflected in the survey data and the Peer Group information to establish base salary, target cash and equity incentive awards, and target total cash compensation ranges. This analysis was reviewed by Ms. Toledano and our Human Resources Department with Mr. Riccitiello for each executive-level position, and with the Committee for our Chief Executive Officer and other positions at or above the level of Senior Vice President.

The Committee used the survey data and the Peer Group information to validate the range of competitive pay for the business sectors in which we compete for executive talent. Based on its assessment of the competitive marketplace, the Company's long-term strategic objectives, our need for a strong management team to help us achieve our operating objectives, and our desire to minimize retention risk, the Committee referenced the 50th to 75th percentile of the combined survey data and Peer Group information as the relevant range for base salaries, target bonus opportunities, and total cash compensation on average, and the 75th percentile for equity awards, for our executive officers.

During fiscal 2008, these base salary, target bonus opportunity, and target total cash compensation ranges were considered by management in formulating individual compensation recommendations for the Committee to consider. These ranges, together with management's recommendations, served as a reference point for the Committee in reviewing and approving compensation determinations. Because these decisions were influenced by the Company's actual financial and operational results, as well as each executive officer's individual performance, his or her total cash compensation, and any individual compensation component, may be within, below, or above the market range for his or her position.

The market ranges established by Compensia also helped the Committee in assessing the competitive placement of our executive officers' total direct compensation for fiscal 2008. The Committee's assessment of the placement of an individual executive officer's compensation relative to market range took into consideration the scope, complexity, and responsibility of the executive's position in relation to positions in the data sources. In each case, the Committee exercised its judgment in interpreting the market ranges. An executive officer's actual positioning relative to that market range was a result of the Committee's assessment of the Company, business, and individual performance factors described below. In setting actual compensation, the Committee also considered each executive officer's responsibility level, individual performance, and the Company's actual financial and operational results for the year (as compared to the pre-established objectives and potential performance targets for the subsequent year). In addition, the Committee also compared the compensation of the executive officers with each other, as well as the highest-paid employees at the Company, to monitor internal pay equity. While the Committee does not use fixed ratios when conducting this analysis, typically it tries to ensure that the total compensation paid to each of our executive officers is reasonable when compared to our employees generally.

Compensation Elements

Our executive compensation program is comprised of three principal components: base salary; an annual cash bonus; and equity awards.

Base Salary

We believe that a competitive base salary is the essential foundation to providing an attractive total compensation package for our executives. Typically, base salaries are initially set to reflect an executive officer's position, responsibilities, and experience, with subsequent adjustments based largely on individual performance and other factors as described below.

The Committee reviews and approves the base salaries for our executive officers, including the Named Executive Officers, as part of its annual compensation review, considering a number of factors, including the position's complexity and level of responsibility, the position's importance in relation to other executive positions, and an assessment of the executive's performance. As previously described, Mr. Riccitiello, assisted by Ms. Toledano, annually performs an individual performance review for each executive officer, which is then provided to the Committee. The Nominating and Governance Committee of the Board, with the assistance of Ms. Toledano, reviews Mr. Riccitiello's performance, which review is then provided to the Committee. In

addition, as noted above, the Committee considers the third quartile of base salaries as reflected in the survey data and Peer Group information.

During its May 2007 compensation review, the Committee decided to adjust the base salaries of our executive officers, including the Named Executive Officers (other than Mr. Riccitiello and Mr. Moore), for fiscal 2008. These base salary increases were pro-rated to account for the additional time between the last annual review on February 15, 2006 and the new annual review effective date of June 1, 2007. The increases were, at 4.6% in the aggregate, approximately the same on a percentage basis as the annual base salary increases received by our non-executive employees for the year.

In addition, from time to time, the Committee may review and adjust the base salaries of certain executive officers at its discretion, including upon a change in an executive's role or responsibilities and to ensure internal pay equity with other executive officers at a comparable level in the Company. During fiscal 2008, in connection with the Company's reorganization, the Committee approved base salary increases for certain executive officers so that their compensation levels would be consistent with their peers in other areas of our business, taking into consideration various factors such as differences in experience.

Accordingly, during fiscal 2008 the Committee increased Mr. Jenson's base salary by 4.5% to \$595,204; Dr. Florin's base salary by 3.1% to 752,281 Swiss francs; and Mr. Gibeau's base salary to \$500,000 which reflected an annual merit increase of 3.2% and a mid-year adjustment of 7.6% after he moved into the position of President of EA Games label. Mr. Riccitiello's base salary was set at \$750,000 when he was appointed Chief Executive Officer, effective April 2, 2007.

As part of its May 2008 compensation review, the Committee decided to adjust the base salaries of our executive officers, including the Named Executive Officers, effective June 1, 2008, for fiscal 2009. These adjustments, which were approximately 4% in the aggregate and were approximately the same on a percentage basis as other executives and employees, will be reflected as part of our fiscal 2009 compensation disclosure.

Annual Cash Bonus

We use cash incentives to deliver competitive total cash compensation to our executive officers that is linked to the achievement of both the Company's annual financial objectives and individual performance objectives. For fiscal 2008, the Committee reviewed and approved target bonus opportunities for each executive officer, including the Named Executive Officers (expressed as a percentage of that executive's base salary), intended to deliver target total cash compensation (base salary plus target bonus opportunity) in the third quartile of annual incentive compensation as reflected in the survey and Peer Group data. Mr. Riccitiello's target bonus opportunity for fiscal 2008, which was 100% of his base salary, was established as part of the terms of his initial employment when he was appointed Chief Executive Officer effective April 2, 2007.

As with base salaries, the Committee seeks to deliver total cash compensation at levels within the third quartile to reflect target cash compensation opportunities that it believes are necessary to attract, motivate, reward, and retain highly-qualified executives while also allowing flexibility to recognize executives such as those who have additional responsibilities or skills which are critical to the Company's success.

During fiscal 2008, the annual bonuses for our executive officers were determined in accordance with two separate annual incentive plans, the Electronic Arts Executive Bonus Plan (the "Executive Bonus Plan") and a discretionary bonus plan in which both executive and non-executive employees were eligible to participate (the "Discretionary Bonus Program"). The Executive Bonus Plan was a cash bonus plan pursuant to which bonuses awarded to participating executive officers are intended to qualify as deductible "performance-based compensation" within the meaning of Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code.

The Executive Bonus Plan required that the Company achieve at least 75% of a pre-established corporate financial performance metric in order to fund a pool from which participating executive officers were eligible to receive a bonus payment. Upon funding of the bonus pool, the Committee then determined the actual bonus payment of each participating executive officer, considering his or her individual target bonus opportunity and the factors set out in the Discretionary Bonus Program. Under the Executive Bonus Plan, the Committee could

award a bonus payment at a participating executive officer's target bonus level or at a lesser amount. However, the Committee could not increase the bonus payment above the target bonus opportunity level.

In May 2007, the Committee established the corporate financial performance metric as a measure of non-GAAP net income, adjusted to reflect, among other things, the impact of foreign exchange fluctuations, stock-based compensation, acquisition-related expenses, restructuring charges, and tax rate fluctuations, and to neutralize the impact of bonus accruals. Consistent with its historical practice, the Committee selected this metric for fiscal 2008 as a key measure of our ability to execute on our operating plan for the year and sustain achievement of the Company's longer-term financial objectives. The non-GAAP net income fiscal 2008 target level (adjusted as described above) was directly tied to the Company's fiscal 2008 internal operating plan and corresponded to the high end of the financial guidance for fiscal 2008 that the Company publicly disclosed in May 2007. The Committee set this target at what it considered to be an aggressive level to motivate high business performance and support our attainment of the Company's longer-term financial objectives. Consequently, this target was designed to be challenging to attain. For fiscal 2008, the Company generated non-GAAP net income, adjusted as described above, sufficient to meet the minimum funding requirement under the Executive Bonus Plan.

The Committee then used the factors set forth in the Discretionary Bonus Program to determine the amount of each participating executive officer's actual bonus payment. Under the Discretionary Bonus Program, bonus payments for fiscal 2008 were determined by taking into consideration:

- the Company's operating performance as a whole;
- in certain cases where appropriate, the performance of the executive officer's business unit; and
- the executive officer's individual performance, measured on the basis of his or her achievement of one or more individual performance objectives and milestones identified for the fiscal year, such as creating new label organizations and building leadership teams.

In fiscal 2008, the Company exceeded its internal net revenue target and made significant progress on a number of strategic initiatives to help position us for long-term growth, but came in below its non-GAAP net income target. For purposes of determining specific bonus amounts, the Company's operating performance as a whole was initially assessed using the same non-GAAP net income target level as used in the Executive Bonus Plan but with a higher minimum payment threshold. Although the Company did not achieve the minimum non-GAAP net income performance threshold for the Discretionary Bonus Program in fiscal 2008, the Committee exercised its discretion and decided to award bonuses to our executive officers, including the Named Executive Officers. In reaching this decision, the Committee was influenced by several factors, including the Company's strong revenue growth, the Company's progress on its strategic, long-term initiatives such as the reorganization of the Company, the formation of a new leadership team consisting of several new executives, and our decision to delay the launch of several games in order to improve their quality. However, since the bonus payments made to our executive officers were intended to reflect our fiscal 2008 operating performance, they were less than they would have otherwise been had we achieved the non-GAAP net income target that was established in May 2007.

In the case of Mr. Riccitiello, the Board, based on the Committee's recommendation, awarded a cash bonus of \$625,350. This payment was based on the Company's fiscal 2008 operating performance, Mr. Riccitiello's achievement of his individual performance objectives for fiscal 2008, and his target bonus opportunity of 100% of his base salary.

In the case of Mr. Jenson, the Committee approved a cash bonus of \$203,024 based on the Company's fiscal 2008 operating performance, his achievement of his individual performance objectives for fiscal 2008, and his target bonus opportunity of 75% of his base salary.

In the case of Dr. Florin, the Committee approved a cash bonus of \$349,358 based on the Company's and European and North America Publishing divisions' fiscal 2008 operating performance, his achievement of individual performance objectives for fiscal 2008, and his target bonus opportunity of 60% of his base salary.

In the case of Mr. Moore, the Committee approved a cash bonus of \$330,000 based on the Company's and the EA SPORTS label's fiscal 2008 operating performance, his achievement of individual performance objectives for fiscal 2008, and his target bonus opportunity of 75% of his base salary.

In the case of Mr. Gibeau, the Committee approved a cash bonus of \$299,542 based on the Company's and the EA Games label's fiscal 2008 operating performance, his achievement of individual performance objectives for fiscal 2008, and his target bonus opportunity of 75% of his base salary.

Equity Awards

We believe that alignment of the interests of our executive officers and our stockholders is significantly advanced through the issuance of equity awards as a portion of their total direct compensation. In this way, we reinforce the link between our stockholders and our executive officers' focus on personal responsibility, creativity, and stockholder returns. We also believe that delivering a portion of their total direct compensation in the form of long-term equity awards helps encourage a long-term view in an industry that is subject to lengthy business cycles. Equity incentives such as stock options and restricted stock units ("RSUs") also play an important role in our recruitment and retention strategies, as the competition for creative and technical talent and leadership in our industry is intense.

Executive officers are eligible to receive equity awards when they first join the Company, in connection with a significant change in responsibilities, annually to provide incentives for continued performance and retention of employment and, occasionally, to achieve internal parity between different executive positions. The target value granted to each executive is determined by the Committee in its judgment, after considering a number of factors, including the executive's position and level of responsibility, an assessment of his or her performance, the value of equity awards for similar positions in the external market (as referenced by the 75th percentile in the survey data and Peer Group information), and internal parity among similarly-situated executives.

In February 2006, the Committee began granting target equity awards to our executive officers as a mix of stock options and RSU awards. This mix delivered 70% of the target equity award value in stock options and 30% of the target equity award value in RSUs. This compensation mix reflects the Committee's belief that stock options are an important vehicle for encouraging equity ownership by executive officers and aligning their interests with the interests of our stockholders, whereas RSUs strengthen the retention of key employees. The Committee also reviews the estimated total pool of stock options and RSU awards to be granted to executive officers and other employees to ensure that share use remains in line with internal targets.

During its May 2007 compensation review, the Committee decided to grant equity awards to our executive officers, including the Named Executive Officers (except for Mr. Riccitiello and Mr. Moore) in view of their positions, responsibility, and an assessment of their performance. In August 2007, in connection with the reorganization of the Company, the Committee approved an equity-based retention program pursuant to which RSUs were granted to our executive officers, including the Named Executive Officers (other than Mr. Riccitiello and Mr. Moore). These RSUs first vest as to 50% of the shares on September 16, 2008 and as to the remaining 50% of the shares on October 16, 2009. Also in August 2007, the Committee approved stock option grants to certain executive officers, including certain of the Named Executive Officers, which vest as to 24% of the shares on the first day of the calendar month that includes the one-year anniversary of the option grant date, and as to an additional 2% of the shares on the first calendar day of each month thereafter for 38 months.

In the case of Mr. Jenson, the Committee approved: (i) in May 2007, (a) a stock option to purchase 35,000 shares of the Company's common stock, vesting as to 24% of the shares on the first day of the calendar month that includes the one-year anniversary of the option grant date, and as to an additional 2% of the shares on the first calendar day of each month thereafter for 38 months, and (b) an RSU award of 5,000 shares, vesting as to 25% of the shares on each of the first four anniversaries of the grant date; and (ii) in August 2007, (a) a stock option to purchase 60,000 shares of the Company's common stock, vesting as described above, and (b) 20,000 RSUs, vesting as noted above.

In the case of Dr. Florin, the Committee approved: (i) in May 2007, (a) a stock option to purchase 35,000 shares of the Company's common stock, vesting as to 24% of the shares on the first day of the calendar month that includes the one-year anniversary of the option grant date, and as to an additional 2% of the shares on the first calendar day of each month thereafter for 38 months, and (b) an RSU award of 5,000 shares, vesting as to 25% of the shares on each of the first four anniversaries of the grant date; and (ii) in August 2007, 20,000 RSUs, vesting as noted above.

In the case of Mr. Gibeau, the Committee approved: (i) in May 2007, (a) a stock option to purchase 35,000 shares of the Company's common stock, vesting as to 24% of the shares on the first day of the calendar month that includes the one-year anniversary of the option grant date, and as to an additional 2% of the shares on the first calendar day of each month thereafter for 38 months, and (b) an RSU award of 5,000 shares, vesting as to 25% of the shares on each of the first four anniversaries of the grant date; and (ii) in August 2007, (a) a stock option to purchase 100,000 shares of the Company's common stock, vesting as described above, and (b) 20,000 RSUs, vesting as noted above.

Mr. Riccitiello's equity award for fiscal 2008 was established as part of the terms of his initial employment when he was appointed Chief Executive Officer, effective April 2, 2007. The Committee recommended to the Board, and the Board determined, that Mr. Riccitiello's initial equity award, in connection with his appointment as Chief Executive Officer, consist solely of stock options.

Equity Awards Grant Practices

All stock options granted to the Named Executive Officers in fiscal 2008 were granted at the fair market value (*i.e.*, the closing price) of the Company's common stock on the date of grant and vest as described in the Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table set forth below. RSU awards granted in fiscal 2008 to the Named Executive Officers vest as described in the footnotes to the Summary Compensation Table set forth below. All equity awards granted to executive officers were approved by the Committee in advance of the grant date and were made on the 16th of the month in which they were granted (or on the next NASDAQ trading day thereafter if the 16th of the month fell on a Saturday, Sunday, or holiday).

The Committee has delegated limited authority for determining and approving equity grants for non-executive employees, consisting of pre-defined size limits and vesting schedules, to a committee consisting of Mr. Riccitiello and Ms. Toledano, which we refer to as the "Management Committee." The Management Committee is generally responsible for all equity awards to employees below the Senior Vice President level, up to an annual grant limit of stock options to purchase 30,000 shares and 10,000 RSUs. The Management Committee reports on its activities to the Committee on at least an annual basis.

Benefits and Retirement Plans

We provide a comprehensive benefits package to all of our regular, full-time employees, including the Named Executive Officers, which includes medical, dental, prescription drug, vision care, disability insurance, life insurance, a flexible spending plan, a tax-qualified Section 401(k) savings plan (or, in the case of Dr. Florin, a defined contribution plan for which all of the Company's Swiss employees are eligible), an educational reimbursement program, an employee assistance program, an employee stock purchase plan, and holidays and personal time off, including vacation, sick, or personal days off.

We do not offer a retirement plan to our executive officers, including the Named Executive Officers, other than through participation in our tax-qualified Section 401(k) savings plan we offer to all eligible employees or a comparable savings plan in locations outside of the United States.

We maintain a nonqualified deferred compensation plan (the "DCP") that allows certain employees, including the Named Executive Officers, and our directors to defer receipt of their base salary and annual cash bonus or director fees, as the case may be, into cash accounts that mirror the gains and/or losses of a variety of different investment funds. These investment funds correspond to the funds that we offer to participants in the tax-qualified Section 401(k) plan. Eligible employee-participants may defer receipt of up to 75% of their base salary and up to 100% of their bonus and/or commissions until a date or dates they specify. We are not

required to make any contributions to the DCP and did not do so in fiscal 2008. None of the Named Executive Officers participated in the DCP during fiscal 2008.

Perquisites and Other Personal Benefits

Historically, we believe we have taken a conservative approach with respect to providing perquisites and other personal benefits to our executive officers, including the Named Executive Officers. While our executive officers generally receive the same benefits that are available to our other regular, full-time employees, they also receive certain other benefits, including access to a company-paid executive physical program, company-paid supplemental long-term disability insurance, and paid parking at locations where free parking is not available. We consider these benefits to be standard components of a competitive executive compensation package. In the case of the supplemental long-term disability insurance, our primary objective is to provide the same level of coverage as is available to all other regular, full-time employees but that is limited under our general policy due to covered earnings caps. In addition, company-provided air and ground transportation is limited solely to business travel.

We also provide certain benefits in connection with international and domestic assignments and relocations, including a housing allowance, a car allowance, and tax protection to offset costs incurred by our executives as a result of these assignments. In fiscal 2008, Dr. Florin and Mr. Moore received certain of these assignment-related benefits, which have been reported in the All Other Compensation column of the Summary Compensation Table set forth below.

Executive Changes

Appointment of New Chief Executive Officer

In February 2007, we entered into an agreement with Mr. Riccitiello, under which he was appointed as our Chief Executive Officer, effective April 2, 2007. Taking into consideration Mr. Riccitiello's prior association with the Company as well as his more recent experience, the Committee viewed him as an individual with the requisite experience, skills, and acumen needed to assume this role. Accordingly, the Committee developed a total compensation package that it considered to be competitive for the chief executive officer of a large interactive entertainment company. At the same time, the Committee was sensitive to the need to integrate Mr. Riccitiello into our existing executive compensation structure, balancing both competitive and internal equity considerations.

Ultimately, the Committee settled on an employment offer comprising two principal pay components: regular ongoing and annual cash compensation and an equity award. The annual cash component was designed to be consistent with the Company's compensation philosophy that a significant portion of cash compensation should be at risk and dependent on the Company's financial and operational performance and the executive's individual performance. The equity component, which is reflected below, was designed to ensure that Mr. Riccitiello received a long-term incentive that was appropriate for his role and responsibilities as Chief Executive Officer.

The material terms of Mr. Riccitiello's compensation package in connection with his appointment as Chief Executive Officer of the Company were as follows:

- An annual base salary of \$750,000;
- A target bonus opportunity equal to 100% of his annual base salary; and
- A stock option to purchase a total of 850,000 shares of the Company's common stock subject to the following vesting requirements:
 - An option to purchase 300,000 shares, vesting as to 24% of the shares on April 1, 2008, and then vesting in additional 2% increments on the first calendar day of each month thereafter for the following 38 months;

- An option to purchase 275,000 shares, which will vest in full on April 1, 2010; and
- An option to purchase 275,000 shares, which will vest in full on April 1, 2012.

Services Agreement with Former Chief Financial Officer

In March 2008, we entered into an agreement with Mr. Jenson concerning his departure from the Company. The material terms of Mr. Jenson's ongoing employment during this transition period were as follows:

- after a new Chief Financial Officer was appointed, he will remain an employee of the Company through September 30, 2008, unless he commenced employment with a new employer or until the occurrence of certain other conditions;
- he will continue to receive his current salary and the other standard benefits available to executive officers in similar positions, including coverage under the Company's health, life insurance, and disability plans and eligibility to participate in the Company's Section 401(k) plan; and
- he will remain eligible to participate in the Company's discretionary bonus program for fiscal year 2008 at his current bonus target level (75% of base salary), subject to his being employed by the Company at the time annual bonus payments are made to other executive officers.

Fiscal 2009 Compensation Program Changes

During fiscal 2008, based on the results of the Committee's comprehensive review of our executive compensation program and taking into consideration the recommendations of Ms. Toledano and Mr. Riccitiello, the Committee approved changes to the program. The Committee believes that the restructured program, which is effective in fiscal 2009, will better support the ongoing reorganization of our business. These changes, which are designed to ensure that our executive officers' total direct compensation (the sum of base salary, annual cash bonus payments, and equity awards) is competitive and tied to performance, will result in two significant changes to our executive compensation program.

First, the Discretionary Bonus Program (renamed the "Annual Bonus Plan") in which executive officers participate, has been updated. Actual bonus payouts will be determined based on (i) the Company's performance as a whole, (ii) in certain cases where appropriate, the performance of an executive's individual business unit, and (iii) the executive's individual performance. The achievement of the objectives for each component will be determined independently based on the actual level of performance and results achieved for each objective. The component relating to the Company's performance as a whole will be based on the achievement of a combination of the Company's fiscal 2009 non-GAAP revenue and non-GAAP earnings per share. We also have implemented another bonus program for fiscal 2009, the Label Incentive Program. The Label Incentive Program is intended primarily for members of our label development teams. The Label Incentive Program rewards development employees based on the profitability of their labels, studios, and the products on which they work.

Second, to further strengthen the link between our executive compensation program and our operating performance, our executive officers, including the Named Executive Officers, were granted performance-based RSUs. These performance-based RSUs are designed to vest in three equal amounts, with the vesting of each amount being contingent upon our achievement of one of three progressively higher non-GAAP net income targets, the highest of which corresponds to our long-term non-GAAP financial income objectives for fiscal 2011. These performance-based RSUs were granted to our executive officers in lieu of the annual stock option grants they would have otherwise been eligible to receive in fiscal 2009 and fiscal 2010 had we not implemented the performance-based RSU program. Consistent with our compensation philosophy, certain of these executive officers were also granted service-based RSUs vesting over a four-year period. The size of these service-based RSU grants corresponded to 30% of the total equity award value for fiscal 2009 that each executive officer would have received had we continued to grant stock options (instead of the performance-based RSUs). We believe this combination of performance-based and service-based RSUs will motivate our executive officers to help us achieve our long-term business objectives and, secondarily, will serve to strengthen our retention of these individuals.

Post-Employment Arrangements

Change of Control Plan

In February 2008, our Board of Directors approved a “double-trigger” change of control plan, entitled the Electronic Arts Inc. Key Employee Continuity Plan (the “CoC Plan”). Pursuant to the CoC Plan, any eligible employee, including the Named Executive Officers, may receive certain benefits if his or her employment is terminated either without “cause” (as defined in the CoC Plan) or if he or she resigns for “good reason” (as defined in the CoC Plan) during the 12-month period following a change of control of the company or if his or her employment is terminated “without cause” during the two-month period preceding a change of control of the company. Eligible employees include all employees at the level of vice president and above.

The CoC Plan benefits include:

- a cash severance payment based on a multiple of base salary and target bonus or annual incentive opportunity;
- continued health benefits for a period ranging from six to 18 months, depending on the executive’s position with the Company; and
- full and immediate vesting of all outstanding and unvested equity awards (other than certain portions of performance-based awards, which may be subject to acceleration depending on the specific terms of such awards).

The cash severance payment that Mr. Riccitiello, company-level presidents (including the presidents of our labels and our president of publishing), and executive vice presidents (including Mr. Brown, our Chief Financial Officer) are entitled to receive upon a qualifying termination of employment under the CoC Plan is equal to 150% of the sum of that executive’s annual base salary and target annual bonus or incentive opportunity. We believe that this level of severance benefits will assist us in recruiting talented individuals to join and remain a part of our management team. From time to time, we may recruit executives from other companies where they have job security, tenure and career opportunities. In accepting a position with us, an executive is often giving up his or her current job stability for the challenges and potential risks of a new position. This severance benefit mitigates the harm that the executive would suffer if he or she were terminated by the Company for reasons beyond his or her control in conjunction with a change of control of the Company. Severance benefits also allow existing executives to focus on the Company’s business without being unduly distracted by concerns about their job security in the event of a change of control. Finally, we expect that these severance benefits will act as an additional incentive for eligible participants to comply with their post-termination covenants, such as the non-solicitation requirement described below, and confidentiality obligations.

Upon a change of control of the Company, an executive may be subject to certain excise taxes imposed under Section 280G of the Internal Revenue Code (“Section 280G”). The CoC Plan does not provide for any additional payments (for example, tax gross-ups or reimbursements) in the event that the benefits under the CoC Plan and other arrangements offered by the Company or its affiliates cause an executive to owe an excise tax under Section 280G. However, the CoC Plan provides that, if an executive would receive a greater net after-tax benefit by having CoC Plan benefits reduced to an amount that would avoid the imposition of the Section 280G excise tax, his or her cash severance payment will be reduced accordingly.

As a condition to each executive’s right to receive the benefits provided under the CoC Plan, the executive is required to execute a waiver of claims against the Company and will be bound by the terms of a non-solicitation agreement prohibiting the executive, for a one-year period following his or her termination of employment, from soliciting our employees to leave the Company.

Severance Plan

We maintain an ERISA-governed severance plan (the “Severance Plan”) that applies to (a) all of our U.S.-based employees whose jobs are terminated due to a reduction in force and (b) any other employee we select to participate in the plan upon his or her termination of employment. Under the Severance Plan, eligible

employees may receive a cash severance payment equal to two weeks of pay, with any additional payments to be determined solely at our discretion. In addition, under the Severance Plan, we will pay the premiums for continued health benefits, if such benefits are continued pursuant to COBRA, for a time period equal to the number of weeks of cash severance paid.

Any severance arrangements with our executive officers, including the Named Executive Officers, whether paid pursuant to the Severance Plan or otherwise, require the prior approval of the Committee. In the event of a change of control of the Company, the cash severance payment payable under the Severance Plan may be reduced, in whole or in part, by any amount paid under the CoC Plan.

On September 26, 2006, in connection with the establishment of our international publishing headquarters in Geneva, Switzerland, we entered into an employment agreement with Dr. Florin setting forth the terms and conditions of his employment with the Company in recognition of his relocation to Geneva. The agreement provides for:

- a notice period of six months in the event of termination of his employment (other than for gross misconduct);
- a redundancy payment of 16 weeks base salary (determined by the number of years of Dr. Florin's previous service to the Company according to our standard policy regarding the calculation of redundancy payments) in the event that Dr. Florin is made redundant (that is, the Company no longer requires the services for which he is employed, or his position is relocated to another company entity) within three years of the effective date of the agreement; and
- payment of air fare and the cost of relocation of household goods for him and his family back to the United Kingdom in the event that he is made redundant within two years of the effective date of the agreement.

Treatment of Stock Options Upon Retirement

In May 2004, we implemented a special retirement provision in connection with the exercise of outstanding vested stock options following a qualifying termination of employment. All stock option grants made after April 2004 to employees, including the Named Executive Officers, contain this provision. Under the standard provisions of our employee stock option plans, an optionee generally has three months following his or her termination of employment to exercise his or her stock options that had vested as of his or her termination date. After three months, these options expire. For an optionee whose length of service to the Company plus age equals 60, and whose length of service is at least 10 years, this special retirement provision extends this post-termination exercise period up to 60 months following termination of employment (but in no event beyond the original term of the stock option).

Non-Competition and Non-Solicitation Agreements

Each of our newly-hired employees, including our executive officers, must enter into a standard proprietary information agreement, which includes a provision that prohibits for one year after termination solicitation of our employees to leave the Company. In addition, payouts under our CoC Plan are conditioned upon an executive officer's acceptance of a non-solicitation provision for a period of one year from the date of his or her termination of employment.

Dr. Florin's employment agreement contains the following additional restrictions, which apply for a six-month period following the termination of his employment:

- a prohibition on soliciting or providing goods or services to, in competition with the Company, certain customers of the Company;
- a prohibition on contracting with or engaging, in competition with the Company, certain suppliers of the Company;

- a prohibition on employing, or engaging or offering employment to, certain employees of the Company; and
- a restriction on competing with the Company in Switzerland.

Stock Ownership Requirements

In fiscal 2004, the Board implemented stock ownership requirements for all of our executive officers who are subject to Section 16 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. These requirements are based on multiples of the executive officer's base salary, and range from one to six times an individual's annual base salary depending on his or her level within the Company. In some cases, these requirements are phased in on the basis of the executive officer's tenure.

The Committee monitors these stock ownership requirements and believes that they further align the interests of our executive officers with those of our stockholders. As of March 31, 2008, each of our executive officers, including the Named Executive Officers, had either met his or her then-applicable ownership requirements or had not yet reached the date on which he or she is required to meet his or her ownership requirement.

Stock Trading Policy

We have adopted a policy designed to promote compliance by all of our employees with both federal and state insider trading laws. Under this policy, certain employees (including all of our executive officers) who regularly have access to material, non-public information about the Company are prohibited from buying or selling shares of the Company's common stock during periods when the Company's trading window is closed (unless such transactions are made pursuant to a pre-approved Exchange Act Rule 10b5-1 trading plan). When the trading window is open, these employees (including all of our executive officers) are prohibited from buying or selling shares of the Company's common stock while in possession of material, non-public information about the Company and must request a trading clearance from our General Counsel prior to engaging in a trading transaction (unless such transaction is made pursuant to a pre-approved Exchange Act Rule 10b5-1 trading plan).

In addition, we believe it is improper and inappropriate for any of our employees to engage in any transaction designed to result in a benefit from a decline in the trading price of the Company's common stock. As such, our directors, executive officers, and other employees may not engage in short sales of shares of the Company's common stock under any circumstances, including trading in puts and calls that increase in value from a decline in the trading price of our stock.

Tax and Accounting Policies

Section 162(m)

Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code limits the ability of a public company to deduct the remuneration of its chief executive officer and each of the next three most highly compensated executive officers other than its chief financial officer (the "covered employees") in excess of \$1 million, except for certain compensation which qualifies as "performance-based compensation." Under this exception, certain types of compensation are deductible by the Company without regard to the \$1 million limitation if certain conditions are satisfied and the plan or arrangement is approved by stockholders. We have endeavored to structure our executive compensation plans and arrangements to maximize deductibility under Section 162(m) with minimal sacrifices of flexibility and impact on corporate objectives. The Executive Bonus Plan is designed to operate consistent with this strategy.

Further, the Committee has structured our use of stock options in a manner intended to ensure deductibility of the amounts realized upon an option exercise. While the Committee has had the ability to grant performance-based RSUs that would qualify for the "performance-based compensation" exception to Section 162(m), it had not done so prior to May 2008, when the Committee granted the performance-based RSUs described above.

With respect to non-equity compensation arrangements, the Committee has reviewed the terms of those arrangements most likely to be subject to the \$1 million limitation of Section 162(m). In fiscal 2008, the compensation paid to Peter Moore, which included a signing bonus and relocation-related financial assistance, exceeded the Section 162(m) deductibility threshold by approximately \$926,000. Pursuant to guidance from the Internal Revenue Service, Mr. Jenson, our former Chief Financial Officer, was not considered a covered employee for purposes of Section 162(m) during fiscal 2008.

While the Committee will continue to consider deductibility under Section 162(m) with respect to future compensation arrangements with our executive officers, deductibility will not be the only, or necessarily the primary, factor in determining appropriate levels or modes of compensation. Since corporate objectives may not always be consistent with the requirements for full deductibility, it is possible that we may, if consistent with our compensation philosophy, enter into compensation arrangements in the future under which payments are not fully deductible under Section 162(m).

Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation

In fiscal 2007, we began accounting for stock-based compensation awards in accordance with the requirements of SFAS No. 123(R), “Share-Based Payment”. The comparable compensation expense of RSUs and stock options under SFAS No. 123(R) has removed a financial reporting disincentive to use RSUs that existed before we began expensing stock options under that accounting standard. As such, in anticipation of the adoption of SFAS No. 123(R), we began granting RSUs to certain overtime-eligible employees during calendar year 2005. In calendar year 2006, we expanded the use of RSUs to all employee groups, including our executive officers.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The following Compensation Committee Report on Executive Compensation shall not be deemed to be “soliciting material” or to be “filed” with the Securities and Exchange Commission nor shall this information be incorporated by reference into any future filing under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, except to the extent that EA specifically incorporates it by reference into a filing.

The Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed with management the Compensation Discussion and Analysis. Based on its review and discussions with management, the Compensation Committee recommended to our Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this proxy statement.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE

Linda J. Srere (Chair)

Leonard S. Coleman, Jr.

Richard Simonson

FISCAL 2008 SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

The following table shows information concerning the compensation earned during fiscal 2008 by our Chief Executive Officer, our Chief Financial and Administrative Officer and our next three most highly compensated executive officers. We refer to these individuals collectively as the “Named Executive Officers”. The following table also includes information for those Named Executive Officers whose compensation was included in our 2007 proxy statement.

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Stock Awards (\$) ⁽¹⁾	Option Awards (\$) ⁽²⁾	Non Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$) ⁽³⁾	All Other Compensation (\$) ⁽⁴⁾	Total (\$)
JOHN S. RICCIHELLO . . . Chief Executive Officer	2008	750,000	—	—	3,663,074	625,350	3,958	5,042,382
WARREN C. JENSON ⁽⁵⁾ . . . Executive Vice President, Chief Financial and Administrative Officer	2008 2007	591,243 571,392	— —	544,394 203,242	286,073 2,080,784	203,024 637,226	12,255 2,126,071 ⁽⁶⁾	1,636,989 5,618,715
GERHARD FLORIN Executive Vice President, Publishing	2008 2007	752,599 ⁽⁷⁾ 558,679 ⁽⁹⁾	— —	540,200 215,712	1,410,280 1,805,005	349,358 526,874	501,061 ⁽⁸⁾ 501,172 ⁽¹⁰⁾	3,553,498 3,607,442
PETER MOORE President, EA SPORTS	2008	317,308	1,500,000 ⁽¹¹⁾	356,729	807,631	330,000	190,073 ⁽¹²⁾	3,501,741
FRANK GIBEAU President, EA Games	2008	484,395	—	1,000,070	1,360,605	299,542	9,885	3,154,497

⁽¹⁾ Represents the expense recognized by EA for financial statement reporting purposes in accordance with SFAS No. 123(R), as modified to exclude the impact of estimated forfeitures related to service-based vesting conditions, for awards of restricted stock units granted to the Named Executive Officers in fiscal 2008 as well as prior fiscal years. No stock awards were forfeited by any of the Named Executive Officers in fiscal 2008. The amounts reflected above represent the value determined by EA for reporting purposes only and do not reflect whether the recipient has actually realized a financial benefit from the awards (such as by vesting in a restricted stock unit award). For additional information regarding the valuation methodology used by EA, see note 12, “Stock-Based Compensation and Employee Benefit Plans”, of the Consolidated Financial Statements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2008. For additional information regarding the specific terms of restricted stock units granted to the Named Executive Officers in fiscal 2008, see the “Fiscal 2008 Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table” below.

⁽²⁾ Represents the expense recognized by EA for financial statement reporting purposes in accordance with SFAS No. 123(R), as modified to exclude the impact of estimated forfeitures related to service-based vesting conditions, for awards of stock options granted to the Named Executive Officers in fiscal 2008 as well as prior fiscal years. No stock options were forfeited by any of the Named Executive Officers in fiscal 2008. The amounts reflected above represent the value determined by EA for reporting purposes only and do not reflect whether the recipient has actually realized a financial benefit from the awards (such as by exercising stock options). For a more detailed discussion on the valuation model and assumptions used to calculate the fair value of EA’s stock options, see note 12, “Stock-Based Compensation and Employee Benefit Plans”, of the Consolidated Financial Statements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2008. For additional information regarding the specific terms of stock options granted to the Named Executive Officers in fiscal 2008, see the “Fiscal 2008 Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table” below.

⁽³⁾ Represents amounts awarded under EA’s Executive Bonus Plan for fiscal 2008.

⁽⁴⁾ Includes (a) Basic and Group Term Life Insurance Premiums, Executive Disability, and Executive Physical fees paid for the benefit of certain Named Executive Officers, as follows: \$3,958 for Mr. Riccitiello, \$5,505 for Mr. Jenson, \$3,766 for Mr. Moore, and \$3,135 for Mr. Gibeau in fiscal 2008; and (b) company-matching 401(k) contributions of \$6,750 earned by Mr. Jenson and Mr. Gibeau in fiscal 2008.

⁽⁵⁾ Mr. Jenson ceased being Chief Financial and Administrative Officer effective April 14, 2008.

- (6) Includes (a) \$2,000,000 forgiveness of a loan to Mr. Jenson; (b) \$43,630 in imputed interest income on the loan; (c) \$26,648 paid on behalf of Mr. Jenson for relocation-related costs, including storage and shipping of household goods; (d) \$41,495 for the tax gross-up related to relocation costs incurred during and prior to fiscal 2007; (e) \$1,098 of term life insurance and disability premiums in fiscal 2007; and (f) company-matching 401(k) contributions of \$13,200 earned by Mr. Jenson for fiscal 2007.
- (7) During fiscal 2008, Dr. Florin was on payroll in Geneva, Switzerland and paid in Swiss francs. The amounts reflected in the Summary Compensation Table above (other than equity awards and Dr. Florin's fiscal 2008 bonus) were converted into U.S. dollars based on the exchange rates in effect on March 31, 2008.
- (8) Includes (a) \$234,398 in company-paid relocation and international assignment expenses, of which \$191,626 was paid in the form of a housing allowance, \$8,132 was related to language training, \$25,640 related to dependent education, and \$9,000 related to tax preparation assistance; (b) \$135,556 in company-matching defined contribution plan contributions; (c) \$25,138 in automobile and fuel allowance received by Dr. Florin for which all senior employees and members of management resident in Switzerland are generally eligible; (d) \$4,302 of company-paid medical and life insurance premiums and related benefits and (e) tax gross-up of \$101,667 for fiscal 2008.
- (9) During fiscal 2007, Dr. Florin was on payroll in the United Kingdom from April 1, 2006 through August 31, 2006, and on payroll in Geneva, Switzerland from September 1, 2006 through March 31, 2007. As such, Dr. Florin's salary and other compensation (other than equity awards) were paid in either British pounds or Swiss francs. The amounts reflected in the Summary Compensation Table above (other than equity awards and Dr. Florin's fiscal 2007 bonus) were converted into U.S. dollars based on exchange rates in effect on March 31, 2007.
- (10) Includes (a) \$383,022 in company-paid relocation and international assignment expenses, of which \$198,418 was paid in the form of a housing allowance, \$49,118 paid as a one-time cash relocation allowance, \$45,997 related to storage and shipping of household goods, \$25,693 related to temporary living expenses, \$24,846 related to dependent education, \$12,260 related to tax preparation assistance, and the remainder related to various other relocation-related expenses; (b) \$80,865 in company-matching defined contribution plan contributions; (c) \$23,309 in automobile and fuel allowance received by Dr. Florin for which all senior employees and members of management resident in the UK and Switzerland are generally eligible; and (d) \$13,976 of company-paid medical and life insurance premiums and related benefits for fiscal 2007.
- (11) Represents hiring bonus of \$1,500,000.
- (12) Includes (a) \$139,390 for relocation-related costs, including costs of a househunting trip, temporary housing, home sale costs and storage and shipping of household goods; and (b) \$46,917 for the tax gross-up related to the relocation costs incurred during fiscal 2008. For more information regarding Mr. Moore's compensation, see "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" above.

FISCAL 2008 GRANTS OF PLAN-BASED AWARDS TABLE

The following table shows information regarding equity and non-equity plan-based awards granted to the Named Executive Officers during fiscal 2008.

Name	Grant Date ⁽¹⁾	Approval Date ⁽¹⁾	Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards ⁽²⁾			All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares of Stock or Units (#) ⁽³⁾	All Other Option Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Options (#) ⁽⁴⁾	Exercise or Base Price of Option Awards (\$/Sh) ⁽⁵⁾	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards (\$) ⁽⁶⁾
			Threshold (\$)	Target (\$)	Maximum (\$)				
John S. Riccitiello	—	—	—	750,000	2,250,000				
	5/10/2007	2/12/2007					850,000 ⁽⁷⁾	49.90	16,002,578
Warren C. Jenson	—	—	—	446,403	1,785,612				
	6/18/2007	5/10/2007					35,000 ⁽⁸⁾	49.71	586,156
	6/18/2007	5/10/2007				5,000 ⁽⁹⁾		—	248,550
	8/16/2007	8/3/2007				20,000 ⁽¹⁰⁾		—	1,016,000
	8/16/2007	8/3/2007					60,000 ⁽⁸⁾	50.80	1,016,418
Gerhard Florin	—	—	—	451,559	2,257,797				
	6/18/2007	5/10/2007					35,000 ⁽⁸⁾	49.71	586,156
	6/18/2007	5/10/2007				5,000 ⁽⁹⁾		—	248,550
	8/16/2007	8/3/2007				20,000 ⁽¹⁰⁾		—	1,016,000
Peter Moore	—	—	—	412,500	1,650,000				
	9/17/2007	6/5/2007					350,000 ⁽⁸⁾	53.73	6,269,550
	9/17/2007	6/5/2007				50,000 ⁽¹¹⁾		—	2,686,500
Frank Gibeau	—	—	—	375,000	1,500,000				
	6/18/2007	5/10/2007					35,000 ⁽⁸⁾	49.71	586,156
	6/18/2007	5/10/2007				5,000 ⁽⁹⁾		—	248,550
	8/16/2007	8/3/2007					100,000 ⁽⁸⁾	50.80	1,694,030
	8/16/2007	8/3/2007				20,000 ⁽¹⁰⁾		—	1,016,000

- (1) Each grant was approved on the approval date indicated above by our Compensation Committee for grant on the specific grant date indicated above. For more information regarding our grant date policy, see “Compensation Discussion and Analysis” above.
- (2) The target incentive amounts shown below reflect our annual cash bonus plan awards originally provided under the Electronic Arts Executive Bonus Plan and represent the target awards pre-established as a percent of salary. The maximum amounts represent the greatest payout which can be made if the pre-established performance level is met or exceeded. Actual 2008 Executive Bonus Plan payouts are reflected in the Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation column of the Summary Compensation Table above.
- (3) Represents awards of restricted stock units granted under our 2000 Equity Incentive Plan, as amended. Upon vesting, each restricted stock unit automatically converts into one share of EA common stock, and does not have an exercise price or expiration date. The restricted stock units are not entitled to receive dividends, if any, paid by EA on its common stock.
- (4) Represents stock options granted under our 2000 Equity Incentive Plan, as amended.
- (5) The exercise price of all stock options was 100% of the fair market value on the date of grant (based on the closing price of our common stock on the NASDAQ Global Select Market on the date of grant).
- (6) Represents the value estimated by EA for reporting purposes only in accordance with SFAS No. 123(R) for awards of stock options and restricted stock units. The amounts reflected above represent the value determined by EA for reporting purposes only and do not reflect whether the recipient has actually realized a financial benefit from the awards. For additional information regarding the valuation methodology used by EA, see note 12, “Stock-Based Compensation and Employee Benefit Plans”, of the Consolidated Financial Statements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2008.
- (7) Stock option vests as follows: (a) 300,000 shares will vest on our standard terms, with 24% of these shares vesting on April 1, 2008 and the remaining shares vesting in additional 2% increments on the first

calendar day of each month thereafter for the following 38 months; (b) 275,000 shares will vest on April 1, 2010; and (c) 275,000 shares will vest on April 1, 2012.

- (8) Stock option vests as to 24% of the shares on the first day of the calendar month that includes the one-year anniversary of the option grant date, and will then vest and become exercisable as to an additional 2% of the shares on the first calendar day of each month thereafter for 38 months.
- (9) Restricted stock units vest as to 25% of the shares on each of the first three anniversaries of the grant date, with the remaining shares vesting on the fourth anniversary of the grant date.
- (10) Restricted stock units vest as to 50% of the shares on September 16, 2008 and the remaining 50% of the shares on October 16, 2009.
- (11) Restricted stock units vest as to 50% of the shares on the second anniversary of the grant date and the remaining 50% of the shares on the fourth anniversary of the grant date.

OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS AT FISCAL YEAR-END

The following table shows information regarding all outstanding equity awards held by the Named Executive Officers as of the end of fiscal 2008.

Name	Option Awards				Stock Awards	
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) ⁽¹⁾	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) ⁽¹⁾	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#) ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$) ⁽²⁾
	Exercisable	Unexercisable				
John S. Riccitiello	0	850,000 ⁽³⁾	49.90	5/10/2017		
Warren C. Jenson	50,000	0	30.82	6/24/2012		
	235,000	0	30.82	6/24/2012		
	120,000	0	48.79	10/24/2013		
	50,000	50,000 ⁽⁴⁾	64.92	3/1/2015		
	26,250	26,250 ⁽⁵⁾	52.03	3/1/2016		
	0	35,000 ⁽⁶⁾	49.71	6/18/2017		
	0	60,000 ⁽⁷⁾	50.80	8/16/2017		
					3,750 ⁽⁸⁾	185,025
					5,000 ⁽⁹⁾	246,700
					20,000 ⁽¹⁰⁾	986,800
Gerhard Florin	100,000	0	31.32	10/7/2012		
	50,000	0	31.32	10/7/2012		
	8,473	0	30.60	6/20/2011		
	40,000	0	48.79	10/24/2013		
	80,000	0	48.79	10/24/2013		
	37,000	13,000 ⁽¹¹⁾	64.92	3/1/2015		
	37,500	37,500 ⁽⁴⁾	64.92	3/1/2015		
	0	75,000 ⁽¹²⁾	57.42	9/2/2015		
	17,499	17,501 ⁽⁵⁾	52.03	3/1/2016		
	13,125	39,375 ⁽¹³⁾	51.64	8/16/2016		
	0	35,000 ⁽⁶⁾	49.71	6/18/2017		
					2,500 ⁽⁸⁾	123,350
					5,625 ⁽¹⁴⁾	277,538
					5,000 ⁽⁹⁾	246,700
					20,000 ⁽¹⁰⁾	986,800
Peter Moore	0	350,000 ⁽¹⁵⁾	53.73	9/17/2017		
					50,000 ⁽¹⁶⁾	2,467,000
Frank Gibeau	12,600	0	31.32	10/7/2012		
	60,000	0	48.79	10/24/2013		
	0	100,000 ⁽¹²⁾	57.42	9/2/2015		
	17,500	17,500 ⁽⁵⁾	52.03	3/1/2016		
	17,500	52,500 ⁽¹³⁾	51.64	8/16/2016		
	0	35,000 ⁽⁶⁾	49.71	6/18/2017		
	0	100,000 ⁽⁷⁾	50.80	8/16/2017		
					2,500 ⁽⁸⁾	123,350
					14,063 ⁽¹⁷⁾	693,868
					20,000 ⁽¹⁰⁾	986,800
					5,000 ⁽⁹⁾	246,700
					4,688 ⁽¹⁷⁾	231,306
					7,500 ⁽¹⁴⁾	370,050

- (1) Unless otherwise noted, all stock options and restricted stock units were granted pursuant to EA's 2000 Equity Incentive Plan.
- (2) Represents restricted stock units. The market value was calculated by multiplying the number of unvested restricted stock units by \$49.34, the closing price of EA's common stock on March 28, 2008, the last trading day of fiscal 2008.
- (3) Stock option vests as follows: (a) 300,000 shares will vest on our standard terms, with 24% of these shares vesting on April 1, 2008 and the remaining shares vesting in additional 2% increments on the first calendar day of each month thereafter for the following 38 months; (b) 275,000 shares will vest on April 1, 2010; and (c) 275,000 shares will vest on April 1, 2012.

- (4) Options vested and became exercisable as to 25% of the original grant on March 1, 2007 and 25% on March 1, 2008, and will continue to vest as to the remaining 50% on March 1, 2009.
- (5) Option vested and became exercisable as to 24% of the original grant on February 1, 2007, then vests as to an additional 2% of the original grant each month until April 1, 2010.
- (6) Option vests as to 24% on June 1, 2008, then vests as to an additional 2% of the original grant each month until August 1, 2011.
- (7) Option vests as to 24% on August 1, 2008, then vests as to an additional 2% of the original grant each month until October 1, 2011.
- (8) Restricted stock units vested as to 25% of the original grant on March 1, 2007 and 25% on March 1, 2008, and will continue to vest as to the remaining 50% on March 1, 2009.
- (9) Restricted stock unit vests as to 25% of the original grant on June 16, 2008, 25% on June 16, 2009, 25% on June 16, 2010 and the remaining 25% on June 16, 2011.
- (10) Restricted stock unit vests as to 50% of the shares on September 16, 2008 and the remaining 50% of the shares on October 16, 2009.
- (11) Option vested and became exercisable as to 24% on the anniversary of the original grant on February 1, 2006, then vests as to an additional 2% of the original grant each month until April 1, 2009.
- (12) Option vests and becomes exercisable as to 100% of the original grant on September 2, 2009.
- (13) Options vested and became exercisable as to 25% of the original grant on July 1, 2007 and will continue to vest as to 25% on July 1, 2008, 25% on July 1, 2009 and the remaining 25% on July 1, 2010.
- (14) Restricted stock units vest as to 25% of the original grant on August 16, 2007, then vest as to an additional 25% on August 16, 2008, and the remaining 50% on August 16, 2009.
- (15) Option vests as to 24% on September 1, 2008, then vests as to an additional 2% of the original grant each month until November 1, 2011.
- (16) Restricted stock unit vests as to 50% of the shares on September 17, 2009 and the remaining 50% of the shares on September 17, 2011.
- (17) Restricted stock award granted in conjunction with the 2006 Option Exchange program. Restricted stock shares vested as to 25% of the original grant on August 1, 2007 and will continue to vest as to 25% on August 1, 2008 and the remaining 50% on August 1, 2009.

FISCAL 2008 OPTION EXERCISES AND STOCK VESTED TABLE

The following table shows all stock options exercised and value realized upon exercise and all restricted stock units vested and value realized upon vesting by the Named Executive Officers during fiscal 2008.

Name	Option Awards		Stock Awards	
	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#)	Value Realized on Exercise (\$) ⁽¹⁾	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting (#) ⁽²⁾	Value Realized on Vesting (\$) ⁽³⁾
John S. Riccitiello	—	—	—	—
Warren C. Jenson	300,000	8,510,820	1,875	88,669
Gerhard Florin	10,000	299,056	3,125	152,938
Peter Moore	—	—	—	—
Frank Gibeau	—	—	9,999	488,164

(1) The value realized upon the exercise of stock options is calculated by (a) subtracting the option exercise price from the market value on the date of exercise to get the realized value per share, and (b) multiplying the realized value per share by the number of shares underlying options exercised.

(2) Represents restricted stock units that vested during fiscal 2008. Shares of EA common stock, net of shares withheld for tax purposes, are issued upon vesting of restricted stock units.

- (3) The value realized upon vesting of restricted stock units is calculated by multiplying the number of restricted stock units vested by the closing price of EA common stock on the vest date.

POTENTIAL PAYMENTS UPON CHANGE OF CONTROL

The following table sets forth potential payments under the CoC Plan to the Named Executive Officers upon termination without “cause” or resignation for “good reason” occurring during the two-month period before or the twelve-month period after a change in control of the company. Under the CoC Plan, an eligible employee is not entitled to any payments or benefits in the event he or she voluntarily resigns or is terminated for cause. For purposes of the table below, we have assumed a termination date of March 28, 2008, the last NASDAQ trading day of fiscal 2008. For further information on the CoC Plan, see “Post-Employment Arrangements” in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis above.

	Cash Severance Award (\$) ⁽¹⁾	Stock Options (\$) ⁽²⁾	Restricted Stock Units (time-based)(⁽³⁾)	Restricted Stock Units (performance-based) (\$) ⁽⁴⁾	Other (\$) ⁽⁵⁾	Total (\$)
John S. Riccitiello	2,250,000	—	—	—	66,596	2,316,596
Warren C. Jenson	1,562,411	—	1,418,525	—	35,998	3,016,934
Gerhard Florin	1,815,408	—	1,634,388	—	9,052	3,458,848
Peter Moore	1,443,750	—	2,467,000	—	44,961	3,955,711
Frank Gibeau	1,312,500	—	2,652,074	—	62,686	4,027,260

- (1) Represents the sum of each Named Executive Officer’s base salary and target bonus incentive opportunity (as of March 28, 2008) multiplied by 1.5.
- (2) Represents the value of unvested outstanding options that would accelerate and vest on a qualifying termination or change of control occurring as of March 28, 2008. In the case of stock options, the value is calculated by multiplying the number of shares underlying each accelerated unvested option by the difference between the per share closing price of our common stock on March 28, 2008 and the per share exercise price. All of the unvested options for the Named Executive Officers had exercise prices that were above the closing price of the Common Stock on March 28, 2008.
- (3) Represents the value of unvested restricted stock or restricted stock units that would accelerate and vest on a qualifying termination or change of control occurring on March 28, 2008. The value was calculated by multiplying the number of restricted stock units and shares of restricted stock that would accelerate by the per share closing price of our common stock on March 28, 2008. Mr. Riccitiello had no restricted stock or restricted stock units as of March 28, 2008.
- (4) While no performance-based equity had been granted to the Named Executive Officers as of March 28, 2008, performance-based restricted stock unit grants were made to each of the Named Executive Officers on May 16, 2008. Upon a change of control, these grants would convert to time-based grants and would accelerate in the same way that other time-based grants accelerate under the CoC Plan.
- (5) Includes eighteen months of post-termination health benefits and any accrued paid time off/vacation pay.

EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION

We have four equity incentive plans (excluding plans assumed or adopted by EA in connection with acquisitions, as described in the footnotes below) that have been approved by our stockholders and under which our common stock is or has been authorized for issuance to employees or directors: the 1991 Stock Option Plan; the 1998 Directors’ Stock Option Plan; the 2000 Equity Incentive Plan; and the 2000 Employee Stock Purchase Plan.

In the past, we have granted options to certain individuals (not employees or directors) under our Celebrity and Artist Stock Option Plan. This plan was not approved by our stockholders, has since expired, and no future grants will be made under it. We have also granted restricted stock units and notes payable solely in

shares of our common stock to certain employees in connection with our acquisition of VG Holding Corp. (“VGH”) without stockholder approval in accordance with applicable NASDAQ listing standards.

The following table and related footnotes gives aggregate information regarding grants under all of our equity incentive plans as of the end of fiscal 2008, including the 2000 Equity Incentive and 2000 Employee Stock Purchase Plans, which are proposed to be amended at the 2008 Annual Meeting as described in “Proposals to Be Voted On” above and in Appendices A and B to this proxy statement.

Plan Category ⁽¹⁾	Number of Securities to be Issued upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights (A)	Weighted-Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights (B)	Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans (Excluding Securities Reflected in Column A) (C)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	37,776,154 ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	\$43.63 ⁽⁴⁾	21,031,921 ⁽⁵⁾
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	<u>2,780,044⁽⁶⁾</u>	— ⁽⁴⁾	<u>—</u>
Total	40,556,198		21,031,921

⁽¹⁾ The table does not include information for equity incentive plans we assumed in connection with our acquisitions of Maxis in 1997, Criterion Software in 2004, JAMDAT Mobile Inc. in 2006 and VGH in 2008. As of March 29, 2008, a total of: (a) 246,345 shares of common stock were issuable upon exercise of outstanding options issued under the 1995 Maxis stock option plan, with a weighted average exercise price of \$27.77; (b) a total of 5,435 shares were issuable upon exercise of outstanding options issued under the Criterion stock option plan, with a weighted average exercise price of \$1.61; (c) a total of 7,852 shares were issuable upon exercise of outstanding options issued under the JAMDAT Amended and Restated 2000 Stock Incentive Plan, with a weighted average exercise price of \$2.01; (d) a total of 592,652 shares were issuable upon exercise of outstanding options with a weighted average exercise price of \$48.18, and 1,196 unvested restricted stock units were outstanding under the JAMDAT 2004 Equity Incentive Plan; and (e) a total of 1,248,510 shares were issuable upon exercise of outstanding options with a weighted average exercise price of \$36.16, and 247,261 unvested restricted stock units were outstanding under the VG Holding Corp. 2005 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended. No shares remain available for issuance under the Maxis, Criterion, JAMDAT or VGH plans.

⁽²⁾ Includes (a) 2,687,551 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of outstanding *options* under our 1991 Stock Option Plan, with a weighted average exercise price of \$17.32; (b) 315,410 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of outstanding *options* under the 1998 Directors’ Stock Option Plan, with a weighted average exercise price of \$32.14; (c) 30,973,391 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of outstanding *options* under the 2000 Equity Incentive Plan, with a weighted average exercise price of \$46.03; and (d) 3,799,802 unvested *restricted stock units* were outstanding under the 2000 Equity Incentive Plan. The 1991 Stock Option Plan has expired and no further grants may be made under it.

⁽³⁾ Does not include 206,239 unvested shares of restricted stock outstanding as of March 29, 2008 and issued pursuant to the 2000 Equity Incentive Plan.

⁽⁴⁾ Restricted stock unit awards and notes payable solely in shares of common stock do not have an exercise price and therefore are not included in the calculation of the weighted average exercise price.

⁽⁵⁾ Includes (a) 17,264,813 shares available for issuance under the 2000 Equity Incentive Plan, (b) 41,379 shares available for issuance under the 1998 Directors’ Plan, which is set to expire in July 2008 and from which we do not intend to make any future grants; and (c) 3,725,729 shares available for purchase by our employees under the 2000 Employee Stock Purchase Plan.

⁽⁶⁾ Represents restricted stock units and notes payable solely in shares of common stock granted in connection with our acquisition of VGH. As of March 29, 2008, a total of: (a)(i) 529,501 time-based restricted stock units and (ii) 690,639 performance-based restricted stock units were outstanding under the 2007 Electronic Arts VGH Acquisition Inducement Award Plan (the “VGH Inducement Plan”); and (b) 1,559,904 shares of

common stock were reserved for issuance pursuant to service-based non-interest bearing notes payable solely in shares of our common stock, which were granted to certain former employees of VGH who became employees of EA following the acquisition (the “Notes”). The restricted stock units granted pursuant to the VGH Inducement Plan and the Notes were granted in connection with our acquisition of VGH without stockholder approval in accordance with applicable NASDAQ listing standards. No further grants will be made under the VGH Inducement Plan and no further Notes will be awarded to the former employees of VGH.

See also Note 12 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in EA’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ended March 31, 2008 for additional information about these equity awards and related plans.

OTHER INFORMATION

RELATED PERSON TRANSACTIONS POLICY

Our Board of Directors has adopted a written Related Person Transactions Policy. The purpose of the policy is to describe the procedures used to identify, review, approve or ratify and, if necessary, disclose (i) any transaction, arrangement or relationship (or any series of similar transactions, arrangements or relationships) in which EA (including any of its subsidiaries) was, is or will be a participant and the amount involved exceeds \$120,000, and in which any “related person” had, has or will have a direct or indirect interest, or (ii) any transaction for which EA’s Global Code of Conduct would require approval of the Board of Directors. For purposes of the policy, a “related person” is (a) any person who is, or at any time since the beginning of EA’s last fiscal year was, a director or executive officer of EA or a nominee to become a director of EA, (b) any person who is known to be the beneficial owner of more than 5% of any class of EA’s voting securities, (c) any immediate family member or person sharing the household (other than a tenant or employee) of any of the foregoing persons, and (d) any firm, corporation or other entity in which any of the foregoing persons is employed or is a partner or principal or in a similar position or in which such person has a 10% or greater beneficial ownership interest.

Once a potential related person transaction has been identified, the Audit Committee (if the transaction involves an executive officer of EA) or the Nominating and Governance Committee (if the transaction involves a director of EA) will review the transaction at the next scheduled meeting of such committee. In those instances in which it is not practicable or desirable to wait until the next scheduled committee meeting, the chairperson of the applicable committee shall consider the matter and report back to the relevant committee at the next scheduled meeting.

In determining whether to approve or ratify a related person transaction, the Audit Committee or Nominating and Governance Committee (or the relevant chairperson of such committee) shall consider all of the relevant facts and circumstances available. No member of the Audit Committee or Nominating and Governance Committee shall participate in any review, consideration or approval of any related person transaction with respect to which such member or any of his or her immediate family members is the related person. The Audit Committee and Nominating and Governance Committee (or the relevant chairperson) shall approve only those related person transactions that are in, or are not inconsistent with, the best interests of EA and its stockholders, as determined in good faith.

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED PERSON TRANSACTIONS

We enter into indemnification agreements with each of the members of our Board of Directors at the time they join the Board to indemnify them to the extent permitted by law against any and all liabilities, costs, expenses, amounts paid in settlement and damages incurred by the directors as a result of any lawsuit, or any judicial, administrative or investigative proceeding in which the directors are sued or charged as a result of their service as members of our Board of Directors.

Prior to becoming Chief Executive Officer of Electronic Arts, John Riccitiello was a Founder and Managing Director of Elevation Partners, L.P., and also served as Chief Executive Officer of VG Holding Corp. (“VGH”), which we acquired in January 2008. At the time of the acquisition, Mr. Riccitiello held an indirect

financial interest in VGH resulting from his interest in the entity that controlled Elevation Partners, L.P. and his interest in a limited partner of Elevation Partners, L.P. Elevation Partners, L.P. was a significant stockholder of VGH. As a result of the acquisition, Mr. Riccitiello's financial returns related to these interests, including returns of deemed capital contributions, have been \$2.4 million to date (some of which Mr. Riccitiello could be required to return depending on the performance of the Elevation entities), and could be up to an additional \$1.6 million plus any interest or other amounts earned thereon. This amount could be reduced, however, by a variety of factors, including investment losses of Elevation, if any, as well as certain expenses of Elevation that could offset partnership profits. Upon his separation from Elevation Partners, L.P., Mr. Riccitiello ceased to have any further control or influence over these factors.

From the commencement of negotiations with VGH, at the direction of EA's Board of Directors, EA's Audit Committee engaged directly with EA management (independently from Mr. Riccitiello) to analyze and consider the potential benefits, risks and material terms of the acquisition. EA's Board of Directors approved the acquisition after reviewing with EA's management and members of the Audit Committee the terms of the acquisition and the potential benefits and risks thereof, as well as Mr. Riccitiello's personal financial interest in VGH and the acquisition. Mr. Riccitiello recused himself from the Board of Directors meeting during the Board's deliberation of the acquisition and he did not vote on the acquisition.

In addition, we have engaged, and expect to continue to engage, in what we consider to be arm's-length commercial dealings with Nokia primarily related to our EA Mobile business. Mr. Simonson, a member of our Board of Directors, is the Chief Financial Officer of Nokia. To date, these transactions have not been material to us or Nokia. We do not believe that Mr. Simonson has a material direct or indirect interest in any of our commercial dealings with Nokia and therefore do not consider them to be "related person transactions" within the meaning of applicable SEC rules. Our Board of Directors considered our dealings with Nokia in reaching its determination that Mr. Simonson is an independent director.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE INTERLOCKS AND INSIDER PARTICIPATION

From April 1, 2007 (the beginning of fiscal 2008) through July 26, 2007, the Compensation Committee consisted of M. Richard Asher, Linda J. Srere and Leonard S. Coleman, Jr.; from July 27, 2007 through March 31, 2008 (the end of fiscal 2008), the Compensation Committee consisted of Ms. Srere, Mr. Coleman and Richard Simonson. None of these individuals is an employee or current or former officer of EA. No EA officer serves or has served since the beginning of fiscal 2008 as a member of the board of directors or the compensation committee of a company at which a member of EA's Compensation Committee is an employee or officer.

SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 requires EA's directors and executive officers, and persons who own more than ten percent of a registered class of EA's equity securities, to file reports of ownership and changes in ownership of common stock and other equity securities of EA. We have adopted procedures to assist EA's directors and officers in complying with these requirements, which include assisting officers and directors in preparing forms for filing.

To EA's knowledge, based solely upon review of such reports furnished to us and written representations that no other reports were required, we believe that during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2008, all Section 16(a) filing requirements applicable to our officers, directors and greater-than-ten-percent stockholders were complied with on a timely basis.

STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS FOR 2009 ANNUAL MEETING

If you would like us to consider a proposal to be included in our 2009 proxy statement and proxy card, you must deliver it to the Company's Corporate Secretary at our principal executive office no later than February 17, 2009.

Stockholders who otherwise wish to present a proposal at the 2009 Annual Meeting of Stockholders must deliver written notice of the proposal to our Corporate Secretary c/o Electronic Arts Inc., 209 Redwood Shores Parkway, Redwood City, CA 94065, no earlier than March 19, 2009 and no later than April 18, 2009 (provided, however, that if the 2009 Annual Meeting is held earlier than July 1, 2009 or later than August 30, 2009, proposals must be received no earlier than the close of business on the later of the 90th day prior to the 2009 Annual Meeting or the 10th day following the day on which public announcement of the 2008 Annual Meeting is first made). The submission must include certain information concerning the stockholder and the proposal, as specified in the Company's amended and restated bylaws. Our amended and restated bylaws are included as an exhibit to a Current Report on Form 8-K we filed with the SEC on November 13, 2006, which you may access through the SEC's electronic data system called EDGAR at www.sec.gov. You may also request a copy of our amended and restated bylaws by contacting our Corporate Secretary at the address above.

HOUSEHOLDING OF PROXY MATERIALS

The SEC has adopted rules that permit companies and intermediaries (*e.g.*, brokers) to satisfy the delivery requirements for notices of internet availability of proxy materials, proxy statements and annual reports with respect to two or more stockholders sharing the same address by delivering a single notice, proxy statement and/or annual report addressed to those stockholders. This process, which is commonly referred to as "householding", potentially means extra convenience for stockholders and cost savings for companies.

This year, a number of brokers with account holders who are EA stockholders will be "householding" our notices and proxy materials. A single notice or set of proxy materials will be delivered to multiple stockholders sharing an address unless contrary instructions have been received from the affected stockholders. Once you have received notice from your broker that they will be "householding" communications to your address, "householding" will continue until you are notified otherwise or until you revoke your consent. If, at any time, you no longer wish to participate in "householding" and would prefer to receive a separate notice or proxy materials, please notify your broker, direct your written request to our Corporate Secretary at our principal executive office, or contact our Corporate Secretary at (650) 628-1500. Stockholders who currently receive multiple copies of the notice or proxy materials at their address and would like to request "householding" of their communications should contact their broker.

REQUESTS TO THE COMPANY

The Company will provide without charge, to each person to whom a Notice and/or a proxy statement is delivered, upon request of such person and by first class mail within one business day of receipt of such request, a copy of the 2000 Equity Incentive Plan and 2000 Employee Stock Purchase Plan, each as proposed to be amended. Any such request should be directed as follows: Stock Administration Department, Electronic Arts Inc., 209 Redwood Shores Parkway, Redwood City, CA 94065 — telephone number (650) 628-1500.

OTHER BUSINESS

The Board does not know of any other matter that will be presented for consideration at the Annual Meeting except as specified in the notice of the meeting. If any other matter does properly come before the Annual Meeting, or at any adjournment or postponement of the Annual Meeting, it is intended that the proxies will be voted in respect thereof in accordance with the judgment of the persons voting the proxies.

By Order of the Board of Directors,



Stephen G. Bené
Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary

Appendix A

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THE 2000 EQUITY INCENTIVE PLAN

History

The Company's 2000 Equity Incentive Plan (the "Equity Plan") was adopted by our Board of Directors on January 27, 2000 and initially approved by our stockholders on March 22, 2000. The Equity Plan has been amended several times since it was initially adopted. The following general description of the Equity Plan reflects all prior amendments as well as amendments proposed to be adopted by the Company's stockholders at the 2008 Annual Meeting. The following general description is qualified in its entirety by reference to the text of the Equity Plan, as proposed to be amended, as filed by the Company with the SEC on or about June 17, 2008. Unless otherwise indicated, capitalized terms used in this Appendix A shall have the meanings set forth in the text of the Equity Plan.

Shares Subject to the Equity Plan

The stock subject to issuance under the Equity Plan consists of shares of the Company's authorized but unissued common stock. The Equity Plan, as amended to date, authorizes the issuance of up to 76,400,000 shares of common stock pursuant to awards of stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock and restricted stock units. As proposed to be amended, the number of shares authorized for issuance under the Equity Plan would be increased to 78,585,000. In addition, shares are again available for grant and issuance under the Equity Plan that (a) were subject to an option granted under the Equity Plan that terminated, to the extent then unexercised, (b) were subject to a restricted stock or restricted stock unit award under the Equity Plan that is subsequently forfeited or repurchased by us at the original issue price, if any, or (c) are subject to an award of restricted stock or restricted stock units under the Equity Plan that otherwise terminates without shares being issued. The following types of shares are not available for future grant or issuance as awards under the Equity Plan: (x) shares that are not issued or delivered as a result of the net settlement of a stock option or stock appreciation right; (y) shares that are used to pay the exercise price or withholding taxes related to an award granted under the Equity Plan; and (z) shares that are repurchased by us with the proceeds of a stock option exercise.

The number of shares issuable under the Equity Plan, and under outstanding options and other awards, is subject to proportional adjustment to reflect stock splits, stock dividends and other similar events.

Share Usage

Shares covered by an Award shall be counted as used as of the Grant Date. As proposed to be amended, any shares that are subject to Awards of Options or stock appreciation rights, granted on or after July 31, 2008, shall be counted against the aggregate number of shares reserved under the Equity Plan as one (1) share for every one (1) share subject to an Award of Options or stock appreciation rights. Any shares that are subject to Awards other than Options or stock appreciation rights, granted on or after July 31, 2008, shall be counted against the aggregate number of share reserved under the Equity Plan as 1.82 shares for every one (1) share granted.

Eligibility

The Equity Plan provides for the issuance of incentive stock options, nonqualified stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock and restricted stock units. The Equity Plan provides that employees (including officers and directors who are also employees) of EA or any parent or subsidiary of EA may receive incentive stock options under the Equity Plan. Nonqualified stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, and restricted stock units may be granted to employees and directors of EA or any parent or subsidiary of EA. As of June 1, 2008, approximately 9,000 persons were in the class of persons eligible to participate in the Equity Plan. No person is eligible to receive more than 1,400,000 shares of common stock (of which no more than 400,000 shares may be covered by awards of restricted stock) in any calendar year, other than new employees who will be eligible to receive up to 2,800,000 shares of common stock (of which

no more than 800,000 shares may be covered by awards of restricted stock) in the calendar year in which they commence employment. No awards of stock appreciation rights have been made to date under the Equity Plan. A participant may hold more than one award granted under the Equity Plan.

Administration

The Equity Plan is administered by our Compensation Committee. All of the members of the Compensation Committee are “non-employee” and “independent directors” under applicable federal securities laws and NASDAQ listing requirements, and “outside directors” as defined under applicable federal tax laws. The Compensation Committee has the authority to construe and interpret the Equity Plan, grant awards and make all other determinations necessary or advisable for the administration of the Equity Plan. The members of the Compensation Committee receive no compensation for administering the Equity Plan other than their compensation for being Board and Committee members. The Company bears all expenses in connection with administration of the Equity Plan and has agreed to indemnify members of the Compensation Committee in connection with their administration of the Equity Plan. The Compensation Committee may delegate to one or more officers of the Company the authority to grant Awards under the Equity Plan to participants who are not executive officers of the Company.

Stock Options

Stock options granted under the Equity Plan may be either incentive stock options or nonqualified stock options. The exercise period of stock options is determined by the Compensation Committee but, in no event, may stock options be exercisable more than ten years from the date they are granted. The Equity Plan provides the Compensation Committee with the ability, at its discretion, to grant performance-based options subject to the achievement of one or more of the performance factors described under the heading “Performance Factors” below.

Exercise Price

The Compensation Committee determines the exercise price of each option granted under the Equity Plan. The option exercise price for each incentive and nonqualified stock option share must be no less than 100% of the “fair market value” (as defined in the Equity Plan) of a share of common stock at the time the stock option is granted. In the case of an incentive stock option granted to a stockholder that owns more than 10% of the total combined voting power of all classes of stock of EA or any parent or subsidiary of EA (a “Ten Percent Stockholder”), the exercise price for each such incentive stock option must be no less than 110% of the fair market value of a share of common stock at the time the incentive stock option is granted.

The exercise price of options and purchase price of shares granted under the Equity Plan may be paid as approved by the Compensation Committee at the time of grant: (a) in cash (by check); (b) by cancellation of indebtedness of the Company to the award holder; (c) by surrender of shares that either: (1) have been owned by the award holder for more than six (6) months and have been paid for within the meaning of SEC Rule 144; or (2) were obtained by the award holder in the public market; (d) by waiver of compensation due or accrued for services rendered; (e) with respect only to purchases upon exercise of an option, and provided that a public market for the Company’s stock exists: (1) subject to applicable laws, by a “same-day sale” commitment from the optionee and a National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. (“NASD”) broker; or (2) by a “margin” commitment from the optionee and an NASD broker; (f) by withholding from the shares to be issued upon exercise of an award that number of shares having a fair market value equal to the minimum amount required to satisfy the exercise price or purchase price; (g) by any combination of the foregoing; or (h) such other consideration and method of payment for issuance of shares to the extent permitted by applicable laws.

No Repricings or Exchanges of Awards Without Stockholder Approval

The Compensation Committee may, at any time or from time to time, authorize the Company, with the consent of the affected Equity Plan participants, to issue new awards in exchange for the surrender and cancellation of any or all outstanding awards; *provided, however*, that no such exchange program may, without the approval of

the Company's stockholders, allow for the cancellation of an outstanding option or stock appreciation in exchange for a new option or stock appreciation right having a lower exercise price. The Compensation Committee may also, subject to approval by the Company's stockholders, at any time buy a previously granted award with payment in cash, shares (including restricted stock) or other consideration, based on such terms and conditions as the Committee and the Participant may agree.

Outside Directors

Our non-employee directors are entitled to receive automatic annual grants of options to purchase shares of our common stock under the Equity Plan. Each non-employee director who first becomes a member of the Board of Directors is granted an option to purchase 17,500 shares of common stock and 2,500 restricted stock units. Upon re-election to our Board of Directors following each annual meeting of our stockholders, each non-employee director is automatically granted an additional option to purchase 8,400 shares of common stock and 1,200 restricted stock units. If a non-employee director has not served on our Board of Directors for a full year at the time of the annual meeting of our stockholders, such director will receive a pro-rated annual grant.

Options issued to outside directors upon their initial election to the Board are exercisable as to 2% of the shares on the date of grant and as to an additional 2% of the shares on the first day of each calendar month after the date of grant so long as the outside director continues as a member of the Board. The vesting schedule for all restricted stock units and annual stock option grants made to directors upon their re-election to the Board is subject to the discretion of the Compensation Committee.

In the event of our dissolution or liquidation or a "change of control" transaction, options granted to our non-employee directors under the Equity Plan will become 100% vested and exercisable in full.

In addition, our non-employee directors may elect to receive all or a portion of their cash compensation in shares of common stock. Directors making this election are entitled to receive shares having a value equal to 110% of the amount of the cash compensation foregone.

Stock Appreciation Rights

The Compensation Committee, or a committee to which it has delegated the appropriate authority, may grant stock appreciation rights (a "SAR" or "SARs") as stand-alone awards or in addition to, or in tandem with, other awards under the Equity Plan under such terms, conditions and restrictions as the Compensation Committee, or a committee to which it has delegated the appropriate authority, may determine; provided, however, that no SAR will be exercisable after the expiration of ten (10) years from the date the SAR is granted. A SAR is an award which provides the holder with the right to receive the appreciation in value of a set number of shares of company stock over a set period of time. A SAR is similar to an option in that the holder benefits from any increases in stock price above the exercise price set forth in the award agreement. However, unlike an option, the holder is not required to pay an exercise price to exercise a SAR, but simply receives the net amount of the increase in stock price in the form of cash or stock. The exercise price for a SAR must be no less than 100% of the "fair market value" (as defined in the Equity Plan) of a share of common stock at the time the SAR is granted. In addition, the Compensation Committee, or a committee to which it has delegated the appropriate authority, may, at its discretion, subject SARs to the achievement of one or more of the performance factors described under the heading "Performance Factors" below.

Restricted Stock Awards

The Compensation Committee may grant restricted stock awards either in addition to, or in tandem with, other awards under the Equity Plan under such terms, conditions and restrictions as the Compensation Committee may determine. A restricted stock award is an offer by Electronic Arts to award shares of common stock that are subject to restrictions established by the Compensation Committee. These restrictions may be based upon completion by the award holder of a specified number of years of service or by the attainment of one or more of the performance factors described under the heading "Performance Factors" below. The purchase price, if any, for each such award is determined by the Compensation Committee at the time of grant. In the case of an

award to a Ten Percent Stockholder, the purchase price must be 100% of fair market value. The purchase price, if any, may be paid for in any of the forms of consideration listed in items under “Exercise Price” above, as are approved by the Compensation Committee at the time of grant.

Restricted Stock Units

Restricted stock unit awards may be granted under the Equity Plan, either in addition to, or in tandem with, other awards under the Equity Plan under such terms, conditions and restrictions as the Compensation Committee, or a committee to which it has delegated the appropriate authority, may determine. A restricted stock unit award is similar to a restricted stock award (and may be awarded subject to any or all of the performance goals described under the heading “Performance Factors” below) except the stock is not delivered to the participant unless and until all restrictions have terminated.

Performance Factors

As proposed to be amended, performance-based stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock and restricted stock unit awards with vesting and/or exercisability conditioned on one or more of the following permissible performance factors may be granted under the Equity Plan, either individually, alternatively, or in any combination, on a GAAP or non-GAAP basis, to be measured over a specified performance period that may be as short as a quarter or as long as five years (unless tied to a specific and objective milestone or event), to the extent applicable on an absolute basis or relative to a pre-established target: (a) profit before tax; (b) revenue (on an absolute basis or adjusted for currency effects; (c) net revenue; (d) earnings (which may include earnings before interest and taxes, earnings before taxes, and net earnings); (e) operating income; (f) operating margin; (g) operating profit; (h) controllable operating profit, or net operating profit; (i) net profit; (j) gross margin; (k) operating expenses or operating expenses as a percentage of revenue; (l) net income; (m) earnings per share; (n) total stockholder return; (o) market share; (p) return on assets or net assets; (q) the Company’s stock price; (r) growth in stockholder value relative to a pre-determined index; (s) return on equity; (t) return on invested capital; (u) cash flow (including free cash flow or operating cash flows); (v) cash conversion cycle; (w) economic value added; (x) individual confidential business objectives; (y) contract awards or backlog; (z) overhead or other expense reduction; (aa) credit rating; (bb) strategic plan development and implementation; (cc) succession plan development and implementation; (dd) improvement in workforce diversity; (ee) customer indicators; (ff) new product invention or innovation; (gg) attainment of research and development milestones; (hh) improvements in productivity; (ii) attainment of objective operating goals and employee metrics.

In addition, the Committee may, in its sole discretion and in recognition of unusual or non-recurring items such as acquisition-related activities or changes in applicable accounting rules, provide for one or more equitable adjustments (based on objective standards) to the performance factors to preserve the Committee’s original intent regarding the performance factors at the time of the initial award grant.

Mergers, Consolidations, Change of Control

Except for automatic grants to non-employee directors, in the event of a merger, consolidation, dissolution or liquidation of EA, the sale of substantially all of its assets or any other similar corporate transaction, the successor corporation may assume, replace or substitute equivalent awards in exchange for those granted under the Equity Plan or provide substantially similar consideration, shares or other property as was provided to our stockholders (after taking into account the provisions of the awards). In the event that the successor corporation does not assume, replace or substitute awards, such awards will accelerate and all options will become exercisable in full prior to the consummation of the transaction at the time and upon the conditions as the Compensation Committee determines. Any awards not exercised prior to the consummation of the transaction will terminate.

Transferability

Incentive stock options granted under the Equity Plan are not transferable other than by means of a distribution upon the optionee's death. Nonqualified stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, and restricted stock unit awards are subject to similar restrictions on transfer unless otherwise determined by the Compensation Committee and except that nonqualified stock options may be transferred to family members and trusts or foundations controlled by, or primarily benefiting, family members of the optionee.

Term of the Equity Plan

As proposed to be amended, the Equity Plan would be extended by ten years to 2020 unless terminated earlier by the Board.

United States Federal Income Tax Information

THE FOLLOWING IS A GENERAL SUMMARY AS OF THE DATE OF THIS PROXY STATEMENT OF THE UNITED STATES FEDERAL INCOME TAX CONSEQUENCES TO THE COMPANY AND PARTICIPANTS UNDER THE EQUITY PLAN. THE FEDERAL TAX LAWS MAY CHANGE AND THE FEDERAL, STATE AND LOCAL TAX CONSEQUENCES FOR ANY PARTICIPANT WILL DEPEND UPON HIS OR HER INDIVIDUAL CIRCUMSTANCES. IN ADDITION, THE INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE COULD, AT ANY TIME, TAKE A POSITION CONTRARY TO THE INFORMATION DESCRIBED IN THE FOLLOWING SUMMARY. ANY TAX EFFECTS THAT ACCRUE TO NON-U.S. PARTICIPANTS AS A RESULT OF PARTICIPATING IN THE EQUITY PLAN ARE GOVERNED BY THE TAX LAWS OF THE COUNTRIES IN WHICH SUCH PARTICIPANT RESIDES OR IS OTHERWISE SUBJECT. EACH PARTICIPANT WILL BE ENCOURAGED TO SEEK THE ADVICE OF A QUALIFIED TAX ADVISOR REGARDING THE TAX CONSEQUENCES OF PARTICIPATION IN THE EQUITY PLAN.

Incentive Stock Options

A participant will recognize no income upon grant or vesting of an incentive stock option and will generally not incur tax on its exercise. Unless the participant is subject to the alternative minimum tax ("AMT"), the participant will recognize income only when the shares acquired upon the exercise of an incentive stock option (the "ISO Shares") are sold or otherwise disposed of. If the participant holds ISO Shares for more than one year after the date the option was exercised and for more than two years after the date the option was granted, the participant will realize a long-term capital gain or loss (rather than ordinary income) upon disposition of the ISO Shares. This long-term capital gain or loss will be equal to the difference between the amount realized upon such disposition and the amount paid for the ISO Shares.

If the participant disposes of ISO Shares prior to the expiration of either the one-year or two-year required holding period (a "disqualifying disposition"), the gain realized upon such disposition, up to the difference between the fair market value of the ISO Shares on the date of exercise (or, if less, the amount realized on a sale of such shares) and the option exercise price, will be treated as ordinary income. Any additional gain will be capital gain, taxed at a rate that depends upon the amount of time the ISO Shares were held by the participant.

Alternative Minimum Tax

The Alternative Minimum Tax ("AMT") is a separately computed tax which was devised to ensure that at least a minimum amount of income tax is paid. AMT is imposed only if and to the extent that a participant would pay more tax if his or her income tax were calculated pursuant to the AMT rules than if calculated in the regular manner. The difference between the option exercise price and the fair market value of the ISO Shares on the date of exercise is includable as income for purposes of calculating the AMT for both a (i) a vested ISO and (ii) an unvested ISO for which the participant makes a timely election under Section 83(b) of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code (an "83(b) election"). If a participant exercises an ISO before it has fully vested but does not make an 83(b) election, as the ISO Shares vest and the Company's right to repurchase the

ISO Shares at the original issue price lapses, the participant will incur an AMT liability on the difference between the option exercise price and the fair market value of the ISO Shares at vesting.

Alternative minimum taxable income is determined by adjusting regular taxable income for certain items, increasing that income by certain tax preference items (including the difference between the fair market value of the ISO Shares on the date of exercise and the exercise price) and reducing this amount by the applicable exemption amount. The exemption amount for 2007 is \$45,000 in the case of a joint return, subject to reduction under certain circumstances. The AMT (imposed to the extent it exceeds the taxpayer's regular income tax) is 26% of an individual taxpayer's alternative minimum taxable income (28% in the case of alternative minimum taxable income in excess of \$175,000 in the case of married individuals filing a joint return). If a disqualifying disposition of the ISO Shares occurs in the same calendar year as the exercise of an incentive stock option, those ISO Shares are not included in the AMT calculation.

If a participant has to pay AMT, he or she is entitled to a credit against income tax (but not AMT) in later years. Also, upon a sale of ISO Shares that is not a disqualifying disposition, alternative minimum taxable income is reduced in the year of sale by the amount that was previously included in alternative minimum taxable income in the year of exercise, the excess of the fair market value of the ISO Shares at exercise of the amount paid for the ISO Shares.

Nonqualified Stock Options

A participant will generally not recognize any taxable income at the time a nonqualified stock option ("NQSO") is granted or vests provided the exercise price is no less than the fair market value of the underlying shares on the grant date. Upon exercise of a vested NQSO, the participant will include in income as compensation an amount equal to the difference between the fair market value of the shares on the date of exercise and the participant's exercise price. The included amount will be taxed as ordinary income to the participant and will be subject to withholding by the Company or its subsidiary (by payment in cash, withholding out of the award or withholding out of the participant's salary). If a participant exercises an NQSO before it has vested, the participant may incur an income tax liability as the shares vest and the Company's right to repurchase the shares at the original price lapses, unless the participant makes a timely 83(b) election. Upon resale of the shares by the participant, any subsequent appreciation or depreciation in the value of the shares will be treated as a capital gain or loss, taxable at a rate that depends upon the length of time the shares were held by the participant.

Restricted Stock Awards

A participant who receives a restricted stock award will include the amount of the award in income as compensation at the time that any forfeiture restrictions on the shares of stock lapse, unless the participant makes a timely 83(b) election. If the participant does not timely make an 83(b) election, the participant will include in income the fair market value of the shares of stock on the date that the restrictions lapse as to those shares, less any purchase price paid for such shares. The included amount will be taxed as ordinary income to the participant and will be subject to withholding by the Company or its subsidiary (by payment in cash, withholding out of the participant's award or withholding out of the participant's salary).

If the participant makes a timely 83(b) election, the participant will, at the time the award is received, include the fair market value of the shares of stock on the date of receipt of the award (determined without regard to lapse restrictions), less any purchase price paid for such shares in income as compensation. The income will be subject to withholding by the Company or its subsidiary (by payment in cash, withholding out of the participant's salary or withholding out of the participant's award). If the award is subsequently forfeited, the participant will not receive any deduction for the amount previously taxed as ordinary income.

Restricted Stock Units

A participant will recognize income as compensation with respect to an award of restricted stock units at the time that the restrictions lapse, provided the shares are issued on the date the restrictions lapse. The participant will include in income the fair market value of the shares of stock on the date that the restrictions lapse as to

those shares, less any purchase price paid for such shares. The included amount will be taxed as ordinary income to the participant and will be subject to withholding by the Company or its subsidiary (by payment in cash, withholding out of the participant's award or withholding out of the participant's salary).

Stock Appreciation Rights

Assuming that a stock appreciation right ("SAR") is granted at an exercise price that is not less than the fair market value of the underlying shares on the grant date, a participant will not recognize any taxable income at the time a SAR is granted or when the SAR vests. However, upon exercise of a vested SAR, an amount equal to the difference between the fair market value of the shares on the date of exercise and the participant's exercise price will be included in income as compensation to the participant. The included amount will be taxed as ordinary income to the participant and will be subject to withholding by the Company or its subsidiary (by payment in cash, withholding out of the award or withholding out of the participant's salary). Upon resale of the shares issued to the participant at the time of exercise, any subsequent appreciation or depreciation in the value of the shares will be treated as capital gain or loss, taxable at a rate that depends upon the length of time the shares were held by the participant.

Internal Revenue Code Section 409A

At the present time, the Company intends to grant equity awards to participants which are either outside the scope of Section 409A of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code or are exempted from the application of Section 409A. If an equity award is subject to Section 409A and the requirements of Section 409A are not met, participants may suffer adverse tax consequences with respect to the equity award. Such consequences may include taxation at the time of the vesting of the award, an additional 20% tax penalty on the non-compliant deferred income and interest and penalties on any deferred income.

Tax Treatment of the Company

To the extent that the participant recognizes ordinary income and the Company properly reports such income to the Internal Revenue Service (the "IRS"), the Company generally will be entitled to a deduction in connection with the exercise of a NQSO or a SAR by a participant or upon the lapse of restrictions with respect to a participant's restricted stock or restricted stock unit award. The Company will be entitled to a deduction in connection with the disposition of ISO Shares only to the extent that the participant recognizes ordinary income on a disqualifying disposition of the ISO Shares and provided that the Company properly reports such income to the IRS.

ERISA

The Equity Plan is not subject to any of the provisions of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 and is not qualified under Section 401(a) of the Code.

Outstanding Equity Awards Granted Under the Equity Plan

As of March 29, 2008, 23,374,164 shares had been issued pursuant to exercises of stock options under the Equity Plan by award recipients, 5,015 persons held NQSOs under the Equity Plan to purchase an aggregate of 30,973,391 shares of common stock, with a weighted average exercise price of \$46.03 per share, 7,649 persons held restricted stock units to acquire 3,804,002 shares, 285 persons held 206,239 shares of restricted stock, and there were 17,264,813 shares of common stock available for future awards under the Equity Plan. An aggregate of 76,400,000 shares of the Company's authorized common stock have been reserved for issuance under the Equity Plan.

Proposed Amendments to the Equity Plan

At the 2008 Annual Meeting, stockholders will be asked to approve amendments to the Equity Plan as follows:

- Increase the number of shares authorized under the Equity Plan by 2,185,000 shares;

- Replace the specific limitation on the number of shares that may be granted as restricted stock or restricted stock unit awards with an alternate method of calculating share usage (*i.e.*, each share of restricted stock or restricted stock unit granted on or after July 31, 2008 will reduce the number of shares remaining available for issuance by 1.82 shares, and each share underlying stock options and stock appreciation rights granted after July 31, 2008 will reduce the number of shares remaining available for issuance by 1 share);
- Add additional performance measures to the list of performance factors for use in granting performance-based equity awards under the Equity Plan; and
- Extend the term of the Equity Plan for an additional ten years to 2020.

Appendix B

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THE 2000 EMPLOYEE STOCK PURCHASE PLAN

2000 Employee Stock Purchase Plan, as Amended

The following general description of the Purchase Plan is qualified in its entirety by reference to the text of the Purchase Plan, as proposed to be amended, as filed by the Company with the SEC on or about June 17, 2008. Unless otherwise indicated, capitalized terms used in this Appendix B shall have the meanings set forth in the text of the Purchase Plan.

History. The 2000 Purchase Plan was adopted by the Board on May 25, 2000, approved by the Stockholders on July 27, 2000, and has been subsequently amended.

Purpose. The purpose of the Purchase Plan is to provide employees of the Company with a convenient means of acquiring common stock of the Company through payroll deductions, to enhance the employees' sense of participation in the affairs of the Company and subsidiaries, and to provide an incentive for continued employment.

Administration. The Purchase Plan is administered on behalf of the Board by the Compensation Committee of the Board. The interpretation by the Compensation Committee of any provision of the Purchase Plan is final and binding on all participating employees.

Eligibility. All employees of the Company (including directors who are employees), or any parent or subsidiary, are eligible to participate in the Purchase Plan except the following: (i) employees who are not employed by the Company on the 15th day of the month before the beginning of an Offering Period (as defined below); (ii) employees who are customarily employed for less than 20 hours per week; (iii) employees who are customarily employed for less than 5 months in a calendar year; and (iv) employees who, pursuant to Section 424(d) of the Code, own or hold options to purchase or who, as a result of participation in the Purchase Plan, would own stock or hold options to purchase stock representing 5% or more of the total combined voting power or value of all classes of stock of the Company or any parent or subsidiary. As of June 1, 2008, the Company estimates that approximately 9,000 persons were eligible to participate in the Purchase Plan.

Participation. Each offering of the Company's common stock under the Purchase Plan is for a period of one year (the "Offering Period"). Offering Periods commence on the first business day of March and September of each year. The first day of each Offering Period is the "Offering Date" for such Offering Period. An employee cannot participate simultaneously in more than one Offering Period. Each Offering Period consists of two six-month purchase periods (each a "Purchase Period") commencing on the first business day of March and September. The last day of each Purchase Period is a "Purchase Date."

Employees may participate in the Purchase Plan during each pay period through payroll deductions. An employee sets the rate of such payroll deductions, which may not be less than 2% nor more than 10% of the employee's base salary, wages, commissions, overtime, shift premiums and bonuses plus draws against commissions, unreduced by the amount by which the employee's salary is reduced pursuant to Sections 125 or 401(k) of the Code. Eligible employees may elect to participate in any Offering Period by enrolling as provided under the terms of the Purchase Plan. Once enrolled, a participating employee will automatically participate in each succeeding Offering Period unless such employee withdraws from the Offering Period. After the rate of payroll deductions for an Offering Period has been set by an employee, that rate continues to be effective for the remainder of the Offering Period (and for all subsequent Offering Periods in which the employee is automatically enrolled) unless otherwise changed by the employee. The employee may increase or lower the rate of payroll deductions for any subsequent Offering Period but may only lower the rate of payroll deductions during the current Purchase Period. Not more than one change may be made effective during any one Purchase Period.

In any given Purchase Period, no employee may purchase more than (a) twice the number of shares that could have been purchased with the payroll deductions if the purchase price were determined by using 85% of the fair market value of a share of the Company's common stock on the Offering Date or (b) the maximum

number of shares set by the Board. In addition, no employee may purchase shares at a rate that, when aggregated with all other rights to purchase stock under all other employee stock purchase plans of the Company, or any parent or subsidiary of the Company, exceeds \$25,000 in fair market value (determined on the Offering Date) for each year.

Purchase Price. The purchase price of shares that may be acquired in any Purchase Period under the Purchase Plan is 85% of the lesser of (a) the fair market value of the shares on the Offering Date of the Offering Period in which the participant is enrolled or (b) the fair market value of the shares on the Purchase Date. The fair market value of the common stock on a given date is the closing price of the common stock on the immediately preceding business day as quoted on the NASDAQ Global Select Market.

Purchase of Stock. The number of whole shares an employee may purchase in any Purchase Period is determined by dividing the total amount of payroll deductions withheld from the employee during the Purchase Period pursuant to the Purchase Plan by the price per share determined as described above, subject to the limitations described above. The purchase takes place automatically on the last day of the Purchase Period.

Withdrawal. An employee may withdraw from any Offering Period at any time at least 15 days prior to the end of an Offering Period. No further payroll deductions for the purchase of shares will be made for the succeeding Offering Period unless the employee enrolls in the new Offering Period in the same manner as for initial participation in the Purchase Plan.

Termination of Employment. Termination of an employee's employment for any reason, including retirement or death, immediately cancels the employee's participation in the Purchase Plan. In such event, the payroll deductions credited to the employee's account will be returned to such employee or, in case of death, to the employee's legal representative.

Adjustment Upon Changes in Capitalization. The number of shares subject to any purchase, and the number of shares issuable under the Purchase Plan, is subject to adjustment in the event of a recapitalization of the Company's common stock. In the event of a proposed dissolution or liquidation of the Company, the Offering Period will terminate and the Board may, in its sole discretion, give participants the right to purchase shares that would not otherwise be purchasable until the last day of the applicable Purchase Period.

Tax Treatment of U.S.-based Participants. Participating employees in the U.S. will not recognize income for federal income tax purposes either upon enrollment in the Purchase Plan or upon the purchase of shares. All federal income tax consequences are deferred until a participating U.S. employee sells the shares, disposes of the shares by gift, or dies.

If shares are held for more than one year after the date of purchase and more than two years from the beginning of the applicable Offering Period, or if the employee dies while owning the shares, the employee realizes ordinary income on a sale (or a disposition by way of gift or upon death) to the extent of the lesser of: (i) 15% of the fair market value of the shares at the beginning of the Offering Period; or (ii) the actual gain (the amount by which the market value of the shares on the date of sale, gift or death, exceeds the purchase price). All additional gain upon the sale of shares is treated as long-term capital gain. If the shares are sold and the sale price is less than the purchase price, there is no ordinary income, and the employee has a long-term capital loss for the difference between the sale price and the purchase price.

If the shares are sold or are otherwise disposed of, including by way of gift (but not death, bequest or inheritance), prior to the expiration of either the one-year or the two-year holding periods described above (in any case a "disqualifying disposition"), the employee will realize ordinary income at the time of sale or other disposition taxable to the extent that the fair market value of the shares at the date of purchase was greater than the purchase price. This excess will constitute ordinary income in the year of the sale or other disposition even if no gain is realized on the sale or if a gratuitous transfer is made. The difference, if any, between the proceeds of sale and the fair market value of the shares at the date of purchase is a capital gain or loss. Capital gains may be offset by capital losses, and up to \$3,000 of capital losses in excess of capital gains may be offset annually against ordinary income. Ordinary income recognized by an employee upon a disqualifying

disposition constitutes taxable compensation that will be reported on a W-2 form. The Company takes the position that any ordinary income recognized upon a sale or other disposition is not subject to withholding.

Tax Treatment of Non-U.S.-based Participants. For participants residing outside the U.S., the Company will assess its requirements regarding tax, social insurance and other applicable taxes in connection with participation in the Purchase Plan. These requirements may change from time to time as laws or interpretations change.

Tax Treatment of the Company. The Company is entitled to a deduction in connection with the disposition of shares acquired under the Purchase Plan only to the extent that the employee recognized ordinary income on a disqualifying disposition of the shares. The Company treats any transfer of record ownership of shares, including transfer to a broker or nominee or into "street name," as a disposition, unless it is notified to the contrary. In order to enable the Company to learn of disqualifying dispositions and ascertain the amount of the deductions to which it is entitled, employees are required to notify the Company in writing of the date and terms of any disposition of shares purchased under the Purchase Plan.

Proposed Amendments of the 2000 Employee Stock Purchase Plan

At the 2008 Annual Meeting, stockholders will be asked to approve amendments to the Purchase Plan as follows:

- Increase by 1,500,000 the number of shares of the Company's common stock reserved for issuance under the Purchase Plan. None of these proposed shares have been granted or issued on the basis of such proposed approval; and
- Remove the ten-year term from the Purchase Plan, which is set to expire in 2010.

(This page intentionally left blank)

2008 Annual Report on Form 10-K

(This page intentionally left blank)

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended March 31, 2008

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to

Commission File No. 0-17948

ELECTRONIC ARTS INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

94-2838567

(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

209 Redwood Shores Parkway
Redwood City, California

(Address of principal executive offices)

94065

(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code:

(650) 628-1500

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class	Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered
Common Stock, \$0.01 par value	NASDAQ Global Select Market

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of the registrant's common stock, \$0.01 par value, held by non-affiliates of the registrant as of September 28, 2007, the last business day of the second fiscal quarter, was \$17,513,418,000.

As of May 16, 2008 there were 318,418,114 shares of the registrant's common stock, \$0.01 par value, outstanding.

Documents Incorporated by Reference

Portions of the registrant's definitive proxy statement for its 2008 Annual Meeting of Stockholders are incorporated by reference into Part III hereof.

**ELECTRONIC ARTS INC.
2008 FORM 10-K ANNUAL REPORT**

Table of Contents

	<u>Page</u>
PART I	
Item 1 Business	3
Item 1A Risk Factors	14
Item 1B Unresolved Staff Comments	22
Item 2 Properties	23
Item 3 Legal Proceedings	25
Item 4 Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	25
PART II	
Item 5 Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	26
Item 6 Selected Financial Data	28
Item 7 Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations . . .	29
Item 7A Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	56
Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	60
Item 9 Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting on Financial Disclosure	108
Item 9A Controls and Procedures	108
Item 9B Other Information	109
PART III	
Item 10 Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	110
Item 11 Executive Compensation	110
Item 12 Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	110
Item 13 Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	110
Item 14 Principal Accounting Fees and Services	110
PART IV	
Item 15 Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules	110
Signatures	116
Exhibit Index	118

CAUTIONARY NOTE ABOUT FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Report contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. All statements, other than statements of historical fact, including statements regarding industry prospects and future results of operations or financial position, made in this Report are forward looking. We use words such as “anticipate”, “believe”, “expect”, “intend”, “estimate” (and the negative of any of these terms), “future” and similar expressions to help identify forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements are subject to business and economic risk and reflect management’s current expectations, and involve subjects that are inherently uncertain and difficult to predict. Our actual results could differ materially. We will not necessarily update information if any forward-looking statement later turns out to be inaccurate. Risks and uncertainties that may affect our future results include, but are not limited to, those discussed under the heading “Risk Factors”, beginning on page 14.

PART I

Item 1: *Business*

Overview

Electronic Arts develops, markets, publishes and distributes video game software and content that can be played by consumers on a variety of platforms, including:

- Video game consoles such as the Sony PlayStation® 2 and PLAYSTATION® 3, Microsoft Xbox 360™ and Nintendo Wii™,
- Personal computers, including the Macintosh (we refer to personal computers and the Macintosh together as “PCs”),
- Handheld game players such as the PlayStation® Portable (“PSP™”) and Nintendo DS™ and iPod®, and
- Cellular handsets.

In fiscal 2008, excluding cell phones, we developed or published products for 10 different platforms and since our inception, we have published games for over 50 different platforms. In fiscal 2008 we also published over 30 games for cellular handsets.

We also provide online game-related services (*e.g.*, matchmaking and subscription services) for these platforms.

We generate net revenue primarily from sales of packaged goods, online subscriptions, online and mobile downloads, micro-transactions, and advertising.

Our ability to publish games across multiple platforms has been, and will continue to be, a cornerstone of our product strategy. Historically, there have been multiple video game consoles and handheld game players available to consumers, and there has been vigorous competition among hardware manufacturers. In previous hardware cycles, Sony’s PlayStation and PlayStation 2 consoles have significantly outsold their competitors. The current hardware cycle is characterized by fierce competition from three strong and viable competitors — Microsoft’s Xbox 360, the Nintendo Wii and Sony’s PLAYSTATION 3. In fiscal 2008, we developed and published 20 titles for the Xbox 360, 17 titles for the PLAYSTATION 3, 15 titles for the PlayStation 2, 14 titles for the Wii, two titles for the Xbox and one title for the Nintendo GameCube. The PC also continues to be an important interactive game platform. In fiscal 2008, we published 29 titles for the PC (including the Macintosh). Similarly, there is also competition in the handheld games hardware business, where the Nintendo DS and Sony’s PSP are both viable platforms. In fiscal 2008, we published 12 titles for the Nintendo DS, nine titles for the PSP and one title for the Game Boy Advance. Many of our PC, PlayStation 2, PLAYSTATION 3, Wii, Xbox 360, Xbox and PSP titles include online functionality which enables consumers to participate in online communities and play against one another via the Internet.

Our games span a diverse range of categories, including action-adventure, casual, sports, family, fantasy, racing, music, role-playing, simulation, extreme sports and strategy. We have created, licensed and acquired a strong portfolio of intellectual property, which we market and sell to a variety of consumers. Our portfolio of wholly-owned properties includes established brands such as *Need for Speed*[™], *The Sims*[™] and *Battlefield*, and games scheduled to debut in fiscal 2009 such as *Spore*[™], *Mirror's Edge*[™] and *Dead Space*[™]. Our portfolio of games based on licensed intellectual property includes sports-based titles such as *Madden NFL Football*, *FIFA Soccer* and *Tiger Woods PGA Tour*[®], and titles based on popular culture such as *Harry Potter*, *The Godfather*[®], and the yet-to-be released *Warhammer*[®] *Online*. Through our EA Partners business, we also co-develop, co-publish and distribute video games that are developed and published by other companies, including the MTV Games/Harmonix game *Rock Band*. Beginning in fiscal 2009, we also expect to release video games based on board games and toys from Hasbro, including *NERF*, *MONOPOLY* and *TRIVIAL PURSUIT*.

Another cornerstone of our strategy is to publish products that can be iterated, or sequeled. For example, many of our sports products, such as *Madden NFL Football*, come out in a new edition each year. Other products, such as *The Sims* and *Godfather*, can be sequeled on a less-frequent basis. We refer to these successful, iterated product families as “franchises”.

We develop our games using both internal and external resources. We have development studios and related functions located worldwide, such as BioWare (Canada and United States), Black Box (Canada), Blueprint (United States), Bright Light (United Kingdom), Criterion (United Kingdom), DICE (Sweden), EA Canada, EA India, EA Los Angeles (United States), EA Montreal (Canada), EA Redwood Shores (United States), EA Romania, EA Salt Lake City (United States), Mythic Entertainment (United States), Pogo (United States and China), Pandemic (United States and Australia), Phenomic (Germany), *The Sims* (United States) and Tiburon (United States). We also have quality and assurance functions located in several countries such as China, India, Korea, Singapore and Spain and localization functions located in several countries such as France, Germany, Italy, Japan, Romania and Spain. We also engage third-parties to assist with the development of our games at their own development and production studios.

Our global sales network allows us to market, publish and distribute games in over 35 countries throughout the world. In North America, we generate a significant portion of our net revenue from direct sales to retailers. Outside of North America, we generate net revenue primarily from direct-to-retail sales although, in some of our smaller territories, we also work with third parties to distribute our games. Our games are also available via online stores, including our own online store, and via direct digital download from our website. We also market and distribute games and other content for cellular handsets through wireless carriers.

In fiscal 2008, sales of *Rock Band*, distributed for three platforms, represented approximately 10 percent of our total net revenue. In fiscal 2007, we had two titles, *Need for Speed Carbon* and *Madden NFL 07*, published on 10 platforms, each of which represented approximately 11 percent of our total net revenue. In fiscal 2006, we had one title, *Need for Speed Most Wanted*, published on eight platforms, which represented approximately 10 percent of our total net revenue.

We were initially incorporated in California in 1982. In September 1991, we reincorporated under the laws of Delaware. Our principal executive offices are located at 209 Redwood Shores Parkway, Redwood City, California 94065 and our telephone number is (650) 628-1500.

Significant Business Developments in Fiscal 2008

Label Reorganization

As described in more detail below, in fiscal 2008, we reorganized our business into four operating “labels” — EA Games, EA SPORTS, *The Sims* and EA Casual Entertainment — and an additional group that works closely with the labels — Global Publishing, which operates in three regions, North America, Europe and Asia.

Acquisition of Bioware and Pandemic Studios

In January 2008, we acquired VG Holding Corp. (“VGH”), owner of both BioWare Corp. and Pandemic Studios, LLC, game development studios that create action-adventure and role-playing games, such as *Mass Effect*[™] (BioWare) and *Mercenaries*[™] (Pandemic). BioWare has development studios in Edmonton, Canada and Austin, Texas and Pandemic Studios are located in Los Angeles, California and Brisbane, Australia.

Transition to New Video Game Consoles

The video game software industry is cyclical, driven by video game hardware systems, which have historically had a life cycle of four to six years. The current cycle began with Microsoft’s launch of the Xbox 360 in 2005, and continued in 2006 when Sony and Nintendo launched their next-generation systems, the PLAYSTATION 3 and the Wii, respectively. During fiscal 2008, the installed base of each of these systems continued to expand and, as a result, sales of our products for these systems have also increased significantly. At the same time, however, demand for video games for prior-generation systems, particularly the original Xbox and the Nintendo GameCube, has declined. Given its significant installed base and ongoing popularity outside of North America, we expect to continue developing and marketing new titles for the PlayStation 2 in fiscal 2009. We only expect to release one title for the original Xbox and no titles for the Nintendo GameCube in fiscal 2009. The new systems are more complex than their predecessors (*e.g.*, some use multi-processor technology, new and unique game controllers, online gameplay functionality and high definition video capabilities) and development costs have been greater on a per-title basis than development costs for prior-generation video game systems.

Proposed Acquisition of Take-Two Interactive Software

In the fourth quarter of fiscal 2008, we announced a proposal to acquire all of the issued and outstanding shares of common stock of Take-Two Interactive Software, Inc. (“Take-Two”), for a total purchase price of approximately \$2.1 billion (including fees and expenses). Take-Two’s Board of Directors has stated that our offer undervalues the company and is not in the best interests of stockholders. If we were to acquire Take-Two, we expect the acquisition would have a material impact on our future financial position and results of operations and cash flows. Although the offer is not conditional upon any financing arrangements, our Board of Directors has authorized us to obtain additional financing, a portion of which may be used in part to fund the acquisition. There can be no assurance that we will acquire Take-Two.

Our Operating Structure

Following our fiscal 2008 reorganization, our business is organized around four operating labels — EA Games, EA SPORTS, The Sims and EA Casual Entertainment — and an additional group that works closely with the labels — Global Publishing. Each label is structured to operate globally and includes several key functions including development studios, product marketing, and planning for products and services. Global Publishing operates in three regions, North America, Europe and Asia. Global Publishing works in partnership with the labels and is responsible for strategic planning, field marketing, sales, distribution, operations, product certification, quality assurance, motion capture, art outsourcing and localization within the local markets in which we operate.

In fiscal 2008, we generated approximately 55 percent of our net revenue from games developed by our studios that were initially released during the year, as compared to approximately 65 percent in fiscal 2007. Excluding titles developed for cellular handsets, during fiscal 2008 we released 34 titles developed by our studios compared to 32 titles in fiscal 2007. For the fiscal years ended March 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, research and development expenses were \$1,145 million, \$1,041 million and \$758 million, respectively.

EA Games Label

The EA Games label is home to the largest number of our studios and development teams, which together create an expansive and diverse portfolio of games, such as action-adventure, role playing, racing and combat games, marketed under the EA brand. In addition to traditional packaged-goods games, EA Games also

develops massively-multiplayer online role-playing games which are persistent state virtual worlds where thousands of other players can interact with one another. For example, we expect to launch *Warhammer Online* in the coming fiscal year. The EA Games portfolio includes several established franchises such as Need for Speed, Battlefield, Mercenaries and Burnout. In addition, EA Games has recently launched new franchises including SKATE and Army of Two, and has additional titles in development. EA Games titles are developed primarily at the following EA studios: Bioware (located in Edmonton, Canada and Austin, Texas), Black Box (located in Vancouver, Canada), Criterion (located in Guildford, England), DICE (located in Stockholm, Sweden), EA Los Angeles, EA Montreal, EA Redwood Shores, Maxis (located in Emeryville, California), EA Mythic (located in Fairfax, Virginia), Pandemic Studios (located in Los Angeles, California and Brisbane, Australia) and Phenomic (located in Ingelheim, Germany).

EA Games also includes the EA Partners group, which teams with external game developers and third party companies, to provide these partners with a variety of services including development, publishing, and distribution. For example, in fiscal 2008, through a co-publishing agreement with Crytek, we released *Crysis*® on the PC, and we signed a distribution agreement with Harmonix, a subsidiary of Viacom, to distribute *Rock Band*.

EA SPORTS Label

The EA SPORTS label brings together a wide collection of sports-based video games marketed under the EA SPORTS brand. EA SPORTS games range from simulated sports titles with realistic graphics based on real-world sports leagues, players, events and venues to more casual games with arcade-style gameplay and graphics. We seek to release new iterations of many of our EA SPORTS titles on a regular basis (often annually), in connection with the commencement of a sports league's season or a major sporting event when appropriate. Our EA SPORTS franchises include FIFA Soccer, Madden NFL Football, Fight Night, NBA Live, NCAA Football, NCAA March Madness, Tiger Woods PGA Tour, NHL Hockey, Nascar and Rugby. EA SPORTS games are developed primarily at our EA Canada (located in Vancouver, British Columbia) and EA Tiburon studios (located in Orlando, Florida), and, to a lesser extent, use several development studios highlighted in the EA Games label description above.

In addition to packaged goods games, the EA SPORTS label offers online-only games and entertainment. In Korea, EA SPORTS currently offers *EA SPORTS FIFA Online*, a free-to-play online game in which players may purchase additional in-game content from us (we refer to these consumer purchases of small elements of additional content as "micro-transactions"). We expect to introduce other online games under the EA SPORTS brand in the future. In fiscal 2009, EA SPORTS will also seek to increase its global presence through the introduction of new web-based communities centered on our portfolio of sports games.

The Sims Label

The Sims label develops and markets life-simulation games and online communities with an emphasis on creativity, community and humor.

The Sims has sold over 100 million units world-wide since it was originally launched in 2000. A significant factor in its success has been the regular introduction of expansion packs with new content and gameplay features that can be purchased and used in connection with our core products, *The Sims* and *The Sims 2*. In fiscal 2008, The Sims label launched two expansion packs for *The Sims 2*.

In addition to our expansion packs, The Sims label also launched several console products in fiscal 2008, most notably *MySims*™ — a newly created franchise for the Wii and Nintendo DS — and *The Sims 2 Castaway* on the Wii, Nintendo DS, PlayStation 2 and PSP.

The Sims 2 online community has 4 million unique visitors monthly, with localized communities in 15 countries. The centerpiece of this community is the user exchange, where users can download both EA and user-created content for use in their *The Sims 2* core game. To date, there have been over 60 million downloads of content from *The Sims 2* exchange.

The Sims label offers a variety of other online communities including *The Sims On Stage* — a creative community featuring karaoke, comedy, poetry, and movie mashups.

EA Casual Entertainment Label

The EA Casual Entertainment label is focused on creating compelling casual games for a mass audience of core and non-core gamers alike. Casual games are intended to be easily accessible, requiring a minimum time commitment to learn, play and enjoy. EA Casual Entertainment is responsible for a broad portfolio of games designed for consoles, handhelds and PCs (including Pogo.com, our online casual games and community website), as well as cellular phones (through EA Mobile).

Pogo[™]. Through our Pogo online service, we offer casual games such as card games, puzzle games and word games. We had over 1.6 million paying Club Pogo subscribers as of March 31, 2008, up from 1.5 million paying subscribers as of March 31, 2007. In addition to paid subscriptions, Pogo also generates revenue through online advertising and sales of digital content. We are continuing to expand our Pogo offerings in Europe.

EA Mobile. Through EA Mobile, we are a leading global publisher of games and other content (ringtones, images, etc.) for cellular handsets. Our customers typically purchase and download our games through a wireless carrier's branded e-commerce service accessed directly from their cellular handsets.

We engage third parties to develop games for cellular handsets on our behalf at their own development and production studios and, to a lesser extent, we develop cellular handset games internally at our development and production studios located in the United States, Canada, the United Kingdom, Romania and India. In fiscal 2008, we released over 30 games for cellular handsets.

Many of our EA Mobile games are designed to take advantage of multimedia enhancements in the latest generation of cellular handsets, including high-resolution color displays, increased processing power, improved audio capabilities and increased memory capabilities. We publish games in multiple categories designed to appeal to a broad range of wireless subscribers.

Hasbro. In August 2007, we entered into a strategic relationship with Hasbro through which we can create digital games based upon a significant number of Hasbro's classic board games and toys, including *MONOPOLY*, *SCRABBLE* (North America only), *YAHTZEE*, *TRIVIAL PURSUIT*, *NERF*, *G.I. JOE*, and *LITTLEST PET SHOP*. We intend to develop Hasbro's properties into video games for children, families and casual gamers and to publish them across a variety of platforms including cellular handsets, handhelds, PCs and consoles.

Console, Handheld and PC Games. EA Casual Entertainment works with third party developers and oversees internal studios and development teams located in Los Angeles, Montreal, Salt Lake City and the United Kingdom that are responsible for console, handheld and PC games geared primarily towards children, families, and other casual gamers. In fiscal 2008, these games included *Harry Potter and the Order of the Phoenix*[™], *Boogie*[™], and *Smarty Pants*[™]. For fiscal 2009, EA Casual is releasing a number of new titles, including *Harry Potter and the Half-Blood Prince*[™], *Boom Blox*[™], and *ZUBO*[™] as well as several new titles based on well-known Hasbro games and toys.

Global Publishing Organization

Our Global Publishing Organization operates in three regions, North America, Europe and Asia. These organizations work closely with each label to publish (*i.e.*, market, sell and distribute) our products (other than EA Mobile games).

Our North America publishing organization is headquartered in Redwood City, California. We have local offices in several states including California, Washington and New York, among others. We also have a distribution center in Kentucky. North America net revenue increased by 17 percent to \$1.942 billion, or 53 percent of total net revenue in fiscal 2008, as compared to \$1.666 billion, or 54 percent of total net revenue in fiscal 2007.

Internationally, we conduct business and have wholly-owned subsidiaries throughout the world, including offices in Europe, Australia, Asia and Latin America. International net revenue increased by 21 percent to \$1.723 billion, or 47 percent of total net revenue in fiscal 2008, compared to \$1.425 billion, or 46 percent of total net revenue in fiscal 2007.

Our international and European regional publishing organization headquarters is located in Geneva, Switzerland. We have local offices in several European countries including England, France and Germany, among others. We also have European distribution centers in the Netherlands and elsewhere in Europe.

We also have a regional publishing headquarters located in Singapore. We have local offices in several Asia Pacific countries including Australia, Japan and New Zealand.

The amounts of net revenue and long-lived assets attributable to each of our geographic regions for each of the last three fiscal years are set forth in Note 18 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, included in Item 8 of this report.

The console, PC and handheld games that we publish are made available to consumers as packaged goods (usually in Blu-ray Disc, CD, DVD, cartridge or Universal Media Disc format) that are typically sold in retail stores and through online stores (including our own online store). In North America and Europe, our largest markets, we sell these packaged goods products primarily to retailers, including mass market retailers (such as Wal-Mart), electronics specialty stores (such as Best Buy) or game software specialty stores (such as GameStop). Many of our PC products and related content (such as booster packs, expansion packs and smaller pieces of game content) can also be purchased over the Internet through digital download.

Our global sales network allows us to market, publish and distribute games for all labels in over 35 countries throughout the world. We generated approximately 95 percent of our North American net revenue from direct sales to retailers in fiscal 2008, with the remaining net revenue being generated through a limited number of specialized and regional distributors and rack jobbers in markets where we believe direct sales would not be economical. Outside of North America, we derive revenue primarily from direct sales to retailers. In a few of our smaller markets, we sell our products through distributors with whom we have agreements. We also distribute products of other companies through our rack jobbing business in Switzerland. We had direct sales to GameStop Corp. which represented approximately 13 percent and 12 percent of total net revenue in fiscal 2008 and 2007, respectively. We also had direct sales to Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. which represented approximately 12 percent of total net revenue in fiscal 2008 and 13 percent of total net revenue in both fiscal 2007 and 2006, respectively.

Marketing activities conducted by the Global Publishing Organization primarily focus on television and online advertising, but also include print advertising, retail merchandising, website development, event sponsorship, and trade shows.

Our Central Development Services group, which is part of our Global Publishing Organization, provides development services to our labels, such as product localization, quality assurance and certification, motion capture, art outsourcing and media mastering. Each service is essential to the development and publishing of our games. By grouping these services together within a single, centralized organization, we expect to achieve cost savings through greater scale and efficiency, while improving the overall quality of our games by providing more sophisticated and robust services than any of our labels could sustain on its own. Key components of Central Development Services' strategy are outsourcing to third parties and moving work offshore to lower-cost markets.

Competition

Our industry is intensely competitive. We compete for the leisure time and discretionary spending of consumers with other video game companies, as well as with other providers of different forms of entertainment, such as motion pictures, television, social networking, online casual entertainment and music. Our competitors vary in size from very small companies with limited resources to very large, diversified corporations with global operations and greater financial resources than ours.

We also face heavy competition from other video game companies and large media companies to obtain license agreements for the right to use some of the intellectual property included in our products. Some of these content licenses are controlled by the diversified media companies, which, in some cases, have decided to publish their own games based on popular entertainment properties that they control, rather than licensing the content to a video game company such as us.

Competition in Sales of Packaged Goods

Our packaged goods business is characterized by the continuous introduction of innovative new titles and the development of new technologies. Competition is also based on product quality and features, timing of product releases, brand-name recognition, availability and quality of in-game content, access to distribution channels, effectiveness of marketing and price.

For sales of packaged goods, we compete directly with Sony, Microsoft and Nintendo, each of which develop and publish software for their respective console platforms. We also compete with numerous companies which, like us, develop and publish video games that operate on these consoles and on PCs and handheld game players. These competitors include Activision, Atari, Capcom, Koei, Konami, LucasArts, Midway, Namco, Sega, Take-Two Interactive, THQ and Ubisoft. Diversified media companies such as Fox, Disney, Time Warner, Viacom and Vivendi are also expanding their software game publishing efforts.

Competition in Sales for Cellular Handsets

The wireless entertainment applications market segment, for which we develop and publish games, ring tones, music, video and wallpapers for cellular handsets, is highly competitive and characterized by frequent product introductions, rapidly evolving wireless platforms and new technologies. As demand for applications continues to increase, we expect new competitors to enter the market and existing competitors to allocate more resources to develop and market competing applications. As a result, we expect competition in the wireless entertainment market segment to intensify.

Current and potential competitors in the wireless entertainment applications market segment include major media companies, traditional video game publishing companies, wireless carriers, wireless software providers and other companies that specialize in wireless entertainment applications. We also compete with wireless content aggregators, who pool applications from multiple developers (and sometimes publishers) and offer them to carriers or through other sales channels. In addition, new and existing competitors are beginning to offer wireless entertainment applications on an ad-supported basis. Currently, we consider our primary competitors in the wireless entertainment applications market segment to be Disney, Fox Mobile Entertainment, Gameloft, Glu Mobile, Hands-On Mobile, Namco, Sony Pictures and THQ Wireless.

Competition in Online Gaming Services

The online games market segment is also highly competitive and characterized by frequent product introductions, new and evolving business models and new platforms. As the proportion of households with a broadband connection continues to grow, we expect new competitors to enter the market and existing competitors to allocate more resources toward developing online games services. As a result, we expect competition in the online games services market segment to intensify.

Our current and potential competitors in the online games market segment include major media companies, traditional video game publishing companies, and companies that specialize in online games. In the massively multiplayer online game business our competitors include Atari, Midway, NC Soft, Sony and Vivendi Games. Competing providers of other kinds of online games include MSN, Popcap, Real, AOL, Yahoo! and Nexon.

Intellectual Property

Like other entertainment companies, our business is significantly based on the creation, acquisition, exploitation and protection of intellectual property. Some of this intellectual property is in the form of software code, patented technology, and other technology and trade secrets that we use to develop our games and to make

them run properly. Other intellectual property is in the form of audio-visual elements that consumers can see, hear and interact with when they are playing our games — we call this form of intellectual property “content”.

Our products embody a number of separate forms of intellectual property protection:

- The software and the content of our products are copyrighted;
- Our products may use patented inventions and/or trade secrets;
- Our product brands and names may be trademarks of ours or others;
- Our products may contain voices and likenesses of actors, athletes, celebrities and/or commentators (which may be protected by personal publicity rights), and
- Our products often contain musical compositions and recordings that are also copyrighted.

Our products also may contain content licensed from others, such as trademarks, fictional characters, storylines and software code.

We develop products from wholly-owned intellectual properties we create within our own studios. We also acquire the rights to include proprietary intellectual property in our products through acquisitions. We also enter into content license agreements such as those with sports leagues and player associations, movie studios and performing talent, music labels, music publishers and musicians. These licenses are typically limited to use of the licensed rights in products for specific time periods. In addition, our products that play on game consoles, handhelds and cellular handsets include technology that is owned by the console or handset manufacturer and licensed non-exclusively to us for use. We also license technology from providers other than console and handset manufacturers. While we may have renewal rights for some licenses, our business and the justification for the development of many of our products is dependent on our ability to continue to obtain the intellectual property rights from the owners of these rights on reasonable terms and at reasonable rates.

As with other forms of entertainment, our products are susceptible to unauthorized copying. We typically distribute our PC products using copy protection technology that we license from other companies. In addition, console manufacturers typically incorporate security devices in their consoles in an effort to prevent the use of unlicensed products. Our primary protection against unauthorized use, duplication and distribution of our products is enforcement of our copyright and trademark interests. We typically own the copyright to the software code as well as the brand or title name trademark under which our products are marketed. We register our copyrights and trademarks in the United States and other countries.

Significant Relationships

Console Manufacturers

Sony. Under the terms of agreements we have entered into with Sony Computer Entertainment Inc. and its affiliates, we are authorized to develop and distribute disk-based software products and online content compatible with the PlayStation 2, PLAYSTATION 3 and PSP. Pursuant to these agreements, we engage Sony to supply PlayStation 2, PLAYSTATION 3 and PSP disks for our products.

Microsoft. Under the terms of agreements we have entered into with Microsoft Corporation and its affiliates, we are authorized to develop and distribute DVD-based software products and online content compatible with the Xbox 360.

Nintendo. Under the terms of agreements we have entered into with Nintendo Co., Ltd. and its affiliates, we are authorized to develop and distribute proprietary optical format disk products and cartridges compatible with the Wii and the Nintendo DS. Pursuant to these agreements, we engage Nintendo to supply Wii proprietary optical format disk products and Nintendo DS cartridges for our products.

Wireless Carriers

We have agreements to distribute our wireless applications through more than 160 carriers in over 50 countries. Our customers download our applications to their cellular handsets and their wireless carrier

invoices them a one-time fee or monthly subscription fee. Our carrier distribution agreements establish the fees to be retained by the carrier for distributing our applications. These arrangements are typically terminable on short notice. The agreements generally do not obligate the carriers to market or distribute any of our applications.

Content Licensors

Many of our products are based on or incorporate content and trademarks owned by others. For example, our products include rights licensed from third parties, including major movie studios, publishers, artists, authors, celebrities, traditional game and toy companies, athletes and the major sports leagues and players associations.

Inventory, Working Capital, Backlog, Manufacturing and Suppliers

For all of our labels, we manage inventory by communicating with our customers prior to the release of our products, and then using our industry experience to forecast demand on a product-by-product and territory-by-territory basis. Historically, we have experienced high turnover of our products, and the lead times on re-orders of our products are generally short (*e.g.*, approximately two to three weeks). Further, as discussed in “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations”, we have practices in place with our customers (such as stock balancing and price protection) that reduce product returns.

We typically ship orders immediately upon receipt. To the extent that any backlog may or may not exist at the end of a reporting period, it would be both coincidental and an unreliable indicator of future results of any period.

In many instances, we are able to acquire materials on a volume-discount basis. We have multiple potential sources of supply for most materials, except for the disk component of our PLAYSTATION 3, PlayStation 2, PSP and Wii and Nintendo DS cartridges.

Our online games and cellular handset applications are delivered digitally, and therefore, are not manufactured.

Seasonality

Our business is highly seasonal. We have historically experienced our highest sales volume in the holiday season quarter ending in December and a seasonal low in sales volume in the quarter ending in June. Starting in fiscal 2008, we began to defer the recognition of a significant amount of net revenue related to our online-enabled packaged goods over an extended period of time (*i.e.*, typically six months). As a result, the quarter in which we generate the highest sales volume may be different than the quarter in which we recognize the highest amount of net revenue. Our results can also vary based on a number of factors, including title release dates, consumer demand for our products, shipment schedules and our revenue recognition policies.

Employees

As of March 31, 2008, we had approximately 9,000 regular, full-time employees, of whom over 5,100 were outside the United States. We believe that our ability to attract and retain qualified employees is a critical factor in the successful development of our products and that our future success will depend, in large measure, on our ability to continue to attract and retain qualified employees. Less than 3 percent of our employees, all of whom work for DICE, our Swedish development studio, are represented by a union, guild or other collective bargaining organization.

Executive Officers

The following table sets forth information regarding our executive officers as of May 23, 2008:

<u>Name</u>	<u>Age</u>	<u>Position</u>
John S. Riccitiello	48	Chief Executive Officer
Eric F. Brown	42	Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer
Frank D. Gibeau	39	President, EA Games Label
Peter Moore	53	President, EA Sports Label
John Pleasants	42	President, Global Publishing and Chief Operating Officer
Nancy L. Smith	55	President, The Sims Label
Kathy Vrabeck	45	President, EA Casual Entertainment
Gerhard Florin	49	Executive Vice President, General Manager, International Publishing
Joel Linzner	56	Executive Vice President, Business and Legal Affairs
Gabrielle Toledano	41	Executive Vice President, Human Resources
Kenneth A. Barker	41	Senior Vice President, Chief Accounting Officer
Stephen G. Bené	44	Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary

Mr. Riccitiello has served as Chief Executive Officer and a Director of Electronic Arts since April 2007. Prior to re-joining Electronic Arts, he was a co-founder and Managing Partner at Elevation Partners, a private equity fund. From October 1997 to April 2004, he served as President and Chief Operating Officer of Electronic Arts. Prior to joining Electronic Arts, Mr. Riccitiello served as President and Chief Executive Officer of the worldwide bakery division at Sara Lee Corporation. Before joining Sara Lee, he served as President and CEO of Wilson Sporting Goods Co. and has also held executive management positions at Haagen-Dazs, PepsiCo, Inc. and The Clorox Company. Mr. Riccitiello holds a B.S. degree from the University of California, Berkeley.

Mr. Brown has served as Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer since April 2008. Prior to re-joining Electronic Arts, he served as Chief Operating Officer and Chief Financial Officer of McAfee, Inc., a security technology company from March 2006 until March 2008. From January 2005 until March 2006, Mr. Brown was McAfee's Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer. Mr. Brown served as President and Chief Financial Officer of MicroStrategy Incorporated, a business intelligence software provider, from 2000 until 2004. From October 1998 until February 2000, Mr. Brown served as Chief Financial Officer of one of EA's business units and then Chief Operating Officer of EA's studio organization in Redwood City, CA. Prior to that time, Mr. Brown was co-founder and Chief Financial Officer of DataSage, Inc. Mr. Brown also held several senior financial positions with Grand Metropolitan from 1990 until 1995. Mr. Brown received an M.B.A. from the Sloan School of Management of the Massachusetts Institute of Technology and a B.S. in Chemistry from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology.

Mr. Gibeau was named President, EA Games Label in June 2007. Prior to that time, he had served as Executive Vice President, General Manager, North America Publishing beginning in September 2005. From 2002 until September 2005, he was Senior Vice President of North American Marketing. Mr. Gibeau has held various publishing positions since joining the company in 1991. Mr. Gibeau holds a B.S. degree from the University of Southern California and an M.B.A. from Santa Clara University.

Mr. Moore was named President, EA Sports, in September 2007. From January 2003 until he joined Electronic Arts, Mr. Moore was with Microsoft where he served as head of Xbox marketing and was later named as Corporate Vice President, Interactive Entertainment Business, Entertainment and Devices Division, a position in which he led both the Xbox and Games for Windows businesses. Prior to joining Microsoft, Mr. Moore was president and Chief Operating Officer of SEGA of America, where he was responsible for overseeing SEGA's video game business in North America. Before joining SEGA, Mr. Moore was Senior Vice President of Marketing at Reebok International Ltd. Mr. Moore holds a bachelor's degree from Keele University, United Kingdom, and a master's degree from California State University, Long Beach.

Mr. Pleasants was named President, Global Publishing and Chief Operating Officer in March 2008. Prior to joining EA, Mr. Pleasants was an investor in, and served as an advisor to, various privately-held companies. From September 2005 until June 2007, Mr. Pleasants served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Revolution Health Group, a comprehensive consumer-directed healthcare company. From November 1996 until September 2005, Mr. Pleasants held various senior positions at IAC/InterActiveCorp, including, most recently, President and Chief Executive Officer of Ticketmaster (a division of IAC). Mr. Pleasants holds a B.A. in political science from Yale University and an M.B.A. from Harvard Business School.

Ms. Smith was named President, The Sims in June 2007. From September 2005 until June 2007, Ms. Smith was Executive Vice President, General Manager, The Sims Franchise. From March 1998 until September 2005, she served as Executive Vice President and General Manager, North American Publishing. From October 1996 to March 1998, Ms. Smith served as Executive Vice President, North American Sales. She previously held the position of Senior Vice President of North American Sales and Distribution from July 1993 to October 1996 and as Vice President of Sales from 1988 to 1993. Ms. Smith has also served as Western Regional Sales Manager and National Sales Manager since she joined Electronic Arts in 1984. Ms. Smith holds a B.S. degree in management and organizational behavior from the University of San Francisco.

Ms. Vrabeck was named President, EA Casual Entertainment in May 2007. Prior to joining Electronic Arts, from August 1999 until April 2006, Ms. Vrabeck held various positions at Activision, Inc., an interactive entertainment company, including President of Activision Publishing, Executive Vice President, Global Publishing and Brand Management, and Executive Vice President, Global Brand Management. Following her departure from Activision, Ms. Vrabeck served as a consultant with various companies, including EA. Prior to joining Activision, Ms. Vrabeck was Senior Vice President/General Manager with ConAgra Foods, Inc., and also served in various marketing and sales roles for the Pillsbury Company and held positions at Quaker Oats Company and Eli Lilly & Company. Ms. Vrabeck serves on the Board of Trustees at DePauw University. Ms. Vrabeck received a B.A. degree from DePauw University and an M.B.A. degree from Indiana University.

Dr. Florin has served as Executive Vice President, Publishing — Americas and Europe since August 2007. From June 2007 until August 2007, Dr. Florin served as Executive Vice President, Global Publishing. From September 2005 until June 2007, Dr. Florin served as Executive Vice President, International Publishing, and from April 2003 until September 2005, he served as Senior Vice President and Managing Director, European Publishing. From 2001 until September 2005, he served as Vice President, Managing Director for European countries. From the time he joined EA in 1996 until 2001, Dr. Florin was the Managing Director for German speaking countries. Prior to joining EA, Dr. Florin held various positions at BMG, the global music division of Bertelsmann AG, and worked as a consultant with McKinsey. Dr. Florin holds Masters and Ph.D. degrees in Economics from the University of Augsburg, Germany.

Mr. Linzner has served as Executive Vice President, Business and Legal Affairs since March 2005. From April 2004 to March 2005, he served as Senior Vice President, Business and Legal Affairs. From October 2002 to April 2004, Mr. Linzner held the position of Senior Vice President of Worldwide Business Affairs and from July 1999 to October 2002, he held the position of Vice President of Worldwide Business Affairs. Prior to joining Electronic Arts in July 1999, Mr. Linzner served as outside litigation counsel to Electronic Arts and several other companies in the video game industry. Mr. Linzner earned his J.D. from Boalt Hall at the University of California, Berkeley, after graduating from Brandeis University. He is a member of the Bar of the State of California and is admitted to practice in the United States Supreme Court, the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals and several United States District Courts.

Ms. Toledano has served as Executive Vice President, Human Resources since April 2007. From February 2006 to April 2007, Ms. Toledano held the position of Senior Vice President, Human Resources. Prior to joining Electronic Arts, Ms. Toledano worked at Siebel Systems, Inc. from July 2002 to February 2006 where she held a number of positions, including Senior Vice President of Human Resources. From September 2000 to June 2002, she served as Senior Director of Human Resources for Microsoft Corporation, and from September 1998 until September 2000, she served as Director of Human Resources and Recruiting for Microsoft. Ms. Toledano earned both her undergraduate degree in Humanities and her graduate degree in Education from Stanford University.

Mr. Barker has served as Senior Vice President, Chief Accounting Officer since April 2006. From June 2003 to April 2006, Mr. Barker held the position of Vice President, Chief Accounting Officer. Prior to joining Electronic Arts, Mr. Barker was employed at Sun Microsystems, Inc., as Vice President and Corporate Controller from October 2002 to June 2003 and Assistant Corporate Controller from April 2000 to September 2002. Prior to that, he was an audit partner at Deloitte. Mr. Barker graduated from the University of Notre Dame with a B.A. degree in Accounting.

Mr. Bené has served as Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary since October 2004. From April 2004 to October 2004, Mr. Bené held the position of Vice President, Acting General Counsel and Corporate Secretary, and from June 2003 to April 2004, he held the position of Vice President and Associate General Counsel. Prior to June 2003, Mr. Bené had served as internal legal counsel since joining EA in March 1995. Mr. Bené earned his J.D. from Stanford Law School, and received his B.S. in Mechanical Engineering from Rice University. Mr. Bené is a member of the Bar of the State of California.

Investor Information

We file or furnish various reports, such as registration statements, periodic and current reports, proxy statements, and other materials with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”). You may read and copy any materials we file with the SEC at the SEC’s Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549. You may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The SEC maintains a website at www.sec.gov that contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information regarding issuers that file electronically with the SEC, including our filings. Our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q and current reports on Form 8-K, and any amendments to those reports filed pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act, as amended, are available free of charge on the Investor Relations section of our website at <http://investor.ea.com> as soon as reasonably practicable after they are electronically filed with or furnished to the SEC. Except as expressly set forth in this Form 10-K annual report, the contents of our website are not incorporated into, or otherwise to be regarded as part of this report.

The charters of our Audit, Compensation, and Nominating and Governance committees of our Board of Directors, as well as our Global Code of Conduct (which includes code of ethics provisions applicable to our directors, principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer, and other senior financial officers), are available in the Investor Relations section of our website at <http://investor.ea.com>. We will post amendments to our Global Code of Conduct in the Investor Relations section of our website. Copies of our charters and Global Code of Conduct are available without charge by contacting our Investor Relations department at (650) 628-1500.

Stockholders of record may hold their shares of our common stock in book-entry form. This eliminates costs related to safekeeping or replacing paper stock certificates. In addition, stockholders of record may request electronic movement of book-entry shares between their account with our stock transfer agent and their broker. Stock certificates may be converted to book-entry shares at any time. Questions regarding this service may be directed to our stock transfer agent, Wells Fargo Shareowner Services, at 1-800-468-9716 (or 1-651-450-4064 for international callers) or visit their website at www.wellsfargo.com/shareownerservices.

Item 1A: Risk Factors

Our business is subject to many risks and uncertainties, which may affect our future financial performance. If any of the events or circumstances described below occurs, our business and financial performance could be harmed, our actual results could differ materially from our expectations and the market value of our stock could decline. The risks and uncertainties discussed below are not the only ones we face. There may be additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently do not believe are material that may harm our business and financial performance.

Our business is highly dependent on the success and availability of video game hardware systems manufactured by third parties, as well as our ability to develop commercially successful products for these systems.

We derive most of our revenue from the sale of products for play on video game hardware systems (which we also refer to as “platforms”) manufactured by third parties, such as Sony’s PlayStation 2 and PLAYSTATION 3, Microsoft’s Xbox 360 and Nintendo’s Wii. The success of our business is driven in large part by the commercial success and adequate supply of these video game hardware systems, our ability to accurately predict which systems will be successful in the marketplace, and our ability to develop commercially successful products for these systems. We must make product development decisions and commit significant resources well in advance of anticipated product ship dates. A platform for which we are developing products may not succeed or may have a shorter life cycle than anticipated. If consumer demand for the systems for which we are developing products is lower than our expectations, our revenue will suffer, we may be unable to fully recover the investments we have made in developing our products, and our financial performance will be harmed. Alternatively, a system for which we have not devoted significant resources could be more successful than we had initially anticipated, causing us to miss out on meaningful revenue opportunities.

Our industry is cyclical, driven by the transition from older video game hardware systems to new ones. As we continue to move through the current cycle, our operating results may be volatile and difficult to predict.

Video game hardware systems have historically had a life cycle of four to six years, which causes the video game software market to be cyclical as well. The current cycle began with Microsoft’s launch of the Xbox 360 in 2005, and continued in 2006 when Sony and Nintendo launched their next-generation systems, the PLAYSTATION 3 and the Wii, respectively. During fiscal 2008, the installed base of each of these systems continued to expand and, as a result, sales of our products for these systems have also increased significantly. At the same time, however, demand for video games for prior-generation systems, particularly the original Xbox and the Nintendo GameCube, has declined significantly. Although we expect to continue developing and marketing new titles for the prior-generation PlayStation 2 in fiscal 2009, we only expect to release one title for the original Xbox and no titles for the Nintendo GameCube. As a result, we expect our sales of video games for prior-generation systems to continue to decline. The decline in prior-generation product sales, particularly the PlayStation 2, may be greater or faster than we anticipate, and sales of products for the new platforms may be lower or increase more slowly than we anticipate. Moreover, we expect development costs for the new video game systems to continue to be greater on a per-title basis than development costs for prior-generation video game systems. As a result of these factors, during the next several quarters, we expect our operating results to be more volatile and difficult to predict, which could cause our stock price to fluctuate significantly.

If we do not consistently meet our product development schedules, our operating results will be adversely affected.

Our business is highly seasonal, with the highest levels of consumer demand and a significant percentage of our sales occurring in the December quarter. In addition, we seek to release many of our products in conjunction with specific events, such as the release of a related movie or the beginning of a sports season or major sporting event. If we miss these key selling periods for any reason, including product delays or delayed introduction of a new platform for which we have developed products, our sales will suffer disproportionately. Likewise, if a key event to which our product release schedule is tied were to be delayed or cancelled, our sales would also suffer disproportionately. Our ability to meet product development schedules is affected by a number of factors, including the creative processes involved, the coordination of large and sometimes geographically dispersed development teams required by the increasing complexity of our products and the platforms for which they are developed, and the need to fine-tune our products prior to their release. We have experienced development delays for our products in the past, which caused us to push back release dates. In the future, any failure to meet anticipated production or release schedules would likely result in a delay of revenue and/or possibly a significant shortfall in our revenue, harm our profitability, and cause our operating results to be materially different than anticipated.

Our business is intensely competitive and “hit” driven. If we do not continue to deliver “hit” products and services or if consumers prefer our competitors’ products or services over our own, our operating results could suffer.

Competition in our industry is intense and we expect new competitors to continue to emerge in the United States and abroad. While many new products and services are regularly introduced, only a relatively small number of “hit” titles accounts for a significant portion of total revenue in our industry. Hit products or services offered by our competitors may take a larger share of consumer spending than we anticipate, which could cause revenue generated from our products and services to fall below expectations. If our competitors develop more successful products or services, offer competitive products or services at lower price points or based on payment models perceived as offering a better value proposition (such as pay-for-play or subscription-based models), or if we do not continue to develop consistently high-quality and well-received products and services, our revenue, margins, and profitability will decline.

We have recently reorganized our business and operating structure. We may encounter a variety of issues in connection with the reorganization that could negatively impact our operating results, financial condition and ability to report our financial results.

In an effort to streamline our internal decision-making processes, improve our global focus, and accelerate the process of bringing new ideas to market, we have reorganized our business into several new divisions, including four new labels. The reorganization presents a number of ongoing operational challenges, which, if not successfully managed, could cause our operating results to suffer in the near-term and/or delay or inhibit the anticipated benefits of the reorganization. Implementing any reorganization necessarily requires time and focus from all levels of the organization — time and focus that may be taken away from other business needs. For example, as our employees assume new responsibilities under the new structure, their responsibilities under the old structure may not be successfully re-assigned or adequately addressed, which could result in operational problems that negatively impact our financial condition and operating results. Similarly, as our employees’ roles and responsibilities change in a new structure, it is possible that we could experience a greater loss of key personnel than we have historically.

Technology changes rapidly in our business and if we fail to anticipate or successfully implement new technologies or the manner in which people play our games, the quality, timeliness and competitiveness of our products and services will suffer.

Rapid technology changes in our industry require us to anticipate, sometimes years in advance, which technologies we must implement and take advantage of in order to make our products and services competitive in the market. Therefore, we usually start our product development with a range of technical development goals that we hope to be able to achieve. We may not be able to achieve these goals, or our competition may be able to achieve them more quickly and effectively than we can. In either case, our products and services may be technologically inferior to our competitors’, less appealing to consumers, or both. If we cannot achieve our technology goals within the original development schedule of our products and services, then we may delay their release until these technology goals can be achieved, which may delay or reduce revenue and increase our development expenses. Alternatively, we may increase the resources employed in research and development in an attempt to accelerate our development of new technologies, either to preserve our product or service launch schedule or to keep up with our competition, which would increase our development expenses.

The video game hardware manufacturers set the royalty rates and other fees that we must pay to publish games for their platforms, and therefore have significant influence on our costs. If one or more of these manufacturers change their fee structure, our profitability will be materially impacted.

In order to publish products for a video game system such as the Xbox 360, PLAYSTATION 3 or Wii, we must take a license from the manufacturer, which gives it the opportunity to set the fee structure that we must pay in order to publish games for that platform. Similarly, certain manufacturers have retained the flexibility to change their fee structures, or adopt different fee structures for online gameplay and other new features for their consoles. The control that hardware manufacturers have over the fee structures for their platforms and

online access could adversely impact our costs, profitability and margins. Because publishing products for video game systems is the largest portion of our business, any increase in fee structures would significantly harm our ability to generate revenues and/or profits.

The video game hardware manufacturers are among our chief competitors and frequently control the manufacturing of and/or access to our video game products. If they do not approve our products, we will be unable to ship to our customers.

Our agreements with hardware licensors (such as Sony for the PLAYSTATION 3, Microsoft for the Xbox 360, and Nintendo for the Wii) typically give significant control to the licensor over the approval and manufacturing of our products, which could, in certain circumstances, leave us unable to get our products approved, manufactured and shipped to customers. These hardware licensors are also among our chief competitors. Generally, control of the approval and manufacturing process by the hardware licensors increases both our manufacturing lead times and costs as compared to those we can achieve independently. While we believe that our relationships with our hardware licensors are currently good, the potential for these licensors to delay or refuse to approve or manufacture our products exists. Such occurrences would harm our business and our financial performance.

We also require compatibility code and the consent of Microsoft, Sony and Nintendo in order to include online capabilities in our products for their respective platforms. As online capabilities for video game systems become more significant, Microsoft, Sony and Nintendo could restrict the manner in which we provide online capabilities for our products. If Microsoft, Sony or Nintendo refused to approve our products with online capabilities or significantly impacted the financial terms on which these services are offered to our customers, our business could be harmed.

If we are unable to maintain or acquire licenses to intellectual property, we will publish fewer hit titles and our revenue, profitability and cash flows will decline. Competition for these licenses may make them more expensive and reduce our profitability.

Many of our products are based on or incorporate intellectual property owned by others. For example, our EA SPORTS products include rights licensed from major sports leagues and players' associations. Similarly, many of our other hit franchises, such as The Godfather, Harry Potter and Lord of the Rings, are based on key film and literary licenses. Competition for these licenses is intense. If we are unable to maintain these licenses or obtain additional licenses with significant commercial value, our revenues and profitability will decline significantly. Competition for these licenses may also drive up the advances, guarantees and royalties that we must pay to the licensor, which could significantly increase our costs and reduce our profitability.

Our business is subject to risks generally associated with the entertainment industry, any of which could significantly harm our operating results.

Our business is subject to risks that are generally associated with the entertainment industry, many of which are beyond our control. These risks could negatively impact our operating results and include: the popularity, price and timing of our games and the platforms on which they are played; economic conditions that adversely affect discretionary consumer spending; changes in consumer demographics; the availability and popularity of other forms of entertainment; and critical reviews and public tastes and preferences, which may change rapidly and cannot necessarily be predicted.

If we do not continue to attract and retain key personnel, we will be unable to effectively conduct our business.

The market for technical, creative, marketing and other personnel essential to the development and marketing of our products and management of our businesses is extremely competitive. Our leading position within the interactive entertainment industry makes us a prime target for recruiting of executives and key creative talent. If we cannot successfully recruit and retain the employees we need, or replace key employees following their departure, our ability to develop and manage our business will be impaired.

Acquisitions, investments and other strategic transactions could result in operating difficulties, dilution to our investors and other negative consequences.

We have engaged in, evaluated, and expect to continue to engage in and evaluate, a wide array of potential strategic transactions, including (i) acquisitions of companies, businesses, intellectual properties, and other assets, (ii) minority investments in strategic partners, and (iii) investments in new interactive entertainment businesses (for example, online and mobile games). Any of these strategic transactions could be material to our financial condition and results of operations. Although we regularly search for opportunities to engage in strategic transactions, we may not be successful in identifying suitable opportunities. We may not be able to consummate potential acquisitions or investments or an acquisition or investment we do consummate may not enhance our business or may decrease rather than increase our earnings. The process of acquiring and integrating a company or business, or successfully exploiting acquired intellectual property or other assets, could divert a significant amount of resources as well as our management's time and focus and may create unforeseen operating difficulties and expenditures, particularly for a large acquisition. Additional risks and variations of the foregoing risks we face include:

- The need to implement or remediate controls, procedures and policies appropriate for a public company in an acquired company that, prior to the acquisition, lacked these controls, procedures and policies,
- Cultural challenges associated with integrating employees from an acquired company or business into our organization,
- Retaining key employees and maintaining the key business and customer relationships of the businesses we acquire,
- The need to integrate an acquired company's accounting, management information, human resource and other administrative systems to permit effective management and timely reporting,
- The possibility that we will not discover important facts during due diligence that could have a material adverse impact on the value of the businesses we acquire, and
- Potential impairment charges incurred to write down the carrying amount of intangible assets generated as a result of an acquisition,
- Litigation or other claims in connection with, or inheritance of claims or litigation risks as a result of, an acquisition, including claims from terminated employees, customers or other third parties,
- Significant accounting charges resulting from the completion and integration of a sizeable acquisition and increased capital expenditures,
- Significant acquisition-related accounting adjustments, particularly relating to an acquired company's deferred revenue, that may cause reported revenue and profits of the combined company to be lower than the sum of their stand-alone revenue and profits,
- The possibility that the combined company would not achieve the expected benefits, including any anticipated operating and product synergies, of the acquisition as quickly as anticipated,
- The possibility that the costs of, or operational difficulties arising from, an acquisition would be greater than anticipated,
- To the extent that we engage in strategic transactions outside of the United States, we face additional risks, including risks related to integration of operations across different cultures and languages, currency risks and the particular economic, political and regulatory risks associated with specific countries, and
- The possibility that a change of control of a company we acquire triggers a termination of contractual or intellectual property rights important to the operation of its business.

Future acquisitions and investments could also involve the issuance of our equity and equity-linked securities (potentially diluting our existing stockholders), the incurrence of debt, contingent liabilities or amortization

expenses, write-offs of goodwill, intangibles, or acquired in-process technology, or other increased cash and non-cash expenses such as stock-based compensation. Any of the foregoing factors could harm our financial condition or prevent us from achieving improvements in our financial condition and operating performance that could have otherwise been achieved by us on a stand-alone basis. Our stockholders may not have the opportunity to review, vote on or evaluate future acquisitions or investments.

If patent claims continue to be asserted against us, we may be unable to sustain our current business models or profits, or we may be precluded from pursuing new business opportunities in the future.

Many patents have been issued that may apply to widely-used game technologies, or to potential new modes of delivering, playing or monetizing game software products. For example, infringement claims under many issued patents are now being asserted against interactive software or online game sites. Several such claims have been asserted against us. We incur substantial expenses in evaluating and defending against such claims, regardless of the merits of the claims. In the event that there is a determination that we have infringed a third-party patent, we could incur significant monetary liability and be prevented from using the rights in the future, which could negatively impact our operating results. We may also discover that future opportunities to provide new and innovative modes of game play and game delivery to consumers may be precluded by existing patents that we are unable to license on reasonable terms.

Other intellectual property claims may increase our product costs or require us to cease selling affected products.

Many of our products include extremely realistic graphical images, and we expect that as technology continues to advance, images will become even more realistic. Some of the images and other content are based on real-world examples that may inadvertently infringe upon the intellectual property rights of others. Although we believe that we make reasonable efforts to ensure that our products do not violate the intellectual property rights of others, it is possible that third parties still may claim infringement. From time to time, we receive communications from third parties regarding such claims. Existing or future infringement claims against us, whether valid or not, may be time consuming and expensive to defend. Such claims or litigations could require us to stop selling the affected products, redesign those products to avoid infringement, or obtain a license, all of which would be costly and harm our business.

From time to time we may become involved in other legal proceedings which could adversely affect us.

We are currently, and from time to time in the future may become, subject to legal proceedings, claims, litigation and government investigations or inquiries, which could be expensive, lengthy, and disruptive to normal business operations. In addition, the outcome of any legal proceedings, claims, litigation, investigations or inquiries may be difficult to predict and could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results, or financial condition.

Our business, our products and our distribution are subject to increasing regulation of content, consumer privacy, distribution and online hosting and delivery in the key territories in which we conduct business. If we do not successfully respond to these regulations, our business may suffer.

Legislation is continually being introduced that may affect both the content of our products and their distribution. For example, data and consumer protection laws in the United States and Europe impose various restrictions on our web sites. Those rules vary by territory although the Internet recognizes no geographical boundaries. Other countries, such as Germany, have adopted laws regulating content both in packaged games and those transmitted over the Internet that are stricter than current United States laws. In the United States, the federal and several state governments are continually considering content restrictions on products such as ours, as well as restrictions on distribution of such products. For example, recent legislation has been adopted in several states, and could be proposed at the federal level, that prohibits the sale of certain games (*e.g.*, violent games or those with “M (Mature)” or “AO (Adults Only)” ratings) to minors. Any one or more of these factors could harm our business by limiting the products we are able to offer to our customers, by limiting the size of the potential market for our products, and by requiring costly additional differentiation between products for different territories to address varying regulations.

If one or more of our titles were found to contain hidden, objectionable content, our business could suffer.

Throughout the history of our industry, many video games have been designed to include certain hidden content and gameplay features that are accessible through the use of in-game cheat codes or other technological means that are intended to enhance the gameplay experience. However, in several recent cases, hidden content or features have been found to be included in other publishers' products by an employee who was not authorized to do so or by an outside developer without the knowledge of the publisher. From time to time, some hidden content and features have contained profanity, graphic violence and sexually explicit or otherwise objectionable material. In a few cases, the Entertainment Software Ratings Board ("ESRB") has reacted to discoveries of hidden content and features by reviewing the rating that was originally assigned to the product, requiring the publisher to change the game packaging and/or fining the publisher. Retailers have on occasion reacted to the discovery of such hidden content by removing these games from their shelves, refusing to sell them, and demanding that their publishers accept them as product returns. Likewise, consumers have reacted to the revelation of hidden content by refusing to purchase such games, demanding refunds for games they've already purchased, and refraining from buying other games published by the company whose game contained the objectionable material.

We have implemented preventative measures designed to reduce the possibility of hidden, objectionable content from appearing in the video games we publish. Nonetheless, these preventative measures are subject to human error, circumvention, overriding, and reasonable resource constraints. In addition, to the extent we acquire a company without similar controls in place, the possibility of hidden, objectionable content appearing in video games developed by that company but for which we are ultimately responsible could increase. If a video game we published were found to contain hidden, objectionable content, the ESRB could demand that we recall a game and change its packaging to reflect a revised rating, retailers could refuse to sell it and demand we accept the return of any unsold copies or returns from customers, and consumers could refuse to buy it or demand that we refund their money. This could have a material negative impact on our operating results and financial condition. In addition, our reputation could be harmed, which could impact sales of other video games we sell. If any of these consequences were to occur, our business and financial performance could be significantly harmed.

If we ship defective products, our operating results could suffer.

Products such as ours are extremely complex software programs, and are difficult to develop, manufacture and distribute. We have quality controls in place to detect defects in the software, media and packaging of our products before they are released. Nonetheless, these quality controls are subject to human error, overriding, and reasonable resource constraints. Therefore, these quality controls and preventative measures may not be effective in detecting defects in our products before they have been reproduced and released into the marketplace. In such an event, we could be required to recall a product, or we may find it necessary to voluntarily recall a product, and/or scrap defective inventory, which could significantly harm our business and operating results.

Our international net revenue is subject to currency fluctuations.

For the fiscal year ended March 31, 2008, international net revenue comprised 47 percent of our total net revenue. We expect foreign sales to continue to account for a significant portion of our total net revenue. Such sales may be subject to unexpected regulatory requirements, tariffs and other barriers. Additionally, foreign sales are primarily made in local currencies, which may fluctuate against the U.S. dollar. We use foreign exchange forward contracts to mitigate some foreign currency risk associated with foreign currency denominated assets and liabilities (primarily certain intercompany receivables and payables) and, from time to time, foreign currency option contracts to hedge foreign currency forecasted transactions (primarily related to a portion of the revenue and expenses denominated in foreign currency generated by our operational subsidiaries). However, these activities do not fully protect us from foreign currency fluctuations and, can themselves, result in losses. Accordingly, our results of operations, including our reported net revenue and net income, and financial condition can be adversely affected by unfavorable foreign currency fluctuations, particularly the Euro, British pound sterling and Canadian dollar.

Changes in our tax rates or exposure to additional tax liabilities could adversely affect our earnings and financial condition.

We are subject to income taxes in the United States and in various foreign jurisdictions. Significant judgment is required in determining our worldwide provision for income taxes, and, in the ordinary course of our business, there are many transactions and calculations where the ultimate tax determination is uncertain.

We are also required to estimate what our tax obligations will be in the future. Although we believe our tax estimates are reasonable, the estimation process and applicable laws are inherently uncertain, and our estimates are not binding on tax authorities. Our effective tax rate could be adversely affected by our profit level, by changes in our business or changes in our structure resulting from the reorganization of our business and operating structure, changes in the mix of earnings in countries with differing statutory tax rates, changes in the elections we make, changes in applicable tax laws as well as other factors. Further, our tax determinations are regularly subject to audit by tax authorities and developments in those audits could adversely affect our income tax provision. Should our ultimate tax liability exceed our estimates, our income tax provision and net income or loss could be materially affected.

We incur certain tax expenses that do not decline proportionately with declines in our consolidated pre-tax income or loss. As a result, in absolute dollar terms, our tax expense will have a greater influence on our effective tax rate at lower levels of pre-tax income or loss than higher levels. In addition, at lower levels of pre-tax income or loss, our effective tax rate will be more volatile.

We are also required to pay taxes other than income taxes, such as payroll, sales, use, value-added, net worth, property and goods and services taxes, in both the United States and various foreign jurisdictions. We are regularly under examination by tax authorities with respect to these non-income taxes. There can be no assurance that the outcomes from these examinations, changes in our business or changes in applicable tax rules will not have an adverse effect on our earnings and financial condition.

Changes in our worldwide operating structure or the adoption of new products and distribution models could have adverse tax consequences.

As we expand our international operations, adopt new products and new distribution models, implement changes to our operating structure or undertake intercompany transactions in light of changing tax laws, expiring rulings, acquisitions and our current and anticipated business and operational requirements, our tax expense could increase. For example, in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2006, we repatriated \$375 million under the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004. As a result, we recognized an additional one-time tax expense in fiscal 2006 of \$17 million.

Our reported financial results could be adversely affected by changes in financial accounting standards or by the application of existing or future accounting standards to our business as it evolves.

As a result of the enactment of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act and the review of accounting policies by the SEC and national and international accounting standards bodies, the frequency of accounting policy changes may accelerate. For example, as discussed in Note 10 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, FIN No. 48 has affected the way we account for income taxes and may have a material impact on our financial results. Our adoption of Statement of Financial Accounting Standard (“SFAS”) No. 141(R) will have a material impact on our Consolidated Financial Statements for material acquisitions consummated after March 29, 2009. Similarly, changes in accounting standards relating to stock-based compensation require us to recognize significantly greater expense than we had been recognizing prior to the adoption of the new standard. Likewise, policies affecting software revenue recognition have and could further significantly affect the way we account for revenue related to our products and services. For example, we expect a more significant portion of our games will be online-enabled in the future and we could be required to recognize the related revenue over an extended period of time rather than at the time of sale. As we enhance, expand and diversify our business and product offerings, the application of existing or future financial accounting standards, particularly those relating to the way we account for revenue and taxes, could have a significant adverse effect on our reported results although not necessarily on our cash flows.

The majority of our sales are made to a relatively small number of key customers. If these customers reduce their purchases of our products or become unable to pay for them, our business could be harmed.

In our fiscal year ended March 31, 2008, over 73 percent of our U.S. sales were made to seven key customers. In Europe, our top ten customers accounted for approximately 32 percent of our sales in that territory during the year ended March 31, 2008. Worldwide, we had direct sales to two customers, GameStop Corp. and Wal-Mart Stores, which represented approximately 13 percent and 12 percent, respectively, of total net revenue in the year ended March 31, 2008. Though our products are available to consumers through a variety of retailers, the concentration of our sales in one, or a few, large customers could lead to a short-term disruption in our sales if one or more of these customers significantly reduced their purchases or ceased to carry our products, and could make us more vulnerable to collection risk if one or more of these large customers became unable to pay for our products. Additionally, our receivables from these large customers increase significantly in the December quarter as they stock up for the holiday selling season. Also, having such a large portion of our total net revenue concentrated in a few customers could reduce our negotiating leverage with these customers.

Our products are subject to the threat of piracy and unauthorized copying, which could negatively impact our growth and future profitability.

Software piracy is a persistent problem, particularly in countries where laws are less protective of intellectual property rights. The global expansion of organized pirate operations, the proliferation of technology designed to circumvent the protection measures we use in our products, the availability of broadband access to the Internet and the ability to download pirated copies of our games from various Internet sites and through peer-to-peer channels, and the widespread proliferation of Internet cafes using pirated copies of our products, all have contributed to ongoing and expanding piracy. Though we take legal and technical steps to make the unauthorized copying and distribution of our products more difficult, as do the manufacturers of consoles on which our games are played, these efforts may not be successful in controlling the piracy of our products. These factors could have a negative effect on our growth and profitability in the future.

Our stock price has been volatile and may continue to fluctuate significantly.

The market price of our common stock historically has been, and we expect will continue to be, subject to significant fluctuations. These fluctuations may be due to factors specific to us (including those discussed in the risk factors above as well as others not currently known to us or that we currently do not believe are material), to changes in securities analysts' earnings estimates or ratings, to our results or future financial guidance falling below our expectations and analysts' and investors' expectations, to factors affecting the entertainment, computer, software, Internet, media or electronics industries, to our ability to successfully integrate any acquisitions we may make, or to national or international economic conditions.

Item 1B: *Unresolved Staff Comments*

None.

Item 2: *Properties*

The following diagram depicts the locations of our most significant facilities throughout the world:



We currently own a 418,000-square-foot product development studio facility in Burnaby, British Columbia, Canada. We also own a 122,000-square-foot administrative, sales and development facility in Chertsey, England, which we no longer occupy. In addition to the properties we own, we lease approximately 3.1 million square feet of facilities, including significant leases for our headquarters in Redwood City, California, our studios in Los Angeles, California and Orlando, Florida, and our distribution center in Louisville, Kentucky. Our leased space is summarized as follows (in square feet):

<u>Purpose</u>	<u>North America</u>	<u>Europe</u>	<u>Asia</u>	<u>Total</u>
Distribution	250,000	124,508	—	374,508
Sales & Administrative	741,940	256,242	93,686	1,091,868
Research and Development	1,403,404	182,334	90,578	1,676,316
Total Leased Square Footage	<u>2,395,344</u>	<u>563,084</u>	<u>184,264</u>	<u>3,142,692</u>

Redwood City Headquarters

In February 1995, we entered into a build-to-suit lease (“Phase One Lease”) with a third-party lessor for our headquarters facilities in Redwood City, California (“Phase One Facilities”). The Phase One Facilities comprise a total of approximately 350,000 square feet and provide space for sales, marketing, administration and research and development functions. In July 2001, the lessor refinanced the Phase One Lease with Keybank National Association through July 2006. The Phase One Lease expires in January 2039, subject to early termination in the event the underlying financing between the lessor and its lenders is not extended. Subject to certain terms and conditions, we may purchase the Phase One Facilities or arrange for the sale of the Phase One Facilities to a third party.

Pursuant to the terms of the Phase One Lease, we have an option to purchase the Phase One Facilities at any time for a purchase price of \$132 million. In the event of a sale to a third party, if the sale price is less than \$132 million, we will be obligated to reimburse the difference between the actual sale price and \$132 million, up to a maximum of \$117 million, subject to certain provisions of the Phase One Lease, as amended.

On May 26, 2006, the lessor extended its loan financing underlying the Phase One Lease with its lenders through July 2007, and on May 14, 2007, the lenders extended this financing again for an additional year through July 2008. On April 14, 2008, the lenders extended the financing for another year through July 2009.

At any time prior to the expiration of the financing in July 2009, we may re-negotiate the lease and the related financing arrangement. We account for the Phase One Lease arrangement as an operating lease in accordance with SFAS No. 13, "Accounting for Leases", as amended.

In December 2000, we entered into a second build-to-suit lease ("Phase Two Lease") with Keybank National Association for a five and one-half year term beginning in December 2000 to expand our Redwood City, California headquarters facilities and develop adjacent property ("Phase Two Facilities"). Construction of the Phase Two Facilities was completed in June 2002. The Phase Two Facilities comprise a total of approximately 310,000 square feet and provide space for sales, marketing, administration and research and development functions. Subject to certain terms and conditions, we may purchase the Phase Two Facilities or arrange for the sale of the Phase Two Facilities to a third party.

Pursuant to the terms of the Phase Two Lease, we have an option to purchase the Phase Two Facilities at any time for a purchase price of \$115 million. In the event of a sale to a third party, if the sale price is less than \$115 million, we will be obligated to reimburse the difference between the actual sale price and \$115 million, up to a maximum of \$105 million, subject to certain provisions of the Phase Two Lease, as amended.

On May 26, 2006, the lessor extended the Phase Two Lease through July 2009 subject to early termination in the event the underlying loan financing between the lessor and its lenders is not extended. Concurrently with the extension of the lease, the lessor extended the loan financing underlying the Phase Two Lease with its lenders through July 2007. On May 14, 2007, the lenders extended this financing again for an additional year through July 2008. On April 14, 2008, the lenders extended the financing for another year through July 2009. At any time prior to the expiration of the financing in July 2009, we may re-negotiate the lease and the related financing arrangement. We account for the Phase Two Lease arrangement as an operating lease in accordance with SFAS No. 13, as amended.

We believe that, as of March 31, 2008, the estimated fair values of both properties under these operating leases exceeded their respective guaranteed residual values.

Guildford, Orlando, Los Angeles and Vancouver Studios; Louisville Distribution Center

In February 2006, we entered into an agreement with an independent third party to lease a facility in Guildford, Surrey, United Kingdom, which commenced in June 2006 and will expire in May 2016. The facility comprises a total of approximately 95,000 square feet, which we use for administrative, sales and development functions. Our rental obligation under this agreement is approximately \$33 million over the initial ten-year term of the lease.

In June 2004, we entered into a lease agreement, amended in December 2005, with an independent third party for a studio facility in Orlando, Florida. The lease commenced in January 2005 and expires in June 2010, with one five-year option to extend the lease term. The campus facilities comprise a total of 140,000 square feet and provide space for research and development functions. Our rental obligation over the initial five-and-a-half year term of the lease is \$15 million.

In July 2003, we entered into a lease agreement with an independent third party (the "Landlord") for a studio facility in Los Angeles, California, which commenced in October 2003 and expires in September 2013 with two five-year options to extend the lease term. Additionally, we have options to purchase the property after five and ten years based on the fair market value of the property at the date of sale, a right of first offer to purchase the property upon terms offered by the Landlord, and a right to share in the profits from a sale of the property. Existing campus facilities comprise a total of 243,000 square feet and provide space for research and development functions. Our rental obligation under this agreement is \$50 million over the initial ten-year term of the lease. This commitment is offset by expected sublease income of \$6 million for a sublease to an affiliate of the Landlord of 18,000 square feet of the Los Angeles facility, which commenced in October 2003 and expires in September 2013, with options of early termination by either party after October 2008.

In October 2002, we entered into a lease agreement, with an independent third party for a studio facility in Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada, which commenced in May 2003 and expires in April 2013. We amended the lease in October 2003. The facility comprises a total of approximately 65,000 square feet and

provides space for research and development functions. Our rental obligation under this agreement is approximately \$16 million over the initial ten-year term of the lease.

Our North American distribution is supported by a centralized warehouse facility that we lease in Louisville, Kentucky, occupying 250,000 square feet.

In addition to the properties discussed above, we have other properties under lease which have been included in our restructuring costs as discussed in Note 6 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8 of this report. While we continually evaluate our facility requirements, we believe that suitable additional or substitute space will be available as needed to accommodate our future needs.

Item 3: *Legal Proceedings*

We are subject to claims and litigation arising in the ordinary course of business. We do not believe that any liability from any reasonably foreseeable disposition of such claims and litigation, individually or in the aggregate, would have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position or results of operations.

Item 4: *Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders*

There were no matters submitted to a vote of our security holders during the quarter ended March 31, 2008.

PART II

Item 5: *Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities*

Market Information

Our common stock is traded on the NASDAQ Global Select Market under the symbol "ERTS". The following table sets forth the quarterly high and low sales price per share of our common stock from April 1, 2006 through March 31, 2008.

	Prices	
	<u>High</u>	<u>Low</u>
Fiscal Year Ended March 31, 2007:		
First Quarter	\$57.80	\$39.99
Second Quarter	57.74	41.37
Third Quarter	59.85	50.21
Fourth Quarter	54.43	47.96
Fiscal Year Ended March 31, 2008:		
First Quarter	\$54.67	\$46.27
Second Quarter	57.08	47.54
Third Quarter	61.62	53.28
Fourth Quarter	58.88	43.62

Holdings

There were approximately 1,694 holders of record of our common stock as of May 16, 2008, and the closing price of our common stock was \$49.60 per share as reported by the NASDAQ Global Select Market. In addition, we believe that a significant number of beneficial owners of our common stock hold their shares in street name.

Dividends


We have not paid any cash dividends and do not anticipate paying cash dividends in the foreseeable future.

Stock Performance Graph

The following information shall not be deemed to be “filed” with the Securities and Exchange Commission nor shall this information be incorporated by reference into any future filing under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, except to the extent that we specifically incorporate it by reference into a filing.

The following graph shows a five-year comparison of cumulative total returns during the period from March 31, 2003 through March 31, 2008, for our common stock, the NASDAQ Market Composite Index, the S&P 500 Index (to which EA was added in July 2002) and the RDG Technology Composite Index, each of which assumes an initial value of \$100. Each measurement point is as of the end of each fiscal year ended March 31. The performance of our stock depicted in the following graph is not necessarily indicative of the future performance of our stock.

COMPARISON OF 5 YEAR CUMULATIVE TOTAL RETURN*
Among Electronic Arts Inc., The NASDAQ Composite Index,
The S&P 500 Index and The RDG Technology Composite Index



* \$100 invested on March 31, 2003 in stock or index-including reinvestment of dividends. Fiscal year ending March 31.

	March 31,					
	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
Electronic Arts Inc.	\$100	\$183	\$177	\$187	\$172	\$170
S&P 500	100	135	144	161	180	171
NASDAQ Composite	100	151	152	181	190	177
RDG Technology Composite	100	149	144	171	176	168

Item 6: Selected Financial Data

ELECTRONIC ARTS INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

SELECTED FIVE-YEAR CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL DATA

(In millions, except per share data)

<u>STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS DATA</u>	<u>Year Ended March 31,</u>				
	<u>2008</u>	<u>2007^(a)</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2004</u>
Net revenue	\$3,665	\$3,091	\$2,951	\$3,129	\$2,957
Cost of goods sold	<u>1,805</u>	<u>1,212</u>	<u>1,181</u>	<u>1,197</u>	<u>1,103</u>
Gross profit	1,860	1,879	1,770	1,932	1,854
Operating expenses:					
Marketing and sales	588	466	431	391	370
General and administrative	339	288	215	221	185
Research and development	1,145	1,041	758	633	511
Amortization of intangibles	34	27	7	3	3
Acquired in-process technology	138	3	8	13	—
Restructuring charges	<u>103</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>9</u>
Total operating expenses	<u>2,347</u>	<u>1,840</u>	<u>1,445</u>	<u>1,263</u>	<u>1,078</u>
Operating income (loss)	(487)	39	325	669	776
Losses on strategic investments	(118)	—	—	—	—
Interest and other income, net	<u>98</u>	<u>99</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>21</u>
Income (loss) before provision for (benefit from) income taxes and minority interest	(507)	138	389	725	797
Provision for (benefit from) income taxes	<u>(53)</u>	<u>66</u>	<u>147</u>	<u>221</u>	<u>220</u>
Income (loss) before minority interest	(454)	72	242	504	577
Minority interest	—	4	(6)	—	—
Net income (loss)	<u>\$ (454)</u>	<u>\$ 76</u>	<u>\$ 236</u>	<u>\$ 504</u>	<u>\$ 577</u>
Net income (loss) per share:					
Basic	\$ (1.45)	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.78	\$ 1.65	\$ 1.95
Diluted	\$ (1.45)	\$ 0.24	\$ 0.75	\$ 1.59	\$ 1.87
Number of shares used in computation:					
Basic	314	308	304	305	295
Diluted	314	317	314	318	308
<u>BALANCE SHEET DATA</u>					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$1,553	\$1,371	\$1,242	\$1,270	\$2,150
Short-term investments	734	1,264	1,030	1,688	264
Marketable equity securities	729	341	160	140	1
Working capital	2,626	2,571	2,143	2,899	2,185
Total assets	6,059	5,146	4,386	4,370	3,464
Long-term liabilities	421	88	97	54	42
Total liabilities	1,720	1,114	966	861	786
Minority interest	—	—	12	11	—
Total stockholders' equity	4,339	4,032	3,408	3,498	2,678

^(a) Beginning in fiscal 2007, we adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 123 (revised 2004) (“SFAS No. 123(R)”), “Share-Based Payment” which requires us to begin expensing stock-based compensation. See Note 12 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for a detailed functional line-item breakdown of our stock-based compensation expense.

Item 7: Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

OVERVIEW

The following overview is a top-level discussion of our operating results as well as some of the trends and drivers that affect our business. Management believes that an understanding of these trends and drivers is important in order to understand our results for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2008, as well as our future prospects. This summary is not intended to be exhaustive, nor is it intended to be a substitute for the detailed discussion and analysis provided elsewhere in this Form 10-K, including in the "Business" section and the "Risk Factors" above, the remainder of "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations", or the Consolidated Financial Statements and related notes.

About Electronic Arts

We develop, market, publish and distribute video game software and content that can be played by consumers on a variety of platforms, including video game consoles (such as the Sony PlayStation® 2 and PLAYSTATION® 3, Microsoft Xbox 360™ and Nintendo Wii™), personal computers, handheld game players (such as the PlayStation® Portable ("PSP™") and the Nintendo DS™) and cellular handsets. Some of our games are based on content that we license from others (e.g., Madden NFL Football, Harry Potter and FIFA Soccer), and some of our games are based on our own wholly-owned intellectual property (e.g., The Sims™, Need for Speed™ and POGO™). Our goal is to publish titles with global mass-market appeal, which often means translating and localizing them for sale in non-English speaking countries. In addition, we also attempt to create software game "franchises" that allow us to publish new titles on a recurring basis that are based on the same property. Examples of this franchise approach are the annual iterations of our sports-based products (e.g., Madden NFL Football, NCAA® Football and FIFA Soccer), wholly-owned properties that can be successfully sequenced (e.g., The Sims, Need for Speed and Battlefield) and titles based on long-lived literary and/or movie properties (e.g., Lord of the Rings and Harry Potter).

Special Note Regarding Deferred Net Revenue

The ubiquity of high-speed Internet access and the integration of network connectivity into new generation game consoles are expected to continue to increase demand for games with online-enabled features. To address this demand, many of our software products are developed with the ability to be connected to, and played via, the Internet. In order for consumers to participate in online communities and play against one another via the Internet, we (either directly or through outsourced arrangements with third parties) maintain servers which support an online service we offer to consumers for activities such as matchmaking. In situations where we do not separately sell this online service, we account for the sale of the software product as a "bundle" sale, or multiple element arrangement, in which we sell both the software product and the online service for one combined price.

Through fiscal 2007, for accounting purposes, vendor-specific objective evidence of fair value ("VSOE") existed for the online service. Accordingly, we allocated the revenue collected from the sale of the software product between the online service offered and the software product and recognized the amounts allocated to each element separately. However, starting in fiscal 2008, for accounting purposes, the required vendor-specific objective evidence of fair value did not exist for the online service related to certain of our online-enabled software products. This prevented us from allocating and separately recognizing revenue related to the software product and the online service. Accordingly, starting in fiscal 2008, we began to recognize all of the revenue from the sale of our online-enabled software products for the PC, PlayStation 2, PLAYSTATION 3, Wii and the PSP on a deferred basis over an estimated online service period, which we estimate to be six months beginning in the month after shipment. On a quarterly basis, the deferral amount will vary significantly depending upon the number of titles we release, the timing of their release, sales volume, returns and price protection provided for these online-enabled software products. In addition, we expense the cost of goods sold related to these transactions during the period in which the product is delivered (rather than on a deferred basis), which inherently creates volatility in our reported gross margin percentages.

As of March 31, 2008, we had an accumulated balance of \$387 million of deferred net revenue related to online-enabled packaged goods and digital content, substantially all of which was driven by sales made during the six months ended March 31, 2008. As of March 31, 2007, we had an accumulated balance of \$32 million of deferred net revenue related to online-enabled packaged goods and digital content.

Financial Results

Total net revenue for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2008 was \$3.665 billion, up 19 percent as compared to the fiscal year ended March 31, 2007. The impact of deferrals related to packaged goods and digital content for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2008 decreased our reported net revenue and operating income by \$355 million. Net revenue was driven by sales of *Rock Band*, *Madden NFL 08*, *FIFA 08*, *Need for Speed ProStreet*, and *The Simpsons™* Game.

Net loss for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2008 was \$454 million as compared to net income of \$76 million for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2007. Diluted loss per share for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2008 was \$1.45 as compared to diluted income per share of \$0.24 for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2007. Net income decreased during fiscal 2008 as compared to fiscal 2007 primarily due to (1) an increase in cost of goods sold of \$593 million, (2) an increase in sales of online-enabled titles for which we were unable to establish VSOE that resulted in the net deferral of \$355 million of net revenue out of fiscal 2008 and into future periods, (3) an increase of \$135 million in acquired in-process technology primarily due to our acquisition of VG Holding Corp., (4) losses on strategic investments of \$118 million, (5) an increase of \$108 million in personnel-related costs, (6) an increase of \$90 million in marketing, advertising and promotional expenses primarily to support our launch of new franchises and incremental spending on recurring franchises, and (7) an increase of \$88 million in restructuring charges primarily as a result of our fiscal 2008 reorganization. These items were partially offset by (1) an increase in \$574 million in net revenue and (2) \$119 million lower income tax expense.

We generated \$338 million of cash from operating activities during the year ended March 31, 2008, as compared to \$397 million for fiscal 2007. The decrease in cash provided by operating activities for fiscal 2008 as compared to fiscal 2007 was primarily due to (1) an increase in operating expenses paid resulting from an increase in advertising and marketing costs, external development expenses and personnel-related expenses, and (2) a \$90 million increase in incentive-based cash compensation paid in fiscal 2008 which were earned with respect to fiscal 2007 performance. These decreases were significantly offset by higher net revenue collected during fiscal 2008 as compared to fiscal 2007.

Management's Overview of Historical and Prospective Business Trends

Fiscal 2008 Reorganization. In fiscal 2008, we reorganized our business into four operating “labels” — EA Games, EA SPORTS, The Sims and EA Casual Entertainment — and an additional group that works closely with the labels — Global Publishing. Each label is structured to operate globally and includes several key functions including development studios, product marketing, and planning for products and services. Global Publishing operates in three regions, North America, Europe and Asia, and is responsible for strategic planning, field marketing, sales, distribution, operations, product certification, quality assurance, motion capture, art outsourcing and localization.

In October 2007, our Board of Directors approved a plan of reorganization (“fiscal 2008 reorganization plan”). Since the inception of the fiscal 2008 reorganization plan through March 31, 2008, we incurred charges associated with (1) the closure of our Chertsey, England and Chicago, Illinois facilities, which included asset impairment and lease termination costs, (2) employee-related expenses, and (3) other costs including other contract terminations as well as IT and consulting costs to assist in the reorganization of our business support functions. During the fourth quarter of fiscal 2008, we completed the closure of our Chertsey facility and consolidated our local operations and employees into our Guildford, England facility. Over the next 18 months, we expect to continue to incur IT and consulting costs to assist in the reorganization of our business support functions.

Including charges incurred through March 31, 2008, we expect to incur cash and non-cash charges between \$115 million and \$125 million by fiscal 2010 related to our fiscal 2008 reorganization plan. These charges will consist primarily of employee-related costs (approximately \$10 million in cash charges), facility exit costs (approximately \$60 million in cash and non-cash charges), as well as other reorganization costs including other contract terminations and IT and consulting costs to assist in the reorganization of our business support functions (approximately \$50 million in cash and non-cash charges).

Transition to a New Generation of Consoles. Video game hardware systems have historically had a life cycle of four to six years, which causes the video game software market to be cyclical as well. The current cycle began with Microsoft's launch of the Xbox 360 in 2005, and continued in 2006 when Sony and Nintendo launched their next-generation systems, the PLAYSTATION 3 and the Wii, respectively. During fiscal 2008, the installed base of each of these systems continued to expand and, as a result, sales of our products for these systems have also increased significantly. At the same time, however, demand for video games for prior-generation systems, particularly the original Xbox and the Nintendo GameCube, has declined significantly. Although we expect to continue developing and marketing new titles for the prior-generation PlayStation 2 in fiscal 2009, we only expect to release one title for the original Xbox and no titles for the Nintendo GameCube. As a result, we expect our sales of video games for prior-generation systems will continue to decline. The decline in prior-generation product sales, particularly the PlayStation 2, may be greater or faster than we anticipate, and sales of products for the new platforms may be lower or increase more slowly than we anticipate. Moreover, we expect development costs for the new video game systems continue to be greater on a per-title basis than development costs for prior-generation video game systems.

We have incurred increased costs during this transition as we have continued to develop and market new titles for certain prior-generation video game platforms, while also making significant investments in products for the new generation platforms. We expect research and development expenses to increase on an absolute basis in fiscal 2009 as compared to fiscal 2008 (although not necessarily as a percentage of net revenue).

Online. Today, we generate net revenue from a variety of online products and services, including casual games and downloadable content marketed under our Pogo brand, persistent state world games such as *Ultima Online*[™] and *Dark Age of Camelot*[®], PC-based downloadable content and online-enabled packaged goods. In addition, we are anticipating the release of a new massively multiplayer online role-playing game, *Warhammer*[®] *Online*. We intend to make significant investments in online products, infrastructure and services and believe that online gameplay will become an increasingly important part of our business in the long term.

Expansion of Mobile Platforms. Advances in mobile technology have resulted in a variety of new and evolving platforms for on-the-go interactive entertainment that appeal to a broader demographic of consumers. Our efforts to capitalize on the growth in mobile interactive entertainment are focused in two broad areas — packaged goods games for handheld game systems and downloadable games for cellular handsets.

We have developed and published games for a variety of handheld platforms for several years. More recently, the Sony PSP and the Nintendo DS, with their enhanced graphics, deeper gameplay, and online functionality, provide a richer mobile gaming experience for consumers.

We expect sales of games for handhelds and cellular handsets to continue to be an important part of our business worldwide.

Acquisitions and Investments. We have engaged in, evaluated, and expect to continue to engage in and evaluate, a wide array of potential strategic transactions, including acquisitions of companies, businesses, intellectual properties, and other assets. Since the beginning of fiscal 2007, we have announced and/or completed several acquisitions and investments, including:

- In January 2008, we completed our acquisition of VG Holding Corp. (“VGH”), owner of both BioWare Corp. and Pandemic Studios, LLC, which create action, adventure, and role-playing games. VGH was headquartered in Menlo Park, California. BioWare Corp. and Pandemic Studios are located in Edmonton, Canada; Los Angeles, California; Austin, Texas; and Brisbane, Australia.

- In May 2007, we entered into a licensing agreement with and made a strategic equity investment in The9 Limited, a leading online game operator in China. The licensing agreement gives The9 exclusive publishing rights for *EA SPORTS™ FIFA Online* in mainland China.
- In April 2007, we expanded our commercial agreements with and made strategic equity investments in Neowiz Corporation and a related online gaming company, Neowiz Games (we refer to Neowiz Corporation and Neowiz Games collectively as “Neowiz”). Based in Korea, Neowiz is an online media and gaming company with which we partnered in 2006 to launch *EA SPORTS FIFA Online* in Korea.
- In October 2006, the remaining outstanding shares of Digital Illusions C.E. (“DICE”) located in Sweden, were purchased, thereby completing the acquisition of the remaining minority interest of DICE.
- In July 2006, we acquired Mythic Entertainment, Inc., located in Virginia, as part of our efforts to accelerate our growth in the massively multiplayer online role-playing market.

In the fourth quarter of fiscal 2008, we announced a proposal to acquire all of the issued and outstanding shares of common stock of Take-Two Interactive Software, Inc. (“Take-Two”), for a total purchase price of approximately \$2.1 billion (including fees and expenses). Take-Two’s Board of Directors has stated that our offer undervalues the company and is not in the best interests of stockholders. If we were to acquire Take-Two, we expect the acquisition would have a material impact on our future financial position and results of operations and cash flows. Although the offer is not conditional upon any financing arrangements, our Board of Directors has authorized us to obtain additional financing, a portion of which may be used in part to fund the acquisition. There can be no assurance that we will acquire Take-Two.

International Operations and Foreign Currency Exchange Impact. International sales are a fundamental part of our business. Net revenue from international sales accounted for approximately 47 percent of our total net revenue during fiscal 2008 and approximately 46 percent of our total net revenue during fiscal 2007. Our international net revenue was primarily driven by sales in Europe and, to a much lesser extent, in Asia. Year-over-year, we estimate that foreign exchange rates had a favorable impact on our net revenue of \$125 million, or 4 percent, for the year ended March 31, 2008. We believe that in order to succeed internationally, it is important to locally develop content that is specifically directed toward local cultures and consumers.

Stock-Based Compensation. Beginning on April 1, 2006, we adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 123 (revised 2004) (“SFAS No. 123(R)”), “*Share-Based Payment*”, and applied the provisions of Staff Accounting Bulletin (“SAB”) No. 107, “*Share-Based Payment*”, to our adoption of SFAS No. 123(R). During fiscal 2008, we recognized stock-based compensation of \$150 million, pre-tax, and \$123 million, net of tax. During fiscal 2007, we recognized stock-based compensation of \$133 million, pre-tax, and \$107 million, net of tax. Stock-based compensation expense has been reflected in the respective functional line items on our Consolidated Statements of Operations.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES

Our Consolidated Financial Statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of these Consolidated Financial Statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, contingent assets and liabilities, and revenue and expenses during the reporting periods. The policies discussed below are considered by management to be critical because they are not only important to the portrayal of our financial condition and results of operations, but also because application and interpretation of these policies requires both judgment and estimates of matters that are inherently uncertain and unknown. As a result, actual results may differ materially from our estimates.

Revenue Recognition, Sales Returns, Allowances and Bad Debt Reserves

We derive revenue principally from sales of interactive software games designed for play on video game consoles (such as the PlayStation 2, PLAYSTATION 3, Xbox 360 and Wii), PCs and mobile platforms including handheld game players (such as the PSP and Nintendo DS), and cellular handsets. We evaluate the

recognition of revenue based on the criteria set forth in Statement of Position (“SOP”) 97-2, “*Software Revenue Recognition*”, as amended by SOP 98-9, “*Modification of SOP 97-2, Software Revenue Recognition, With Respect to Certain Transactions*” and SAB No. 104, “*Revenue Recognition*”. We evaluate and recognize revenue when all four of the following criteria are met:

- *Evidence of an arrangement.* Evidence of an agreement with the customer that reflects the terms and conditions to deliver products that must be present in order to recognize revenue.
- *Delivery.* Delivery is considered to occur when a product is shipped and the risk of loss and rewards of ownership have been transferred to the customer. For online game services, delivery is considered to occur as the service is provided. For digital downloads that do not have an online service component, delivery is considered to occur generally when the download occurs.
- *Fixed or determinable fee.* If a portion of the arrangement fee is not fixed or determinable, we recognize revenue as the amount becomes fixed or determinable.
- *Collection is deemed probable.* We conduct a credit review of each customer involved in a significant transaction to determine the creditworthiness of the customer. Collection is deemed probable if we expect the customer to be able to pay amounts under the arrangement as those amounts become due. If we determine that collection is not probable, we recognize revenue when collection becomes probable (generally upon cash collection).

Determining whether and when some of these criteria have been satisfied often involves assumptions and judgments that can have a significant impact on the timing and amount of revenue we report in each period. For example, for multiple element arrangements, we must make assumptions and judgments in order to: (1) determine whether and when each element has been delivered; (2) determine whether undelivered products or services are essential to the functionality of the delivered products and services; (3) determine whether VSOE exists for each undelivered element; and (4) allocate the total price among the various elements we must deliver. Changes to any of these assumptions or judgments, or changes to the elements in a software arrangement, could cause a material increase or decrease in the amount of revenue that we report in a particular period. For example, in connection with some of our packaged goods product sales, we offer an online service without an additional fee. Prior to fiscal 2008, we were able to determine VSOE for the online service to be delivered; therefore, we were able to allocate the total price received from the combined product and online service sale between these two elements and recognize the related revenue separately. However, starting in fiscal 2008, VSOE does not exist for the online service to be delivered for certain platforms and all revenue from these transactions are recognized over the estimated online service period. More specifically, starting in fiscal 2008, we began to recognize the revenue from sales of certain online-enabled packaged goods on a straight-line basis over a six month period beginning in the month after shipment. Accordingly, this relatively small change (from having VSOE for the online service to no longer having VSOE) has had a significant effect on our reported results.

Determining whether a transaction constitutes an online game service transaction or a download of a product requires judgment and can be difficult. The accounting for these transactions is significantly different. Revenue from product downloads is generally recognized when the download occurs (assuming all other recognition criteria are met). Revenue from online game services is recognized as the services are rendered. If the service period is not defined, we recognize the revenue over the estimated service period. Determining the estimated service period is inherently subjective and is subject to regular revision based on historical online usage.

Product revenue, including sales to resellers and distributors (“channel partners”), is recognized when the above criteria are met. We reduce product revenue for estimated future returns, price protection, and other offerings, which may occur with our customers and channel partners. Price protection represents the right to receive a credit allowance in the event we lower our wholesale price on a particular product. The amount of the price protection is generally the difference between the old price and the new price. In certain countries, we have stock-balancing programs for our PC and video game system products, which allow for the exchange of these products by resellers under certain circumstances. It is our general practice to exchange products or give credits rather than to give cash refunds.

In certain countries, from time to time, we decide to provide price protection for both our PC and video game system products. When evaluating the adequacy of sales returns and price protection allowances, we analyze historical returns, current sell-through of distributor and retailer inventory of our products, current trends in retail and the video game segment, changes in customer demand and acceptance of our products, and other related factors. In addition, we monitor the volume of sales to our channel partners and their inventories, as substantial overstocking in the distribution channel could result in high returns or higher price protection costs in subsequent periods.

In the future, actual returns and price protections may materially exceed our estimates as unsold products in the distribution channels are exposed to rapid changes in consumer preferences, market conditions or technological obsolescence due to new platforms, product updates or competing products. For example, the risk of product returns and/or price protection for our products may continue to increase as the PlayStation 2 console moves through its lifecycle. While we believe we can make reliable estimates regarding these matters, these estimates are inherently subjective. Accordingly, if our estimates changed, our returns and price protection reserves would change, which would impact the total net revenue we report. For example, if actual returns and/or price protection were significantly greater than the reserves we have established, our actual results would decrease our reported total net revenue. Conversely, if actual returns and/or price protection were significantly less than our reserves, this would increase our reported total net revenue. In addition, if our estimates of returns and price protection related to online-enabled packaged goods products change, the amount of net deferred revenue we recognize in the future would change.

Significant judgment is required to estimate our allowance for doubtful accounts in any accounting period. We determine our allowance for doubtful accounts by evaluating customer creditworthiness in the context of current economic trends and historical experience. Depending upon the overall economic climate and the financial condition of our customers, the amount and timing of our bad debt expense and cash collection could change significantly.

Fair Value Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States often requires us to determine the fair value of a particular item in order to fairly present our financial statements. Without an independent market or another representative transaction, determining the fair value of a particular item requires us to make several assumptions that are inherently difficult to predict and can have a material impact on the conclusion on the appropriate accounting.

There are various valuation techniques used to estimate fair value. These include (1) the market approach where market transactions for identical or comparable assets or liabilities are used to determine the fair value, (2) the income approach which uses valuation techniques to convert future amounts (for example, future cash flows or future earnings) to a single present amount, and (3) the cost approach which is based on the amount that would be required to replace an asset. For many of our fair value estimates, including our estimates of the fair value of acquired intangible assets, acquired in-process technology and equity instruments granted for services, we use the income approach. Using the income approach requires the use of financial models which require us to make various estimates including, but not limited to (1) the potential future cash flows for the asset, liability or equity instrument being measured, (2) the timing of receipt or payment of those future cash flows, (3) the time value of money associated with the delayed receipt or payment of such cash flows, and (4) the inherent risk associated with the cash flows (risk premium). Making these cash flow estimates are inherently difficult and subjective, and, if any of the estimates used to determine the fair value using the income approach turns out to be inaccurate, our financial results may be negatively impacted. Furthermore, relatively small changes in many of these estimates can have a significant impact to the estimated fair value resulting from the financial models or the related accounting conclusion reached. For example, a relatively small change in the estimated fair value of an asset may change a conclusion as to whether an asset is impaired.

While we are required to make certain fair value assessments associated with the accounting for several types of transactions, the following areas are the most sensitive to the assessments:

Business Combinations. We must estimate the fair value of assets acquired, liabilities assumed and acquired in-process technology in a business combination. Our assessment of the estimated fair value of each of these can have a material affect on our reported results as intangible assets are amortized over various lives and acquired in-process technology is expensed upon consummation. Furthermore, a change in the estimated fair value of an asset or liability often has a direct impact on the amount to recognize as goodwill, an asset that is not amortized. Often determining the fair value of these assets and liabilities assumed requires an assessment of expected use of the asset, the expected cost to extinguish the liability or our expectations related to the timing and the successful completion of development of an acquired in-process technology. Such estimates are inherently difficult and subjective and can have a material impact on our financial statements.

Assessment of Impairment of Assets. Current accounting standards require that we assess the recoverability of purchased intangible assets and other long-lived assets whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate the remaining value of the assets recorded on our Consolidated Balance Sheets is potentially impaired. In order to determine if a potential impairment has occurred, management must make various assumptions about the estimated fair value of the asset by evaluating future business prospects and estimated cash flows. For some assets, our estimated fair value is dependent upon our predictions of which of our products we develop will be successful which is dependent upon several things beyond our control, such as which operating platforms will be successful in the marketplace. Also, our revenue and earnings are dependent on our ability to meet our product release schedules.

SFAS No. 142, “*Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*” requires at least an annual assessment for impairment of goodwill by applying a fair-value-based test. Application of the goodwill impairment test requires judgment, including identification of reporting units, assignment of assets and liabilities to reporting units, assignment of goodwill to reporting units, and determination of the fair value of each reporting unit. Determining the estimated fair value for each reporting unit could be materially affected by changes in estimates and assumptions which could trigger impairment.

Stock-Based Compensation. We are required to estimate the fair value of share-based payment awards on the date of grant. The estimated fair value of stock options and stock purchase rights granted pursuant to our employee stock purchase plan is determined using the Black-Scholes valuation model which requires us to make certain assumptions about the future. Determining the estimated fair value is affected by our stock price as well as assumptions regarding subjective and complex variables such as expected employee exercise behavior and our expected stock price volatility over the term of the award. We estimated the following key assumptions for the Black-Scholes valuation calculation:

- *Risk-free interest rate.* The risk-free interest rate is based on U.S. Treasury yields in effect at the time of grant for the expected term of the option.
- *Expected volatility.* We use a combination of historical stock price volatility and implied volatility computed based on the price of options publicly traded on our common stock for our expected volatility assumption.
- *Expected term.* The expected term represents the weighted-average period the stock options are expected to remain outstanding. The expected term is determined based on historical exercise behavior, post-vesting termination patterns, options outstanding and future expected exercise behavior.
- *Expected dividends.*

Changes to our underlying stock price, our assumptions used in the Black-Scholes option valuation calculation and our forfeiture rate, which is based on historical data, as well as future equity granted or assumed through acquisitions could significantly impact compensation expense to be recognized in future periods.

Royalties and Licenses

Our royalty expenses consist of payments to (1) content licensors, (2) independent software developers, and (3) co-publishing and distribution affiliates. License royalties consist of payments made to celebrities, professional sports organizations, movie studios and other organizations for our use of their trademarks, copyrights, personal publicity rights, content and/or other intellectual property. Royalty payments to independent software developers are payments for the development of intellectual property related to our games. Co-publishing and distribution royalties are payments made to third parties for the delivery of product.

Royalty-based obligations with content licensors and distribution affiliates are either paid in advance and capitalized as prepaid royalties or are accrued as incurred and subsequently paid. These royalty-based obligations are generally expensed to cost of goods sold generally at the greater of the contractual rate or an effective royalty rate based on the total projected net revenue. Significant judgment is required to estimate the effective royalty rate for a particular contract. Because the computation of effective royalty rates requires us to project future revenue, it is inherently subjective as our future revenue projections must anticipate a number of factors, including (1) the total number of titles subject to the contract, (2) the timing of the release of these titles, (3) the number of software units we expect to sell which can be impacted by a number of variables, including product quality and competition, and (4) future pricing. Determining the effective royalty rate for our titles is particularly challenging due to the inherent difficulty in predicting the popularity of entertainment products. Accordingly, if our future revenue projections change, our effective royalty rates would change, which could impact the royalty expense we recognize. Prepayments made to thinly capitalized independent software developers and co-publishing affiliates are generally made in connection with the development of a particular product and, therefore, we are generally subject to development risk prior to the release of the product. Accordingly, payments that are due prior to completion of a product are generally expensed to research and development over the development period as the services are incurred. Payments due after completion of the product (primarily royalty-based in nature) are generally expensed as cost of goods sold.

Our contracts with some licensors include minimum guaranteed royalty payments which are initially recorded as an asset and as a liability at the contractual amount when no performance remains with the licensor. When performance remains with the licensor, we record guarantee payments as an asset when actually paid and as a liability when incurred, rather than recording the asset and liability upon execution of the contract. Minimum royalty payment obligations are classified as current liabilities to the extent such royalty payments are contractually due within the next twelve months. As of March 31, 2008 and 2007, approximately \$10 million and \$9 million, respectively, of minimum guaranteed royalty obligations had been recognized.

Each quarter, we also evaluate the future realization of our royalty-based assets as well as any unrecognized minimum commitments not yet paid to determine amounts we deem unlikely to be realized through product sales. Any impairments or losses determined before the launch of a product are charged to research and development expense. Impairments or losses determined post-launch are charged to cost of goods sold. In either case, we rely on estimated revenue to evaluate the future realization of prepaid royalties and commitments. If actual sales or revised revenue estimates fall below the initial revenue estimate, then the actual charge taken may be greater in any given quarter than anticipated. During fiscal 2008 and 2006, we recognized impairment charges of \$4 million and \$16 million, respectively. We had no impairments during fiscal 2007.

Income Taxes

We adopted Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) Interpretation (“FIN”) No. 48, “*Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes — An Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109*”, in the first quarter of fiscal 2008. See Note 10 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

In the ordinary course of our business, there are many transactions and calculations where the tax law and ultimate tax determination is uncertain. As part of the process of preparing our Consolidated Financial Statements, we are required to estimate our income taxes in each of the jurisdictions in which we operate prior to the completion and filing of tax returns for such periods. This process requires estimating both our geographic mix of income and our uncertain tax positions in each jurisdiction where we operate. These

estimates involve complex issues and require us to make judgments, such as anticipating the positions that we will take on tax returns prior to our actually preparing the returns and the outcomes of disputes with tax authorities. The ultimate resolution of these issues may take extended periods of time due to examinations by tax authorities and statutes of limitations. We are also required to make determinations of the need to record deferred tax liabilities and the recoverability of deferred tax assets. A valuation allowance is established to the extent that it is more likely than not that certain deferred tax assets will not be realized based on our estimation of future taxable income in each jurisdiction.

In addition, changes in our business, including acquisitions, changes in our international corporate structure, changes in the geographic location of business functions or assets, changes in the geographic mix and amount of income, as well as changes in our agreements with tax authorities, valuation allowances, applicable accounting rules, applicable tax laws and regulations, rulings and interpretations thereof, developments in tax audit and other matters, and variations in the estimated and actual level of annual pre-tax income can affect the overall effective income tax rate.

The calculation of our tax liabilities involves accounting for uncertainties in the application of complex tax rules, regulations and practices. As a result of the implementation of FIN No. 48, we recognize benefits for uncertain tax positions based on a two-step process. The first step is to evaluate the tax position for recognition of a benefit (or the absence of a liability) by determining if the weight of available evidence indicates that it is more likely than not that the position taken will be sustained upon audit, including resolution of related appeals or litigation processes, if any. If it is not, in our judgment, "more likely than not" that the position will be sustained, then we do not recognize any benefit for the position. If it is more likely than not that the position will be sustained, a second step in the process is required to estimate how much of the benefit we will ultimately receive. This second step requires that we estimate and measure the tax benefit as the largest amount that is more than 50 percent likely of being realized upon ultimate settlement. It is inherently difficult and subjective to estimate such amounts. We reevaluate these uncertain tax positions on a quarterly basis. This evaluation is based on a number of factors including, but not limited to, changes in facts or circumstances, changes in tax law, new facts, correspondence with tax authorities during the course of an audit, effective settlement of audit issues, and commencement of new audit activity. Such a change in recognition or measurement could result in the recognition of a tax benefit or an additional charge to the tax provision in the period. As a result of the adoption of FIN No. 48, we expect our tax rate to be more volatile.

We historically have considered undistributed earnings of our foreign subsidiaries to be indefinitely reinvested outside of the United States and, accordingly, no U.S. taxes have been provided thereon. Although we repatriated funds under the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004 in fiscal 2006, we currently intend to continue to indefinitely reinvest the undistributed earnings of our foreign subsidiaries outside of the United States.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Our fiscal year is reported on a 52 or 53-week period that ends on the Saturday nearest March 31. For simplicity of disclosure, all fiscal periods are referred to as ending on a calendar month end. Our results of operations for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2008 and 2007 contained 52 weeks and ended on March 29, 2008 and March 31, 2007, respectively. Our results of operations for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2006 contained 53 weeks and ended on April 1, 2006.

Comparison of Fiscal 2008 to Fiscal 2007

Net Revenue

Net revenue consists of sales generated from (1) video games sold as packaged goods and designed for play on hardware consoles (such as the PlayStation 2, PLAYSTATION 3, Xbox 360 and Wii), PCs and handheld game players (such as the Sony PSP, Nintendo DS and Nintendo Game Boy Advance), (2) video games for cellular handsets, (3) interactive online-enabled packaged goods, digital content, and online services associated with these games, (4) services in connection with some of our online games, (5) programming third-party web sites with our game content, (6) allowing other companies to manufacture and sell our products in conjunction with other products, and (7) advertisements on our online web pages and in our games.

During fiscal 2008 and 2007, we recognized total net revenue of \$3,665 million and \$3,091 million, respectively. Our total net revenue during fiscal 2008 includes \$831 million recognized from sales of certain online-enabled packaged goods and digital content for which we were not able to objectively determine the fair value (as defined by U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles for software sales) of a free online service that we provided in connection with the sale. The deferral of net revenue related to certain of our packaged goods and digital content sales, which will be recognized in future periods, decreased our reported net revenue by \$355 million during fiscal 2008, as compared to the same period a year ago.

From a geographical perspective, our total net revenue for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2008 and 2007 was as follows (in millions):

	Year Ended March 31,		Increase	% Change		
	2008	2007				
North America	<u>\$1,942</u>	<u>53%</u>	<u>\$1,666</u>	<u>54%</u>	<u>\$276</u>	<u>17%</u>
Europe	1,541	42%	1,261	41%	280	22%
Asia	<u>182</u>	<u>5%</u>	<u>164</u>	<u>5%</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>11%</u>
International	<u>1,723</u>	<u>47%</u>	<u>1,425</u>	<u>46%</u>	<u>298</u>	<u>21%</u>
Total Net Revenue	<u>\$3,665</u>	<u>100%</u>	<u>\$3,091</u>	<u>100%</u>	<u>\$574</u>	<u>19%</u>

North America

For fiscal 2008, net revenue in North America was \$1,942 million, driven by sales of *Rock Band*, *Madden NFL 08*, and *NCAA Football 08*.

Net revenue for fiscal 2008 increased 17 percent as compared to fiscal 2007. The deferral of net revenue related to certain of our packaged goods and digital content sales, which will be recognized in future periods, decreased our reported net revenue by \$158 million during fiscal 2008. From an operational perspective, the increase in net revenue was driven by (1) a \$445 million increase in net revenue from co-publishing and distribution titles (which does not include an additional \$10 million of deferred net revenue that will be recognized in future periods), and (2) a \$118 million increase in net revenue from sales of titles for the Wii (which does not include an additional \$17 million of deferred net revenue that will be recognized in future periods). These increases were partially offset by (1) a \$208 million decrease in net revenue from sales of titles for the PlayStation 2 (this decrease will be partially offset, however, by \$29 million of deferred net revenue that will be recognized in future periods), and (2) a \$105 million decrease in net revenue from sales of titles for the Xbox.

We continue to expect net revenue in North America to increase during fiscal 2009 as compared to fiscal 2008.

Europe

For fiscal 2008, net revenue in Europe was \$1,541 million, driven by sales of *FIFA 08*, *Need for Speed ProStreet*, and *The Simpsons Game*. Net revenue for fiscal 2008 increased 22 percent as compared to fiscal 2007. We estimate that foreign exchange (primarily the Euro and the British pounds sterling) increased reported net revenue by approximately \$113 million, or 9 percent, for fiscal 2008 as compared to fiscal 2007. Excluding the effect of foreign exchange rates, we estimate that net revenue increased by approximately \$167 million, or 13 percent, for fiscal 2008 as compared to fiscal 2007.

The deferral of net revenue related to certain of our packaged goods and digital content sales, which will be recognized in future periods, decreased our reported net revenue by \$169 million during fiscal 2008. From an operational perspective the increase in net revenue was driven by (1) a \$109 million increase in net revenue from sales of titles for the PLAYSTATION 3 (which does not include an additional \$86 million of deferred net revenue that will be recognized in future periods), (2) \$108 million of net revenue from sales of titles for the

Wii (which does not include an additional \$8 million of deferred net revenue that will be recognized in future periods), and (3) a \$77 million increase in net revenue from sales of titles for the Nintendo DS.

We continue to expect net revenue in Europe to increase during fiscal 2009 as compared to fiscal 2008.

Asia

For fiscal 2008, net revenue in Asia was \$182 million, driven by sales of *Need for Speed ProStreet*, *The Simpsons Game*, and *FIFA 08*. Net revenue for fiscal 2008 increased 11 percent as compared to fiscal 2007. We estimate that foreign exchange increased reported net revenue by approximately \$12 million, or 7 percent, for fiscal 2008 as compared to fiscal 2007. Excluding the effect of foreign exchange rates, we estimate that net revenue increased by \$6 million, or 4 percent during fiscal 2008 as compared to fiscal 2007.

The deferral of net revenue related to certain of our packaged goods and digital content sales, which will be recognized in future periods, decreased our reported net revenue by \$28 million during fiscal 2008. From an operational perspective, the increase in net revenue was driven by (1) a \$12 million increase in sales of titles for the PLAYSTATION 3 (which does not include an additional \$14 million of deferred net revenue that will be recognized in future periods), (2) \$12 million increase in net revenue from sales of titles for the Wii (which does not include an additional \$1 million of deferred net revenue that will be recognized in future periods), and (3) an \$11 million increase in net revenue from sales of titles for the Nintendo DS. These increases were partially offset by (1) a \$9 million decrease in net revenue from sales of titles for the PC (this decrease will be offset, however, by \$3 million of deferred net revenue that will be recognized in future periods), (2) a \$6 million decrease in net revenue from sales of titles for the Xbox, and (3) a \$6 million decrease in net revenue from sales of titles for the PSP (this decrease will be offset, however, by \$4 million of deferred net revenue that will be recognized in future periods).

Cost of Goods Sold

Cost of goods sold for our packaged-goods business consists of (1) product costs, (2) certain royalty expenses for celebrities, professional sports and other organizations and independent software developers, (3) manufacturing royalties, net of volume discounts and other vendor reimbursements, (4) expenses for defective products, (5) write-offs of post-launch prepaid royalty costs, (6) amortization of certain intangible assets, (7) personnel-related costs, and (8) distribution costs. We generally recognize volume discounts when they are earned from the manufacturer (typically in connection with the achievement of unit-based milestones), whereas other vendor reimbursements are generally recognized as the related revenue is recognized. Cost of goods sold for our online products consists primarily of data center and bandwidth costs associated with hosting our web sites, credit card fees and royalties for use of third-party properties. Cost of goods sold for our web site advertising business primarily consists of server costs.

Cost of goods sold for fiscal years 2008 and 2007 were as follows (in millions):

<u>March 31, 2008</u>	<u>% of Net Revenue</u>	<u>March 31, 2007</u>	<u>% of Net Revenue</u>	<u>% Change</u>	<u>Change as a % of Net Revenue</u>
\$1,805	49.3%	\$1,212	39.2%	48.9%	10.1%

For fiscal 2008, cost of goods sold increased by 10.1 percent as a percentage of total net revenue as compared to fiscal 2007. This increase was primarily due to:

- Higher co-publishing and distribution royalty costs of approximately 8 percent as a percentage of total net revenue primarily driven by sales of *Rock Band*, and, to a lesser extent, other co-publishing and distribution titles that have a lower gross margin, and
- The increase in net revenue deferrals of \$355 million related to certain online-enabled packaged goods and digital content. Overall, we estimate the deferral of net revenue negatively impacted cost of goods sold as a percent of total net revenue by 4 percent.

As a percentage of total net revenue, the overall increase in cost of goods sold was partially offset by lower license royalty rates of approximately 1 percent as a percentage of total net revenue primarily due to a higher

proportion of sales in fiscal 2008 from our owned intellectual property franchises that have lower royalty rates as compared to fiscal 2007.

Although there can be no assurance, and our actual results could differ materially, in the short term we expect our gross margin as a percentage of total net revenue to increase in fiscal 2009 as compared to fiscal 2008 as a result of (1) a decrease in the change in deferred net revenue related to certain online-enabled packaged goods (we expense the cost of goods sold related to these transactions when delivered) and (2) a favorable mix of EA Studio revenue.

Marketing and Sales

Marketing and sales expenses consist of personnel-related costs and advertising, marketing and promotional expenses, net of qualified advertising cost reimbursements from third parties.

Marketing and sales expenses for fiscal years 2008 and 2007 were as follows (in millions):

<u>March 31, 2008</u>	<u>% of Net Revenue</u>	<u>March 31, 2007</u>	<u>% of Net Revenue</u>	<u>\$ Change</u>	<u>% Change</u>
\$588	16%	\$466	15%	\$122	26%

As a percentage of net revenue, marketing and sales expenses were adversely impacted by our deferral of net revenue related to online-enabled packaged goods and digital content during fiscal 2008.

Marketing and sales expenses increased by \$122 million, or 26 percent, in fiscal 2008 as compared to fiscal 2007. The increase was primarily due to (1) an increase of \$90 million in marketing, advertising and promotional expenses primarily to support our launch of new franchises and incremental spending on established franchises, as well as (2) a \$23 million increase in personnel-related costs primarily resulting from an increase in headcount.

Marketing and sales expenses included vendor reimbursements for advertising expenses of \$54 million and \$28 million in fiscal 2008 and 2007, respectively.

We expect marketing and sales expenses to increase in absolute dollars in fiscal 2009 as compared to fiscal 2008 primarily due to higher advertising and marketing activity to support our titles.

General and Administrative

General and administrative expenses consist of personnel and related expenses of executive and administrative staff, fees for professional services such as legal and accounting, and allowances for doubtful accounts.

General and administrative expenses for fiscal years 2008 and 2007 were as follows (in millions):

<u>March 31, 2008</u>	<u>% of Net Revenue</u>	<u>March 31, 2007</u>	<u>% of Net Revenue</u>	<u>\$ Change</u>	<u>% Change</u>
\$339	9%	\$288	9%	\$51	18%

As a percentage of net revenue, general and administrative expenses were adversely impacted by our deferral of net revenue related to online-enabled packaged goods and digital content during fiscal 2008.

General and administrative expenses increased by \$51 million, or 18 percent, in fiscal 2008 as compared to fiscal 2007 primarily due to (1) an increase of \$23 million in additional personnel-related costs to help support our administrative functions worldwide, (2) an increase in contracted services associated with IT systems initiatives, professional services and business development of \$21 million to support the growth of the organization, and (3) an increase in facilities-related expenses of \$12 million in support of our administrative functions worldwide. These increases were partially offset by a \$7 million reduction in incentive-based compensation expense.

We expect general and administrative expenses to increase in absolute dollars in fiscal 2009 as compared to fiscal 2008 primarily due to an increase in personnel-related costs.

Research and Development

Research and development expenses consist of expenses incurred by our production studios for personnel-related costs, contracted services, equipment depreciation and any impairment of prepaid royalties for pre-launch products. Research and development expenses for our online business include expenses incurred by our studios consisting of direct development and related overhead costs in connection with the development and production of our online games. Research and development expenses also include expenses associated with the development of web site content, software licenses and maintenance, network infrastructure and management overhead.

Research and development expenses for fiscal years 2008 and 2007 were as follows (in millions):

<u>March 31, 2008</u>	<u>% of Net Revenue</u>	<u>March 31, 2007</u>	<u>% of Net Revenue</u>	<u>\$ Change</u>	<u>% Change</u>
\$1,145	31%	\$1,041	34%	\$104	10%

As a percentage of net revenue, research and development expenses were adversely impacted by our deferral of net revenue related to online-enabled packaged goods and digital content during fiscal 2008.

Research and development expenses increased by \$104 million, or 10 percent, in fiscal 2008 as compared to fiscal 2007. The increase was primarily due to (1) an increase of \$93 million in additional personnel-related costs primarily due to an increase in headcount and partially as a result of our acquisition of VGH, (2) higher external development costs of \$32 million to support new releases such as our Union of European Football Association's EURO soccer franchise and titles from The Sims franchise, and (3) an increase in depreciation of \$12 million. These increases were partially offset by a \$24 million reduction in incentive-based compensation expense and \$6 million reduction in facilities-related expenses.

We expect research and development expenses to increase in absolute dollars in fiscal 2009 as compared to fiscal 2008 primarily due to an increase in personnel-related costs and a greater number of titles in development.

Amortization of Intangibles

Amortization of intangibles for fiscal years 2008 and 2007 were as follows (in millions):

<u>March 31, 2008</u>	<u>% of Net Revenue</u>	<u>March 31, 2007</u>	<u>% of Net Revenue</u>	<u>\$ Change</u>	<u>% Change</u>
\$34	1%	\$27	1%	\$7	26%

For fiscal 2008, amortization of intangibles resulted from our acquisitions of JAMDAT, VGH and others. For fiscal 2007, amortization of intangibles resulted from our acquisitions of JAMDAT, Mythic and others. Amortization of intangibles increased by \$7 million, or 26 percent, in fiscal 2008 as compared to fiscal 2007 primarily due to the amortization of intangibles related to our acquisition of VGH. See Note 4 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8 of this report.

We expect amortization of intangible expenses to increase in fiscal 2009 primarily due to the amortization of intangibles related to our acquisition of VGH, which will be included in our results for a full year in fiscal 2009.

Acquired In-Process Technology

Acquired in-process technology charges for fiscal years 2008 and 2007 were as follows (in millions):

<u>March 31, 2008</u>	<u>% of Net Revenue</u>	<u>March 31, 2007</u>	<u>% of Net Revenue</u>	<u>\$ Change</u>	<u>% Change</u>
\$138	4%	\$3	—	\$135	4500%

Acquired in-process technology includes the value of products in the development stage that are not considered to have reached technological feasibility or have an alternative future use. Accordingly, upon consummation of an acquisition, we generally incur a charge for the related acquired in-process technology, as reflected in our

Consolidated Statement of Operations. See Note 4 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8 of this report. In connection with our acquisition of VGH, we incurred acquired in-process technology charges of \$138 million in relation to game software that had not reached technical feasibility at the date of acquisition. The fair values of VGH's products under development were determined using the income approach, which discounts expected future cash flows from the acquired in-process technology to present value. The discount rates used in the present value calculations were derived from a weighted average cost of capital of 17 percent. Should the in-process software not be successfully completed, completed at a higher cost, or the development efforts go beyond the timeframe estimated by management, we will not receive the full benefits anticipated from the acquisition. Benefits from the development efforts are expected to commence in fiscal years 2009 through 2011. The acquired in-process technology charge we incurred in fiscal 2007 resulted from our acquisitions of Mythic and the remaining minority interest in DICE.

Restructuring Charges

Restructuring charges for fiscal years 2008 and 2007 were as follows (in millions):

<u>March 31, 2008</u>	<u>% of Net Revenue</u>	<u>March 31, 2007</u>	<u>% of Net Revenue</u>	<u>\$ Change</u>	<u>% Change</u>
\$103	3%	\$15	—	\$88	587%

In connection with our fiscal 2008 reorganization, during fiscal 2008, we incurred approximately \$97 million of reorganization charges, of which \$58 million was for facilities-related expenses, \$27 million was for other expenses including other contract termination costs as well as IT and consulting costs to assist in the reorganization of our business support functions, and \$12 million was for employee-related expenses.

In connection with our fiscal 2006 international publishing reorganization, during fiscal 2008, we incurred approximately \$6 million of employee-related expenses. During fiscal 2007, restructuring charges related to our fiscal 2006 international publishing reorganization were approximately \$15 million, of which \$10 million was for employee-related expenses.

Losses on Strategic Investments

Losses on strategic investments for fiscal years 2008 and 2007 was as follows (in millions):

<u>March 31, 2008</u>	<u>% of Net Revenue</u>	<u>March 31, 2007</u>	<u>% of Net Revenue</u>	<u>\$ Change</u>	<u>% Change</u>
\$(118)	(3%)	\$—	—	\$(118)	N/A

For fiscal 2008, we recognized \$118 million of losses on strategic investments due to (1) an \$81 million impairment with respect to our investment in The9, (2) a \$28 million impairment with respect to our investment in Neowiz common stock, and (3) a \$9 million impairment with respect to our investment in Neowiz preferred stock.

Interest and Other Income, Net

Interest and other income, net, for fiscal years 2008 and 2007 was as follows (in millions):

<u>March 31, 2008</u>	<u>% of Net Revenue</u>	<u>March 31, 2007</u>	<u>% of Net Revenue</u>	<u>\$ Change</u>	<u>% Change</u>
\$98	3%	\$99	3%	\$(1)	(1%)

For fiscal 2008, interest and other income, net, decreased by \$1 million, or 1 percent, as compared to fiscal 2007 primarily resulting from (1) an increase of \$8 million in losses recognized due to foreign exchange and (2) a net decrease of \$2 million in interest. These items were significantly offset by a \$9 million gain recognized on sales of investments.

We expect interest income to decline during fiscal 2009 as compared to fiscal 2008.

Income Taxes

Income tax expense (benefit) for fiscal years 2008 and 2007 were as follows (in millions):

<u>March 31, 2008</u>	<u>Effective Tax Rate</u>	<u>March 31, 2007</u>	<u>Effective Tax Rate</u>	<u>% Change</u>
\$(53)	(10.3%)	\$66	48.2%	(180%)

Our effective income tax benefit rate was 10.3 percent for fiscal 2008. Our income tax expense rate was 48.2 percent for fiscal 2007. For fiscal 2008, our effective income tax benefit rate was lower than the U.S. statutory rate of 35.0 percent due to a number of factors, including non-deductible acquisition-related costs, losses on strategic investments and certain loss on facility impairment for which future tax benefit is uncertain and not more likely than not to be realized, as well as certain non-deductible stock based compensation expenses. For fiscal 2007, our effective income tax rate was higher than the U.S. statutory rate of 35.0 percent due to a number of factors, including certain non-deductible stock based compensation expenses and additional charges resulting from certain non-deductible acquisition-related costs.

Our effective income tax rates for fiscal 2009 and future periods will depend on a variety of factors, including changes in our business such as acquisitions and intercompany transactions (for example, we expect to incur significant intercompany tax charges in connection with our acquisition of VGH in fiscal 2009), changes in our international structure, changes in the geographic location of business functions or assets, changes in the geographic mix of income, as well as changes in, or termination of, our agreements with tax authorities, valuation allowances, applicable accounting rules, applicable tax laws and regulations, rulings and interpretations thereof, developments in tax audit and other matters, and variations in the estimated and actual level of annual pre-tax income or loss. We incur certain tax expenses that do not decline proportionately with declines in our pre-tax consolidated income or loss. As a result, in absolute dollar terms, our tax expense will have a greater influence on our effective tax rate at lower levels of pre-tax income or loss than at higher levels. In addition, at lower levels of pre-tax income or loss, our effective tax rate will be more volatile.

We historically have considered undistributed earnings of our foreign subsidiaries to be indefinitely reinvested outside of the United States and, accordingly, no U.S. taxes have been provided thereon. Although we repatriated funds under the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004 in fiscal 2006, we currently intend to continue to indefinitely reinvest the undistributed earnings of our foreign subsidiaries outside of the United States.

Net Income (Loss)

Net income (loss) for fiscal years 2008 and 2007 was as follows (in millions):

<u>March 31, 2008</u>	<u>% of Net Revenue</u>	<u>March 31, 2007</u>	<u>% of Net Revenue</u>	<u>\$ Change</u>	<u>% Change</u>
\$(454)	(12%)	\$76	2%	\$(530)	(697%)

Net income decreased by \$530 million during fiscal 2008 as compared to fiscal 2007. The decrease was primarily due to (1) an increase in cost of goods sold of \$593 million, (2) the net deferral of \$355 million of net revenue out of fiscal 2008 and into future periods, (3) an increase of \$135 million in acquired in-process technology primarily due to our acquisition of VGH, (4) losses on strategic investments of \$118 million, (5) an increase of \$108 million in personnel-related costs, (6) an increase of \$90 million in marketing, advertising and promotional expenses primarily to support our launch of new franchises and incremental spending on recurring franchises, and (7) an increase of \$88 million in restructuring charges primarily as a result of our fiscal 2008 reorganization. These items were partially offset by (1) an increase in \$574 million in net revenue (which amount would have been \$355 million higher absent the deferral of net revenue that will be recognized in future periods) and (2) \$119 million lower income tax expense.

Comparison of Fiscal 2007 to Fiscal 2006

Net Revenue

From a geographical perspective, our total net revenue for fiscal years ended March 31, 2007 and 2006 was as follows (in millions):

	<u>Year Ended March 31,</u>		<u>Increase /</u>	<u>%</u>		
	<u>2007</u>	<u>2006</u>			<u>(Decrease)</u>	<u>Change</u>
North America	<u>\$1,666</u>	<u>54%</u>	<u>\$1,584</u>	<u>54%</u>	<u>\$ 82</u>	<u>5%</u>
Europe	<u>1,261</u>	<u>41%</u>	<u>1,174</u>	<u>40%</u>	<u>87</u>	<u>7%</u>
Asia	<u>164</u>	<u>5%</u>	<u>193</u>	<u>6%</u>	<u>(29)</u>	<u>(15%)</u>
International	<u>1,425</u>	<u>46%</u>	<u>1,367</u>	<u>46%</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>4%</u>
Total Net Revenue	<u>\$3,091</u>	<u>100%</u>	<u>\$2,951</u>	<u>100%</u>	<u>\$140</u>	<u>5%</u>

North America

For fiscal 2007, net revenue in North America was \$1,666 million, driven by sales of *Madden NFL 07*, *Need for Speed Carbon*, and *NCAA Football 07*.

The increase in net revenue for fiscal 2007 as compared to fiscal 2006 was driven by (1) a \$239 million increase in net revenue from sales of titles for the Xbox 360, (2) a \$95 million increase in cellular handset net revenue, and (3) \$68 million in net revenue from sales of titles for the PLAYSTATION 3. These increases were partially offset by (1) a \$168 million decrease in net revenue from sales of titles for the Xbox, and (2) a \$127 million decrease in net revenue from sales of titles for the PlayStation 2.

Europe

For fiscal 2007, net revenue in Europe was \$1,261 million, driven primarily by sales of *FIFA 07* and *Need for Speed Carbon*. We estimate that foreign exchange rates (primarily the Euro and the British pounds sterling) increased reported European net revenue by approximately \$57 million, or 5 percent, for fiscal 2007 as compared to fiscal 2006. Excluding the effect of foreign exchange rates, we estimate that European net revenue increased by approximately \$30 million, or 2 percent, for fiscal 2007 as compared to fiscal 2006.

The increase in net revenue for fiscal 2007 as compared to fiscal 2006 was driven by (1) a \$90 million increase in net revenue from sales of titles for the Xbox 360, and (2) a \$76 million increase in net revenue from sales of titles for the PC. These increases were partially offset by a \$101 million decrease in net revenue from sales of titles for the PlayStation 2.

Asia

For fiscal 2007, net revenue in Asia was \$164 million, driven primarily by sales of *Need for Speed Carbon*. We estimate that changes in foreign exchange rates decreased reported net revenue in Asia by approximately \$4 million, or 2 percent, for fiscal 2007 as compared to fiscal 2006. Excluding the effect of foreign exchange rates, we estimate that Asia net revenue decreased by approximately \$25 million, or 13 percent, for fiscal 2007 as compared to fiscal 2006.

The decrease in net revenue for fiscal 2007 as compared to fiscal 2006 was driven primarily by (1) a \$21 million decrease in net revenue from co-publishing and distribution titles, (2) a \$13 million decrease in net revenue from sales of titles for the PlayStation 2, and (3) an \$11 million decrease in net revenue from sales of titles for the Xbox. These decreases were partially offset by an \$11 million increase in net revenue from sales of titles for the Xbox 360.

Cost of Goods Sold

Cost of goods sold for fiscal years 2007 and 2006 were as follows (in millions):

<u>March 31, 2007</u>	<u>% of Net Revenue</u>	<u>March 31, 2006</u>	<u>% of Net Revenue</u>	<u>% Change</u>	<u>Change as a % of Net Revenue</u>
\$1,212	39.2%	\$1,181	40.0%	2.6%	(0.8%)

In fiscal 2007, cost of goods sold decreased by 0.8 percentage points as a percentage of total net revenue as compared to fiscal 2006. This decrease was primarily due to lower average product costs as a percentage of total net revenue primarily driven by (1) fewer returns and lower pricing actions taken, or expected to be taken, in fiscal 2007 as compared to fiscal 2006 and (2) improved inventory management. As a result, we estimate average product costs as a percentage of total net revenue decreased by approximately 2 percent in fiscal 2007 as compared to fiscal 2006.

As a percentage of total net revenue, the decrease in average product costs was partially offset by an estimated 1 percent increase in license royalties during fiscal 2007 as compared to fiscal 2006 primarily due to (1) license agreements associated with our EA SPORTS titles and (2) our acquisition of JAMDAT. This was partially offset by lower license royalties from movie-based titles in fiscal 2007.

Marketing and Sales

Marketing and sales expenses for fiscal years 2007 and 2006 were as follows (in millions):

<u>March 31, 2007</u>	<u>% of Net Revenue</u>	<u>March 31, 2006</u>	<u>% of Net Revenue</u>	<u>\$ Change</u>	<u>% Change</u>
\$466	15%	\$431	15%	\$35	8%

Marketing and sales expenses increased by \$35 million, or 8 percent, in fiscal 2007 as compared to fiscal 2006. The increase was primarily due to (1) an increase of \$17 million in stock-based compensation expense recognized as a result of our adoption of SFAS No. 123(R), (2) an increase of \$10 million in our annual bonus expense, and (3) \$10 million in additional personnel-related costs primarily resulting from an increase in headcount. These increases were partially offset by a decrease of \$9 million in our marketing, advertising, promotional and related services as a result of higher advertising in the prior year to support our product releases, primarily from our Harry Potter and BLACK franchises.

Marketing and sales expenses included vendor reimbursements for advertising expenses of \$28 million and \$41 million in fiscal 2007 and 2006, respectively.

General and Administrative

General and administrative expenses for fiscal years 2007 and 2006 were as follows (in millions):

<u>March 31, 2007</u>	<u>% of Net Revenue</u>	<u>March 31, 2006</u>	<u>% of Net Revenue</u>	<u>\$ Change</u>	<u>% Change</u>
\$288	9%	\$215	7%	\$73	34%

General and administrative expenses increased by \$73 million, or 34 percent, in fiscal 2007 as compared to fiscal 2006 primarily due to (1) an increase of \$36 million in stock-based compensation expense recognized as a result of our adoption of SFAS No. 123(R), (2) a \$14 million increase in our annual bonus expense, (3) an \$11 million increase in additional personnel-related costs to help support our administrative functions worldwide, and (4) an increase of \$11 million in professional and contracted services in support of our technology infrastructure.

Research and Development

Research and development expenses for fiscal years 2007 and 2006 were as follows (in millions):

<u>March 31, 2007</u>	<u>% of Net Revenue</u>	<u>March 31, 2006</u>	<u>% of Net Revenue</u>	<u>\$ Change</u>	<u>% Change</u>
\$1,041	34%	\$758	26%	\$283	37%

Research and development expenses increased by \$283 million, or 37 percent, in fiscal 2007 as compared to fiscal 2006. The increase was primarily due to (1) an increase of \$75 million in stock-based compensation expense recognized as a result of our adoption of SFAS No. 123(R), (2) a \$59 million increase in our annual bonus expense, (3) \$54 million in additional personnel-related costs, primarily due to a 14 percent increase in headcount related in part to our acquisitions of JAMDAT and Mythic, and partially to support development of games for the new generation of consoles, (4) an increase of \$50 million in external development expenses primarily due to a greater number of projects in development as compared to the prior year as well as expenses in our cellular handset business resulting from our acquisition of JAMDAT, and (5) an increase of \$45 million in facilities-related expenses in support of our research and development functions worldwide.

Amortization of Intangibles

Amortization of intangibles for fiscal years 2007 and 2006 were as follows (in millions):

<u>March 31, 2007</u>	<u>% of Net Revenue</u>	<u>March 31, 2006</u>	<u>% of Net Revenue</u>	<u>\$ Change</u>	<u>% Change</u>
\$27	1%	\$7	—	\$20	286%

For fiscal 2007, amortization of intangibles resulted from our acquisitions of JAMDAT, Mythic and others. For fiscal 2006, amortization of intangibles resulted from our acquisitions of JAMDAT, Criterion and others. Amortization of intangibles increased by \$20 million, or 286 percent, in fiscal 2007 as compared to fiscal 2006 primarily due to the amortization of intangibles related to our acquisitions of JAMDAT and Mythic. See Note 4 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8 of this report.

Acquired In-process Technology

Acquired in-process technology charges for fiscal years 2007 and 2006 were as follows (in millions):

<u>March 31, 2007</u>	<u>% of Net Revenue</u>	<u>March 31, 2006</u>	<u>% of Net Revenue</u>	<u>\$ Change</u>	<u>% Change</u>
\$3	—	\$8	—	\$(5)	(63%)

The acquired in-process technology charge we incurred in fiscal 2007 resulted from our acquisitions of Mythic and the remaining minority interest in DICE. The acquired in-process technology charge we incurred in fiscal 2006 resulted primarily from our acquisition of JAMDAT. Acquired in-process technology includes the value of products in the development stage that are not considered to have reached technological feasibility or have an alternative future use. Accordingly, upon consummation of these acquisitions, we incurred a charge for the acquired in-process technology, as reflected in our Consolidated Statement of Operations. See Note 4 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8 of this report.

Restructuring Charges

Restructuring charges for fiscal years 2007 and 2006 were as follows (in millions):

<u>March 31, 2007</u>	<u>% of Net Revenue</u>	<u>March 31, 2006</u>	<u>% of Net Revenue</u>	<u>\$ Change</u>	<u>% Change</u>
\$15	—	\$26	1%	\$(11)	(42%)

During the fourth quarter of fiscal 2006, we recorded a total pre-tax restructuring charge of \$10 million, consisting entirely of one-time benefits related to headcount reductions, which is included in restructuring charges in our Consolidated Statement of Operations. These headcount reductions related to our decision in

the fourth quarter of fiscal 2006 to realign our resources with our product plan for fiscal 2007 and strategic opportunities for the new generation of consoles, online and mobile platforms.

During fiscal 2006, restructuring charges related to the establishment of our international publishing headquarters in Geneva, Switzerland were approximately \$14 million, of which \$8 million was for the closure of certain United Kingdom facilities. During fiscal 2007, restructuring charges related to the establishment of our international publishing headquarters in Geneva, Switzerland were approximately \$15 million, of which \$10 million was for employee-related expenses.

Interest and Other Income, Net

Interest and other income, net, for fiscal years 2007 and 2006 was as follows (in millions):

<u>March 31, 2007</u>	<u>% of Net Revenue</u>	<u>March 31, 2006</u>	<u>% of Net Revenue</u>	<u>\$ Change</u>	<u>% Change</u>
\$99	3%	\$64	2%	\$35	55%

For fiscal 2007, interest and other income, net, increased by \$35 million, or 55 percent, as compared to fiscal 2006 primarily due to an increase of \$30 million in interest income as a result of higher yields on our cash, cash equivalent and short-term investment balances and a \$7 million decrease in realized net losses recognized on investments.

Income Taxes

Income taxes for fiscal years 2007 and 2006 were as follows (in millions):

<u>March 31, 2007</u>	<u>Effective Tax Rate</u>	<u>March 31, 2006</u>	<u>Effective Tax Rate</u>	<u>% Change</u>
\$66	48.2%	\$147	37.6%	(55%)

Our effective income tax rates were 48.2 percent and 37.6 percent for fiscal 2007 and fiscal 2006, respectively. For fiscal 2007, our effective income tax rate was higher than the U.S. statutory rate of 35.0 percent due to a number of factors, including certain non-deductible stock based compensation expenses related to SFAS No. 123(R) and additional charges resulting from certain non-deductible acquisition-related costs during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2007. For fiscal 2006, our effective income tax rate is higher than the U.S. statutory rate of 35.0 percent for fiscal 2006 due to a number of factors, including the repatriation of foreign earnings in connection with the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004 and additional charges resulting from certain non-deductible acquisition-related costs during the second and fourth quarters of fiscal 2006, which were partially offset by other items.

Net Income

Net income for fiscal years 2007 and 2006 was as follows (in millions):

<u>March 31, 2007</u>	<u>% of Net Revenue</u>	<u>March 31, 2006</u>	<u>% of Net Revenue</u>	<u>\$ Change</u>	<u>% Change</u>
\$76	2%	\$236	8%	\$(160)	(68%)

Net income decreased by \$160 million, or 68 percent, in fiscal 2007 as compared to fiscal 2006. The decrease was due to a \$395 million increase in our operating expenses primarily due to (1) an increase of \$128 million in stock-based compensation expense recognized as a result of our adoption of SFAS No. 123(R), (2) an \$83 million increase in our annual bonus expense, and (3) a \$75 million increase in additional personnel-related costs due to an increase in headcount (related in part to our acquisitions and growth in our EA Mobile business). These increases in operating expenses were mitigated by (1) a \$140 million increase in net revenue and (2) an \$81 million decrease in our income tax provision.

Impact of Recently Issued Accounting Standards

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, *"Fair Value Measurements"*. SFAS No. 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. Fair value refers to the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants in the market in which the reporting entity transacts. SFAS No. 157 establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the information used to develop those assumptions. Fair value measurements would be separately disclosed by level within the fair value hierarchy. In February 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position ("FSP") Financial Accounting Standard ("FAS") 157-1, *"Application of FASB Statement No. 157 to FASB Statement No. 13 and Other Accounting Pronouncements That Address Fair Value Measurements for Purposes of Lease Classification or Measurement under Statement 13"* and FSP FAS 157-2, *"Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157"*. These FSPs (1) defer the effective date in SFAS No. 157 for one year for certain nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities, except those that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis (at least annually), (2) exclude certain leasing transactions accounted for under SFAS No. 13, *"Accounting for Leases"*, from the scope of Statement 157, and (3) include several specific examples of items eligible or not eligible for the one-year deferral. The provisions of SFAS No. 157 are effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and interim periods within those fiscal years. FSP FAS 157-1 is effective upon the initial adoption of SFAS No. 157. FSP FAS 157-2 defers the effective date of certain provisions of SFAS No. 157 to fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008, and interim periods within those fiscal years for items within the scope of the FSP. We do not expect the adoption of SFAS No. 157, FSP FAS 157-1 and FSP FAS 157-2 to have a material impact on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, *"The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities — Including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115"*. SFAS No. 159 permits entities to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value that are not currently required to be measured at fair value. It also establishes presentation and disclosure requirements designed to facilitate comparisons between entities that choose different measurement attributes for similar types of assets and liabilities. The provisions of SFAS No. 159 are effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. This Statement should not be applied retrospectively to fiscal years beginning prior to the effective date, except as permitted with early adoption. We are evaluating whether to adopt SFAS No. 159 and what impact the adoption would have on our Consolidated Financial Statements if we were to adopt it. If we adopt SFAS No. 159, it could have a material impact on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

In June 2007, the FASB ratified the Emerging Issues Task Force's ("EITF") consensus conclusion on EITF 07-03, *"Accounting for Advance Payments for Goods or Services to Be Used in Future Research and Development"*. EITF 07-03 addresses the diversity which exists with respect to the accounting for the non-refundable portion of a payment made by a research and development entity for future research and development activities. Under this conclusion, an entity is required to defer and capitalize non-refundable advance payments made for research and development activities until the related goods are delivered or the related services are performed. EITF 07-03 is effective for interim or annual reporting periods in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2007 and requires prospective application for new contracts entered into after the effective date. The adoption of EITF 07-03 will not have a material impact on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141 (Revised 2007) ("SFAS No. 141(R)"), *"Business Combinations"*, which requires the recognition of assets acquired, liabilities assumed, and any noncontrolling interest in an acquiree at the acquisition date fair value with limited exceptions. SFAS No. 141(R) will change the accounting treatment for certain specific items and includes a substantial number of new disclosure requirements. SFAS No. 141(R) applies prospectively to business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2008. The adoption of SFAS No. 141(R) will have a material impact on our Consolidated Financial Statements for material acquisitions consummated on or after March 29, 2009.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, “*Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements — An Amendment of ARB No. 51*”, which establishes new accounting and reporting standards for noncontrolling interest (minority interest) and for the deconsolidation of a subsidiary. SFAS No. 160 also includes expanded disclosure requirements regarding the interests of the parent and its noncontrolling interest. SFAS No. 160 is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning on or after December 15, 2008. We do not expect the adoption of SFAS No. 160 to have a material impact on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

In December 2007, the FASB ratified EITF consensus conclusion on EITF 07-01, “*Accounting for Collaborative Arrangements*”. EITF 07-01 defines collaborative arrangements and establishes reporting requirements for transactions between participants in a collaborative arrangement and between participants in the arrangement and third parties. Under this conclusion, a participant to a collaborative arrangement should disclose information about the nature and purpose of its collaborative arrangements, the rights and obligations under the collaborative arrangements, the accounting policy for collaborative arrangements, and the income statement classification and amounts attributable to transactions arising from the collaborative arrangement between participants for each period an income statement is presented. EITF 07-01 is effective for interim or annual reporting periods in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008 and requires retrospective application to all prior periods presented for all collaborative arrangements existing as of the effective date. While we have not yet completed our analysis, we do not anticipate the implementation of EITF 07-01 to have a material impact on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161, “*Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities — An Amendment of SFAS No. 133*”. SFAS 161 requires enhanced disclosures about an entity’s derivative and hedging activities, including how an entity uses derivative instruments, how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for under SFAS No. 133, “*Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*”, and how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect an entity’s financial position, financial performance, and cash flows. The provisions of SFAS No. 161 are effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008, and interim periods within those fiscal years. We do not expect the adoption of SFAS No. 161 to have a material impact on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

(In millions)	As of March 31,		Increase / (Decrease)
	2008	2007	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$1,553	\$1,371	\$ 182
Short-term investments	734	1,264	(530)
Marketable equity securities	729	341	388
Total	<u>\$3,016</u>	<u>\$2,976</u>	<u>\$ 40</u>
Percentage of total assets	50%	58%	

(In millions)	Year Ended March 31,		Increase / (Decrease)
	2008	2007	
Cash provided by operating activities	\$ 338	\$ 397	\$(59)
Cash used in investing activities	(429)	(487)	58
Cash provided by financing activities	243	190	53
Effect of foreign exchange on cash and cash equivalents	30	29	1
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	<u>\$ 182</u>	<u>\$ 129</u>	<u>\$ 53</u>

Changes in Cash Flow

During fiscal 2008, we generated \$338 million of cash from operating activities as compared to \$397 million for fiscal 2007. The decrease in cash provided by operating activities for fiscal 2008 as compared to fiscal 2007 was primarily due to (1) an increase in operating expenses paid resulting from an increase in advertising and marketing costs, external development expenses and personnel-related expenses and (2) a \$90 million increase in incentive-based cash compensation paid in fiscal 2008 which were earned with respect to fiscal 2007 performance. These decreases were significantly offset by higher net revenue collected during fiscal 2008 as compared to fiscal 2007.

For fiscal 2008, we generated \$2,306 million of cash proceeds from maturities and sales of short-term investments and \$192 million in proceeds from sales of common stock through our stock-based compensation plans. Our primary use of cash in non-operating activities consisted of (1) \$1,739 million used to purchase short-term investments, (2) \$607 million for the acquisition of VGH, and (3) \$277 million used to purchase marketable equitable securities and other investments.

Short-term investments and marketable equity securities

Due to our mix of fixed and variable rate securities, our short-term investment portfolio is susceptible to changes in short-term interest rates. As of March 31, 2008, our short-term investments had gross unrealized gains of \$9 million, or 1 percent of the total in short-term investments, and gross unrealized losses of less than \$1 million, or less than 1 percent of the total in short-term investments. From time to time, we may liquidate some or all of our short-term investments to fund operational needs or other activities, such as capital expenditures, business acquisitions or stock repurchase programs. Depending on which short-term investments we liquidate to fund these activities, we could recognize a portion, or all, of the gross unrealized gains or losses.

Marketable equity securities increased to \$729 million as of March 31, 2008, from \$341 million as of March 31, 2007, primarily due to (1) an increase of \$251 million in the fair value of our investment in Ubisoft Entertainment, (2) our \$167 million investment in The9, and (3) our \$83 million common stock investment in Neowiz. These increases were partially offset by (1) an \$81 million impairment recognized on The9 investment and (2) a \$28 million impairment recognized on the Neowiz common stock investment. In addition to the Neowiz common stock investment noted above, we made a \$27 million preferred stock investment in Neowiz which is classified in other assets on our Consolidated Balance Sheet. We recognized a \$9 million impairment on our Neowiz preferred stock investment.

Receivables, net

Our gross accounts receivable balances were \$544 million and \$470 million as of March 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The increase in our accounts receivable balance was primarily due to higher sales volumes during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2008 as compared to the fourth quarter of fiscal 2007. Reserves for sales returns, pricing allowances and doubtful accounts increased in absolute dollars from \$214 million as of March 31, 2007 to \$238 million as of March 31, 2008. As a percentage of trailing nine month net revenue, reserves decreased from 8 percent as of March 31, 2007, to 7 percent as of March 31, 2008. We believe these reserves are adequate based on historical experience and our current estimate of potential returns, pricing allowances and doubtful accounts.

Inventories

Inventories increased to \$168 million as of March 31, 2008 from \$62 million as of March 31, 2007, primarily as a result of (1) \$64 million in *Rock Band* inventory, of which approximately \$43 million was in-transit as of March 31, 2008, and (2) the overall growth of our business.

Other current assets

Other current assets increased to \$290 million as of March 31, 2008, from \$219 million as of March 31, 2007, primarily due to the reclassification as an asset held for sale of our facility in Chertsey, England from property and equipment, net, to other current assets.

Other assets

Other assets increased to \$157 million as of March 31, 2008, from \$96 million as of March 31, 2007, primarily due to (1) \$19 million higher prepaid royalties, (2) higher other investments of \$15 million substantially resulting from our purchase of Neowiz preferred stock, and (3) \$13 million of prepaid taxes reclassified from current assets.

Accounts payable

Accounts payable increased to \$229 million as of March 31, 2008, from \$180 million as of March 31, 2007, primarily due to higher inventory purchases related to *Rock Band*.

Accrued and other current liabilities

Our accrued and other liabilities decreased to \$683 million as of March 31, 2008 from \$814 million as of March 31, 2007. The decrease was primarily due to (1) \$273 million of current income taxes accrued being reclassified to non-current tax obligations as a result of our adoption of FIN No. 48 (see Note 10 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements), and (2) a decrease of \$32 million in accrued incentive-based compensation. These decreases were partially offset by an increase of \$106 million in royalties payable primarily due to *Rock Band*.

Deferred income taxes, net

Our net deferred income tax asset position increased by \$197 million as of March 31, 2008 as compared to March 31, 2007 primarily due to increases of (1) \$76 million in deferred taxes related to current year tax provision, (2) \$53 million in deferred taxes related to our acquisition of VGH, (3) \$43 million in deferred tax assets related to our adoption of FIN No. 48 and current year activities, and (4) \$25 million in deferred tax assets related to stock-based compensation.

Financial Condition

We believe that cash, cash equivalents, short-term investments, marketable equity securities, cash generated from operations and available financing facilities will be sufficient to meet our operating requirements for at least the next twelve months, including working capital requirements, capital expenditures and, potentially, future acquisitions or strategic investments. We may choose at any time to raise additional capital to strengthen our financial position, facilitate expansion, pursue strategic acquisitions and investments or to take advantage of business opportunities as they arise. There can be no assurance, however, that such additional capital will be available to us on favorable terms, if at all, or that it will not result in substantial dilution to our existing stockholders.

On March 13, 2008, we commenced an unsolicited \$26.00 per share cash tender offer for all of the outstanding shares of Take-Two Interactive Software, Inc., a Delaware corporation (“Take-Two”), for a total purchase price of approximately \$2.1 billion. On April 18, 2008, we adjusted the purchase price in the cash tender offer to \$25.74 per share following the approval by Take-Two stockholders of amendments to Take-Two’s Incentive Stock Plan, which would permit the issuance of additional shares of restricted stock to ZelnickMedia Corporation pursuant to its management agreement with Take-Two. The total aggregate purchase price for Take-Two did not change as a result of the adjustment to the per share purchase price in the tender offer. On May 9, 2008, we received a commitment from certain financial institutions to provide us with up to \$1.0 billion of senior unsecured term loan financing at any time until January 9, 2009, to be used to provide a portion of the funds for the offer and/or merger. We will be required to repay any funds we borrow under the term loan

facility, plus accrued interest, on the earlier of (a) 364 days from the date on which we initially borrow the funds and (b) August 9, 2009. On May 19, 2008, we extended the expiration date of the tender offer until June 16, 2008. We intend to pay for the Take-Two shares and related transaction fees and expenses with internally available cash and borrowings under the term loan facility or other financing sources, which may be available to us in the future.

The loan financing arrangements supporting our Redwood City headquarters leases with Keybank National Association, described in the “Off-Balance Sheet Commitments” section below, are scheduled to expire in July 2009. At any time prior to the expiration of the financing in July 2009, we may re-negotiate the lease and the related financing arrangement. Upon expiration of the leases, we may purchase the facilities for \$247 million, or arrange for a sale of the facilities to a third party. In the event of a sale to a third party, if the sale price is less than \$247 million, we will be obligated to reimburse the difference between the actual sale price and \$247 million, up to maximum of \$222 million, subject to certain provisions of the leases.

As of March 31, 2008, approximately \$1,357 million of our cash, cash equivalents, short-term investments and marketable equity securities that was generated from operations was domiciled in foreign tax jurisdictions. While we have no plans to repatriate these funds to the United States in the short term, if we choose to do so, we would accrue and pay additional taxes on any portion of the repatriation where no United States income tax had been previously provided.

We have a “shelf” registration statement on Form S-3 on file with the SEC. This shelf registration statement, which includes a base prospectus, allows us at any time to offer any combination of securities described in the prospectus in one or more offerings up to a total amount of \$2 billion. Unless otherwise specified in a prospectus supplement accompanying the base prospectus, we would use the net proceeds from the sale of any securities offered pursuant to the shelf registration statement for general corporate purposes, including for working capital, financing capital expenditures, research and development, marketing and distribution efforts and, if opportunities arise, for acquisitions or strategic alliances. Pending such uses, we may invest the net proceeds in interest-bearing securities. In addition, we may conduct concurrent or other financings at any time.

Our ability to maintain sufficient liquidity could be affected by various risks and uncertainties including, but not limited to, those related to customer demand and acceptance of our products on new platforms and new versions of our products on existing platforms, our ability to collect our accounts receivable as they become due, successfully achieving our product release schedules and attaining our forecasted sales objectives, the impact of acquisitions and other strategic transactions in which we may engage, the impact of competition, economic conditions in the United States and abroad, the seasonal and cyclical nature of our business and operating results, risks of product returns and the other risks described in the “Risk Factors” section, included in Part I, Item 1A of this report.

Contractual Obligations and Commercial Commitments

Development, Celebrity, League and Content Licenses: Payments and Commitments

The products we produce in our studios are designed and created by our employee designers, artists, software programmers and by non-employee software developers (“independent artists” or “third-party developers”). We typically advance development funds to the independent artists and third-party developers during development of our games, usually in installment payments made upon the completion of specified development milestones. Contractually, these payments are generally considered advances against subsequent royalties on the sales of the products. These terms are set forth in written agreements entered into with the independent artists and third-party developers.

In addition, we have certain celebrity, league and content license contracts that contain minimum guarantee payments and marketing commitments that may not be dependent on any deliverables. Celebrities and organizations with whom we have contracts include: FIFA, FIFPRO Foundation, UEFA and FAPL (Football Association Premier League Limited) (professional soccer); NASCAR (stock car racing); National Basketball Association (professional basketball); PGA TOUR and Tiger Woods (professional golf); National Hockey League and NHL Players’ Association (professional hockey); Warner Bros. (Harry Potter and Batman); New

Line Productions and Saul Zaentz Company (The Lord of the Rings); Red Bear Inc. (John Madden); National Football League Properties and PLAYERS Inc. (professional football); Collegiate Licensing Company (collegiate football and basketball); Viacom Consumer Products (The Godfather); ESPN (content in EA SPORTS™ games); Twentieth Century Fox Licensing and Merchandising (The Simpsons); and Hasbro, Inc. (a wide array of Hasbro intellectual properties). These developer and content license commitments represent the sum of (1) the cash payments due under non-royalty-bearing licenses and services agreements, and (2) the minimum guaranteed payments and advances against royalties due under royalty-bearing licenses and services agreements, the majority of which are conditional upon performance by the counterparty. These minimum guarantee payments and any related marketing commitments are included in the table below.

The following table summarizes our minimum contractual obligations and commercial commitments as of March 31, 2008, and the effect we expect them to have on our liquidity and cash flow in future periods (in millions):

<u>Fiscal Year Ending March 31,</u>	<u>Contractual Obligations</u>			<u>Commercial</u>	<u>Total</u>
	<u>Leases⁽¹⁾</u>	<u>Developer/ Licensor Commitments⁽²⁾</u>	<u>Marketing</u>	<u>Letter of Credit, Bank and Other Guarantees</u>	
2009	\$ 62	\$ 176	\$ 66	\$ 6	\$ 310
2010	50	183	42	—	275
2011	40	298	38	—	376
2012	33	147	38	—	218
2013	26	134	38	—	198
Thereafter	<u>53</u>	<u>612</u>	<u>155</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>820</u>
Total	<u>\$264</u>	<u>\$1,550</u>	<u>\$377</u>	<u>\$ 6</u>	<u>\$2,197</u>

(1) See discussion on operating leases in the “Off-Balance Sheet Commitments” section below for additional information. Lease commitments include contractual rental commitments of \$13 million under real estate leases for unutilized office space resulting from our restructuring activities. These amounts, net of estimated future sub-lease income, were expensed in the periods of the related restructuring and are included in our accrued and other current liabilities reported on our Consolidated Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2008. See Note 6 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

(2) Developer/licensor commitments include \$10 million of commitments to developers or licensors that have been recorded in current and long-term liabilities and a corresponding amount in current and long-term assets in our Consolidated Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2008 because payment is not contingent upon performance by the developer or licensor.

The amounts represented in the table above reflect our minimal cash obligations for the respective fiscal years, but do not necessarily represent the periods in which they will be expensed in our Consolidated Financial Statements.

In addition to what is included in the table above, as discussed in Note 10 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, we have adopted the provisions of FIN No. 48. As of March 31, 2008, we had a liability for unrecognized tax benefits and an accrual for the payment of related interest totaling \$360 million, of which approximately \$41 million is offset by prior cash deposits to tax authorities for issues pending resolution. For the remaining liability, we are unable to make a reasonably reliable estimate of when cash settlement with a taxing authority will occur.

Related Person Transaction

Prior to becoming Chief Executive Officer of Electronic Arts, John Riccitiello was a Founder and Managing Director of Elevation Partners, L.P., and also served as Chief Executive Officer of VGH, which we acquired in

January 2008. At the time of the acquisition, Mr. Riccitiello held an indirect financial interest in VGH resulting from his interest in the entity that controlled Elevation Partners, L.P. and his interest in a limited partner of Elevation Partners, L.P. Elevation Partners, L.P. was a significant stockholder of VGH. As a result of the acquisition, Mr. Riccitiello's financial returns related to these interests, including returns of deemed capital contributions, have been \$2.4 million to date (some of which Mr. Riccitiello could be required to return depending on the performance of the Elevation entities), and could be up to an additional \$1.6 million plus any interest or other amounts earned thereon. This amount could be reduced, however, by a variety of factors, including investment losses of Elevation, if any, as well as certain expenses of Elevation that could offset partnership profits. Upon his separation from Elevation Partners, L.P., Mr. Riccitiello ceased to have any further control or influence over these factors.

From the commencement of negotiations with VGH, at the direction of EA's Board of Directors, EA's Audit Committee engaged directly with EA management (independently from Mr. Riccitiello) to analyze and consider the potential benefits, risks and material terms of the acquisition. EA's Board of Directors approved the acquisition after reviewing with EA's management and members of the Audit Committee the terms of the acquisition and the potential benefits and risks thereof, as well as Mr. Riccitiello's personal financial interest in VGH and the acquisition. Mr. Riccitiello recused himself from the Board of Directors meeting during the Board's deliberation of the acquisition and he did not vote on the acquisition.

OFF-BALANCE SHEET COMMITMENTS

We lease certain of our current facilities, furniture and equipment under non-cancelable operating lease agreements. We are required to pay property taxes, insurance and normal maintenance costs for certain of these facilities and will be required to pay any increases over the base year of these expenses on the remainder of our facilities.

In February 1995, we entered into a build-to-suit lease ("Phase One Lease") with a third-party lessor for our headquarters facilities in Redwood City, California ("Phase One Facilities"). The Phase One Facilities comprise a total of approximately 350,000 square feet and provide space for sales, marketing, administration and research and development functions. In July 2001, the lessor refinanced the Phase One Lease with Keybank National Association through July 2006. The Phase One Lease expires in January 2039, subject to early termination in the event the underlying financing between the lessor and its lenders is not extended. Subject to certain terms and conditions, we may purchase the Phase One Facilities or arrange for the sale of the Phase One Facilities to a third party.

Pursuant to the terms of the Phase One Lease, we have an option to purchase the Phase One Facilities at any time for a purchase price of \$132 million. In the event of a sale to a third party, if the sale price is less than \$132 million, we will be obligated to reimburse the difference between the actual sale price and \$132 million, up to a maximum of \$117 million, subject to certain provisions of the Phase One Lease, as amended.

On May 26, 2006, the lessor extended its loan financing underlying the Phase One Lease with its lenders through July 2007, and on May 14, 2007, the lenders extended this financing again for an additional year through July 2008. On April 14, 2008, the lenders extended the financing for another year through July 2009. At any time prior to the expiration of the financing in July 2009, we may re-negotiate the lease and the related financing arrangement. We account for the Phase One Lease arrangement as an operating lease in accordance with SFAS No. 13, "Accounting for Leases", as amended.

In December 2000, we entered into a second build-to-suit lease ("Phase Two Lease") with Keybank National Association for a five and one-half year term beginning in December 2000 to expand our Redwood City, California headquarters facilities and develop adjacent property ("Phase Two Facilities"). Construction of the Phase Two Facilities was completed in June 2002. The Phase Two Facilities comprise a total of approximately 310,000 square feet and provide space for sales, marketing, administration and research and development functions. Subject to certain terms and conditions, we may purchase the Phase Two Facilities or arrange for the sale of the Phase Two Facilities to a third party.

Pursuant to the terms of the Phase Two Lease, we have an option to purchase the Phase Two Facilities at any time for a purchase price of \$115 million. In the event of a sale to a third party, if the sale price is less than \$115 million, we will be obligated to reimburse the difference between the actual sale price and \$115 million, up to a maximum of \$105 million, subject to certain provisions of the Phase Two Lease, as amended.

On May 26, 2006, the lessor extended the Phase Two Lease through July 2009 subject to early termination in the event the underlying loan financing between the lessor and its lenders is not extended. Concurrently with the extension of the lease, the lessor extended the loan financing underlying the Phase Two Lease with its lenders through July 2007. On May 14, 2007, the lenders extended this financing again for an additional year through July 2008. On April 14, 2008, the lenders extended the financing for another year through July 2009. At any time prior to the expiration of the financing in July 2009, we may re-negotiate the lease and the related financing arrangement. We account for the Phase Two Lease arrangement as an operating lease in accordance with SFAS No. 13, as amended.

We believe that, as of March 31, 2008, the estimated fair values of both properties under these operating leases exceeded their respective guaranteed residual values.

The two lease agreements with Keybank National Association described above require us to maintain certain financial covenants as shown below, all of which we were in compliance with as of March 31, 2008.

<u>Financial Covenants</u>	<u>Requirement</u>		<u>Actual as of March 31, 2008</u>
Consolidated Net Worth (in millions)	equal to or greater than	\$2,430	\$4,339
Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio	equal to or greater than	3.00	3.88
Total Consolidated Debt to Capital	equal to or less than	60%	5.4%
Quick Ratio — Q1 & Q2	equal to or greater than	1.00	N/A
Q3 & Q4	equal to or greater than	1.75	7.71

In February 2006, we entered into an agreement with an independent third party to lease a facility in Guildford, Surrey, United Kingdom, which commenced in June 2006 and will expire in May 2016. The facility comprises a total of approximately 95,000 square feet, which we use for administrative, sales and development functions. Our rental obligation under this agreement is approximately \$33 million over the initial ten-year term of the lease.

In June 2004, we entered into a lease agreement, amended in December 2005, with an independent third party for a studio facility in Orlando, Florida. The lease commenced in January 2005 and expires in June 2010, with one five-year option to extend the lease term. The campus facilities comprise a total of 140,000 square feet and provide space for research and development functions. Our rental obligation over the initial five-and-a-half year term of the lease is \$15 million.

In July 2003, we entered into a lease agreement with an independent third party (the “Landlord”) for a studio facility in Los Angeles, California, which commenced in October 2003 and expires in September 2013 with two five-year options to extend the lease term. Additionally, we have options to purchase the property after five and ten years based on the fair market value of the property at the date of sale, a right of first offer to purchase the property upon terms offered by the Landlord, and a right to share in the profits from a sale of the property. Existing campus facilities comprise a total of 243,000 square feet and provide space for research and development functions. Our rental obligation under this agreement is \$50 million over the initial ten-year term of the lease. This commitment is offset by expected sublease income of \$6 million for a sublease to an affiliate of the Landlord of 18,000 square feet of the Los Angeles facility, which commenced in October 2003 and expires in September 2013, with options of early termination by either party after October 2008.

In October 2002, we entered into a lease agreement, with an independent third party for a studio facility in Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada, which commenced in May 2003 and expires in April 2013. We amended the lease in October 2003. The facility comprises a total of approximately 65,000 square feet and provides space for research and development functions. Our rental obligation under this agreement is approximately \$16 million over the initial ten-year term of the lease.

Director Indemnity Agreements

We entered into indemnification agreements with each of the members of our Board of Directors at the time they joined the Board to indemnify them to the extent permitted by law against any and all liabilities, costs, expenses, amounts paid in settlement and damages incurred by the directors as a result of any lawsuit, or any judicial, administrative or investigative proceeding in which the directors are sued or charged as a result of their service as members of our Board of Directors.

INFLATION

We believe the impact of inflation on our results of operations has not been significant in any of the past three fiscal years.

Item 7A: *Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk*

Market Risk

We are exposed to various market risks, including changes in foreign currency exchange rates, interest rates and market prices. Market risk is the potential loss arising from changes in market rates and market prices. We employ established policies and practices to manage these risks. Foreign exchange option and forward contracts are used to hedge anticipated exposures or mitigate some existing exposures subject to foreign exchange risk as discussed below. We have not historically, nor do we currently, hedge our short-term investment portfolio. We do not consider our cash and cash equivalents to be exposed to significant interest rate risk because our cash and cash equivalent portfolio consists of highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less (see Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8 of this report). We also do not currently hedge our market price risk relating to our equity investments. Further, we do not enter into derivatives or other financial instruments for trading or speculative purposes (see Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8 of this report).

Foreign Currency Exchange Rate Risk

Cash Flow Hedging Activities. From time to time, we hedge a portion of our foreign currency risk related to forecasted foreign-currency-denominated sales and expense transactions by purchasing option contracts that generally have maturities of 15 months or less. These transactions are designated and qualify as cash flow hedges. The derivative assets associated with our hedging activities are recorded at fair value in other current assets in our Consolidated Balance Sheets. The effective portion of gains or losses resulting from changes in fair value of these hedges is initially reported, net of tax, as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income in stockholders' equity and subsequently reclassified into net revenue or operating expenses, as appropriate in the period when the forecasted transaction is recorded. The ineffective portion of gains or losses resulting from changes in fair value, if any, is reported in each period in interest and other income, net, in our Consolidated Statements of Operations. Our hedging programs are designed to reduce, but do not entirely eliminate, the impact of currency exchange rate movements in revenue and operating expenses. As of March 31, 2008, we had foreign currency option contracts to purchase approximately \$48 million in foreign currencies and to sell approximately \$254 million of foreign currencies. As of March 31, 2008, these foreign currency option contracts outstanding had a total fair value of \$5 million, included in other current assets. As of March 31, 2007, we had foreign currency option contracts to purchase approximately \$28 million in foreign currencies and to sell approximately \$72 million of foreign currencies. As of March 31, 2007, these foreign currency option contracts outstanding had a total fair value of less than \$1 million, included in other current assets.

Balance Sheet Hedging Activities. We use foreign exchange forward contracts to mitigate foreign currency risk associated with foreign-currency-denominated assets and liabilities, primarily intercompany receivables and payables. The forward contracts generally have a contractual term of three months or less and are transacted near month-end. Our foreign exchange forward contracts are not designated as hedging instruments under SFAS No. 133 and are accounted for as derivatives whereby the fair value of the contracts are reported as other current assets or other current liabilities in our Consolidated Balance Sheets, and gains and losses

from changes in fair value are reported in interest and other income, net. The gains and losses on these forward contracts generally offset the gains and losses on the underlying foreign-currency-denominated assets and liabilities, which are also reported in interest and other income, net, in our Consolidated Statements of Operations. In certain cases, the amount of such gains and losses will significantly differ from the amount of gains and losses recognized on the underlying foreign currency denominated asset or liability, in which case our results will be impacted. As of March 31, 2008, we had forward foreign exchange contracts to purchase and sell approximately \$540 million in foreign currencies. Of this amount, \$479 million represented contracts to sell foreign currencies in exchange for U.S. dollars, \$13 million to sell foreign currencies in exchange for British pounds sterling and \$48 million to purchase foreign currencies in exchange for U.S. dollars. As of March 31, 2007, we had forward foreign exchange contracts to purchase and sell approximately \$104 million in foreign currencies. Of this amount, \$73 million represented contracts to sell foreign currencies in exchange for U.S. dollars, \$8 million to sell foreign currencies in exchange for British pounds sterling and \$23 million to purchase foreign currencies in exchange for U.S. dollars. The fair value of our forward contracts was immaterial as of March 31, 2008 and March 31, 2007.

The counterparties to these forward and option contracts are creditworthy multinational commercial banks; therefore, the risk of counterparty nonperformance is not considered to be material.

Notwithstanding our efforts to mitigate some foreign currency exchange rate risks, there can be no assurance that our hedging activities will adequately protect us against the risks associated with foreign currency fluctuations. As of March 31, 2008, a hypothetical adverse foreign currency exchange rate movement of 10 percent or 15 percent would have resulted in a potential loss in fair value of our option contracts used in cash flow hedging of \$4 million in both scenarios. As of March 31, 2007, a hypothetical adverse foreign currency exchange rate movement would have resulted in a potential loss in fair value of our option contracts used in cash flow hedging of \$1 million in both scenarios. A hypothetical adverse foreign currency exchange rate movement of 10 percent or 15 percent would have resulted in potential losses on our forward contracts used in balance sheet hedging of \$56 million and \$82 million, respectively, as of March 31, 2008, and \$9 million and \$14 million, respectively, as of March 31, 2007. This sensitivity analysis assumes a parallel adverse shift in foreign currency exchange rates against the U.S. dollar. Exchange rates do not always move in the same direction. Actual results may differ materially.

Interest Rate Risk

Our exposure to market risk for changes in interest rates relates primarily to our short-term investment portfolio. We manage our interest rate risk by maintaining an investment portfolio generally consisting of debt instruments of high credit quality and relatively short maturities. However, because short-term securities mature relatively quickly and are required to be reinvested at the then current market rates, interest income on a portfolio consisting of short-term securities is more subject to market fluctuations than a portfolio of longer term securities. Additionally, the contractual terms of the securities do not permit the issuer to call, prepay or otherwise settle the securities at prices less than the stated par value of the securities. Our investments are held for purposes other than trading. Also, we do not use derivative financial instruments in our short-term investment portfolio.

As of March 31, 2008 and 2007, our short-term investments were classified as available-for-sale and, consequently, recorded at fair market value with unrealized gains or losses resulting from changes in fair value reported as a separate component of accumulated other comprehensive income, net of any tax effects, in

stockholders' equity. Our portfolio of short-term investments consisted of the following investment categories, summarized by fair value as of March 31, 2008 and 2007 (in millions):

	<u>As of March 31,</u>	
	<u>2008</u>	<u>2007</u>
U.S. agency securities	\$266	\$ 264
Corporate bonds	231	226
U.S. Treasury securities	161	92
Asset-backed securities	64	108
Commercial paper	<u>12</u>	<u>574</u>
Total short-term investments	<u>\$734</u>	<u>\$1,264</u>

Notwithstanding our efforts to manage interest rate risks, there can be no assurance that we will be adequately protected against risks associated with interest rate fluctuations. At any time, a sharp change in interest rates could have a significant impact on the fair value of our investment portfolio. The following table presents the hypothetical changes in fair value in our short-term investment portfolio as of March 31, 2008, arising from potential changes in interest rates. The modeling technique estimates the change in fair value from immediate hypothetical parallel shifts in the yield curve of plus or minus 50 basis points ("BPS"), 100 BPS, and 150 BPS.

(In millions)	Valuation of Securities Given an Interest Rate Decrease of X Basis Points			Fair Value as of March 31, 2008	Valuation of Securities Given an Interest Rate Increase of X Basis Points		
	(150 BPS)	(100 BPS)	(50 BPS)		50 BPS	100 BPS	150 BPS
	U.S. agency securities	\$273	\$270		\$268	\$266	\$263
Corporate bonds	235	234	233	231	230	229	227
U.S. Treasury securities	166	164	163	161	160	158	156
Asset-backed securities	64	64	64	64	64	63	63
Commercial paper	<u>12</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>12</u>
Total short-term investments	<u>\$750</u>	<u>\$744</u>	<u>\$740</u>	<u>\$734</u>	<u>\$729</u>	<u>\$723</u>	<u>\$716</u>

The following table presents the hypothetical changes in fair value in our short-term investment portfolio as of March 31, 2007, arising from selected potential changes in interest rates.

(In millions)	Valuation of Securities Given an Interest Rate Decrease of X Basis Points			Fair Value as of March 31, 2007	Valuation of Securities Given an Interest Rate Increase of X Basis Points		
	(150 BPS)	(100 BPS)	(50 BPS)		50 BPS	100 BPS	150 BPS
Commercial paper	\$ 575	\$ 575	\$ 574	\$ 574	\$ 573	\$ 573	\$ 572
U.S. agency securities	271	269	267	264	262	259	257
Corporate bonds	231	230	228	226	225	223	222
Asset-backed securities	110	109	108	108	107	106	105
U.S. Treasury securities	<u>95</u>	<u>94</u>	<u>94</u>	<u>92</u>	<u>92</u>	<u>91</u>	<u>90</u>
Total short-term investments	<u>\$1,282</u>	<u>\$1,277</u>	<u>\$1,271</u>	<u>\$1,264</u>	<u>\$1,259</u>	<u>\$1,252</u>	<u>\$1,246</u>

Market Price Risk

The value of our equity investments in publicly traded companies is subject to market price volatility and foreign currency risk for investments denominated in foreign currencies. As of March 31, 2008 and 2007, our marketable equity securities were classified as available-for-sale and, consequently, were recorded in our

Consolidated Balance Sheets at fair market value with unrealized gains or losses reported as a separate component of accumulated other comprehensive income, net of any tax effects, in stockholders' equity. The fair value of our marketable equity securities was \$729 million and \$341 million as of March 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. In fiscal 2008, we recognized an other-than-temporary impairment loss of \$109 million.

At any time, a sharp change in market prices in our investments in marketable equity securities could have a significant impact on the fair value of our investments. The following table presents hypothetical changes in the fair value of our marketable equity securities as of March 31, 2008, arising from changes in market prices plus or minus 25 percent, 50 percent and 75 percent.

(In millions)	Valuation of Securities Given an X Percentage Decrease in Each Stock's Market Price			Fair Value as of March 31, 2008	Valuation of Securities Given an X Percentage Increase in Each Stock's Market Price		
	(75%)	(50%)	(25%)		25%	50%	75%
Marketable equity securities	\$182	\$365	\$547	\$729	\$911	\$1,094	\$1,276

The following table presents hypothetical changes in the fair value of our marketable equity securities as of March 31, 2007, arising from changes in market prices plus or minus 25 percent, 50 percent and 75 percent.

(In millions)	Valuation of Securities Given an X Percentage Decrease in Each Stock's Market Price			Fair Value as of March 31, 2007	Valuation of Securities Given an X Percentage Increase in Each Stock's Market Price		
	(75%)	(50%)	(25%)		25%	50%	75%
Marketable equity securities	\$85	\$171	\$256	\$341	\$426	\$512	\$597

Item 8: *Financial Statements and Supplementary Data*

Index to Consolidated Financial Statements

	<u>Page</u>
Consolidated Financial Statements of Electronic Arts Inc. and Subsidiaries:	
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2008 and 2007	61
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Years Ended March 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006	62
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity and Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the Years Ended March 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006	63
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended March 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006	64
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	65
Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	106

Financial Statement Schedule:

The following financial statement schedule of Electronic Arts Inc. and Subsidiaries for the years ended March 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 is filed as part of this report and should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements of Electronic Arts Inc. and Subsidiaries:

Schedule II — Valuation and Qualifying Accounts	117
---	-----

Other financial statement schedules have been omitted because the information called for in them is not required or has already been included in either the Consolidated Financial Statements or the notes thereto

ELECTRONIC ARTS INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(In millions, except par value data)	March 31, 2008	March 31, 2007
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$1,553	\$1,371
Short-term investments	734	1,264
Marketable equity securities	729	341
Receivables, net of allowances of \$238 and \$214, respectively	306	256
Inventories	168	62
Deferred income taxes, net	145	84
Other current assets	290	219
Total current assets	3,925	3,597
Property and equipment, net	396	484
Goodwill	1,152	734
Other intangibles, net	265	210
Deferred income taxes, net	164	25
Other assets	157	96
TOTAL ASSETS	\$6,059	\$5,146
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 229	\$ 180
Accrued and other current liabilities	683	814
Deferred net revenue (packaged goods and digital content)	387	32
Total current liabilities	1,299	1,026
Income tax obligations	319	—
Deferred income taxes, net	5	8
Other liabilities	97	80
Total liabilities	1,720	1,114
Commitments and contingencies (See Note 9)		
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value. 10 shares authorized.	—	—
Common stock, \$0.01 par value. 1,000 shares authorized; 318 and 311 shares issued and outstanding, respectively	3	3
Paid-in capital	1,864	1,412
Retained earnings	1,888	2,323
Accumulated other comprehensive income	584	294
Total stockholders' equity	4,339	4,032
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY	\$6,059	\$5,146

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

ELECTRONIC ARTS INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

	<u>Year Ended March 31,</u>		
	<u>2008</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2006</u>
(In millions, except per share data)			
Net revenue	\$3,665	\$3,091	\$2,951
Cost of goods sold	<u>1,805</u>	<u>1,212</u>	<u>1,181</u>
Gross profit	1,860	1,879	1,770
Operating expenses:			
Marketing and sales	588	466	431
General and administrative	339	288	215
Research and development	1,145	1,041	758
Amortization of intangibles	34	27	7
Acquired in-process technology	138	3	8
Restructuring charges	<u>103</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>26</u>
Total operating expenses	<u>2,347</u>	<u>1,840</u>	<u>1,445</u>
Operating income (loss)	(487)	39	325
Losses on strategic investments	(118)	—	—
Interest and other income, net	<u>98</u>	<u>99</u>	<u>64</u>
Income (loss) before provision for (benefit from) income taxes and minority interest	(507)	138	389
Provision for (benefit from) income taxes	<u>(53)</u>	<u>66</u>	<u>147</u>
Income (loss) before minority interest	(454)	72	242
Minority interest	<u>—</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>(6)</u>
Net income (loss)	<u>\$ (454)</u>	<u>\$ 76</u>	<u>\$ 236</u>
Net income (loss) per share:			
Basic	\$ (1.45)	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.78
Diluted	\$ (1.45)	\$ 0.24	\$ 0.75
Number of shares used in computation:			
Basic	314	308	304
Diluted	314	317	314

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

ELECTRONIC ARTS INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY AND
COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

(In millions, share data in thousands)

	<u>Common Stock</u>		<u>Paid-in</u>	<u>Retained</u>	<u>Accumulated</u>	<u>Total</u>
	<u>Shares</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Capital</u>	<u>Earnings</u>	<u>Other</u>	<u>Stockholders'</u>
					<u>Comprehensive</u>	<u>Equity</u>
					<u>Income</u>	
Balances as of March 31, 2005	310,441	\$ 3	\$1,434	\$2,005	\$ 56	\$3,498
Net income	—	—	—	236	—	236
Change in unrealized gains (losses) on investments and derivative instruments, net	—	—	—	—	33	33
Reclassification adjustment for (gains) losses, realized on investments and derivative instruments, net	—	—	—	—	4	4
Translation adjustment	—	—	—	—	(10)	(10)
Comprehensive income						<u>\$ 263</u>
Issuance of common stock	7,174	—	206	—	—	206
Repurchase and retirement of common stock	(12,621)	—	(709)	—	—	(709)
Stock-based compensation	—	—	3	—	—	3
Tax benefit from exercise of stock options	—	—	133	—	—	133
Assumption of stock options in connection with acquisition	—	—	14	—	—	14
Balances as of March 31, 2006	<u>304,994</u>	<u>\$ 3</u>	<u>\$1,081</u>	<u>\$2,241</u>	<u>\$ 83</u>	<u>\$3,408</u>
Cumulative effect of adjustments resulting from the adoption of SAB No. 108	—	—	—	6	—	6
Adjusted balance as of March 31, 2006	<u>304,994</u>	<u>\$ 3</u>	<u>\$1,081</u>	<u>\$2,247</u>	<u>\$ 83</u>	<u>\$3,414</u>
Net income	—	—	—	76	—	76
Change in unrealized gains (losses) on investments and derivative instruments, net	—	—	—	—	183	183
Reclassification adjustment for (gains) losses, realized on investments and derivative instruments, net	—	—	—	—	5	5
Translation adjustment	—	—	—	—	23	23
Comprehensive income						<u>\$ 287</u>
Issuance of common stock	6,044	—	164	—	—	164
Stock-based compensation	—	—	133	—	—	133
Tax benefit from exercise of stock options	—	—	34	—	—	34
Balances as of March 31, 2007	<u>311,038</u>	<u>\$ 3</u>	<u>\$1,412</u>	<u>\$2,323</u>	<u>\$294</u>	<u>\$4,032</u>
Cumulative effect of adjustments resulting from the adoption of FIN No. 48	—	—	14	19	—	33
Adjusted balance as of March 31, 2007	<u>311,038</u>	<u>\$ 3</u>	<u>\$1,426</u>	<u>\$2,342</u>	<u>\$294</u>	<u>\$4,065</u>
Net loss	—	—	—	(454)	—	(454)
Change in unrealized gains (losses) on investments and derivative instruments, net	—	—	—	—	141	141
Reclassification adjustment for (gains) losses, realized on investments and derivative instruments, net	—	—	—	—	107	107
Translation adjustment	—	—	—	—	42	42
Comprehensive loss						<u>\$ (164)</u>
Issuance of common stock	6,643	—	184	—	—	184
Stock-based compensation	—	—	150	—	—	150
Tax benefit from exercise of stock options	—	—	45	—	—	45
Assumption of stock options in connection with acquisition	—	—	59	—	—	59
Balances as of March 31, 2008	<u>317,681</u>	<u>\$ 3</u>	<u>\$1,864</u>	<u>\$1,888</u>	<u>\$584</u>	<u>\$4,339</u>

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

ELECTRONIC ARTS INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(In millions)	Year Ended March 31,		
	2008	2007	2006
OPERATING ACTIVITIES			
Net income (loss)	\$ (454)	\$ 76	\$ 236
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation, amortization and accretion, net	164	147	95
Stock-based compensation	150	133	3
Minority interest	—	(4)	6
Non-cash restructuring charges	56	—	—
Net losses on investments and sale of property and equipment	111	1	7
Tax benefit from exercise of stock options	—	—	133
Acquired in-process technology	138	3	8
Change in assets and liabilities:			
Receivables, net	(8)	(18)	104
Inventories	(100)	12	(3)
Other assets	(8)	46	(71)
Accounts payable	23	(2)	31
Accrued and other liabilities	71	34	30
Deferred income taxes, net	(160)	(54)	8
Deferred net revenue (packaged goods and digital content)	355	23	9
Net cash provided by operating activities	<u>338</u>	<u>397</u>	<u>596</u>
INVESTING ACTIVITIES			
Capital expenditures	(84)	(176)	(121)
Proceeds from sale of marketable equity securities and other investments	—	—	6
Purchase of marketable equity securities and other investments	(275)	(1)	(2)
Proceeds from maturities and sales of short-term investments	2,306	1,315	1,427
Purchase of short-term investments	(1,739)	(1,522)	(757)
Loan advance	(30)	—	—
Acquisition of subsidiaries, net of cash acquired	(607)	(103)	(661)
Net cash used in investing activities	<u>(429)</u>	<u>(487)</u>	<u>(108)</u>
FINANCING ACTIVITIES			
Proceeds from issuance of common stock	192	168	206
Excess tax benefit from stock-based compensation	51	36	—
Repayment of note assumed in connection with acquisition	—	(14)	—
Repurchase and retirement of common stock	—	—	(709)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	<u>243</u>	<u>190</u>	<u>(503)</u>
Effect of foreign exchange on cash and cash equivalents	30	29	(13)
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	182	129	(28)
Beginning cash and cash equivalents	1,371	1,242	1,270
Ending cash and cash equivalents	1,553	1,371	1,242
Short-term investments	734	1,264	1,030
Ending cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments	<u>\$ 2,287</u>	<u>\$ 2,635</u>	<u>\$ 2,272</u>
Supplemental cash flow information:			
Cash paid during the year for income taxes	<u>\$ 31</u>	<u>\$ 55</u>	<u>\$ 24</u>
Non-cash investing activities:			
Change in unrealized gains on investments, net	\$ 154	\$ 188	\$ 37
Assumption of stock options in connection with acquisitions	<u>\$ 59</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 14</u>

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

ELECTRONIC ARTS INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(1) DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

We develop, market, publish and distribute video game software and content that can be played by consumers on a variety of platforms, including video game consoles (such as the Sony PlayStation® 2 and PLAYSTATION® 3, Microsoft Xbox 360™ and Nintendo Wii™), personal computers, handheld game players (such as the PlayStation® Portable (“PSP™”) and the Nintendo DS™) and cellular handsets. Some of our games are based on content that we license from others (e.g., Madden NFL Football, Harry Potter and FIFA Soccer), and some of our games are based on our own wholly-owned intellectual property (e.g., The Sims™, Need for Speed™ and POGO™). Our goal is to publish titles with global mass-market appeal, which often means translating and localizing them for sale in non-English speaking countries. In addition, we also attempt to create software game “franchises” that allow us to publish new titles on a recurring basis that are based on the same property. Examples of this franchise approach are the annual iterations of our sports-based products (e.g., Madden NFL Football, NCAA® Football and FIFA Soccer), wholly-owned properties that can be successfully sequeled (e.g., The Sims, Need for Speed and Battlefield) and titles based on long-lived literary and/or movie properties (e.g., Lord of the Rings and Harry Potter).

A summary of our significant accounting policies applied in the preparation of our Consolidated Financial Statements follows:

(a) Consolidation

The accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements include the accounts of Electronic Arts Inc. and its wholly- and majority-owned subsidiaries. Intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

(b) Fiscal Year

Our fiscal year is reported on a 52 or 53-week period that ends on the Saturday nearest March 31. For simplicity of disclosure, all fiscal periods are referred to as ending on a calendar month end. Our results of operations for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2008 and 2007 contained 52 weeks and ended on March 29, 2008 and March 31, 2007, respectively. Our results of operations for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2006 contained 53 weeks and ended on April 1, 2006.

(c) Reclassifications

Certain prior-year amounts have been reclassified to conform to the fiscal 2008 presentation.

(d) Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, contingent assets and liabilities, and revenue and expenses during the reporting period. Such estimates include sales returns and allowances, provisions for doubtful accounts, accrued liabilities, service period for deferred net revenue, income taxes, estimates regarding the recoverability of prepaid royalties and royalty commitments, inventories, long-lived assets, acquired in-process technology, certain estimates related to the measurement and recognition of costs resulting from our share-based payment transactions, deferred income tax assets as well as estimates used in our goodwill impairment test. These estimates generally involve complex issues and require us to make judgments, involve analysis of historical and future trends, can require extended periods of time to resolve, and are subject to change from period to period. In all cases, actual results could differ materially from our estimates.

(e) Cash, Cash Equivalents, Short-Term Investments, Marketable Equity Securities and Other Investments

Cash equivalents consist of highly liquid investments with insignificant interest rate risk and original or remaining maturities of three months or less at the time of purchase.

Short-term investments consist of securities with original or remaining maturities of greater than three months at the time of purchase. The short-term investments are available for use in current operations or other activities such as capital expenditures and business acquisitions.

As of March 31, 2008 and March 31, 2007, short-term investments and marketable equity securities were classified as available-for-sale and stated at fair value based upon quoted market prices for the securities. Unrealized gains and losses are included as a separate component of accumulated other comprehensive income, net of any related tax effect, in stockholders' equity. Realized gains and losses are calculated based on the specific identification method. We recognize an impairment charge when we determine that a decline in the fair value of a security below its cost basis is other-than-temporary.

Other investments, included in other assets on our Consolidated Balance Sheets primarily consist of investments in equity securities accounted for under the cost method in accordance with Accounting Principles Board Opinion ("APB") No. 18, "*The Equity Method Of Accounting For Investments In Common Stock*". The cost method of accounting is used for investments where we are not able to exercise significant influence over the operating and financing decisions of the investee. We evaluate other investments to determine if events or changes in circumstances indicate an other-than-temporary impairment in value. We recognize an impairment charge when we determine an other-than-temporary impairment in value exists.

(f) Inventories

Inventories consist of materials (including manufacturing royalties paid to console manufacturers), labor and freight-in. Inventories are stated at the lower of cost (first-in, first-out method) or market value.

(g) Property and Equipment, Net

Property and equipment, net, are stated at cost. Depreciation is calculated using the straight-line method over the following useful lives:

Buildings	20 to 25 years
Computer equipment and software	3 to 5 years
Furniture and equipment	3 to 5 years
Leasehold improvements	Lesser of the lease term or the estimated useful lives of the improvements, generally 1 to 10 years

Under the provisions of American Institute of Certified Public Accountants Statement of Position ("SOP") 98-1, "*Accounting for the Costs of Computer Software Developed or Obtained for Internal Use*", we capitalize costs associated with customized internal-use software systems that have reached the application development stage and meet recoverability tests. Such capitalized costs include external direct costs utilized in developing or obtaining the applications and payroll and payroll-related expenses for employees who are directly associated with the development of the applications. Capitalization of such costs begins when the preliminary project stage is complete and ceases at the point in which the project is substantially complete and ready for its intended purpose. The net book value of capitalized costs associated with internal-use software amounted to \$24 million and \$18 million as of March 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively, and are being depreciated on a straight-line basis over each asset's estimated useful life that ranges from three to five years.

(h) Long-Lived Assets

We evaluate long-lived assets and certain identifiable intangibles for impairment, in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standard ("SFAS") No. 144, "*Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets*", whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may

not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of an asset to future undiscounted net cash flows expected to be generated by the asset. This may include assumptions about future prospects for the business that the asset relates to and typically involves computations of the estimated future cash flows to be generated by these businesses. Based on these judgments and assumptions, we determine whether we need to take an impairment charge to reduce the value of the asset stated on our Consolidated Balance Sheet to reflect its actual fair value. Judgments and assumptions about future values and remaining useful lives are complex and often subjective. They can be affected by a variety of factors, including but not limited to, significant negative industry or economic trends, significant changes in the manner of our use of the acquired assets or the strategy of our overall business and significant under-performance relative to expected historical or projected future operating results. If we were to consider such assets to be impaired, the amount of impairment we recognized would be measured by the amount by which the carrying amount of the asset exceeds its fair value which is estimated by discounted cash flows. We recognized \$56 million in impairment charges in fiscal 2008. We recognized an insignificant amount of impairment in fiscal 2007 and 2006.

(i) Taxes Collected from Customers and Remitted to Governmental Authorities

Taxes assessed by a government authority that are both imposed on and concurrent with specific revenue transactions between us and our customers is presented on a net basis in our Consolidated Statements of Operations.

(j) Concentration of Credit Risk

We extend credit to various companies in the retail and mass merchandising industries. Collection of trade receivables may be affected by changes in economic or other industry conditions and may, accordingly, impact our overall credit risk. Although we generally do not require collateral, we perform ongoing credit evaluations of our customers and maintain reserves for potential credit losses. As of March 31, 2008 and 2007, we had 11 percent and 10 percent, respectively, of our gross accounts receivable outstanding with Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. As of both March 31, 2008 and 2007, we had 11 percent of our gross accounts receivable outstanding with GameStop Corp.

Short-term investments are placed with high quality financial institutions or in short-duration, investment-grade securities. We limit the amount of credit exposure in any one financial institution or type of investment instrument.

(k) Revenue Recognition

We evaluate the recognition of revenue based on the criteria set forth in SOP 97-2, “*Software Revenue Recognition*”, as amended by SOP 98-9, “*Modification of SOP 97-2, Software Revenue Recognition, With Respect to Certain Transactions*” and Staff Accounting Bulletin (“SAB”) No. 101, “*Revenue Recognition in Financial Statements*”, as revised by SAB No. 104, “*Revenue Recognition*”. We evaluate and recognize revenue when all four of the following criteria are met:

- *Evidence of an arrangement.* Evidence of an agreement with the customer that reflects the terms and conditions to deliver products that must be present in order to recognize revenue.
- *Delivery.* Delivery is considered to occur when a product is shipped and the risk of loss and rewards of ownership have been transferred to the customer. For online game services, delivery is considered to occur as the service is provided. For online services associated with our packaged goods products (other than massively multiplayer online games) such as matchmaking, we estimate the service period to be six months after the month of sale. For digital downloads that do not have an online service component, delivery is considered to occur generally when the download occurs.
- *Fixed or determinable fee.* If a portion of the arrangement fee is not fixed or determinable, we recognize revenue as the amount becomes fixed or determinable.

- *Collection is deemed probable.* We conduct a credit review of each customer involved in a significant transaction to determine the creditworthiness of the customer. Collection is deemed probable if we expect the customer to be able to pay amounts under the arrangement as those amounts become due. If we determine that collection is not probable, we recognize revenue when collection becomes probable (generally upon cash collection).

Determining whether and when some of these criteria have been satisfied often involves assumptions and judgments that can have a significant impact on the timing and amount of revenue we report. For example, for multiple element arrangements, we must make assumptions and judgments in order to: (1) determine whether and when each element has been delivered; (2) determine whether undelivered products or services are essential to the functionality of the delivered products and services; (3) determine whether vendor-specific objective evidence of fair value (“VSOE”) exists for each undelivered element; and (4) allocate the total price among the various elements we must deliver. Changes to any of these assumptions or judgments, or changes to the elements in a software arrangement, could cause a material increase or decrease in the amount of revenue that we report in a particular period.

Product Revenue: Product revenue, including sales to resellers and distributors (“channel partners”), is recognized when the above criteria are met. We reduce product revenue for estimated future returns, price protection, and other offerings, which may occur with our customers and channel partners.

Shipping and Handling: In accordance with Emerging Issues Task Force (“EITF”) Issue No. 00-10, “Accounting for Shipping and Handling Fees and Costs”, we recognize amounts billed to customers for shipping and handling as revenue. Additionally, shipping and handling costs incurred by us are included in cost of goods sold.

Online Subscription Revenue: Online subscription revenue is derived principally from subscription revenue collected from customers for online play related to our massively multiplayer online games and Pogo-branded online games services. These customers generally pay on an annual basis or a month-to-month basis and prepaid subscription revenue is recognized ratably over the period for which the services are provided.

Software Licenses: We license software rights to manufacturers of products in related industries (for example, makers of personal computers or computer accessories) to include certain of our products with the manufacturer’s product, or offer our products to consumers who have purchased the manufacturer’s product. We call these combined products “OEM bundles”. These OEM bundles generally require the customer to pay us an upfront nonrefundable fee, which represents the guaranteed minimum royalty amount. Revenue is generally recognized upon delivery of the product master or the first copy. Per-copy royalties on sales that exceed the minimum guarantee are recognized as earned.

(l) Sales Returns and Allowances and Bad Debt Reserves

We estimate potential future product returns, price protection and stock-balancing programs related to product revenue. We analyze historical returns, current sell-through of distributor and retailer inventory of our products, current trends in retail and the video game segment, changes in customer demand and acceptance of our products and other related factors when evaluating the adequacy of our sales returns and price protection allowances. In addition, we monitor the volume of sales to our channel partners and their inventories as substantial overstocking in the distribution channel could result in high returns or higher price protection costs in subsequent periods.

Similarly, significant judgment is required to estimate our allowance for doubtful accounts in any accounting period. We analyze customer concentrations, customer credit-worthiness, current economic trends, and historical experience when evaluating the adequacy of the allowance for doubtful accounts.

(m) Advertising Costs

We generally expense advertising costs as incurred, except for production costs associated with media campaigns which are recognized as prepaid assets (to the extent paid in advance) and expensed at the first run of the advertisement. Cooperative advertising with our channel partners is accrued when revenue is recognized

and such amounts are included in marketing and sales expense if there is a separate identifiable benefit for which we can reasonably estimate the fair value of the benefit identified. Otherwise, they are recognized as a reduction of net revenue. We then reimburse the channel partner when qualifying claims are submitted. We sometimes receive reimbursements for advertising costs from our vendors, and such amounts are recognized as a reduction of marketing and sales expense if the advertising (1) is specific to the vendor, (2) represents an identifiable benefit to us, and (3) represents an incremental cost to us. Otherwise, vendor reimbursements are recognized as a reduction of cost of goods sold as the related revenue is recognized. Vendor reimbursements of advertising costs of \$54 million, \$28 million and \$41 million reduced marketing and sales expense for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. For the fiscal years ended March 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, advertising expense, net of vendor reimbursements, totaled approximately \$234 million, \$163 million and \$180 million, respectively.

(n) Software Development Costs

Research and development costs, which consist primarily of software development costs, are expensed as incurred. SFAS No. 86, “*Accounting for the Cost of Computer Software to be Sold, Leased, or Otherwise Marketed*”, provides for the capitalization of certain software development costs incurred after technological feasibility of the software is established or for development costs that have alternative future uses. Under our current practice of developing new products, the technological feasibility of the underlying software is not established until substantially all product development is complete, which generally includes the development of a working model. The software development costs that have been capitalized to date have been insignificant.

(o) Stock-based Compensation

We recognize the cost resulting from all share-based payment transactions in our financial statements using a fair-value-based method. Upon adoption of SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004) (“SFAS No. 123(R)”), “*Share-Based Payment*”, we elected to use the modified prospective transition method of adoption. We measure compensation cost for all outstanding unvested stock-based awards made to our employees and directors based on estimated fair values and recognize compensation over the service period for awards expected to vest.

The estimated fair value of stock options and stock purchase rights granted pursuant to our employee stock purchase plan is determined using the Black-Scholes valuation model. The Black-Scholes valuation model requires us to make certain assumptions about the future. Estimation of these equity instruments’ fair value is affected by our stock price as well as assumptions regarding subjective and complex variables such as expected employee exercise behavior and our expected stock price volatility over the term of the award. Generally, our assumptions are based on historical information and judgment is required to determine if historical trends may be indicators of future outcomes.

Employee stock-based compensation expense is calculated based on awards ultimately expected to vest and is reduced for estimated forfeitures. Forfeitures are revised, if necessary, in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates and an adjustment is recognized at that time.

Changes to our underlying stock price, our assumptions used in the Black-Scholes option valuation calculation and our forfeiture rate as well as future equity granted or assumed through acquisitions could significantly impact compensation expense to be recognized in fiscal 2009 and future periods.

(p) Acquired In-Process Technology

The value assigned to acquired in-process technology is determined by identifying those acquired specific in-process research and development projects that would be continued and for which (1) technological feasibility had not been established as of the acquisition date, (2) there is no alternative future use, and (3) the fair value is estimable with reasonable reliability.

(q) Foreign Currency Translation

For each of our foreign operating subsidiaries, the functional currency is generally its local currency. Assets and liabilities of foreign operations are translated into U.S. dollars using month-end exchange rates, and revenue and expenses are translated into U.S. dollars using average exchange rates. The effects of foreign currency translation adjustments are included as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income in stockholders' equity.

Foreign currency transaction gains and losses are a result of the effect of exchange rate changes on transactions denominated in currencies other than the functional currency. Net foreign currency transaction gains (losses) of \$20 million, \$10 million and \$(1) million for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively, are included in interest and other income, net, in our Consolidated Statements of Operations.

(r) Impact of Recently Issued Accounting Standards

In September 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued SFAS No. 157, "*Fair Value Measurements*". SFAS No. 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. Fair value refers to the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants in the market in which the reporting entity transacts. SFAS No. 157 establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the information used to develop those assumptions. Fair value measurements would be separately disclosed by level within the fair value hierarchy. In February 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position ("FSP") Financial Accounting Standard ("FAS") 157-1, "*Application of FASB Statement No. 157 to FASB Statement No. 13 and Other Accounting Pronouncements That Address Fair Value Measurements for Purposes of Lease Classification or Measurement under Statement 13*" and FSP FAS 157-2, "*Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157*". These FSPs (1) defer the effective date in SFAS No. 157 for one year for certain nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities, except those that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis (at least annually), (2) exclude certain leasing transactions accounted for under SFAS No. 13, "*Accounting for Leases*", from the scope of Statement 157, and (3) include several specific examples of items eligible or not eligible for the one-year deferral. The provisions of SFAS No. 157 are effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and interim periods within those fiscal years. FSP FAS 157-1 is effective upon the initial adoption of SFAS No. 157. FSP FAS 157-2 defers the effective date of certain provisions of SFAS No. 157 to fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008, and interim periods within those fiscal years for items within the scope of the FSP. We do not expect the adoption of SFAS No. 157, FSP FAS 157-1 and FSP FAS 157-2 to have a material impact on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, "*The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities — Including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115*". SFAS No. 159 permits entities to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value that are not currently required to be measured at fair value. It also establishes presentation and disclosure requirements designed to facilitate comparisons between entities that choose different measurement attributes for similar types of assets and liabilities. The provisions of SFAS No. 159 are effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. This Statement should not be applied retrospectively to fiscal years beginning prior to the effective date, except as permitted with early adoption. We are evaluating whether to adopt SFAS No. 159 and what impact the adoption would have on our Consolidated Financial Statements if we were to adopt it. If we adopt SFAS No. 159, it could have a material impact on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

In June 2007, the FASB ratified EITF consensus conclusion on EITF 07-03, "*Accounting for Advance Payments for Goods or Services to Be Used in Future Research and Development*". EITF 07-03 addresses the diversity which exists with respect to the accounting for the non-refundable portion of a payment made by a research and development entity for future research and development activities. Under this conclusion, an entity is required to defer and capitalize non-refundable advance payments made for research and development

activities until the related goods are delivered or the related services are performed. EITF 07-03 is effective for interim or annual reporting periods in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2007 and requires prospective application for new contracts entered into after the effective date. The adoption of EITF 07-03 will not have a material impact on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141 (Revised 2007) (“SFAS No. 141(R)”), “*Business Combinations*”, which requires the recognition of assets acquired, liabilities assumed, and any noncontrolling interest in an acquiree at the acquisition date fair value with limited exceptions. SFAS No. 141(R) will change the accounting treatment for certain specific items and includes a substantial number of new disclosure requirements. SFAS No. 141(R) applies prospectively to business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2008. The adoption of SFAS No. 141(R) will have a material impact on our Consolidated Financial Statements for material acquisitions consummated on or after March 29, 2009.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, “*Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements — An Amendment of ARB No. 51*”, which establishes new accounting and reporting standards for noncontrolling interest (minority interest) and for the deconsolidation of a subsidiary. SFAS No. 160 also includes expanded disclosure requirements regarding the interests of the parent and its noncontrolling interest. SFAS No. 160 is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning on or after December 15, 2008. We do not expect the adoption of SFAS No. 160 to have a material impact on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

In December 2007, the FASB ratified EITF consensus conclusion on EITF 07-01, “*Accounting for Collaborative Arrangements*”. EITF 07-01 defines collaborative arrangements and establishes reporting requirements for transactions between participants in a collaborative arrangement and between participants in the arrangement and third parties. Under this conclusion, a participant to a collaborative arrangement should disclose information about the nature and purpose of its collaborative arrangements, the rights and obligations under the collaborative arrangements, the accounting policy for collaborative arrangements, and the income statement classification and amounts attributable to transactions arising from the collaborative arrangement between participants for each period an income statement is presented. EITF 07-01 is effective for interim or annual reporting periods in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008 and requires retrospective application to all prior periods presented for all collaborative arrangements existing as of the effective date. While we have not yet completed our analysis, we do not anticipate the implementation of EITF 07-01 to have a material impact on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161, “*Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities — An Amendment of SFAS No. 133*”. SFAS 161 requires enhanced disclosures about an entity’s derivative and hedging activities, including how an entity uses derivative instruments, how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for under SFAS No. 133, “*Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*”, and how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect an entity’s financial position, financial performance, and cash flows. The provisions of SFAS No. 161 are effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008, and interim periods within those fiscal years. We do not expect the adoption of SFAS No. 161 to have a material impact on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

(2) FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

(a) Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Cash, cash equivalents, receivables, accounts payable and accrued and other liabilities are valued at their carrying amounts as they approximate their fair value due to the short maturity of these financial instruments.

(b) *Cash, Cash Equivalents and Short-term Investments*

Cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments consisted of the following as of March 31, 2008 and 2007 (in millions):

	As of March 31, 2008				As of March 31, 2007			
	Cost or Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized		Fair Value	Cost or Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized		Fair Value
		Gains	Losses			Gains	Losses	
Cash and cash equivalents:								
Cash	\$ 292	\$—	\$—	\$ 292	\$ 194	\$—	\$—	\$ 194
Money market funds	1,251	—	—	1,251	989	—	—	989
Commercial paper	7	—	—	7	188	—	—	188
U.S. agency securities	3	—	—	3	—	—	—	—
Cash and cash equivalents	<u>1,553</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>1,553</u>	<u>1,371</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>1,371</u>
Short-term investments:								
U.S. agency securities	262	4	—	266	263	1	—	264
Corporate bonds	229	2	—	231	227	—	(1)	226
U.S. Treasury securities	159	2	—	161	92	—	—	92
Asset-backed securities	63	1	—	64	107	1	—	108
Commercial paper	12	—	—	12	574	—	—	574
Short-term investments	<u>725</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>734</u>	<u>1,263</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>(1)</u>	<u>1,264</u>
Cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments	<u>\$2,278</u>	<u>\$ 9</u>	<u>\$—</u>	<u>\$2,287</u>	<u>\$2,634</u>	<u>\$ 2</u>	<u>\$ (1)</u>	<u>\$2,635</u>

As of March 31, 2008 and 2007, we had less than \$1 million and \$1 million, respectively, in gross unrealized losses primarily attributable to our corporate bond investments. These gross unrealized losses were in loss positions for less than 12 months and 12 months or greater, as of March 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

We periodically evaluate our securities for impairment. Factors considered in the review of securities with an unrealized loss include the credit quality of the issuer, the magnitude of the unrealized loss position, the length of time that the security has been in a loss position, our intentions with respect to the selling or holding of such security as well as any contractual terms impacting the prepayment or settlement process. Based on our review, we do not consider the investments listed above to be other-than-temporarily impaired as of March 31, 2008.

Gross realized losses of \$2 million and gross realized gains of \$9 million were recognized from the sale of short-term investments for the year ended March 31, 2008. Gross realized losses of \$1 million and gross realized gains of less than \$1 million were recognized from the sale of short-term investments for the year ended March 31, 2007. Gross realized losses of \$9 million and gross realized gains of less than \$1 million were recognized from the sale of short-term investments for the year ended March 31, 2006.

The following table summarizes the amortized cost and fair value of our short-term investments, classified by stated maturity as of March 31, 2008 (in millions):

	Amortized Cost	Fair Value
Due in 1 year or less	\$163	\$164
Due in 1-2 years	254	257
Due in 2-3 years	245	249
Asset-backed securities	<u>63</u>	<u>64</u>
Short-term investments	<u>\$725</u>	<u>\$734</u>

Asset-backed securities are separately disclosed as they are not due at a single maturity date. Our portfolio only includes asset-backed securities that have weighted-average maturities of three years or less. As of March 31, 2008, the amortized cost and fair value of asset-backed securities with a weighted average maturity less than 1 year was \$55 million and \$56 million, respectively, while the amortized cost and fair value of asset-backed securities with a weighted average maturity of 1 to 2 years was \$8 million. There were no asset-backed securities with a weighted average maturity of 2 to 3 years.

(c) Marketable Equity Securities

Marketable equity securities consisted of the following (in millions):

	<u>Cost</u>	<u>Gross Unrealized Gains</u>	<u>Gross Unrealized Losses</u>	<u>Fair Value</u>
As of March 31, 2008	\$232	\$501	\$ (4)	\$729
As of March 31, 2007	\$ 91	\$250	\$ —	\$341

Our investments in marketable equity securities consist of investments in common stock of publicly traded companies.

In May 2007, we entered into a licensing agreement with and made a strategic equity investment in The9 Limited, a leading online game operator in China. We purchased approximately 15 percent of the outstanding common shares (representing 15 percent of the voting rights at that time) of The9 for approximately \$167 million. Our agreement with The9 requires us to hold these common shares until May 2008. The licensing agreement gives The9 exclusive publishing rights for *EA SPORTS™* FIFA Online in mainland China.

In April 2007, we expanded our commercial agreements with, and made strategic equity investments in, Neowiz Corporation and a related online gaming company, Neowiz Games. We refer to Neowiz Corporation and Neowiz Games collectively as “Neowiz”. Based in Korea, Neowiz is an online media and gaming company with which we partnered in 2006 to launch *EA SPORTS FIFA Online* in Korea. We purchased 15 percent of the then-outstanding common shares (representing 15 percent of the voting rights at that time) of Neowiz Corporation and 15 percent of the outstanding common shares (representing 15 percent of the voting rights at the time) of Neowiz Games, for approximately \$83 million. As discussed below, we also purchased preferred shares of Neowiz which we classified in other assets on our Consolidated Balance Sheets.

In February 2005, we purchased approximately 19.9 percent of the then-outstanding ordinary shares (representing approximately 18 percent of the voting rights at the time) of Ubisoft Entertainment (“Ubisoft”) for \$91 million. As of March 31, 2007, we owned approximately 15 percent of the outstanding shares of Ubisoft (representing approximately 14 percent of the voting rights). As of March 31, 2008, we owned approximately 15 percent of the outstanding shares of Ubisoft (representing approximately 24 percent of the voting rights). Our Ubisoft investment is accounted for as available-for-sale under the provisions of SFAS 115, “*Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities*”, as amended, and not under the equity method of accounting, because we do not have the ability to exercise significant influence over Ubisoft.

During fiscal 2008, we recognized an impairment charge of \$81 million with respect to our investment in The9 and \$28 million with respect to our Neowiz common shares. Both The9 and Neowiz are publicly traded companies and due to various factors, including the extent and duration during which the market price had been below cost, we concluded the decline in value was other-than-temporary as defined by SFAS No. 115, as amended. The \$109 million impairment is included in losses on strategic investments on our Consolidated Statements of Operations.

As of March 31, 2008, we had gross unrealized gains of \$501 million and gross unrealized losses of \$4 million in our marketable security investments. Based on our review, we do not consider the investments with gross unrealized losses to be other-than-temporarily impaired as of March 31, 2008. We evaluate our investments for impairment quarterly. If we conclude that an investment is other-than-temporarily impaired, we will recognize an impairment charge in income at that time. During fiscal 2007 and 2006, no other-than-temporary impairment charges were recognized.

We did not have any realized gains or losses from the sale of marketable equity securities for the years ended March 31, 2008 and 2007. Realized gains from the sale of marketable equity securities were \$1 million for the year ended March 31, 2006.

(d) Other Investments Included in Other Assets

In April 2007, we also purchased all of the then-outstanding non-voting preferred shares of Neowiz for approximately \$27 million and have included it in other assets in our Consolidated Balance Sheets. The preferred shares became convertible at our option into approximately 4 percent of the outstanding voting common shares of Neowiz in April 2008. We account for our investment in Neowiz under the cost method as prescribed by APB No. 18, as amended, "*The Equity Method of Accounting for Investments in Common Stock*".

During fiscal 2008, we recognized an impairment charge of \$9 million with respect to our Neowiz preferred stock. Due to various factors, including the extent and duration during which the fair value had been below cost, we concluded the decline in value was other-than-temporary as defined by APB No. 18, as amended. The \$9 million impairment is included in losses on strategic investments on our Consolidated Statements of Operations.

During fiscal 2007 and 2006, no other-than-temporary impairment in other investments were recognized.

(3) DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

We account for our derivative and hedging activities under SFAS No. 133. The assets or liabilities associated with our derivative instruments and hedging activities are recorded at fair value in other current assets or other current liabilities, respectively, in our Consolidated Balance Sheets. As discussed below, the accounting for gains and losses resulting from changes in fair value depends on the use of the derivative and whether it is designated and qualifies for hedge accounting.

We transact business in various foreign currencies and have significant international sales and expenses denominated in foreign currencies, subjecting us to foreign currency risk. We purchase foreign currency option contracts, generally with maturities of 15 months or less, to reduce the volatility of cash flows primarily related to forecasted revenue and expenses denominated in certain foreign currencies. In addition, we utilize foreign exchange forward contracts to mitigate foreign currency exchange rate risk associated with foreign-currency-denominated assets and liabilities, primarily intercompany receivables and payables. The forward contracts generally have a contractual term of approximately three months or less and are transacted near month-end. At quarter end, the fair value of the forward contracts generally is not significant. We do not use foreign currency option or foreign exchange forward contracts for speculative or trading purposes.

(a) Cash Flow Hedging Activities

Our foreign currency option contracts are designated and qualify as cash flow hedges under SFAS No. 133. The effectiveness of the cash flow hedge contracts, including time value, is assessed monthly using regression as well as other timing and probability criteria required by SFAS No. 133. To receive hedge accounting treatment, all hedging relationships are formally documented at the inception of the hedge and the hedges must be highly effective in offsetting changes to future cash flows on hedged transactions. The effective portion of gains or losses resulting from changes in fair value of these hedges is initially reported, net of tax, as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income in stockholders' equity. The gross amount of the effective portion of gains or losses resulting from changes in fair value of these hedges is subsequently reclassified into net revenue or operating expenses, as appropriate, in the period when the forecasted transaction is recognized in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. The ineffective portion of gains or losses resulting from changes in fair value, if any, is reported in each period in interest and other income, net in our Consolidated Statements of Operations. The effective portion of hedges recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income at the end of each year will be reclassified to earnings within 12 months.

The following table summarizes the activity in accumulated other comprehensive income, net of related taxes, with regard to the changes in fair value of derivative instruments, for fiscal 2008 and 2007 (in millions):

	Year Ended March 31,	
	<u>2008</u>	<u>2007</u>
Beginning balance of unrealized gains (losses), net, on derivative instruments	\$ —	\$ —
Change in unrealized gains (losses), net, on derivative instruments	(5)	(4)
Reclassification adjustment for (gains) losses, realized on derivative instruments to net income (loss), net:		
Net revenue	3	3
Operating expenses	(2)	1
Tax expense	<u>1</u>	<u>—</u>
Ending balance of unrealized gains (losses), net, on derivative instruments	<u>\$ (3)</u>	<u>\$ —</u>

Hedging ineffectiveness for the years ended March 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 was not significant.

(b) Balance Sheet Hedging Activities

Our foreign exchange forward contracts are not designated as hedging instruments under SFAS No. 133. Accordingly, any gains or losses resulting from changes in the fair value of the forward contracts are reported in interest and other income, net. The gains and losses on these forward contracts generally offset the gains and losses associated with the underlying foreign-currency-denominated assets and liabilities, which are also reported in interest and other income, net, in our Consolidated Statements of Operations.

(4) BUSINESS COMBINATIONS

VG Holding Corp.

On January 4, 2008, we acquired all of the outstanding shares of VG Holding Corp. (“VGH”), owner of both BioWare Corp. and Pandemic Studios, LLC, which create action, adventure and role-playing games. BioWare and Pandemic Studios are located in Edmonton, Canada; Los Angeles, California; Austin, Texas; and Brisbane, Australia. This acquisition positions us for further growth in role-playing, action and adventure genres. We paid approximately \$2 per share to the stockholders of VGH and assumed all outstanding stock options for an aggregate purchase price of \$682 million, including transaction costs. Separate from the purchase price and prior to January 4, 2008, we loaned VGH \$30 million. The following table summarizes the estimated fair values of assets acquired and liabilities assumed in connection with our acquisition of VGH for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2008 (in millions):

Current assets	\$ 68
Property and equipment, net	8
Acquired in-process technology	138
Goodwill	414
Finite-lived intangibles	114
Long-term deferred taxes	9
Other liabilities	<u>(69)</u>
Total purchase price	<u>\$682</u>

The results of operations of VGH and the estimated fair market values of the acquired assets and assumed liabilities have been included in our Consolidated Financial Statements since the date of acquisition.

Except for acquired in-process technology, which is discussed below, acquired finite-lived intangible assets are being amortized on a straight-line basis over their estimated lives ranging from three to five years. The intangible assets that make up that amount as of the date of the acquisition include:

	<u>Gross Carrying Amount (in millions)</u>	<u>Weighted-Average Useful Life (Years)</u>
Developed and Core Technology	\$ 51	4
Trade Names and Trademarks	41	5
Other Intangibles	<u>22</u>	3
Total Finite-Lived Intangibles	<u>\$114</u>	4

Approximately \$47 million of the goodwill recognized upon acquisition is deductible for tax purposes.

In connection with our acquisition of VGH, we incurred acquired in-process technology charges of \$138 million in relation to game software that had not reached technical feasibility as of the date of acquisition. The fair value of VGH's products under development was determined using the income approach, which discounts expected future cash flows from the acquired in-process technology to present value. The discount rates used in the present value calculations were derived from a weighted average cost of capital of 17 percent. Should the in-process software not be successfully completed, completed at a higher cost, or the development efforts go beyond the timeframe estimated by management, we will not receive the full benefits anticipated from the acquisition. Benefits from the development efforts are expected to commence in fiscal years 2009 through 2011.

The following table sets forth the estimated percent completion, the estimated cost to complete, and the value assigned to each project we acquired that is included in in-process research and development (in millions):

<u>Project</u>	<u>Estimated Percent Completion</u>	<u>Estimated Cost to Complete</u>	<u>Value Assigned</u>
A	22%	\$103	\$ 30
B	14%	62	10
C	76%	8	26
D	51%	68	<u>72</u>
			<u>\$138</u>

Project D is an aggregation of projects with each less than \$30 million in total costs.

The following table reflects unaudited pro forma combined results of operations of Electronic Arts and VGH as if the acquisition had taken place at the beginning of each respective year and after giving effect to purchase accounting adjustments (in millions, except per share data):

	<u>Year Ended March 31,</u>	
	<u>2008</u>	<u>2007</u>
	(Unaudited)	
Net revenue	\$3,672	\$3,115
Net loss	(592)	(114)
Net loss per share:		
Basic and Diluted	(1.89)	(0.37)

In management's opinion, the unaudited pro forma combined results of operations are not indicative of the actual results that would have occurred had the acquisition been consummated at the beginning of each respective year or of future operations of the combined companies under the ownership and management of Electronic Arts.

Digital Illusions C.E.

The following table summarizes the estimated fair values of the remaining minority interest acquired for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2007 and the fair values of assets acquired and liabilities assumed for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2006 and 2005, in connection with the acquisition of Digital Illusions C.E. (“DICE”) (in millions):

	<u>Year Ended March 31,</u>			<u>Total</u>
	<u>2007</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>	
Current assets	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 35	\$ 35
Property and equipment, net	—	1	1	2
Acquired in-process technology	1	—	4	5
Goodwill	19	5	31	55
Finite-lived intangibles	3	1	1	5
Liabilities	—	—	(9)	(9)
Minority interest	<u>8</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>(11)</u>	<u>—</u>
Total purchase price	<u>\$ 31</u>	<u>\$ 10</u>	<u>\$ 52</u>	<u>\$ 93</u>

Based in Sweden, DICE develops games for PCs and video game consoles. This acquisition positions us for further growth in the PC and video game console market. In 2003 we acquired (1) approximately 1,911,403 shares of Class B common stock representing a 19 percent equity interest in DICE, and (2) a warrant to acquire an additional 2,327,602 shares of to-be-issued Class A common stock at an exercise price of SEK 43.23. Prior to our tender offer in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2005, we accounted for our Class B common stock investment in DICE under the equity method of accounting, as prescribed by APB No. 18, “*The Equity Method of Accounting for Investments in Common Stock*”. Separately, the warrant was recognized at a cost of \$5 million as of March 31, 2006 and was included in other assets in our Consolidated Balance Sheets.

On January 27, 2005, we completed a tender offer for 3,235,053 shares of Class A common stock at a price of SEK 61 per share, representing 32 percent of the outstanding Class A common stock of DICE. During the tender offer period and through the end of fiscal 2005, we acquired, through open market purchases at an average price of SEK 60.33, an additional 1,190,658 shares of Class A common stock, representing approximately 12 percent of the outstanding Class A common stock of DICE. During the first three months and last two weeks of fiscal 2006, we acquired, through open market purchases at an average price of SEK 63.07, an additional 1,071,152 shares of Class A common stock, representing approximately 10 percent of the outstanding Class A common stock of DICE. Accordingly, on a cumulative basis as of March 31, 2006, we owned approximately 73 percent of DICE on an undiluted basis (excluding the warrant discussed above). As a result, we have included the assets, liabilities and results of operations of DICE in our Consolidated Financial Statements since January 27, 2005. The percent of DICE stock that we did not own was reflected as minority interest on our Consolidated Financial Statements from January 27, 2005 until the acquisition date of the remaining minority interest in October 2006. DICE’s products were primarily sold through co-publishing agreements with us and our transactions with DICE were recorded on an arm’s-length basis.

In October 2006, the remaining minority interest in DICE was acquired for a total of \$27 million in cash, including transaction costs. In connection with the acquisition of the remaining minority interest of DICE, the warrant was reclassified to goodwill for this wholly owned subsidiary.

Except for acquired in-process technology, which is discussed below, acquired finite-lived intangible assets are being amortized on a straight-line basis over their estimated lives ranging from one to four years. The intangible assets that make up that amount include:

	Gross Carrying Amount (in millions)	Weighted-Average Useful Life (in years)
Developed and Core Technology	\$3	2
Trade Name	<u>2</u>	4
Total Finite-Lived Intangibles	<u>\$5</u>	3

None of the goodwill recognized upon acquisition is deductible for tax purposes.

The acquired in-process technology was expensed in our Consolidated Statements of Operations upon consummation of the acquisition, and in each period we increased our ownership percentage.

Mythic Entertainment, Inc.

On July 24, 2006, we acquired all outstanding shares of Mythic Entertainment, Inc. for an aggregate purchase price of \$76 million in cash, including transaction costs. Based in Fairfax, Virginia, Mythic is a developer and publisher of massively multiplayer online role-playing games. This acquisition positions us for further growth in the massively multiplayer online role-playing games market. The results of operations of Mythic and the estimated fair market values of the acquired assets and assumed liabilities have been included in our Consolidated Financial Statements since the date of acquisition. The following table summarizes the estimated fair values of assets acquired and liabilities assumed in connection with our acquisition of Mythic for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2007 (in millions):

Current assets	\$ 15
Other long-term assets	2
Acquired in-process technology	2
Goodwill	62
Finite-lived intangibles	22
Liabilities	<u>(27)</u>
Total purchase price	<u>\$ 76</u>

Except for acquired in-process technology, which is discussed below, acquired finite-lived intangible assets are being amortized on a straight-line basis over their estimated lives ranging from three to five years. The intangible assets that make up that amount as of the date of the acquisition include:

	Gross Carrying Amount (in millions)	Weighted-Average Useful Life (in years)
Developed and Core Technology	\$15	4
Trade Name	6	5
Subscribers and Other Intangibles	<u>1</u>	5
Total Finite-Lived Intangibles	<u>\$22</u>	4

None of the goodwill recognized upon acquisition is deductible for tax purposes. We expensed \$2 million of acquired in-process technology in our Consolidated Statements of Operations upon consummation of the acquisition.

JAMDAT Mobile Inc.

On February 15, 2006, we acquired all of the outstanding shares of JAMDAT Mobile Inc. Based in Los Angeles, California, JAMDAT was a global publisher of wireless games and other wireless entertainment

applications. This acquisition positions us for further growth in the mobile entertainment market. We paid \$27 per share in cash in exchange for each share of JAMDAT common stock and assumed outstanding stock options and restricted stock units under certain JAMDAT equity plans for an aggregate purchase price of \$684 million, including transaction costs. The following table summarizes the estimated fair values of assets acquired and liabilities assumed in connection with our acquisition of JAMDAT for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2006 and the subsequent adjustment to the purchase price allocation for the fiscal 2008 and 2007 (in millions):

Current assets	\$ 52
Property and equipment, net	2
Acquired in-process technology	7
Goodwill	490
Finite-lived intangibles	212
Deferred income tax liabilities	(45)
Other liabilities	<u>(34)</u>
Total purchase price	<u>\$684</u>

Prior to our acquisition of JAMDAT, on April 20, 2005, JAMDAT entered into a purchase agreement with the shareholders of Blue Lava Wireless, LLC (“Blue Lava”). In connection with JAMDAT’s acquisition of Blue Lava, JAMDAT stock was placed in escrow to satisfy certain indemnification provisions under the Blue Lava purchase agreement. Upon completion of our acquisition of JAMDAT, we assumed JAMDAT’s contingent liability and replaced the JAMDAT stock in escrow with \$27 million in cash, also placed in escrow. The \$27 million is included in our purchase price of JAMDAT as a pre-acquisition contingency. We were required to pay \$9 million on each of the three anniversaries of the Blue Lava acquisition, beginning on April 20, 2006, less any claims we may have pursuant to the indemnification provisions of the Blue Lava purchase agreement. In April 2008, 2007 and 2006, we made payments of approximately \$9 million in each period, thereby completing our payment of \$27 million to Blue Lava.

The results of operations of JAMDAT and the estimated fair market values of the acquired assets and assumed liabilities have been included in our Consolidated Financial Statements since the date of acquisition.

Except for acquired in-process technology, which is discussed below, acquired finite-lived intangible assets are being amortized on a straight-line basis over their estimated lives ranging from two to twelve years. The intangible assets that make up that amount as of the date of the acquisition include:

	<u>Gross Carrying Amount (in millions)</u>	<u>Weighted-Average Useful Life (Years)</u>
Developed and Core Technology	\$122	10
Carrier Contracts and Related	85	5
Other Intangibles	<u>5</u>	3
Total Finite-Lived Intangibles	<u>\$212</u>	8

Substantially none of the goodwill recognized upon acquisition is deductible for tax purposes. We expensed \$7 million of acquired in-process technology in our Consolidated Statement of Operations upon consummation of the acquisition.

(5) GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS

Goodwill information is as follows (in millions):

	Year Ended March 31,	
	2008	2007
Goodwill — beginning of year	\$ 734	\$647
Acquired	414	87
Effects of Foreign Currency Translation	4	—
Goodwill — end of year	<u>\$1,152</u>	<u>\$734</u>

SFAS No. 142, “*Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*” requires that purchased goodwill and indefinite-lived intangibles not be amortized. Rather, goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets are subject to at least an annual assessment for impairment by applying a fair-value-based test.

SFAS No. 142 requires a two-step approach to testing goodwill for impairment for each reporting unit. Our reporting units are determined by the components that constitute a business for which both (1) discreet financial information is available and (2) management of the reporting unit regularly reviews the operating results of that component. The first step tests for impairment by applying fair value-based tests at the reporting unit level. The second step (if necessary) measures the amount of impairment by applying fair value-based tests to individual assets and liabilities within each reporting unit. We completed the first step of the annual goodwill impairment testing in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2008 and found no indicators of impairment of our recorded goodwill. We did not recognize an impairment loss on goodwill in fiscal 2008, 2007 or 2006.

Finite-lived intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization, as of March 31, 2008 and 2007, were \$265 million and \$210 million, respectively, and include costs for obtaining (1) developed technologies, (2) carrier contracts and related, (3) trade names, and (4) subscribers and other intangibles. Amortization of intangibles for fiscal 2008, 2007 and 2006 was \$60 million (of which \$26 million was recognized in cost of goods sold), \$54 million (of which \$27 million was recognized in cost of goods sold) and \$16 million (of which \$9 million was recognized in cost of goods sold), respectively. Finite-lived intangible assets are amortized using the straight-line method over the lesser of their estimated useful lives or the agreement terms, typically from two to twelve years. As of March 31, 2008 and 2007, the weighted-average remaining useful life for finite-lived intangible assets was approximately 5.2 years and 6.3 years, respectively.

Finite-lived intangibles consisted of the following (in millions):

	As of March 31, 2008			As of March 31, 2007		
	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Other Intangibles, Net	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Other Intangibles, Net
Developed and Core Technology	\$234	\$ (95)	\$139	\$183	\$ (62)	\$121
Carrier Contracts and Related	85	(36)	49	85	(19)	66
Trade Name	86	(30)	56	44	(24)	20
Subscribers and Other Intangibles	38	(17)	21	16	(13)	3
Total	<u>\$443</u>	<u>\$(178)</u>	<u>\$265</u>	<u>\$328</u>	<u>\$(118)</u>	<u>\$210</u>

As of March 31, 2008, future amortization of finite-lived intangibles that will be recorded in cost of goods sold and operating expenses is estimated as follows (in millions):

Fiscal Year Ending March 31,	
2009	\$ 69
2010	62
2011	55
2012	27
2013	14
Thereafter	<u>38</u>
Total	<u>\$265</u>

(6) RESTRUCTURING CHARGES

Restructuring information was as follows (in millions):

	Fiscal 2008 Reorganization			Fiscal 2006 International Publishing Reorganization			Other Restructurings		Total
	Workforce	Facilities-related	Other	Workforce	Facilities-related	Other	Workforce	Facilities-related	
Balances as of March 31, 2005 ..	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$10	\$ 10
Charges to operations	—	—	—	3	8	3	10	—	24
Charges utilized in cash	—	—	—	(2)	—	(1)	(7)	(5)	(15)
Adjustments to operations	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>
Balances as of March 31, 2006 ..	—	—	—	1	8	2	3	7	21
Charges to operations	—	—	—	10	1	4	—	—	15
Charges utilized in cash	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>(11)</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>(5)</u>	<u>(3)</u>	<u>(7)</u>	<u>(26)</u>
Balances as of March 31, 2007 ..	—	—	—	—	9	1	—	—	10
Charges to operations	12	58	27	6	—	—	—	—	103
Charges utilized in cash	(11)	(3)	(22)	(6)	—	(1)	—	—	(43)
Charges utilized in non-cash ..	<u>—</u>	<u>(55)</u>	<u>(1)</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>(56)</u>
Balances as of March 31, 2008 ..	<u>\$ 1</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 4</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 9</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 14</u>

Fiscal 2008 Reorganization

In June 2007, we announced a plan to reorganize our business into several new divisions, including four new “Labels”: EA SPORTS, EA Games, EA Casual Entertainment and The Sims. Each Label operates with dedicated studio and product marketing teams focused on consumer-driven priorities. The new structure is designed to streamline decision-making, improve global focus, and speed new ideas to the market. In October 2007, our Board of Directors approved a plan of reorganization (“fiscal 2008 reorganization plan”) in connection with the reorganization of our business into four new Labels.

Since the inception of the fiscal 2008 reorganization plan through March 31, 2008, we incurred charges of \$97 million, of which (1) \$12 million were employee-related expenses, (2) \$58 million related to the closure of our Chertsey, England and Chicago, Illinois facilities which included asset impairment and lease termination costs, and (3) \$27 million related to other costs including other contract terminations as well as IT and consulting costs to assist in the reorganization of our business support functions. During the fourth quarter of fiscal 2008, we completed the closure of our Chertsey facility and consolidated our local operations and employees into our Guildford, England facility. Over the next 18 months, we expect to continue to incur IT and consulting costs to assist in the reorganization of our business support functions. The restructuring accrual of \$5 million related to our fiscal 2008 reorganization as of March 31, 2008 is expected to be utilized by

June 30, 2008. This accrual is included in other accrued expenses presented in Note 8 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

During fiscal 2008, we commenced marketing our facility in Chertsey, England for sale. Our reorganization charges include \$50 million to write our Chertsey facility down to its estimated fair value (less costs to sell the property). We also reclassified the estimated fair value of the Chertsey facility from property and equipment, net, to other current assets as an asset held for sale on our Consolidated Balance Sheet.

In fiscal 2009, we anticipate incurring approximately \$20 million of restructuring charges related to the fiscal 2008 reorganization. Overall, including charges incurred through March 31, 2008, we expect to incur cash and non-cash charges between \$115 million and \$125 million by fiscal 2010. These charges will consist primarily of employee-related costs (approximately \$10 million), facility exit costs (approximately \$60 million), as well as other reorganization costs including other contract terminations and IT and consulting costs to assist in the reorganization of our business support functions (approximately \$50 million).

Fiscal 2006 International Publishing Reorganization

In November 2005, we announced plans to establish an international publishing headquarters in Geneva, Switzerland. Through the quarter ended September 30, 2006, we relocated certain employees to our new facility in Geneva, closed certain facilities in the United Kingdom, and made other related changes in our international publishing business.

Since the inception of the restructuring plan, through March 31, 2008, we have incurred restructuring charges of approximately \$35 million, of which \$19 million was for employee-related expenses, \$9 million for the closure of certain United Kingdom facilities, and \$7 million in other costs. The restructuring accrual of \$9 million related to our fiscal 2006 international publishing reorganization as of March 31, 2008 is expected to be utilized by March 2017. This accrual is included in other accrued expenses presented in Note 8 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

In connection with our fiscal 2006 international publishing reorganization, in fiscal 2009, we expect to incur approximately \$5 million of restructuring charges. Overall, including charges incurred through March 31, 2008, we expect to incur between \$50 million and \$55 million of restructuring charges in connection with our fiscal 2006 international publishing reorganization, substantially all of which will result in cash expenditures by 2017. These restructuring charges will consist primarily of employee-related relocation assistance (approximately \$30 million), facility exit costs (approximately \$15 million), as well as other reorganization costs (approximately \$7 million).

Other Restructurings

We engaged in various restructurings based on management decisions. As of March 31, 2008, all \$44 million in cash had been paid out under these restructuring plans.

(7) ROYALTIES AND LICENSES

Our royalty expenses consist of payments to (1) content licensors, (2) independent software developers, and (3) co-publishing and distribution affiliates. License royalties consist of payments made to celebrities, professional sports organizations, movie studios and other organizations for our use of their trademarks, copyrights, personal publicity rights, content and/or other intellectual property. Royalty payments to independent software developers are payments for the development of intellectual property related to our games. Co-publishing and distribution royalties are payments made to third parties for the delivery of product.

Royalty-based obligations with content licensors and distribution affiliates are either paid in advance and capitalized as prepaid royalties or are accrued as incurred and subsequently paid. These royalty-based obligations are generally expensed to cost of goods sold generally at the greater of the contractual rate or an effective royalty rate based on the total projected net revenue. Prepayments made to thinly capitalized independent software developers and co-publishing affiliates are generally in connection with the development of a particular product and, therefore, we are generally subject to development risk prior to the release of the

product. Accordingly, payments that are due prior to completion of a product are generally expensed to research and development over the development period as the services are incurred. Payments due after completion of the product (primarily royalty-based in nature) are generally expensed as cost of goods sold.

Our contracts with some licensors include minimum guaranteed royalty payments which are initially recorded as an asset and as a liability at the contractual amount when no performance remains with the licensor. When performance remains with the licensor, we record guarantee payments as an asset when actually paid and as a liability when incurred, rather than recording the asset and liability upon execution of the contract. Minimum royalty payment obligations are classified as current liabilities to the extent such royalty payments are contractually due within the next twelve months. As of March 31, 2008 and 2007, approximately \$10 million and \$9 million, respectively, of minimum guaranteed royalty obligations had been recognized and are included in the royalty-related assets and liabilities tables below.

Each quarter, we also evaluate the future realization of our royalty-based assets as well as any unrecognized minimum commitments not yet paid to determine amounts we deem unlikely to be realized through product sales. Any impairments or losses determined before the launch of a product are charged to research and development expense. Impairments or losses determined post-launch are charged to cost of goods sold. In either case, we rely on estimated revenue to evaluate the future realization of prepaid royalties and commitments. If actual sales or revised revenue estimates fall below the initial revenue estimate, then the actual charge taken may be greater in any given quarter than anticipated. During fiscal 2008 and 2006, we recognized impairment charges of \$4 million and \$16 million, respectively. We had no impairment charges during fiscal 2007.

The current and long-term portions of prepaid royalties and minimum guaranteed royalty-related assets, included in other current assets and other assets, consisted of (in millions):

	<u>As of March 31,</u>	
	<u>2008</u>	<u>2007</u>
Other current assets	\$ 54	\$ 69
Other assets	<u>62</u>	<u>40</u>
Royalty-related assets	<u>\$116</u>	<u>\$109</u>

At any given time, depending on the timing of our payments to our co-publishing and/or distribution affiliates, content licensors and/or independent software developers, we recognize unpaid royalty amounts owed to these parties as either accounts payable or accrued liabilities. The current and long-term portions of accrued royalties, included in accrued and other current liabilities as well as other liabilities, consisted of (in millions):

	<u>As of March 31,</u>	
	<u>2008</u>	<u>2007</u>
Accrued and other current liabilities	\$200	\$91
Other liabilities	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>
Royalty-related liabilities	<u>\$203</u>	<u>\$94</u>

In addition, as of March 31, 2008, we were committed to pay approximately \$1,540 million to content licensors and co-publishing and/or distribution affiliates, but performance remained with the counterparty (*i.e.*, delivery of the product or content or other factors) and such commitments were therefore not recorded in our Consolidated Financial Statements. See Note 9 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

(8) BALANCE SHEET DETAILS

(a) Inventories

Inventories as of March 31, 2008 and 2007 consisted of (in millions):

	As of March 31,	
	2008	2007
Raw materials and work in process	\$ 4	\$ 1
In-transit inventory	43	—
Finished goods	121	61
Inventories	<u>\$168</u>	<u>\$62</u>

(b) Property and Equipment, Net

Property and equipment, net, as of March 31, 2008 and 2007 consisted of (in millions):

	As of March 31,	
	2008	2007
Computer equipment and software	\$ 643	\$ 555
Buildings	151	194
Leasehold improvements	131	110
Office equipment, furniture and fixtures	77	70
Land	11	65
Warehouse equipment and other	11	10
Construction in progress	14	10
	1,038	1,014
Less accumulated depreciation	<u>(642)</u>	<u>(530)</u>
Property and equipment, net	<u>\$ 396</u>	<u>\$ 484</u>

During the three months ended December 31, 2007, we commenced marketing our facility in Chertsey, England for sale. Therefore, we reclassified the estimated fair value of the Chertsey facility from property and equipment, net, to other current assets as an asset held for sale on our Consolidated Balance Sheet.

Depreciation expense associated with property and equipment amounted to \$126 million, \$113 million and \$79 million for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

(c) Accrued and Other Current Liabilities

Accrued and other current liabilities as of March 31, 2008 and 2007 consisted of (in millions):

	As of March 31,	
	2008	2007
Accrued royalties	\$200	\$ 91
Other accrued expenses	199	175
Accrued compensation and benefits	189	206
Deferred net revenue (other)	73	58
Accrued income taxes	22	284
Accrued and other current liabilities	<u>\$683</u>	<u>\$814</u>

Deferred net revenue (other), includes the deferral of subscription revenue, deferrals related to our Switzerland distribution business, advertising revenue, licensing arrangements and other revenue for which revenue recognition criteria has not been met.

(d) Deferred Net Revenue (Packaged Goods and Digital Content)

Deferred net revenue (packaged goods and digital content), was \$387 million as of March 31, 2008 and \$32 million as of March 31, 2007. Deferred net revenue (packaged goods and digital content), includes the deferral of (1) the total net revenue from the sale of certain online-enabled packaged goods and PC digital downloads for which we do not have VSOE for the online service we provide in connection with the sale of the software, and (2) revenue from the sale of certain incremental content associated with our core subscription services that can only be played online, which are types of “micro-transactions”. We recognize revenue from sales of online-enabled software products for which we do not have VSOE for the online service on a straight-line basis over an estimated six month period beginning in the month after shipment. In addition, we expense the cost of goods sold related to these transactions during the period in which the product is delivered (rather than on a deferred basis).

(9) COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Lease Commitments and Residual Value Guarantees

We lease certain of our current facilities, furniture and equipment under non-cancelable operating lease agreements. We are required to pay property taxes, insurance and normal maintenance costs for certain of these facilities and will be required to pay any increases over the base year of these expenses on the remainder of our facilities.

In February 1995, we entered into a build-to-suit lease (“Phase One Lease”) with a third-party lessor for our headquarters facilities in Redwood City, California (“Phase One Facilities”). The Phase One Facilities comprise a total of approximately 350,000 square feet and provide space for sales, marketing, administration and research and development functions. In July 2001, the lessor refinanced the Phase One Lease with Keybank National Association through July 2006. The Phase One Lease expires in January 2039, subject to early termination in the event the underlying financing between the lessor and its lenders is not extended. Subject to certain terms and conditions, we may purchase the Phase One Facilities or arrange for the sale of the Phase One Facilities to a third party.

Pursuant to the terms of the Phase One Lease, we have an option to purchase the Phase One Facilities at any time for a purchase price of \$132 million. In the event of a sale to a third party, if the sale price is less than \$132 million, we will be obligated to reimburse the difference between the actual sale price and \$132 million, up to a maximum of \$117 million, subject to certain provisions of the Phase One Lease, as amended.

On May 26, 2006, the lessor extended its loan financing underlying the Phase One Lease with its lenders through July 2007, and on May 14, 2007, the lenders extended this financing again for an additional year through July 2008. On April 14, 2008, the lenders extended the financing for another year through July 2009. At any time prior to the expiration of the financing in July 2009, we may re-negotiate the lease and the related financing arrangement. We account for the Phase One Lease arrangement as an operating lease in accordance with SFAS No. 13, “*Accounting for Leases*”, as amended.

In December 2000, we entered into a second build-to-suit lease (“Phase Two Lease”) with Keybank National Association for a five and one-half year term beginning in December 2000 to expand our Redwood City, California headquarters facilities and develop adjacent property (“Phase Two Facilities”). Construction of the Phase Two Facilities was completed in June 2002. The Phase Two Facilities comprise a total of approximately 310,000 square feet and provide space for sales, marketing, administration and research and development functions. Subject to certain terms and conditions, we may purchase the Phase Two Facilities or arrange for the sale of the Phase Two Facilities to a third party.

Pursuant to the terms of the Phase Two Lease, we have an option to purchase the Phase Two Facilities at any time for a purchase price of \$115 million. In the event of a sale to a third party, if the sale price is less than \$115 million, we will be obligated to reimburse the difference between the actual sale price and \$115 million, up to a maximum of \$105 million, subject to certain provisions of the Phase Two Lease, as amended.

On May 26, 2006, the lessor extended the Phase Two Lease through July 2009 subject to early termination in the event the underlying loan financing between the lessor and its lenders is not extended. Concurrently with the extension of the lease, the lessor extended the loan financing underlying the Phase Two Lease with its lenders through July 2007. On May 14, 2007, the lenders extended this financing again for an additional year through July 2008. On April 14, 2008, the lenders extended the financing for another year through July 2009. At any time prior to the expiration of the financing in July 2009, we may re-negotiate the lease and the related financing arrangement. We account for the Phase Two Lease arrangement as an operating lease in accordance with SFAS No. 13, as amended.

We believe that, as of March 31, 2008, the estimated fair values of both properties under these operating leases exceeded their respective guaranteed residual values.

The two lease agreements with Keybank National Association described above require us to maintain certain financial covenants as shown below, all of which we were in compliance with as of March 31, 2008.

<u>Financial Covenants</u>	<u>Requirement</u>	<u>Actual as of March 31, 2008</u>
Consolidated Net Worth (in millions)	equal to or greater than \$2,430	\$4,339
Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio	equal to or greater than 3.00	3.88
Total Consolidated Debt to Capital	equal to or less than 60%	5.4%
Quick Ratio — Q1 & Q2	equal to or greater than 1.00	N/A
Q3 & Q4	equal to or greater than 1.75	7.71

In February 2006, we entered into an agreement with an independent third party to lease a facility in Guildford, Surrey, United Kingdom, which commenced in June 2006 and will expire in May 2016. The facility comprises a total of approximately 95,000 square feet, which we use for administrative, sales and development functions. Our rental obligation under this agreement is approximately \$33 million over the initial ten-year term of the lease.

In June 2004, we entered into a lease agreement, amended in December 2005, with an independent third party for a studio facility in Orlando, Florida. The lease commenced in January 2005 and expires in June 2010, with one five-year option to extend the lease term. The campus facilities comprise a total of 140,000 square feet and provide space for research and development functions. Our rental obligation over the initial five-and-a-half year term of the lease is \$15 million.

In July 2003, we entered into a lease agreement with an independent third party (the “Landlord”) for a studio facility in Los Angeles, California, which commenced in October 2003 and expires in September 2013 with two five-year options to extend the lease term. Additionally, we have options to purchase the property after five and ten years based on the fair market value of the property at the date of sale, a right of first offer to purchase the property upon terms offered by the Landlord, and a right to share in the profits from a sale of the property. Existing campus facilities comprise a total of 243,000 square feet and provide space for research and development functions. Our rental obligation under this agreement is \$50 million over the initial ten-year term of the lease. This commitment is offset by expected sublease income of \$6 million for a sublease to an affiliate of the Landlord of 18,000 square feet of the Los Angeles facility, which commenced in October 2003 and expires in September 2013, with options of early termination by either party after October 2008.

In October 2002, we entered into a lease agreement, with an independent third party for a studio facility in Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada, which commenced in May 2003 and expires in April 2013. We amended the lease in October 2003. The facility comprises a total of approximately 65,000 square feet and provides space for research and development functions. Our rental obligation under this agreement is approximately \$16 million over the initial ten-year term of the lease.

Development, Celebrity, League and Content Licenses: Payments and Commitments

The products we produce in our studios are designed and created by our employee designers, artists, software programmers and by non-employee software developers (“independent artists” or “third-party developers”). We typically advance development funds to the independent artists and third-party developers during development

of our games, usually in installment payments made upon the completion of specified development milestones. Contractually, these payments are generally considered advances against subsequent royalties on the sales of the products. These terms are set forth in written agreements entered into with the independent artists and third-party developers.

In addition, we have certain celebrity, league and content license contracts that contain minimum guarantee payments and marketing commitments that may not be dependent on any deliverables. Celebrities and organizations with whom we have contracts include: FIFA, FIFPRO Foundation, UEFA and FAPL (Football Association Premier League Limited) (professional soccer); NASCAR (stock car racing); National Basketball Association (professional basketball); PGA TOUR and Tiger Woods (professional golf); National Hockey League and NHL Players' Association (professional hockey); Warner Bros. (Harry Potter and Batman); New Line Productions and Saul Zaentz Company (The Lord of the Rings); Red Bear Inc. (John Madden); National Football League Properties and PLAYERS Inc. (professional football); Collegiate Licensing Company (collegiate football and basketball); Viacom Consumer Products (The Godfather); ESPN (content in EA SPORTS™ games); Twentieth Century Fox Licensing and Merchandising (The Simpsons); and Hasbro, Inc. (a wide array of Hasbro intellectual properties). These developer and content license commitments represent the sum of (1) the cash payments due under non-royalty-bearing licenses and services agreements, and (2) the minimum guaranteed payments and advances against royalties due under royalty-bearing licenses and services agreements, the majority of which are conditional upon performance by the counterparty. These minimum guarantee payments and any related marketing commitments are included in the table below.

The following table summarizes our minimum contractual obligations and commercial commitments as of March 31, 2008 (in millions):

Fiscal Year Ending March 31,	Contractual Obligations			Commercial Commitments	Total
	Leases ⁽¹⁾	Developer/ Licensor Commitments ⁽²⁾	Marketing	Letter of Credit, Bank and Other Guarantees	
2009	\$ 62	\$ 176	\$ 66	\$ 6	\$ 310
2010	50	183	42	—	275
2011	40	298	38	—	376
2012	33	147	38	—	218
2013	26	134	38	—	198
Thereafter	<u>53</u>	<u>612</u>	<u>155</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>820</u>
Total	<u>\$264</u>	<u>\$1,550</u>	<u>\$377</u>	<u>\$ 6</u>	<u>\$2,197</u>

(1) Lease commitments include contractual rental commitments of \$13 million under real estate leases for unutilized office space resulting from our restructuring activities. These amounts, net of estimated future sub-lease income, were expensed in the periods of the related restructuring and are included in our accrued and other current liabilities reported on our Consolidated Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2008. See Note 6 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

(2) Developer/licensor commitments include \$10 million of commitments to developers or licensors that have been recorded in current and long-term liabilities and a corresponding amount in current and long-term assets in our Consolidated Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2008 because payment is not contingent upon performance by the developer or licensor.

The amounts represented in the table above reflect our minimum cash obligations for the respective fiscal years, but do not necessarily represent the periods in which they will be expensed in our Consolidated Financial Statements.

In addition to what is included in the table above, as discussed in Note 10 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, we have adopted the provisions of FASB Interpretation (“FIN”) No. 48, “Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes — An Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109”. As of March 31, 2008, we had

a liability for unrecognized tax benefits and an accrual for the payment of related interest totaling \$360 million, of which approximately \$41 million is offset by prior cash deposits to tax authorities for issues pending resolution. For the remaining liability, we are unable to make a reasonably reliable estimate of when cash settlement with a taxing authority will occur.

Total rent expense for all operating leases was \$94 million, \$88 million and \$59 million, for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

Legal proceedings

We are subject to claims and litigation arising in the ordinary course of business. We do not believe that any liability from any reasonably foreseeable disposition of such claims and litigation, individually or in the aggregate, would have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position or results of operations.

Director Indemnity Agreements

We entered into indemnification agreements with each of the members of our Board of Directors at the time they joined the Board to indemnify them to the extent permitted by law against any and all liabilities, costs, expenses, amounts paid in settlement and damages incurred by the directors as a result of any lawsuit, or any judicial, administrative or investigative proceeding in which the directors are sued or charged as a result of their service as members of our Board of Directors.

(10) INCOME TAXES

The components of the provision (benefit) for income taxes are as follows (in millions):

	<u>Year Ended March 31,</u>		
	<u>2008</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2006</u>
Domestic	\$(353)	\$ 31	\$200
Foreign	(154)	107	189
Income (loss) before provision for income taxes and minority interest	<u>\$(507)</u>	<u>\$138</u>	<u>\$389</u>

Income tax expense (benefit) for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 consisted of (in millions):

	<u>Current</u>	<u>Deferred</u>	<u>Total</u>
Year Ended March 31, 2008			
Federal	\$ (28)	\$(43)	\$ (71)
State	(1)	(21)	(22)
Foreign	<u>46</u>	<u>(6)</u>	<u>40</u>
	<u>\$ 17</u>	<u>\$(70)</u>	<u>\$(53)</u>
Year Ended March 31, 2007			
Federal	\$ 98	\$(31)	\$ 67
State	4	(22)	(18)
Foreign	<u>14</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>17</u>
	<u>\$116</u>	<u>\$(50)</u>	<u>\$ 66</u>
Year Ended March 31, 2006			
Federal	\$ 91	\$ 17	\$108
State	13	2	15
Foreign	<u>32</u>	<u>(8)</u>	<u>24</u>
	<u>\$136</u>	<u>\$ 11</u>	<u>\$147</u>

The differences between the statutory income tax rate and our effective tax expense (benefit) rate, expressed as a percentage of income (loss) before provision for income tax expense (benefit) and minority interest, for the years ended March 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 were as follows:

	Year Ended March 31,		
	2008	2007	2006
Statutory federal tax expense (benefit) rate	(35.0%)	35.0%	35.0%
State taxes, net of federal benefit	(2.7%)	(0.7%)	0.8%
Differences between statutory rate and foreign effective tax rate	1.9%	(8.6%)	(4.9%)
Research and development credits	(1.5%)	(3.0%)	(0.2%)
Resolution of tax-related matters with tax authorities	—	(0.2%)	(6.1%)
Non-deductible acquisition-related costs and tax expense from integration restructurings	9.5%	7.2%	8.7%
Non-deductible losses on strategic investments	8.2%	—	—
Loss on facility impairment	3.5%	—	—
Jobs Act, including state taxes	—	—	4.3%
Non-deductible stock-based compensation	5.5%	15.5%	—
Other	<u>0.3%</u>	<u>3.0%</u>	<u>—</u>
Effective tax expense (benefit) rate	<u>(10.3%)</u>	<u>48.2%</u>	<u>37.6%</u>

Undistributed earnings of our foreign subsidiaries amounted to approximately \$1.3 billion as of March 31, 2008. Those earnings are considered to be indefinitely reinvested and, accordingly, no U.S. income taxes have been provided thereon. Upon distribution of those earnings in the form of dividends or otherwise, we would be subject to both U.S. income taxes (subject to an adjustment for foreign tax credits) and withholding taxes payable to various foreign countries. It is not practicable to determine the income tax liability that might be incurred if these earnings were to be distributed.

The components of the deferred tax assets, net, as of March 31, 2008 and 2007 consisted of (in millions):

	As of March 31,	
	2008	2007
Deferred tax assets:		
Accruals, reserves and other expenses	\$ 187	\$101
Tax credit carryforwards	109	60
Equity compensation	50	25
Net operating loss & capital loss carryforwards	<u>78</u>	<u>8</u>
Total	424	194
Valuation allowance	<u>(22)</u>	<u>(5)</u>
Deferred tax asset net of valuation allowance	<u>402</u>	<u>189</u>
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Depreciation	(41)	(41)
Amortization	(20)	(21)
State effect on federal taxes	(34)	(23)
Unrealized gain on marketable equity securities	(3)	—
Prepays and other liabilities	<u>(5)</u>	<u>(3)</u>
Total	<u>(103)</u>	<u>(88)</u>
Deferred tax asset, net	<u>\$ 299</u>	<u>\$101</u>

As of March 31, 2008, deferred tax assets, net, of \$145 million were classified as current assets, \$164 million were classified as non-current assets and deferred tax liabilities, net, of \$5 million were classified as current liabilities, \$5 million were classified as non-current liabilities. As of March 31, 2007, deferred tax assets, net, of \$84 million were classified as current assets, \$25 million were classified as non-current assets and deferred tax liabilities, net, of \$8 million were classified as non-current liabilities.

The net deferred tax asset valuation allowance was \$22 million at March 29, 2008 and \$5 million at March 31, 2007. Of the \$22 million total valuation allowance, approximately \$3 million is attributable to acquisition-related assets, the benefit of which will reduce goodwill when and if realized. The valuation allowance increased by \$17 million in fiscal year 2008, primarily due to the loss on the impairment of our facility in Chertsey, England.

As of March 31, 2008, we have net operating loss (“NOL”) carryforwards attributable to various acquired companies of approximately \$149 million. These net operating loss carryforwards are subject to an annual limitation under Internal Revenue Code Section 382, but are expected to be fully realized. The federal NOL if not fully realized, will expire beginning 2026 through 2028. Furthermore, we have state net loss carryforwards of approximately \$328 million of which approximately \$135 million is attributable to various acquired companies. The state NOL if not fully realized, will expire beginning 2016 through 2018. We also have U.S. federal and California tax credit carryforwards of \$43 million and \$66 million respectively. The U.S. tax credit carryforwards will expire at various dates beginning in 2015 through 2028. The California tax credit carryforwards can be carried forward indefinitely.

In the fourth quarter of fiscal 2006, we repatriated \$375 million of foreign earnings to take advantage of the favorable provisions of the American Jobs Creation Act (the “Jobs Act”). Under the Jobs Act, the qualifying portion of this repatriation was eligible for a temporary 85 percent dividends received deduction on certain foreign earnings. Accordingly, we recorded tax expense in fiscal 2006 of \$17 million related to this repatriation.

During fiscal 2006 we recognized a \$73 million reduction in income taxes payable following a U.S. Tax Court ruling regarding the proper allocation of the tax deduction for stock options between U.S. and foreign entities. Although the Tax Court ruling remains subject to appeal, as a precedent, it is relevant to our situation. Accordingly, we released a reserve of \$73 million during fiscal 2006, whereby, we recorded a reduction to our income tax payable and an increase to additional paid-in capital with no impact to net income.

In February 2006, the FASB issued FIN No. 48 that clarifies the accounting and recognition for income tax positions taken or expected to be taken in our tax returns. On May 2, 2007, the FASB issued FSP FIN 48-1, “*Definition of Settlement in FASB Interpretation No. 48*”, which amended FIN No. 48 to provide guidance on how an entity should determine whether a tax position is effectively settled for the purpose of recognizing previously unrecognized tax benefits. We adopted FIN No. 48 and FSP FIN 48-1 on April 1, 2007, and recognized the cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle by recognizing a decrease in the liability for unrecognized tax benefits of \$18 million, with a corresponding increase to beginning retained earnings. We also recognized an additional decrease in the liability for unrecognized tax benefits of \$14 million with a corresponding increase in beginning paid-in capital related to the tax benefits of employee stock options. In our second quarter of fiscal 2008, we increased the beginning retained earnings by approximately \$1 million to reflect an immaterial revision to the cumulative effect of the adoption of FIN No. 48.

The total liability for gross unrecognized tax benefits included in our Consolidated Balance Sheet as of April 1, 2007 was \$283 million. The liability for gross unrecognized tax benefits increased by approximately \$29 million during the current year to a total liability as of March 31, 2008 of \$312 million. Of these amounts, \$41 million of liabilities would be offset by prior cash deposits to tax authorities for issues pending resolution. A reconciliation of the beginning and ending balance of unrecognized tax benefits is summarized as follows (in millions):

Balance as of April 1, 2007	\$283
Increases in unrecognized tax benefits related to prior year tax positions	10
Decreases in unrecognized tax benefits related to prior year tax positions	(9)
Increases in unrecognized tax benefits related to current year tax positions	23
Decreases in unrecognized tax benefits related to settlements with taxing authorities	—
Reductions in unrecognized tax benefits due to lapse of applicable statute of limitations	(2)
Changes in unrecognized tax benefits due to foreign currency translation	<u>7</u>
Balance as of March 31, 2008	<u>\$312</u>

As of March 31, 2008, approximately \$257 million of the unrecognized tax benefits would affect our effective tax rate, as compared to \$239 million as of April 1, 2007, if recognized upon resolution of the uncertain tax positions.

Interest and penalties related to estimated obligations for tax positions taken in our tax returns are recognized in income tax expense in our Consolidated Statements of Operations. The combined amount of accrued interest and penalties related to tax positions taken on our tax returns and included in non-current other liabilities was approximately \$55 million as of March 31, 2008, as compared to \$42 million as of April 1, 2007. Approximately \$13 million of accrued interest expense related to estimated obligations for unrecognized tax benefits was recognized during fiscal 2008.

Prior to April 1, 2007, we presented our estimated liability for unrecognized tax benefits as a current liability. Beginning on April 1, 2007, however, FIN No. 48 requires us to classify liabilities for unrecognized tax benefits based on whether we expect payment will be made within the next 12 months. Amounts expected to be paid within the next 12 months are classified as a current liability and all other amounts are classified as a non-current liability. In addition, prior to April 1, 2007 we presented our estimated state, local and interest liabilities net of the estimated benefit we expect to receive from deducting such payments on future tax returns (*i.e.*, on a “net” basis). Beginning on April 1, 2007, FIN No. 48 requires this estimated benefit to be classified as a deferred tax asset instead of a reduction of the overall liability (*i.e.*, on a “gross” basis).

We file income tax returns in the United States, including various state and local jurisdictions. Our subsidiaries file tax returns in various foreign jurisdictions, including Canada, France, Germany, Switzerland and the United Kingdom. The Internal Revenue Service (“IRS”) has completed its examination of our federal income tax returns through fiscal year 2003. As of March 31, 2008, the IRS had proposed, and we had agreed to, certain adjustments to our tax returns. The effects of these adjustments have been considered in estimating our future obligations for unrecognized tax benefits and are not expected to have a material impact on our financial position or results of operations. As of March 31, 2008, we had not agreed to certain other proposed adjustments for fiscal years 1997 through 2003, and those issues were pending resolution by the Appeals section of the IRS. Furthermore, the IRS has commenced an examination of our fiscal year 2004 and 2005 tax returns. We are also under income tax examination in Canada for fiscal years 2004 and 2005. We remain subject to income tax examination in Canada for fiscal years after 1999, in France, Germany, and the United Kingdom for fiscal years after 2003, and in Switzerland for fiscal years after 2006.

The timing of the resolution of income tax examinations is highly uncertain, and the amounts ultimately paid, if any, upon resolution of the issues raised by the taxing authorities may differ materially from the amounts accrued for each year. While it is reasonably possible that some of the issues in the IRS and Canadian examinations could be resolved in the next 12 months, at this stage of the process it is not practicable to estimate a range of the potential change in the underlying unrecognized tax benefits.

(11) PREFERRED STOCK

As of March 31, 2008 and 2007, we had 10,000,000 shares of preferred stock authorized but unissued. The rights, preferences, and restrictions of the preferred stock may be designated by our Board of Directors without further action by our stockholders.

(12) STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION AND EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

Adoption of SFAS No. 123(R)

We adopted SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004) (“SFAS No. 123(R)”), “Share-Based Payment”, as of April 1, 2006 and have applied the provisions of SAB No. 107, “Share-Based Payment”, to our adoption of SFAS No. 123(R). We are required to estimate the fair value of share-based payment awards on the date of grant. Upon adoption of SFAS No. 123(R), we elected to use the modified prospective transition method of adoption which requires that compensation expense be recognized in the financial statements for all awards granted after the date of adoption as well as for existing awards for which the requisite service has not been rendered as of the date of adoption. Accordingly, prior periods are not restated for the effect of SFAS No. 123(R).

Prior to April 1, 2006, we accounted for stock-based awards to employees using the intrinsic value method in accordance with APB No. 25 and adopted the disclosure-only provisions of SFAS No. 123, as amended. Also, as required by SFAS No. 148, “Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation — Transition and Disclosure”, we provided pro forma net income (loss) and net income (loss) per share disclosures for stock-based awards as if the fair-value-based method defined in SFAS No. 123 had been applied.

Valuation and Expense Recognition. We recognize compensation costs for stock-based payment transactions to employees based on their grant-date fair value over the service period for which such awards are expected to vest. The fair value of restricted stock units is determined based on the quoted price of our common stock on the date of grant. The fair value of stock options and stock purchase rights granted pursuant to our employee stock purchase plan is determined using the Black-Scholes valuation model. The determination of fair value is affected by our stock price as well as assumptions regarding subjective and complex variables such as expected employee exercise behavior and our expected stock price volatility over the expected term of the award. Generally, our assumptions are based on historical information and judgment is required to determine if historical trends may be indicators of future outcomes. We estimated the following key assumptions for the Black-Scholes valuation calculation:

- *Risk-free interest rate.* The risk-free interest rate is based on U.S. Treasury yields in effect at the time of grant for the expected term of the option.
- *Expected volatility.* We use a combination of historical stock price volatility and implied volatility computed based on the price of options publicly traded on our common stock for our expected volatility assumption.
- *Expected term.* The expected term represents the weighted-average period the stock options are expected to remain outstanding. The expected term is determined based on historical exercise behavior, post-vesting termination patterns, options outstanding and future expected exercise behavior.
- *Expected dividends.*

The assumptions used in the Black-Scholes valuation model to value our option grants and employee stock purchase plan were as follows:

	Stock Option Grants		Employee Stock Purchase Plan	
	Year Ended March 31,		Year Ended March 31,	
	2008	2007	2008	2007
Risk-free interest rate	1.8 - 5.1%	4.5 - 5.1%	1.7 - 4.2%	3.7 - 5.1%
Expected volatility	31 - 37%	31 - 46%	32 - 35%	28 - 36%
Weighted-average volatility	33%	35%	34%	33%
Expected term	4.4 years	4.2 years	6-12 months	6-12 months
Expected dividends	None	None	None	None

Prior to our adoption of SFAS No. 123(R), we valued our stock options based on the multiple-award valuation method and recognized the expense using the accelerated approach over the requisite service period. In conjunction with our adoption of SFAS No. 123(R), we changed our method of recognizing our stock-based compensation expense for post-adoption grants to the straight-line approach over the requisite service period; however, we continue to value our stock options based on the multiple-award valuation method.

Employee stock-based compensation expense recognized during the fiscal years ended March 31, 2008 and 2007 was calculated based on awards ultimately expected to vest and has been reduced for estimated forfeitures. In subsequent periods, if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates, an adjustment to stock-based compensation expense will be recognized at that time.

The following table summarizes stock-based compensation expense resulting from stock options, restricted stock, restricted stock units and our employee stock purchase plan included in our Consolidated Statements of Operations (in millions):

	<u>Year Ended March 31,</u>		
	<u>2008</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2006</u>
Cost of goods sold	\$ 2	\$ 2	\$—
Marketing and sales	19	17	—
General and administrative	38	37	1
Research and development	<u>91</u>	<u>77</u>	<u>2</u>
Stock-based compensation expense	150	133	3
Benefit from income taxes	<u>(27)</u>	<u>(26)</u>	<u>(1)</u>
Stock-based compensation expense, net of tax	<u>\$123</u>	<u>\$107</u>	<u>\$ 2</u>

As of March 31, 2008, our total unrecognized compensation cost related to stock options was \$186 million and is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average service period of 2.5 years. As of March 31, 2008, our total unrecognized compensation cost related to restricted stock and restricted stock units was \$274 million and is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average service period of 2.4 years.

APIC Pool. We elected to adopt the alternative transition method provided in FSP FAS 123(R)-3, “*Transition Election Related to Accounting for the Tax Effects of Share-Based Payment Awards*”, for calculating the tax effects of stock-based compensation pursuant to SFAS No. 123(R), which allows for a practical exception in calculating the additional paid-in capital pool (“APIC pool”) of excess tax benefits upon adoption that is available to absorb tax deficiencies recognized subsequent to the adoption of SFAS No. 123(R). For employee stock-based compensation awards that are outstanding upon adoption of SFAS No. 123(R), the alternative transition method provides a simplified method to establish the beginning balance of the APIC pool related to the tax effects of employee stock-based compensation. It also provides a simplified method to determine the subsequent impact on the APIC pool and Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the tax effects of employee stock-based compensation awards.

Cash Flow Impact. Prior to our adoption of SFAS No. 123(R), cash retained as a result of tax deductions relating to stock-based compensation was presented in operating cash flows along with other tax cash flows. SFAS No. 123(R) requires a classification change in the statement of cash flows. As a result, tax benefits relating to excess stock-based compensation deductions, which had been included in operating cash flow activities, are now presented as financing cash flow activities (total cash flows remain unchanged). For the fiscal year ended March 31, 2008, we recognized \$45 million of tax benefit from the exercise of stock options, net of \$6 million of deferred tax asset write-offs; of this amount, \$51 million of excess tax benefit related to stock-based compensation was reported in financing activities. For the fiscal year ended March 31, 2007, we recognized \$34 million of tax benefit from exercise of stock options reported in operating activities, net of \$2 million of deferred tax asset write-offs; of this amount, \$36 million of excess tax benefit related to stock-based compensation was reported in financing activities. For the fiscal year ended March 31, 2006, we recognized \$133 million of tax benefit from exercise of stock options reported in operating activities.

Summary of Plans and Plan Activity

Equity Incentive Plans

Our 2000 Equity Incentive Plan (the “Equity Plan”) allows us to grant options to purchase our common stock, restricted stock, restricted stock units and stock appreciation rights to our employees, officers and directors. Pursuant to the Equity Plan, incentive stock options may be granted to employees and officers and non-qualified options may be granted to employees, officers and directors, at not less than 100 percent of the fair market value on the date of grant.

We also have options outstanding that were granted under (1) the Criterion Software Limited Approved Share Option Scheme (the “Criterion Plan”), which we assumed in connection with our acquisition of Criterion, and (2) the JAMDAT Mobile Inc. Amended and Restated 2000 Stock Incentive Plan and the JAMDAT Mobile Inc. 2004 Equity Incentive Plan (collectively, the “JAMDAT Plans”), which we assumed in connection with our acquisition of JAMDAT. We also have options and restricted stock units outstanding under the VG Holding Corp. 2005 Stock Incentive Plan (the “VGH 2005 Plan”), which plan we assumed in connection with our acquisition of VGH.

In connection with our acquisition of VGH, we also established the 2007 Electronic Arts VGH Acquisition Inducement Award Plan (the “VGH Inducement Plan”), which allowed us to grant restricted stock units to service providers who were employees of VGH or a subsidiary of VGH immediately prior to the consummation of the acquisition and who became employees of EA following the acquisition. The restricted stock units granted under the VGH Inducement Plan vest pursuant to either (1) time-based vesting schedules over a period of up to four years, or (2) the achievement of pre-determined performance-based milestones, and in all cases are subject to earlier vesting in the event we terminate a recipient’s employment without “cause” or the recipient terminates employment for “good reason”. We do not intend to grant any further awards under the VGH Inducement Plan.

In addition, in connection with our acquisition of VGH, in exchange for outstanding stock options and restricted stock, we granted service-based non-interest bearing notes payable solely in shares of our common stock to certain employees of VGH who became employees of EA following the acquisition. These notes payable vest over a period of four years, subject to earlier vesting in the event we terminate a recipient’s employment without “cause” or the recipient terminates employment for “good reason”.

Options granted under the Equity Plan generally expire ten years from the date of grant and are generally exercisable as to 24 percent of the shares after 12 months, and then ratably over the following 38 months. All options granted under the Criterion Plan were exercisable as of March 31, 2005 and expire in January 2012. The material terms of options granted under the JAMDAT and VGH 2005 Plans are similar to our Equity Incentive Plan.

The following table summarizes our stock option activity for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2008:

	Options (in thousands)	Weighted- Average Exercise Price
Outstanding as of March 31, 2007	35,864	\$40.75
Activity for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2008:		
Granted and Assumed ⁽¹⁾	8,131	47.50
Exercised	(5,471)	28.25
Forfeited, cancelled or expired	<u>(2,447)</u>	53.22
Outstanding as of March 31, 2008	<u>36,077</u>	43.32

⁽¹⁾ Includes options to purchase approximately 1,150,000 shares of our common stock, which we assumed under the VGH 2005 Plan in connection with our acquisition of VGH.

Additional stock option-related information as of March 31, 2008:

	<u>Options (in thousands)</u>	<u>Weighted- Average Exercise Price</u>	<u>Weighted- Average Remaining Contractual Term (in years)</u>	<u>Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in millions)</u>
Vested and expected to vest	32,891	\$42.54	5.9	\$302
Exercisable	22,698	\$38.42	4.8	\$294

As of March 31, 2008, the weighted-average contractual term for our stock options outstanding was 6.2 years and the aggregate intrinsic value of our stock options outstanding was \$305 million.

A total of 17 million shares were available for grant under our Equity Plan as of March 31, 2008, of which no more than 6 million shares were eligible for grant in the form of restricted stock or restricted stock units.

The aggregate intrinsic value represents the total pre-tax intrinsic value based on our closing stock price as of March 31, 2008, which would have been received by the option holders had all option holders exercised their options as of that date. We issue new common stock from our authorized shares upon the exercise of stock options.

The weighted-average grant-date fair value of stock options granted during fiscal years 2008, 2007 and 2006 was \$16.85, \$17.75 and \$15.19, respectively. The total intrinsic value of options exercised during fiscal years 2008, 2007 and 2006 was \$144 million, \$120 million and \$199 million, respectively. The total estimated fair value (determined as of the grant date) of shares vested during fiscal years 2008, 2007 and 2006 was \$82 million, \$105 million and \$150 million, respectively.

The following table summarizes outstanding and exercisable options as of March 31, 2008:

<u>Range of Exercise Prices</u>	<u>Options Outstanding</u>				<u>Options Exercisable</u>		
	<u>Number of Shares (in thousands)</u>	<u>Weighted- Average Remaining Contractual Term (in years)</u>	<u>Weighted- Average Exercise Price</u>	<u>Potential Dilution</u>	<u>Number of Shares (in thousands)</u>	<u>Weighted- Average Exercise Price</u>	<u>Potential Dilution</u>
\$0.65-\$19.99	2,173	1.21	\$14.01	0.7%	2,172	\$14.01	0.7%
20.00-29.99	5,984	3.42	25.79	1.9%	5,627	25.58	1.8%
30.00-39.99	4,221	4.47	31.77	1.3%	4,192	31.76	1.3%
40.00-49.99	9,843	7.65	48.16	3.1%	4,338	47.15	1.3%
50.00-59.99	11,279	7.76	53.59	3.5%	4,707	53.73	1.5%
60.00-65.93	<u>2,577</u>	6.76	64.25	<u>0.8%</u>	<u>1,662</u>	64.40	<u>0.5%</u>
\$0.65-\$65.93	<u>36,077</u>	6.16	43.32	<u>11.3%</u>	<u>22,698</u>	38.42	<u>7.1%</u>

Potential dilution is computed by dividing the options in the related range of exercise prices by 318 million shares of common stock, which were issued and outstanding as of March 31, 2008.

At our Annual Meeting of Stockholders, held on July 26, 2007, our stockholders approved amendments to the 2000 Equity Incentive Plan to (a) increase the number of shares authorized for issuance under the Equity Plan by 9 million, (b) decrease by 4 million shares the limit on the total number of shares underlying awards of restricted stock and restricted stock units that may be granted under the Equity Plan — from 15 million to 11 million shares, and (c) revise the amount and nature of automatic initial and annual grants to our non-employee directors under the Equity Plan by adding restricted stock units and decreasing the size of stock option grants.

Restricted Stock Units and Restricted Stock

We grant restricted stock units and restricted stock (collectively referred to as “restricted stock rights”) under our Equity Plan to employees worldwide (except in certain countries where doing so is not feasible due to local legal requirements). Restricted stock units entitle holders to receive shares of common stock at the end

of a specified period of time. Upon vesting, the equivalent number of common shares are typically issued net of required tax withholdings, if any. Restricted stock is issued and outstanding upon grant; however, restricted stock award holders are restricted from selling the shares until they vest. Upon vesting, we will typically withhold shares to satisfy tax withholding requirements. Restricted stock rights are subject to forfeiture and transfer restrictions. Vesting for restricted stock rights is based on the holders' continued employment with us. If the vesting conditions are not met, unvested restricted stock rights will be forfeited. Generally, our restricted stock rights vest according to one of the following vesting schedules:

- 100 percent after one year;
- Three-year vesting with 25 percent cliff vesting at the end of each of the first and second years, and 50 percent cliff vesting at the end of the third year;
- Four-year vesting with 25 percent cliff vesting at the end of each year; or
- 26 Month vesting with 50 percent cliff vesting after 13 months and 50 percent after 26 months.

The following table summarizes our restricted stock rights activity, including the restricted stock units and notes payable in shares of common stock that we granted in connection with our acquisition of VGH, but exclude performance-based restricted stock unit grants discussed below, for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2008:

	<u>Restricted Stock Rights (in thousands)</u>	<u>Weighted- Average Grant Date Fair Value</u>
Balance as of March 31, 2007	2,134	\$52.62
Activity for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2008:		
Granted ⁽¹⁾	5,293	52.06
Vested	(597)	52.46
Forfeited or cancelled	<u>(486)</u>	51.99
Balance as of March 31, 2008	<u>6,344</u>	52.22

⁽¹⁾ Includes (i) approximately 1,560,000 shares of common stock underlying service-based non-interest bearing notes payable solely in shares of our common stock, which we issued in exchange for VGH stock options and restricted stock to certain former VGH employees who became employees of EA following the acquisition, (ii) 529,000 restricted stock units granted under the VGH Inducement Plan, and (iii) 252,000 restricted stock units granted under the VGH 2005 Plan. The table above does not include performance-based restricted stock units granted under the VGH Inducement Plan, which are discussed below.

The weighted-average grant date fair value of restricted stock rights is based on the quoted market value of our common stock on the date of grant. The weighted-average fair value of restricted stock rights granted during fiscal years 2008, 2007 and 2006 was \$52.06, \$52.31 and \$52.21, respectively. The total grant date fair value of restricted stock rights that vested during fiscal year 2008 and 2007 was \$31 million and \$10 million, respectively. There were no restricted stock rights that vested during fiscal year 2006.

Performance-Based Restricted Stock Units

Upon consummation of our acquisition of VGH, we granted approximately 691,000 performance-based restricted stock units under the VGH Inducement Plan to certain former VGH employees who became employees of EA following the acquisition. These performance-based restricted stock units vest contingent upon the achievement of pre-determined performance-based milestones, which we expect to occur over a period of up to approximately four years. If these performance-based milestones are not met, the restricted stock units will not vest, in which case, any compensation expense we have recognized to date will be reversed.

The following table summarizes our performance-based restricted stock unit activity for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2008:

	Performance- Based Restricted Stock Units (in thousands)	Weighted- Average Grant Date Fair Value
Balance as of March 31, 2007	—	\$ —
Activity for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2008:		
Granted	691	54.51
Vested	—	—
Forfeited or cancelled	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
Balance as of March 31, 2008	<u>691</u>	54.51

The weighted-average grant date fair value of performance-based restricted stock units is based on the quoted market value of our common stock on the date of grant. The weighted-average fair value of performance-based restricted stock units granted during fiscal year 2008 was \$54.51. There were no performance-based restricted stock units granted during fiscal years 2007 and 2006. There were no performance-based restricted stock units that vested during fiscal years 2008, 2007 and 2006.

Employee Stock Purchase Plan

Pursuant to our 2000 Employee Stock Purchase Plan (“ESPP”), eligible employees may authorize payroll deductions of between 2 and 10 percent of their compensation to purchase shares at 85 percent of the lower of the fair market value of the common stock on the date of commencement of the offering or on the last day of each six-month purchase period.

At our Annual Meeting of Stockholders, held on July 26, 2007, our stockholders approved an amendment to the 2000 Employee Stock Purchase Plan to increase by 1.5 million the number of shares of common stock reserved for issuance under the Purchase Plan. As of March 31, 2008, we had 4 million shares of common stock reserved for future issuance under the ESPP.

During fiscal 2008, we issued 892 thousand shares under the ESPP with exercise prices for purchase rights ranging from \$40.15 to \$42.86. During fiscal 2008, 2007 and 2006 the estimated weighted-average fair value of purchase rights was \$14.57, \$16.51 and \$15.42, respectively.

We issue new common stock out of the ESPP’s pool of authorized shares. The fair value above was estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model assumptions described in this note under the headings “Adoption of SFAS No. 123(R)” and “Pre-SFAS No. 123(R) Pro Forma Accounting Disclosures”.

Pre-SFAS No. 123(R) Pro Forma Accounting Disclosures

Prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 123(R), we accounted for stock-based awards to employees using the intrinsic value method in accordance with APB No. 25 and adopted the disclosure-only provisions of SFAS No. 123, as amended.

Had compensation cost for our stock-based compensation plans been measured based on the estimated fair value at the grant dates in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 123, as amended, we estimate that our reported net income and net income per share would have been the pro forma amounts indicated below. The fair value of each option grant is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model.

The following weighted-average assumptions were used for grants made under our stock-based compensation plans in fiscal 2006:

	<u>Year Ended March 31, 2006</u>
Risk-free interest rate	4.3%
Expected volatility	33%
Expected term of stock options (in years)	3.2
Expected term of employee stock purchase plan (in months)	6
Expected dividends	None

Our calculations were based on a multiple-award valuation method and forfeitures were recognized when they occurred.

(In millions, except per share data)	<u>Year Ended March 31, 2006</u>
Net income:	
As reported	\$ 236
Deduct: Total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under fair-value-based method for all awards, net of related tax effects	(85)
Add: Stock-based employee compensation expense included in reported net income, net of related tax effects	<u>2</u>
Pro forma	<u>\$ 153</u>
Net income per share:	
As reported — basic	\$0.78
Pro forma — basic	\$0.50
As reported — diluted	\$0.75
Pro forma — diluted	\$0.49

401(k) Plan and Registered Retirement Savings Plan

We have a 401(k) plan covering substantially all of our U.S. employees, and a Registered Retirement Savings Plan covering substantially all of our Canadian employees. These plans permit us to make discretionary contributions to employees' accounts based on our financial performance. We contributed an aggregate of \$13 million, \$3 million and \$2 million to these plans in fiscal 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

(13) COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

We are required to classify items of other comprehensive income (loss) by their nature in a financial statement and display the accumulated balance of other comprehensive income separately from retained earnings and additional paid-in capital in the equity section of a balance sheet. Accumulated other comprehensive income primarily includes foreign currency translation adjustments, and the net-of-tax amounts for unrealized gains (losses) on investments and unrealized gains (losses) on derivatives designated as cash flow hedges. Foreign currency translation adjustments are not adjusted for income taxes as they relate to indefinite investments in non-U.S. subsidiaries.

The change in the components of accumulated other comprehensive income is summarized as follows (in millions):

	Foreign Currency Translation Adjustment	Unrealized Gains (Losses) on Investments, net	Unrealized Gains (Losses) on Derivative Instruments, net	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income
Balances as of March 31, 2005	\$ 30	\$ 26	\$—	\$ 56
Other comprehensive income (loss)	<u>(10)</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>27</u>
Balances as of March 31, 2006	20	63	—	83
Other comprehensive income	<u>23</u>	<u>188</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>211</u>
Balances as of March 31, 2007	43	251	—	294
Other comprehensive income (loss)	<u>42</u>	<u>251</u>	<u>(3)</u>	<u>290</u>
Balances as of March 31, 2008	<u>\$ 85</u>	<u>\$502</u>	<u>\$ (3)</u>	<u>\$584</u>

The change in unrealized gains (losses) on investments and derivative instruments are shown net of taxes of \$3 million in fiscal 2008, less than \$1 million in fiscal 2007 and \$0 in fiscal 2006.

During fiscal 2007 and 2006, we realized substantially all gains and losses outstanding from our derivative instruments. See Note 3 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

(14) STAFF ACCOUNTING BULLETIN No. 108

In September 2006, the SEC issued SAB No. 108, “*Financial Statements — Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements when Quantifying Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements*”. SAB No. 108 provides guidance on how prior year misstatements should be taken into consideration when quantifying misstatements in current year financial statements for purposes of determining whether the current year’s financial statements are materially misstated. We adopted SAB No. 108 in fiscal 2007.

In accordance with SAB No. 108, we considered both the “rollover” approach, which quantifies misstatements originating in the current year income statement and the “iron curtain” approach which quantifies misstatements based on the effects of correcting the misstatements existing in the balance sheet at the end of the reporting period. Prior to our application of the guidance in SAB No. 108, we used the rollover approach. We elected to recognize the cumulative effect of adoption as adjustments to assets and liabilities as of the beginning of fiscal 2007 and the offsetting adjustment to the opening balance of retained earnings for fiscal 2007.

Property and Equipment Capitalization Adjustment

We adjusted the beginning retained earnings balance for fiscal 2007 related to the correction of our historical accounting treatment of certain property and equipment purchases. We capitalize property and equipment purchases when certain quantitative thresholds are met; otherwise, they are expensed when purchased. Our internal review of our capitalization thresholds suggested that certain property and equipment should have been capitalized and not expensed. We believe the impact of the property and equipment capitalization errors were not material to prior years’ income statements under the rollover approach. However, under the iron curtain method, the cumulative property and equipment capitalization errors were material to our fiscal 2007 financial statements and, therefore, we recognized the following cumulative adjustment to our fiscal 2007 opening balance sheet (in millions):

Increase in property and equipment, net	\$13
Increase in deferred income tax liabilities	3
Increase in retained earnings	10

The impact on retained earnings is comprised of the following amounts (in millions):

	<u>Fiscal 2007 Beginning Balance Adjustment</u>	<u>Year Ended March 31,</u>		
		<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2004</u>
Increase in operating income	\$13	\$ —	\$10	\$ 3
Tax effect	<u>(3)</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>(2)</u>	<u>(1)</u>
Increase in net income	<u>\$10</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 8</u>	<u>\$ 2</u>

Business Tax Expense Adjustment

We adjusted the beginning retained earnings balance for fiscal 2007 related to the correction of our historical accounting of certain business tax expenses. Our internal review indicated that we had inadvertently not accrued for approximately \$7 million in probable business tax obligations related to prior years. We believe the impact of these adjustments were not material to prior years' income statements under the rollover approach. However, under the iron curtain method, the cumulative business tax expense adjustments were material to our fiscal 2007 financial statements and, therefore, we recognized the following cumulative adjustment to our fiscal 2007 opening balance sheet (in millions):

Increase in accrued and other liabilities	\$ 7
Decrease in deferred income tax liabilities	(3)
Decrease in retained earnings	(4)

The impact on retained earnings is comprised of the following amounts (in millions):

	<u>Fiscal 2007 Beginning Balance Adjustment</u>	<u>Year Ended March 31,</u>			
		<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2003</u>
Decrease in operating income	\$(7)	\$(3)	\$(2)	\$ (1)	\$(1)
Tax effect	<u>3</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>—</u>
Decrease in net income	<u>\$(4)</u>	<u>\$(2)</u>	<u>\$(1)</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$(1)</u>

(15) INTEREST AND OTHER INCOME, NET

Interest and other income, net, for the years ended March 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 consisted of (in millions):

	<u>Year Ended March 31,</u>		
	<u>2008</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2006</u>
Interest income, net	\$102	\$104	\$75
Net gain (loss) on foreign currency transactions	20	10	(1)
Net loss on foreign currency forward contracts	(31)	(13)	(3)
Other income (expense), net	<u>7</u>	<u>(2)</u>	<u>(7)</u>
Interest and other income, net	<u>\$ 98</u>	<u>\$ 99</u>	<u>\$64</u>

(16) NET INCOME (LOSS) PER SHARE

The following table summarizes the computations of basic earnings per share ("Basic EPS") and diluted earnings per share ("Diluted EPS"). Basic EPS is computed as net income divided by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted EPS reflects the potential dilution that could occur from common shares issuable through stock-based compensation plans including stock options, restricted

stock, restricted stock units, common stock through our employee stock purchase plan, warrants and other convertible securities using the treasury stock method.

(In millions, except per share amounts)	<u>Year Ended March 31,</u>		
	<u>2008</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2006</u>
Net income (loss)	<u>\$ (454)</u>	<u>\$ 76</u>	<u>\$ 236</u>
Shares used to compute net income (loss) per share:			
Weighted-average common stock outstanding — basic	314	308	304
Dilutive potential common shares	<u>—</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>10</u>
Weighted-average common stock outstanding — diluted	<u>314</u>	<u>317</u>	<u>314</u>
Net income (loss) per share:			
Basic	\$(1.45)	\$0.25	\$0.78
Diluted	\$(1.45)	\$0.24	\$0.75

As a result of our net loss for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2008, we have excluded certain stock awards from the diluted loss per share calculation as their inclusion would have been antidilutive. Had we reported net income during this period, an additional 7 million shares of common stock would have been included in the number of shares used to calculate diluted earnings per share for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2008.

Options to purchase 18 million, 16 million and 7 million shares of common stock were excluded from the above computation of diluted shares for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively, as their inclusion would have been antidilutive. For fiscal 2008, 2007 and 2006, the weighted-average exercise price of these shares was \$53.89, \$55.84 and \$63.64 per share, respectively.

(17) RELATED PERSON TRANSACTIONS

Prior to becoming Chief Executive Officer of Electronic Arts, John Riccitiello was a Founder and Managing Director of Elevation Partners, L.P., and also served as Chief Executive Officer of VGH, which we acquired in January 2008. At the time of the acquisition, Mr. Riccitiello held an indirect financial interest in VGH resulting from his interest in the entity that controlled Elevation Partners, L.P. and his interest in a limited partner of Elevation Partners, L.P. Elevation Partners, L.P. was a significant stockholder of VGH.

On June 24, 2002, we hired Warren C. Jenson as our Executive Vice President, Chief Financial and Administrative Officer and agreed to loan him \$4 million to be forgiven over four years based on his continuing employment. The loan did not bear interest. On June 24, 2004, pursuant to the terms of the loan agreement, we forgave \$2 million of the loan and provided Mr. Jenson approximately \$1.6 million to offset the tax implications of the forgiveness. On June 24, 2006, pursuant to the terms of the loan agreement, we forgave the remaining outstanding loan balance of \$2 million. No additional funds were provided to offset the tax implications of the forgiveness of the \$2 million balance.

(18) SEGMENT INFORMATION

Our reporting segments are based upon: our internal organizational structure; the manner in which our operations are managed; the criteria used by our Chief Executive Officer, our chief operating decision maker (“CODM”), to evaluate segment performance; the availability of separate financial information; and overall materiality considerations.

Prior to the fourth quarter of fiscal 2008, we managed our business primarily based on geographical performance. Accordingly, our combined global publishing organizations represented our reportable segment, namely Publishing, due to their similar economic characteristics, products and distribution methods. Publishing refers to the manufacturing, marketing, advertising and distribution of products developed or co-developed by us, or distribution of certain third-party publishers’ products through our co-publishing and distribution program.

During the fourth quarter of fiscal 2008, we updated our financial systems to provide our CODM financial information based upon management's new organizational structure (the "Label Structure"); that is, the EA Games, EA SPORTS, The Sims and EA Casual Entertainment businesses. In addition, our CODM now regularly receives separate financial information for four distinct businesses within the EA Casual Entertainment Label — EA Mobile, POGO, Hasbro and Casual Entertainment. Accordingly in assessing performance and allocating resources, our CODM reviews the results of seven operating segments: EA Games; EA SPORTS; The Sims; POGO; EA Mobile, and Hasbro and Casual Entertainment. Due to their similar economic characteristics, products and distribution methods, EA Games, EA SPORTS, The Sims, POGO, Hasbro and Casual Entertainment's results are aggregated into one Reportable Segment (the "Label segment") as shown below. The remaining operating segments' results are not material for separate disclosure and are included in the reconciliation to consolidated operating loss below.

The following table summarizes the fiscal 2008 financial performance of the Label segment and a reconciliation of the Label segment's profit to our consolidated operating loss (in millions):

	<u>Year Ended March 31, 2008</u>
Label segment:	
Net revenue	\$ 3,722
Depreciation and amortization	(68)
Other expenses	<u>(2,928)</u>
Label segment profit	726
Reconciliation to consolidated operating loss:	
Other:	
Change in deferred net revenue (packaged goods and digital content)	(355)
Other net revenue	298
Depreciation and amortization	(118)
Other expenses	<u>(1,038)</u>
Consolidated operating loss	<u>\$ (487)</u>

Label segment profit differs from consolidated operating loss primarily due to the exclusion of (1) certain corporate and other functional costs that are not allocated to the Labels (2) the deferral of certain net revenue related to packaged goods and digital content (see Note 8 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements), and (3) the results of EA Mobile. Our Chief Executive Officer reviews assets on a consolidated basis and not on a segment basis.

When we updated our financial systems to provide our CODM financial information based upon the Label Structure, we did not recast our financial information for periods prior to fiscal 2008. Accordingly, it is not practicable to provide comparable results based on the Label Structure for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2007 and 2006.

The following table summarizes the financial performance of our previous Publishing structure segments and a reconciliation of the Publishing segment's profit to our consolidated operating income (in millions):

	<u>Year Ended March 31,</u>	
	<u>2007</u>	<u>2006</u>
Publishing segment:		
Net revenue	\$ 2,948	\$ 2,927
Depreciation and amortization	(22)	(19)
Other expenses	<u>(1,685)</u>	<u>(1,690)</u>
Publishing segment profit	1,241	1,218
Reconciliation to consolidated operating income:		
Other:		
Change in deferred net revenue (packaged goods and digital content)	—	—
Other net revenue	143	24
Depreciation and amortization	(145)	(76)
Other expenses	<u>(1,200)</u>	<u>(841)</u>
Consolidated operating income	<u>\$ 39</u>	<u>\$ 325</u>

Publishing segment profit differs from consolidated operating income primarily due to the exclusion of (1) substantially all of our research and development expense, as well as certain corporate functional costs that are not allocated to the publishing organizations, and (2) the deferral of certain net revenue related to packaged goods and digital content.

Information about our total net revenue by platform for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 is presented below (in millions):

	<u>Year Ended March 31,</u>		
	<u>2008</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2006</u>
Consoles			
PlayStation 2	\$ 601	\$ 886	\$1,127
Xbox 360	589	480	140
Wii	302	65	—
PLAYSTATION 3	282	94	—
Xbox	19	157	400
Nintendo GameCube	6	60	135
Other Consoles	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>1</u>
Total Consoles	1,799	1,742	1,803
PC	430	498	418
Mobility			
Nintendo DS	231	104	67
PSP	185	258	252
Cellular Handsets	148	140	19
Game Boy Advance and Game Boy Color	<u>8</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>55</u>
Total Mobility	572	540	393
Co-publishing and Distribution	686	175	213
Internet Services, Licensing and Other			
Subscription Services	95	79	61
Licensing, Advertising and Other	<u>83</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>63</u>
Total Internet Services, Licensing and Other	<u>178</u>	<u>136</u>	<u>124</u>
Total Net Revenue	<u>\$3,665</u>	<u>\$3,091</u>	<u>\$2,951</u>

Information about our operations in North America, Europe and Asia as of and for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 is presented below (in millions):

	<u>North America</u>	<u>Europe</u>	<u>Asia</u>	<u>Total</u>
<u>Year ended March 31, 2008</u>				
Net revenue from unaffiliated customers	\$1,942	\$1,541	\$182	\$3,665
Long-lived assets	1,630	173	10	1,813
<u>Year ended March 31, 2007</u>				
Net revenue from unaffiliated customers	\$1,666	\$1,261	\$164	\$3,091
Long-lived assets	1,150	267	11	1,428
<u>Year ended March 31, 2006</u>				
Net revenue from unaffiliated customers	\$1,584	\$1,174	\$193	\$2,951
Long-lived assets	1,061	203	7	1,271

Substantially all of our North America net revenue is generated in the United States.

Our direct sales to GameStop Corp. represented approximately 13 percent and 12 percent of total net revenue in fiscal 2008 and 2007, respectively. Our direct sales to Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. represented approximately 12 percent of total net revenue in fiscal 2008 and 13 percent of total revenue in both fiscal 2007 and 2006.

(19) QUARTERLY FINANCIAL AND MARKET INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

(In millions, except per share data)	<u>Quarter Ended</u>				<u>Year Ended</u>
	<u>June 30</u>	<u>Sept. 30</u>	<u>Dec. 31</u>	<u>March 31</u>	
<u>Fiscal 2008 Consolidated</u>					
Net revenue	\$ 395	\$ 640	\$1,503	\$1,127	\$3,665
Gross profit	229	245	721	665	1,860
Operating income (loss)	(183)	(274)	7	(37)	(487)
Net loss	(132)	(195)	(33) ^(a)	(94) ^(b)	(454)
<u>Common Stock</u>					
Net loss per share — basic	\$(0.42)	\$(0.62)	\$(0.10)	\$(0.30)	\$(1.45)
Net loss per share — diluted	\$(0.42)	\$(0.62)	\$(0.10)	\$(0.30)	\$(1.45)
Common stock price per share					
High	\$54.67	\$57.08	\$61.62	\$58.88	\$61.62
Low	\$46.27	\$47.54	\$53.28	\$43.62	\$43.62
<u>Fiscal 2007 Consolidated</u>					
Net revenue	\$ 413	\$ 784	\$1,281	\$ 613	\$3,091
Gross profit	245	445	811	378	1,879
Operating income (loss)	(119)	14	215	(71)	39
Net income (loss)	(81)	22 ^(c)	160 ^(d)	(25)	76
<u>Common Stock</u>					
Net income (loss) per share — basic	\$(0.26)	\$ 0.07	\$ 0.52	\$(0.08)	\$ 0.25
Net income (loss) per share — diluted	\$(0.26)	\$ 0.07	\$ 0.50	\$(0.08)	\$ 0.24
Common stock price per share					
High	\$57.80	\$57.74	\$59.85	\$54.43	\$59.85
Low	\$39.99	\$41.37	\$50.21	\$47.96	\$39.99

^(a) Net loss includes a loss on strategic investment of \$12 million, pre-tax.

^(b) Net loss includes acquired in-process technology of \$138 million and losses on strategic investments of \$106 million, both of which are pre-tax.

^(c) Net income includes acquired in-process technology of \$2 million, pre-tax.

^(d) Net income includes acquired in-process technology of \$1 million, pre-tax.

Our common stock is traded on the NASDAQ Global Select Market under the symbol ERTS. The prices for the common stock in the table above represent the high and low sales prices as reported on the NASDAQ Global Select Market.

(20) PROPOSED ACQUISITION OF TAKE-TWO INTERACTIVE SOFTWARE, INC. AND RELATED LINE OF CREDIT

On March 13, 2008, we commenced an unsolicited \$26.00 per share cash tender offer for all of the outstanding shares of Take-Two Interactive Software, Inc., a Delaware corporation (“Take-Two”), for a total purchase price of approximately \$2.1 billion. On April 18, 2008, we adjusted the purchase price in the cash tender offer to \$25.74 per share following the approval by Take-Two stockholders of amendments to Take-Two’s Incentive Stock Plan, which would permit the issuance of additional shares of restricted stock to ZelnickMedia Corporation pursuant to its management agreement with Take-Two. The total aggregate purchase price for Take-Two did not change as a result of the adjustment to the per share purchase price in the tender offer. On May 9, 2008, we received a commitment from certain financial institutions to provide us with up to \$1.0 billion of senior unsecured term loan financing at any time until January 9, 2009, to be used to provide a portion of the funds for the offer and/or merger. We will be required to repay any funds we borrow under the term loan facility, plus accrued interest, on the earlier of (a) 364 days from the date on which we initially borrow the funds and (b) August 9, 2009. On May 19, 2008, we extended the expiration date of the tender offer until June 16, 2008. We intend to pay for the Take-Two shares and related transaction fees and expenses with internally available cash and borrowings under the term loan facility or other financing sources, which may be available to us in the future.

(21) SUBSEQUENT EVENT

In April 2008, we entered into definitive agreements to acquire certain assets from Hands-On Mobile Inc. and its affiliates relating to its Korean mobile games business based in Seoul, Korea. The purchase price to be paid for the assets at closing will be approximately \$29 million in cash. The acquisition is subject to customary closing conditions and is expected to close during our first quarter of fiscal 2009.

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Directors and Stockholders
Electronic Arts Inc.:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Electronic Arts Inc. and subsidiaries (Electronic Arts Inc.) as of March 29, 2008 and March 31, 2007, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity and comprehensive income (loss), and cash flows for each of the years in the three year period ended March 29, 2008. In connection with our audits of the consolidated financial statements, we have also audited the accompanying financial statement schedule. These consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule are the responsibility of Electronic Arts Inc.'s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Electronic Arts Inc. as of March 29, 2008 and March 31, 2007, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended March 29, 2008, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

As discussed in notes 10, 12 and 14 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company adopted FASB Interpretation No. 48 (FIN 48), *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes — an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109*, effective April 1, 2007 and the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (revised 2004), *Share-Based Payment*, and the Securities and Exchange Commission Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 108, *Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements when Quantifying Misstatements in the Current Year Financial Statements*, effective April 2, 2006.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the effectiveness of Electronic Arts Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of March 29, 2008, based on criteria established in Internal Control — Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO), and our report dated May 23, 2008 expressed an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of Electronic Arts Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ KPMG LLP

Mountain View, California
May 23, 2008

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Directors and Stockholders
Electronic Arts Inc.:

We have audited Electronic Arts Inc. and subsidiaries' internal control over financial reporting as of March 29, 2008, based on criteria established in *Internal Control — Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Electronic Arts Inc.'s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting appearing under Item 9A. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on Electronic Arts Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audit also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, Electronic Arts Inc. and subsidiaries maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of March 29, 2008, based on criteria established in *Internal Control — Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

As indicated in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting, management excluded from their evaluation of their internal control over financial reporting as of March 29, 2008 the internal control over financial reporting of VG Holding Corp. (VGH), which the Company acquired on January 4, 2008. As of March 29, 2008, total assets, excluding goodwill and acquired intangible assets, subject to VGH's internal control over financial reporting represented 2% of the Company's consolidated total assets. For the period from January 4, 2008 through March 29, 2008, total net revenue subject to VGH's internal control over financial reporting represented less than 1% of the Company's consolidated net revenue. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting of Electronic Arts Inc. also excluded an evaluation of the internal control over financial reporting of VGH.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of Electronic Arts Inc. and subsidiaries as of March 29, 2008 and March 31, 2007, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity and comprehensive income (loss), and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended March 29, 2008, and our report dated May 23, 2008 expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements.

/s/ KPMG LLP

Mountain View, California
May 23, 2008

Item 9: *Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure*

Not applicable.

Item 9A: *Controls and Procedures*

Definition and Limitations of Disclosure Controls

Our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the “Exchange Act”)) are controls and other procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our reports filed under the Exchange Act, such as this report, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC’s rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures are also designed to ensure that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Our management evaluates these controls and procedures on an ongoing basis.

There are inherent limitations to the effectiveness of any system of disclosure controls and procedures. These limitations include the possibility of human error, the circumvention or overriding of the controls and procedures and reasonable resource constraints. In addition, because we have designed our system of controls based on certain assumptions, which we believe are reasonable, about the likelihood of future events, our system of controls may not achieve its desired purpose under all possible future conditions. Accordingly, our disclosure controls and procedures provide reasonable assurance, but not absolute assurance, of achieving their objectives.

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, after evaluating the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures, believe that as of the end of the period covered by this report, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective in providing the requisite reasonable assurance that material information required to be disclosed in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC’s rules and forms, and is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding the required disclosure.

Management’s Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act.

Our internal control over financial reporting is designed to provide reasonable, but not absolute, assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. There are inherent limitations to the effectiveness of any system of internal control over financial reporting. These limitations include the possibility of human error, the circumvention or overriding of the system and reasonable resource constraints. Because of its inherent limitations, our internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risks that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with our policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Our management assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of the end of our most recently completed fiscal year. We have excluded from our evaluation of our internal control over financial reporting the internal control over financial reporting of VG Holding Corp (“VGH”), which we acquired on January 4, 2008. As of March 31, 2008, total assets, excluding goodwill and acquired intangible assets, subject to VGH’s internal control over financial reporting represented 2 percent of our consolidated total assets. For the period from January 4, 2008 through March 31, 2008, total net revenue subject to VGH’s internal control over financial reporting represented less than 1 percent of our consolidated net revenue. In

making its assessment, management used the criteria set forth in *Internal Control-Integrated Framework*, issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Based on this assessment, our management believes that, as of the end of our most recently completed fiscal year, our internal control over financial reporting was effective.

KPMG LLP, our independent registered public accounting firm, has issued an auditors' report on the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting. That report appears on page 107.

Changes in Internal Controls

In preparation for management's report on internal control over financial reporting, we documented and tested the design and operating effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting. During fiscal 2008, there were no significant changes in our internal controls or, to our knowledge, in other factors that could significantly affect our disclosure controls and procedures.

Item 9B: Other Information

None.

PART III

Item 10: *Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance*

The information required by Item 10 is incorporated herein by reference to the information to be included in our definitive Proxy Statement for our 2008 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the “Proxy Statement”) other than the information regarding (a) executive officers, and (b) our Global Code of Conduct (which includes code of ethics provisions applicable to our directors, principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer, and other senior financial officers), which are included in Item 1 of this report. The information regarding Section 16 compliance is incorporated herein by reference to the information to be included in the Proxy Statement.

Item 11: *Executive Compensation*

The information required by Item 11 is incorporated herein by reference to the information to be included in the Proxy Statement, other than the “Compensation Committee Report on Executive Compensation”, which shall not be deemed to be incorporated by reference herein.

Item 12: *Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters*

The information required by Item 12 is incorporated herein by reference to the information to be included in the Proxy Statement.

Item 13: *Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence*

The information required by Item 13 is incorporated herein by reference to the information to be included in the Proxy Statement.

Item 14: *Principal Accounting Fees and Services*

The information required by Item 14 is incorporated herein by reference to the information to be included in the Proxy Statement.

PART IV

Item 15: *Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules*

(a) Documents filed as part of this report

1. Financial Statements: See Index to Consolidated Financial Statements under Item 8 on Page 60 of this report.
2. Financial Statement Schedule: See Schedule II on Page 117 of this report.
3. Exhibits: The following exhibits (other than exhibits 32.1 and 32.2, which are furnished with this report) are filed as part of, or incorporated by reference into, this report:

<u>Number</u>	<u>Exhibit Title</u>
---------------	----------------------

3.01	Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Electronic Arts Inc.(1)
3.02	Amended and Restated Bylaws.(2)
4.01	Specimen Certificate of Registrant’s Common Stock.(3)
10.01	Registrant’s Directors Stock Option Plan and related documents.(*)(4)
10.02	Registrant’s 1991 Stock Option Plan and related documents as amended.(*)(5)
10.03	Registrant’s 1998 Directors’ Stock Option Plan and related documents, as amended.(*)(5)
10.04	Registrant’s 2000 Equity Incentive Plan, as amended, and related documents.(*)(6)
10.05	Registrant’s 2000 Employee Stock Purchase Plan, as amended, and related documents.(*)(6)

<u>Number</u>	<u>Exhibit Title</u>
10.06	Form of Indemnity Agreement with Directors.(*)(7)
10.07	Electronic Arts Annual Bonus Plan — Plan Document.(*)(8)
10.08	Electronic Arts Deferred Compensation Plan.(*)(6)
10.09	Electronic Arts Executive Long-Term Disability Plan.(*)(9)
10.10	Agreement for Lease between Flatirons Funding, LP and Electronic Arts Redwood, Inc. dated February 14, 1995.(10)
10.11	Guarantee from Electronic Arts Inc. to Flatirons Funding, LP dated February 14, 1995.(10)
10.12	Amended and Restated Guaranty from Electronic Arts Inc. to Flatirons Funding, LP dated March 7, 1997.(11)
10.13	Amended and Restated Agreement for Lease between Flatirons Funding, LP and Electronic Arts Redwood Inc. dated March 7, 1997.(11)
10.14	Amendment No. 1 to Lease Agreement between Electronic Arts Redwood Inc. and Flatirons Funding, LP dated March 7, 1997.(11)
10.15	Lease Agreement by and between Registrant and Louisville Commerce Realty Corporation, dated April 1, 1999.(12)
10.16	Option agreement, agreement of purchase and sale, and escrow instructions for Zones 2 and 4, Electronic Arts Business Park, Redwood Shores California, dated April 5, 1999.(12)
10.17	Licensed Publisher Agreement by and between EA and Sony Computer Entertainment America Inc. dated as of April 1, 2000. (**)(13)
10.18	Master Lease and Deed of Trust by and between Registrant and Selco Service Corporation, dated December 6, 2000.(14)
10.19	Guaranty, dated as of December 6, 2000, by Electronic Arts Inc. in favor of Selco Service Corporation, Victory Receivables Corporation, The Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi, Ltd., various Liquidity Banks, and Keybank National Association.(15)
10.20	Participation Agreement among Electronic Arts Redwood, Inc., Electronic Arts, Inc., Selco Service Corporation, Victory Receivables Corporation, The Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi, Ltd., various Liquidity Banks and Keybank National Association, dated December 6, 2000.(16)
10.21	Amendment No. 1 to Amended and Restated Credit Agreement by and among Flatirons Funding LP and The Dai-Ichi Kangyo Bank, Limited, New York Branch, dated February 21, 2001.(17)
10.22	Amendment No. 2 to Lease Agreement by and between Electronic Arts Redwood, Inc. and Flatirons Funding, LP dated July 16, 2001.(18)
10.23	Participation Agreement among Electronic Arts Redwood, Inc., Electronic Arts Inc., Flatirons Funding, LP, Selco Service Corporation and Selco Redwood, LLC, Victory Receivables Corporation, The Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi, Ltd., various Liquidity Banks and Tranche B Banks and Keybank National Association dated July 16, 2001.(18)
10.24	Guaranty, dated as of July 16, 2001, by Electronic Arts Inc. in favor of Flatirons Funding, Limited Partnership, Victory Receivables Corporation, The Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi, Ltd., various Liquidity Banks and Tranche B Banks, and KeyBank National Association.(15)
10.25	First Amendment to Participation Agreement, dated as of May 13, 2002, by and among Electronic Arts Redwood, Inc., Electronic Arts Inc., Flatirons Funding, Limited Partnership, Victory Receivables Corporation, The Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi, Ltd., various Liquidity Banks, KeyBank National Association, and The Bank of Nova Scotia.(15)
10.26	Offer Letter for Employment at Electronic Arts Inc. to Warren Jenson, dated June 21, 2002.(*)(19)
10.27	Full Recourse Promissory Note between Electronic Arts Inc. and Warren Jenson, dated July 19, 2002.(19)

<u>Number</u>	<u>Exhibit Title</u>
10.28	Full Recourse Promissory Note between Electronic Arts Inc. and Warren Jenson, dated July 19, 2002.(19)
10.29	Lease Agreement by and between Playa Vista-Waters Edge, LLC and Electronic Arts Inc., dated July 31, 2003.(20)
10.30	Agreement Re: Right of First Offer to Purchase and Option to Purchase by and between Playa Vista-Waters Edge, LLC and Electronic Arts Inc., dated July 31, 2003.(20)
10.31	Profit Participation Agreement by and between Playa Vista-Waters Edge, LLC and Electronic Arts Inc., dated July 31, 2003.(20)
10.32	Sublease Agreement by and between Electronic Arts Inc. and Playa Capital Company, LLC, dated July 31, 2003.(20)
10.33	First Amendment of Lease by and between Louisville Commerce Realty Corporation and Electronic Arts Inc., dated February 23, 2004.(7)
10.34	First Amendment to lease agreement by and between Playa Vista — Water’s Edge, LLC and Electronic Arts Inc., entered into March 3, 2004.(37)
10.35	Lease agreement between ASP WT, L.L.C. (“Landlord”) and Tiburon Entertainment, Inc. (“Tenant”) for space at Summit Park I, dated June 15, 2004.(37)
10.36	Omnibus Amendment Agreement (2001 transaction), dated as of September 15, 2004, Electronic Arts Redwood, LLC, Electronic Arts, Inc., Selco Service Corporation, Victory Receivables Corporation, The Bank Of Tokyo-Mitsubishi, Ltd., various Liquidity Banks, and KeyBank National Association.(15)
10.37	Omnibus Amendment Agreement (2000 transaction), dated as of September 15, 2004, by and among Electronic Arts Redwood, LLC, Electronic Arts, Inc., Selco Service Corporation, Victory Receivables Corporation, The Bank Of Tokyo-Mitsubishi, Ltd., various Liquidity Banks, and KeyBank National Association.(15)
10.38	Omnibus Amendment (2000 transaction), dated as of July 11, 2005, among Electronic Arts Redwood, LLC, Electronic Arts, Inc., Selco Service Corporation, Victory Receivables Corporation, The Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi, Ltd., New York Branch, various Liquidity Banks, Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas, and KeyBank National Association.(15)
10.39	Omnibus Amendment (2001 transaction), dated as of July 11, 2005, among Electronic Arts Redwood, LLC, Electronic Arts, Inc., Selco Service Corporation, Victory Receivables Corporation, The Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi, Ltd., New York Branch, various Liquidity Banks, Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas, The Bank of Nova Scotia, and KeyBank National Association.(15)
10.40	First amendment to lease, dated December 13, 2005, by and between Liberty Property Limited Partnership, a Pennsylvania limited partnership and Electronic Arts — Tiburon, a Florida corporation f/k/a Tiburon Entertainment, Inc.(21)
10.41	Agreement for Underlease relating to Onslow House, Guildford, Surrey, dated 7 February 2006, by and between The Standard Life Assurance Company and Electronic Arts Limited and Electronic Arts Inc.(21)
10.42	Offer Letter for Employment at Electronic Arts Inc. to Gabrielle Toledano, dated February 6, 2006.(*)(22)
10.43	Second Omnibus Amendment (2001 Transaction), dated as of May 26, 2006, among Electronic Arts Redwood LLC, as Lessee, Electronic Arts Inc., as Guarantor, SELCO Service Corporation (doing business in California as “Ohio SELCO Service Corporation”), as Lessor, the Various Liquidity Banks party thereto, as Liquidity Banks, The Bank of Nova Scotia, as Documentation Agent and Keybank National Association, as Agent.(23)

<u>Number</u>	<u>Exhibit Title</u>
10.44	Second Omnibus Amendment (2000 Transaction), dated as of May 26, 2006, among Electronic Arts Redwood LLC, as Lessee, Electronic Arts Inc., as Guarantor, SELCO Service Corporation (doing business in California as “Ohio SELCO Service Corporation”), as Lessor, the Various Liquidity Banks party thereto, as Liquidity Banks, and KeyBank National Association, as Agent.(23)
10.45	Employment Agreement dated September 26, 2006, between EA Swiss Sàrl and Gerhard Florin.(24)
10.46	Offer Letter for Employment at Electronic Arts Inc. to John Riccitiello, dated February 12, 2007.(*)(25)
10.47	Third Omnibus Amendment (2001 Transaction), dated as of May 14, 2007 among Electronic Arts Redwood LLC, as Lessee, Electronic Arts Inc., as Guarantor, SELCO Service Corporation (doing business in California as “Ohio SELCO Service Corporation”), as Lessor, the Various Liquidity Banks party thereto, as Liquidity Banks, The Bank of Nova Scotia, as Documentation Agent and Keybank National Association, as Agent.(26)
10.48	Third Omnibus Amendment (2000 Transaction), dated as of May 14, 2007 among Electronic Arts Redwood LLC, as Lessee, Electronic Arts Inc., as Guarantor, SELCO Service Corporation (doing business in California as “Ohio SELCO Service Corporation”), as Lessor, the Various Liquidity Banks party thereto, as Liquidity Banks, and KeyBank National Association, as Agent.(26)
10.49	Offer Letter for Employment at Electronic Arts Inc. to Kathy Vrabeck, dated May 10, 2007.(*)(27)
10.50	Employment Agreement between Electronic Arts (Canada), Inc. and V. Paul Lee, dated June 18, 2007.(*)(28)
10.51	Offer Letter for Employment at Electronic Arts Inc. to Peter Moore, dated June 5, 2007.(*)(29)
10.52	Electronic Arts Inc. Executive Bonus Plan.(*)(30)
10.53	Agreement and Plan of Merger By and Among Electronic Arts Inc., WHI Merger Corporation, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Parent, VG Holding Corp., and with respect to Article VII and Article IX only, Elevation Management, LLC as Stockholder Representative dated October 11, 2007.(31)
10.54	Electronic Arts Key Employee Continuity Plan.(*)(32)
10.55	Offer Letter for Employment at Electronic Arts Inc. to John Pleasants, dated February 19, 2008.(*)(33)
10.56	Transition Services Agreement by and between Electronic Arts Inc. and Warren Jenson, as of March 19, 2008.(*)(34)
10.57	Offer Letter for Employment at Electronic Arts Inc. to Eric Brown, dated March 19, 2008.(*)(35)
10.58	Fourth Omnibus Amendment (2001 Transaction), dated as of April 14, 2008 among Electronic Arts Redwood LLC, as Lessee, Electronic Arts Inc., as Guarantor, SELCO Service Corporation (doing business in California as “Ohio SELCO Service Corporation”), as Lessor, the Various Liquidity Banks party thereto, as Liquidity Banks, The Bank of Nova Scotia, as Documentation Agent and Keybank National Association, as Agent.(36)
10.59	Fourth Omnibus Amendment (2000 Transaction), dated as of April 14, 2008 among Electronic Arts Redwood LLC, as Lessee, Electronic Arts Inc., as Guarantor, SELCO Service Corporation (doing business in California as “Ohio SELCO Service Corporation”), as Lessor, the Various Liquidity Banks party thereto, as Liquidity Banks, and KeyBank National Association, as Agent.(36)
10.60	Electronic Arts Label Incentive Plan — Plan Document.(*)
21.01	Subsidiaries of the Registrant
23.01	Consent of KPMG LLP, Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Exchange Act, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

Number Exhibit Title

31.2 Certification of Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Exchange Act, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

Additional exhibits furnished with this report:

32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

32.2 Certification of Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

* Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

** Portions of this exhibit have been redacted pursuant to a confidential treatment request filed with the SEC.

- (1) Incorporated by reference to exhibits filed with Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2004.
- (2) Incorporated by reference to exhibits filed with Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed November 13, 2006.
- (3) Incorporated by reference to exhibits filed with Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-4, filed March 3, 1994 (File No. 33-75892).
- (4) Incorporated by reference to exhibits filed with Amendment No. 2 to Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-8, filed November 6, 1991 (File No. 33-32616).
- (5) Incorporated by reference to exhibits filed with Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-8, filed July 30, 1999 (File No. 333-84215).
- (6) Incorporated by reference to exhibits filed with Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2007.
- (7) Incorporated by reference to exhibits filed with Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended March 31, 2004.
- (8) Incorporated by reference to exhibits filed with our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed April 29, 2008.
- (9) Incorporated by reference to exhibits filed with Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended March 31, 2005.
- (10) Incorporated by reference to exhibits filed with Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended March 31, 1995.
- (11) Incorporated by reference to exhibits filed with Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended March 31, 1997.
- (12) Incorporated by reference to exhibits filed with Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended March 31, 1999.
- (13) Incorporated by reference to exhibits filed with Amendment No. 2 to Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-3, filed November 21, 2003 (File No. 333-102797).
- (14) Incorporated by reference to exhibits filed with Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2000.
- (15) Incorporated by reference to exhibits filed with Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2005.
- (16) Incorporated by reference to exhibits filed with Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2002.
- (17) Incorporated by reference to exhibits filed with Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended March 31, 2001.
- (18) Incorporated by reference to exhibits filed with Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended March 31, 2002.

- (19) Incorporated by reference to exhibits filed with Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2002.
- (20) Incorporated by reference to exhibits filed with Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2003.
- (21) Incorporated by reference to exhibits filed with Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2005.
- (22) Incorporated by reference to exhibits filed with Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended March 31, 2006.
- (23) Incorporated by reference to exhibits filed with Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed June 1, 2006.
- (24) Incorporated by reference to exhibits filed with Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed September 27, 2006.
- (25) Incorporated by reference to exhibits filed with Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed February 26, 2007.
- (26) Incorporated by reference to exhibits filed with Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed May 18, 2007.
- (27) Incorporated by reference to exhibits filed with Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed June 6, 2007.
- (28) Incorporated by reference to exhibits filed with Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed June 22, 2007.
- (29) Incorporated by reference to exhibits filed with Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed July 17, 2007.
- (30) Incorporated by reference to exhibits filed with Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed July 27, 2007.
- (31) Incorporated by reference to exhibits filed with Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed October 11, 2007.
- (32) Incorporated by reference to exhibits filed with Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed February 11, 2008.
- (33) Incorporated by reference to exhibits filed with Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed March 17, 2008.
- (34) Incorporated by reference to exhibits filed with Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed March 24, 2008.
- (35) Incorporated by reference to exhibits filed with Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed March 27, 2008.
- (36) Incorporated by reference to exhibits filed with Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed April 11, 2008.
- (37) Incorporated by reference to exhibits filed with Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, filed June 30, 2004.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

ELECTRONIC ARTS INC.

By: /s/ John S. Riccitiello

John S. Riccitiello,
Chief Executive Officer

Date: May 23, 2008

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons, on behalf of the Registrant in the capacities indicated and on the 23rd of May 2008.

<u>Name</u>	<u>Title</u>
/s/ John S. Riccitiello _____ John S. Riccitiello	Chief Executive Officer
/s/ Eric F. Brown _____ Eric F. Brown	Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer
/s/ Kenneth A. Barker _____ Kenneth A. Barker	Senior Vice President, Chief Accounting Officer (Principal Accounting Officer)
Directors:	
/s/ Lawrence F. Probst III _____ Lawrence F. Probst III	Chairman of the Board
/s/ Leonard S. Coleman _____ Leonard S. Coleman	Director
/s/ Gary M. Kusin _____ Gary M. Kusin	Director
/s/ Gregory B. Maffei _____ Gregory B. Maffei	Director
/s/ Timothy Mott _____ Timothy Mott	Director
/s/ Vivek Paul _____ Vivek Paul	Director
/s/ John S. Riccitiello _____ John S. Riccitiello	Director
/s/ Richard A. Simonson _____ Richard A. Simonson	Director
/s/ Linda J. Srere _____ Linda J. Srere	Director

ELECTRONIC ARTS INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

SCHEDULE II

VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS

Years Ended March 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

(In millions)

<u>Allowance for Doubtful Accounts, Price Protection and Returns</u>	<u>Balance at Beginning of Period</u>	<u>Charged to Revenue, Costs and Expenses</u>	<u>Charged (credited) to Other Accounts⁽¹⁾</u>	<u>Deductions</u>	<u>Balance at End of Period</u>
Year Ended March 31, 2008	<u>\$214</u>	<u>\$328</u>	<u>\$16</u>	<u>\$320</u>	<u>\$238</u>
Year Ended March 31, 2007	<u>\$232</u>	<u>\$308</u>	<u>\$17</u>	<u>\$343</u>	<u>\$214</u>
Year Ended March 31, 2006	<u>\$162</u>	<u>\$483</u>	<u>\$ (6)</u>	<u>\$407</u>	<u>\$232</u>

⁽¹⁾ Primarily the translation effect of using the average exchange rate for expense items and the year-ended exchange rate for the balance sheet item (allowance account) and other reclassification adjustments.

ELECTRONIC ARTS INC.
2008 FORM 10-K ANNUAL REPORT
EXHIBIT INDEX

<u>Exhibit Number</u>	<u>Exhibit Title</u>
10.60	Electronic Arts Label Incentive Plan — Plan Document
21.01	Subsidiaries of the Registrant
23.01	Consent of KPMG LLP, Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Exchange Act, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2	Certification of Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Exchange Act, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
ADDITIONAL EXHIBITS ACCOMPANYING THIS REPORT:	
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.2	Certification of Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

