

UBIQUITI NETWORKS, INC.

FORM 10-Q (Quarterly Report)

Filed 02/07/14 for the Period Ending 12/31/13

Address 2580 ORCHARD PARKWAY
SAN JOSE, CA 95131
Telephone 408-942-3085
CIK 0001511737
Symbol UBNT
SIC Code 3663 - Radio and Television Broadcasting and Communications Equipment
Industry Communications Equipment
Sector Technology
Fiscal Year 06/30

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549**

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended December 31, 2013

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to

Commission File No. 001-35300

UBIQUITI NETWORKS, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

**(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)**

32-0097377

**(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)**

2580 Orchard Parkway, San Jose, CA 95131

(Address of principal executive offices, Zip Code)

(408) 942-3085

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definition of "accelerated filer, large accelerated filer, and smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer	<input type="checkbox"/>	Accelerated filer	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Non-accelerated filer	<input type="checkbox"/> (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)	Smaller reporting company	<input type="checkbox"/>

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes [] No [x]

As of February 3, 2014 , 87,786,093 shares of Common Stock, par value \$0.001, were issued and outstanding.

UBIQUITI NETWORKS, INC.
INDEX TO
QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q
FOR THE THREE AND SIX MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013

	<u>Page</u>
<u>PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	
Item 1. Financial Statements (Unaudited)	3
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets	3
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations	4
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	5
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	6
Item 2. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	16
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	27
Item 4. Controls and Procedures	27
<u>PART II – OTHER INFORMATION</u>	
Item 1. Legal Proceedings	29
Item 1A. Risk Factors	30
Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	51
Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities	51
Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures	51
Item 5. Other Information	51
Item 6. Exhibits	51
Signatures	53

PART I: FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

UBIQUITI NETWORKS, INC.
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets
(In thousands, except share data)
(Unaudited)

	December 31, 2013	June 30, 2013
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 305,553	\$ 227,826
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$1,800 and \$2,200, respectively	36,809	35,884
Inventories	32,323	15,880
Current deferred tax asset	733	733
Prepaid income taxes	2,799	—
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	4,425	3,151
Total current assets	382,642	283,474
Property and equipment, net	6,793	5,976
Long-term deferred tax asset	4	4
Other long-term assets	3,105	2,886
Total assets	\$ 392,544	\$ 292,340
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 49,688	\$ 36,187
Customer deposits	3,930	5,123
Deferred revenues	898	691
Income taxes payable	—	1,257
Debt - short-term	5,642	5,013
Other current liabilities	12,953	11,150
Total current liabilities	73,111	59,421
Long-term taxes payable	13,380	11,857
Debt - long-term	68,006	71,116
Deferred revenues - long-term	3,017	2,510
Total liabilities	157,514	144,904
Commitments and contingencies (Note 8)		
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock—\$0.001 par value; 50,000,000 shares authorized; none issued	—	—
Common stock—\$0.001 par value; 500,000,000 shares authorized:		
87,739,935 and 87,213,803 outstanding at December 31, 2013 and June 30, 2013, respectively	88	87
Additional paid-in capital	140,255	134,982
Treasury stock—44,238,960 shares held in treasury at December 31, 2013 and June 30, 2013	(123,864)	(123,864)
Retained earnings	218,551	136,231
Total stockholders' equity	235,030	147,436
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 392,544	\$ 292,340

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

UBIQUITI NETWORKS, INC.

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income (In thousands, except per share amounts) (Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended December 31,		Six Months Ended December 31,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Revenues	\$ 138,439	\$ 74,901	\$ 268,126	\$ 136,436
Cost of revenues	77,468	44,416	149,132	80,931
Gross profit	60,971	30,485	118,994	55,505
Operating expenses:				
Research and development	8,077	5,052	14,394	9,763
Sales, general and administrative	5,774	5,314	11,584	9,848
Total operating expenses	13,851	10,366	25,978	19,611
Income from operations	47,120	20,119	93,016	35,894
Interest expense and other, net	(249)	(197)	(495)	(283)
Income before provision for income taxes	46,871	19,922	92,521	35,611
Provision for income taxes	5,079	2,119	10,201	4,629
Net income and comprehensive income	\$ 41,792	\$ 17,803	\$ 82,320	\$ 30,982
Net income per share of common stock:				
Basic	\$ 0.48	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.94	\$ 0.35
Diluted	\$ 0.47	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.92	\$ 0.34
Weighted average shares used in computing net income per share of common stock:				
Basic	87,661	88,094	87,536	89,532
Diluted	89,653	90,056	89,593	91,493
Cash dividends declared per common share	\$ —	\$ 0.18	\$ —	\$ 0.18

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

UBIQUITI NETWORKS, INC.
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
(In thousands)
(Unaudited)

	Six Months Ended December 31,	
	2013	2012
Cash Flows from Operating Activities:		
Net income and comprehensive income	\$ 82,320	\$ 30,982
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	1,330	753
Provision for inventory obsolescence	925	875
Deferred taxes	1,523	—
Excess tax benefit from employee stock-based awards	(2,591)	(61)
Stock-based compensation	2,589	1,549
Write-off of intangible assets	74	—
Provision for doubtful accounts	(303)	1,200
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	(622)	18,542
Inventories	(17,368)	(7,764)
Deferred cost of revenues	(246)	—
Prepaid income taxes	(2,799)	—
Prepaid expenses and other assets	(1,318)	(1,621)
Accounts payable	13,334	1,829
Taxes payable	1,334	4,407
Deferred revenues	714	10
Accrued liabilities and other	608	(176)
Net cash provided by operating activities	79,504	50,525
Cash Flows from Investing Activities:		
Purchase of property and equipment and other long-term assets	(1,962)	(3,467)
Net cash used in investing activities	(1,962)	(3,467)
Cash Flows from Financing Activities:		
Proceeds from term loan, net	—	50,833
Repayments on term loan balance	(2,500)	(1,833)
Repurchases of common stock	—	(54,354)
Payment of special common stock dividend	—	(15,652)
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	1,002	161
Excess tax benefit from employee stock-based awards	2,591	61
Tax withholdings related to net share settlements of restricted stock units	(908)	(33)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	185	(20,817)
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	77,727	26,241
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	227,826	122,060
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 305,553	\$ 148,301

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

UBIQUITI NETWORKS, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

NOTE 1—BUSINESS AND BASIS OF PRESENTATION

Business — Ubiquiti Networks, Inc. was incorporated in the State of California in 2003 as Pera Networks, Inc. In 2005 the Company changed its name to Ubiquiti Networks, Inc. and commenced its current operations. In June 2010, the Company was re-incorporated in Delaware.

Ubiquiti Networks, Inc. and its wholly owned subsidiaries (collectively, “Ubiquiti” or the “Company”) develops high performance networking technology for service providers and enterprises.

On October 13, 2011, the Company entered into an underwriting agreement for its initial public offering of common stock at \$15.00 per share. The Company's initial public offering closed on October 19, 2011. Immediately prior to the closing of the initial public offering, all outstanding shares of the Company's preferred stock converted to common stock on a one for one basis.

On June 18, 2013, the Company completed a secondary offering of 7,031,464 shares of common stock at an offering price of \$16.00 per share, which included 531,464 shares sold in connection with the partial exercise of the option to purchase additional shares granted to the underwriters. All of the shares sold in the offering were sold by existing stockholders of the Company, including entities affiliated with Summit Partners, L.P., and the Company's chief executive officer, Robert J. Pera. No shares were sold by the Company in the offering, and as such, the Company did not receive any proceeds from the offering.

The Company operates on a fiscal year ending June 30. In this Quarterly Report, the fiscal year ending June 30, 2014 is referred to as “fiscal 2014 ” and the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013 is referred to as “fiscal 2013 .”

Basis of Presentation — The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“U.S. GAAP”) related to interim financial statements based on applicable Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) rules and regulations. Accordingly, they do not include all the information and footnotes required by U.S. GAAP for complete financial statements. This information reflects all adjustments, which are, in the opinion of the Company, of a normal and recurring nature and necessary to state fairly the statements of financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the dates and periods presented. The June 30, 2013 balance sheet was derived from the audited financial statements as of that date. All significant intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated.

These condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the Company's audited consolidated financial statements for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013 included in its Annual Report on Form 10-K, as filed on September 13, 2013 with the SEC (the “Annual Report”). The results of operations for the three and six months ended December 31, 2013 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for any future periods.

NOTE 2—SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The Company's significant accounting policies are disclosed in its audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended June 30, 2013 included in the Annual Report.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In July 2013, the FASB issued a new accounting standard update on the financial statement presentation of unrecognized tax benefits. The new guidance provides that a liability related to an unrecognized tax benefit would be presented as a reduction of a deferred tax asset for a new operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss or a tax credit carryforward if such settlement is required or expected in the event the uncertain tax position is disallowed. The new guidance will become effective for the Company on July 1, 2014 and it should be applied prospectively to unrecognized tax benefits that exist as of the effective date with retrospective application permitted. The Company is currently assessing the impact of this new guidance.

NOTE 3—FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

Pursuant to the accounting guidance for fair value measurements and its subsequent updates, fair value is defined as the price that would be received from selling an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. As such, fair value is a market-based measurement that should be determined based on assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability. The accounting guidance establishes a three-tier fair value

hierarchy that requires the Company to use observable market data, when available, and to minimize the use of unobservable inputs when determining fair value. The fair value hierarchy prioritizes the inputs into three levels that may be used in measuring fair value as follows:

Level 1 —observable inputs which include quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2 —inputs which include observable inputs other than Level 1, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the asset or liability.

Level 3 —inputs which include unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the underlying asset or liability. Level 3 assets and liabilities include those whose fair value measurements are determined using pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies or similar valuation techniques, as well as significant management judgment or estimation.

The Company did not have any financial assets recorded at fair value at December 31, 2013 or June 30, 2013. At December 31, 2013 and June 30, 2013 the Company had debt associated with its Loan and Security Agreement with East West Bank (see Note 7). The fair value of the Company's debt was estimated based on the current rates offered to the Company for debt with similar terms and remaining maturities and was determined to be a Level 2 measurement.

As of December 31, 2013 and June 30, 2013, the fair value hierarchy for the Company's financial liabilities was as follows (in thousands):

	December 31, 2013				June 30, 2013			
	Fair Value	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Fair Value	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Debt	\$ 73,648	\$ —	\$ 73,648	\$ —	\$ 76,129	\$ —	\$ 76,129	\$ —

NOTE 4—EARNINGS PER SHARE

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share for the periods indicated (in thousands, except per share data):

	Three Months Ended December 31,		Six Months Ended December 31,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Numerator:				
Net income attributable to common stockholders	\$ 41,792	\$ 17,803	\$ 82,320	\$ 30,982
Denominator:				
Weighted-average shares used in computing basic net income per share	87,661	88,094	87,536	89,532
Add—dilutive potential common shares:				
Stock options	1,747	1,806	1,795	1,808
Restricted stock units	245	156	262	153
Weighted-average shares used in computing diluted net income per share	89,653	90,056	89,593	91,493
Net income per share of common stock:				
Basic	\$ 0.48	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.94	\$ 0.35
Diluted	\$ 0.47	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.92	\$ 0.34

The Company excludes potentially dilutive securities from its diluted net income per share calculation when their effect would be antidilutive to net income per share amounts. The following table summarizes the total potential shares of common stock that were excluded from the diluted per share calculation, because to include them would have been anti-dilutive for the period (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended December 31,		Six Months Ended December 31,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Stock options	—	355	1	196
Restricted stock units	9	367	32	340
	9	722	33	536

NOTE 5—BALANCE SHEET COMPONENTS***Inventories***

Inventories consisted of the following (in thousands):

	December 31, 2013	June 30, 2013
Finished goods	\$ 32,136	\$ 15,618
Raw materials	187	262
	<u>\$ 32,323</u>	<u>\$ 15,880</u>

Prepaid Expenses and Other Current Assets

Prepaid expenses and other current assets consisted of the following (in thousands):

	December 31, 2013	June 30, 2013
Non-trade receivables	\$ 1,546	\$ 2,203
Other current assets	2,879	948
	<u>\$ 4,425</u>	<u>\$ 3,151</u>

Property and Equipment, Net

Property and equipment, net consisted of the following (in thousands):

	December 31, 2013	June 30, 2013
Testing equipment	\$ 3,642	\$ 3,309
Computer and other equipment	946	841
Tooling equipment	2,633	1,737
Furniture and fixtures	727	652
Leasehold improvements	2,421	1,858
Software	245	245
	<u>10,614</u>	<u>8,642</u>
Less: Accumulated depreciation and amortization	(3,821)	(2,666)
	<u>\$ 6,793</u>	<u>\$ 5,976</u>

Other Long-term Assets

Other long-term assets consisted of the following (in thousands):

	December 31, 2013	June 30, 2013
Intangible assets, net	\$ 958	\$ 1,029
Long-term deferred cost of revenues	1,431	1,185
Other long-term assets	716	672
	<u>\$ 3,105</u>	<u>\$ 2,886</u>

The Company's intangible assets consist primarily of legal costs associated with the application for and registration of the Company's patents and trademarks. The Company recorded \$25,000 and \$175,000 of amortization of intangible assets during the three and six months ended December 31, 2013, respectively. The Company did not record any amortization of intangible assets during the three and six months ended December 31, 2012. The balance of accumulated amortization was \$375,000 and \$200,000 at December 31, 2013 and June 30, 2013, respectively. Estimated future amortization related to trademark registration fees is \$56,000, \$237,000, \$237,000, \$216,000, \$54,000 and \$3,000 for the remainder of fiscal 2014 and fiscal years 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018, and thereafter, respectively. The Company commences amortization of patent registration fees upon approval of the related patent, the timing of which is determined by the regulating authority and is outside the control of the Company. Therefore, future amortization expense related to patent registration fees by year cannot be reasonably estimated; however, upon approval of each patent, the Company expects to amortize the related capitalized fees over the estimated useful life of the patent.

Other Current Liabilities

Accrued liabilities consisted of the following (in thousands):

	December 31, 2013	June 30, 2013
Accrued compensation and benefits	\$ 3,258	\$ 2,712
Accrued accounts payable	479	323
Accrual for an export compliance matter	1,625	1,625
Warranty accrual	3,575	2,913
Other accruals	4,016	3,577
	<u>\$ 12,953</u>	<u>\$ 11,150</u>

NOTE 6—ACCRUED WARRANTY

The Company offers warranties on certain products, generally for a period of one year, and records a liability for the estimated future costs associated with potential warranty claims. The warranty costs are reflected in the Company’s consolidated statement of operations within cost of revenues. The warranties are typically in effect for 12 months from the distributor’s purchase date of the product. The Company’s estimate of future warranty costs is largely based on historical experience factors including product failure rates, material usage, and service delivery cost incurred in correcting product failures. In certain circumstances, the Company may have recourse from its contract manufacturers for replacement cost of defective products, which it also factors into its warranty liability assessment.

Warranty obligations, included in other current liabilities, were as follows (in thousands):

	Six Months Ended December 31,	
	2013	2012
Beginning balance	\$ 2,913	\$ 1,381
Accruals for warranties issued during the period	2,698	1,684
Warranty costs incurred during the period	(2,036)	(1,115)
	<u>\$ 3,575</u>	<u>\$ 1,950</u>

NOTE 7—DEBT

On August 7, 2012, the Company entered into a Loan and Security Agreement (the “Loan Agreement”) with U.S. Bank, as syndication agent, and East West Bank, as administrative agent for the lenders party to the Loan Agreement. The Loan Agreement provides for (i) a \$50.0 million revolving credit facility, with a \$5.0 million sublimit for the issuance of letters of credit and a \$5.0 million sublimit for the making of swingline loan advances (the “Revolving Credit Facility”), and (ii) a \$50.0 million term loan facility (the “Term Loan Facility”). The Company may request borrowings under the Revolving Credit Facility until August 7, 2015. The Loan Agreement replaced a previous agreement whereby the Company had an existing term loan balance of \$29.2 million as of the date the new Loan Agreement. On August 7, 2012, the Company borrowed \$20.8 million under the Term Loan Facility, and no borrowings remain available for borrowing thereunder. On November 21, 2012, the Company borrowed \$10.0 million under the Revolving Credit Facility. On December 20, 2012, the Company borrowed an additional \$20.0 million under the Revolving Credit Facility, and \$20.0 million remains available for borrowing thereunder.

The loans bear interest, at the Company’s option, at the base rate plus a spread of 1.25% to 1.75% or an adjusted LIBOR rate (at the Company’s election, for a period of 30 , 60 , or 90 days) plus a spread of 2.25% to 2.75% , in each case with such spread being determined based on the debt service coverage ratio for its most recently ended fiscal quarter. The base rate is the highest of (i) East West Bank’s prime rate, (ii) the federal funds rate plus a margin equal to 0.50% , or (iii) the LIBOR rate plus a margin equal to 1.00% . The Company is also obligated to pay other customary closing fees, arrangement fees, administration fees, commitment fees and letter of credit fees for a credit facility of this size and type.

Interest is due and payable monthly in arrears in the case of loans bearing interest at the base rate and at the end of an interest period in the case of loans bearing interest at the adjusted LIBOR rate. Principal payments under the Term Loan Facility will be made in quarterly installments on the first day of each calendar quarter, and each such quarterly installment shall be equal to \$1.25 million through July 1, 2014, then equal to \$1.875 million from October 1, 2014 through July 1, 2015, and then equal to \$2.5 million from October 1, 2015 through July 1, 2017, with the remaining outstanding principal balance and all accrued and unpaid interest due on August 7, 2017. All outstanding loans under the Revolving Credit Facility, together with all accrued and unpaid interest, are due on August 7, 2015.

The Company may prepay the loans, in whole or in part, at any time without premium or penalty, subject to certain conditions including minimum amounts and reimbursement of certain costs in the case of prepayments of LIBOR loans. In addition, the Company is required to prepay the loan under the Term Loan Facility with (i) the proceeds from certain financing transactions or asset sales (subject, in the case of asset sales, to reinvestment rights) and (ii) 25.0% of the Company's excess cash flow in the U.S., as determined after each fiscal year and in accordance with the Loan Agreement, provided that the Company shall not be required to prepay the loan out of its excess cash flow if its leverage ratio is greater than 1.50 : 1.00 on the last day of such fiscal year.

All of the obligations of the Company under the Loan Agreement are collateralized by substantially all of the Company's assets, including all of the capital stock of the Company's future domestic subsidiaries and 65% of the capital stock of the Company's existing and future foreign subsidiaries, but excluding the Company's intellectual property, which is subject to a negative pledge agreement. All of the Company's future domestic subsidiaries are required to guaranty the obligations under the Loan Agreement. Such guarantees by future subsidiaries will be collateralized by substantially all of the property of such subsidiaries, excluding intellectual property.

The Loan Agreement contains customary affirmative and negative covenants, including covenants that limit or restrict the Company and its subsidiaries' ability to, among other things, incur indebtedness, grant liens, merge or consolidate, dispose of assets, pay dividends or make distributions, make investments, make acquisitions, prepay certain indebtedness, change the nature of its business, enter into certain transactions with affiliates, enter into restrictive agreements, and make capital expenditures, in each case subject to customary exceptions for a credit facility of this size and type. The Company is also required to maintain a minimum debt service coverage ratio, a maximum leverage ratio, and a minimum liquidity ratio. As of December 31, 2013, the Company was in compliance with all affirmative and negative covenants, debt service coverage ratio, leverage ratio and liquidity ratio requirements.

The Loan Agreement includes customary events of default that include, among other things, defaults for the failure to timely pay principal, interest, or other amounts due, defaults due to the inaccuracy of representations and warranties, covenant defaults, a cross default to material indebtedness, bankruptcy and insolvency defaults, material judgment defaults, defaults due to the unenforceability of a guaranty, and defaults due to circumstances that have or could reasonably be expected to have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, operations or financial condition, its ability to pay or perform under the Loan Agreement, or on the lenders' security interests. The occurrence of an event of default could result in the acceleration of the obligations under the Loan Agreement. During the existence of an event of default, interest on the obligations under the Loan Agreement could be increased by 2.00% above the otherwise applicable interest rate.

During the three and six months ended December 31, 2013, the Company made aggregate payments of \$1.3 million and \$2.5 million, respectively, against the loan balance. During the three and six months ended December 31, 2012, the Company made aggregate payments of \$1.3 million and \$1.8 million, respectively, against the loan balance. As of December 31, 2013, the Company has classified \$5.6 million and \$68.0 million in short-term and long-term debt, respectively, on its condensed consolidated balance sheet related to the Loan Agreement.

The following table summarizes our estimated debt and interest payment obligations as of December 31, 2013 for the remainder of fiscal 2014 and future fiscal years (in thousands):

	2014 (remainder)	2015	2016	2017	2018	Total
Debt payment obligations	\$ 2,500	\$ 6,875	\$ 39,375	\$ 10,000	\$ 15,000	\$ 73,750
Interest payments on debt payment obligations	911	1,723	1,043	532	125	4,334
Total	<u>\$ 3,411</u>	<u>\$ 8,598</u>	<u>\$ 40,418</u>	<u>\$ 10,532</u>	<u>\$ 15,125</u>	<u>\$ 78,084</u>

NOTE 8—COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Operating Leases

Certain facilities and equipment are leased under non-cancelable operating leases. The Company generally pays taxes, insurance and maintenance costs on leased facilities and equipment. The Company leases office space in San Jose, California and other locations under various non-cancelable operating leases that expire at various dates through fiscal 2019.

At December 31, 2013, future minimum annual payments under operating leases for the remainder of fiscal 2014 and future fiscal years are as follows (in thousands):

	2014 (remainder)	2015	2016	2017	2018	Thereafter	Total
Operating leases	\$ 1,184	\$ 2,494	\$ 2,497	\$ 1,537	\$ 297	\$ 53	\$ 8,062

Purchase Commitments

The Company subcontracts with other companies to manufacture its products. During the normal course of business, the Company’s contract manufacturers procure components based upon orders placed by the Company. If the Company cancels all or part of the orders, it may still be liable to the contract manufacturers for the cost of the components purchased by them to manufacture the Company’s products. The Company periodically reviews the potential liability and to date no significant accruals have been recorded.

Indemnification Obligations

The Company enters into standard indemnification agreements with many of its business partners in the ordinary course of business. These agreements include provisions for indemnifying the business partner against any claim brought by a third party to the extent any such claim alleges that a Ubiquiti product infringes a patent, copyright or trademark, or violates any other proprietary rights of that third party. The maximum potential amount of future payments the Company could be required to make under these indemnification agreements is not estimable and the Company has not incurred any material costs to defend lawsuits or settle claims related to these indemnification agreements to date.

Legal Matters

The Company may be involved, from time to time, in a variety of claims, lawsuits, investigations, and proceedings relating to contractual disputes, intellectual property rights, employment matters, regulatory compliance matters and other litigation matters relating to various claims that arise in the normal course of business. The Company determines whether an estimated loss from a contingency should be accrued by assessing whether a loss is deemed probable and can be reasonably estimated. The Company assesses its potential liability by analyzing specific litigation and regulatory matters using available information. The Company develops its views on estimated losses in consultation with inside and outside counsel, which involves a subjective analysis of potential results and outcomes, assuming various combinations of appropriate litigation and settlement strategies. Taking all of the above factors into account, the Company records an amount where it is probable that the Company will incur a loss and where that loss can be reasonably estimated. However, the Company’s estimates may be incorrect and the Company could ultimately incur more or less than the amounts initially recorded. The Company may also incur significant legal fees, which are expensed as incurred, in defending against these claims.

Export Compliance Matters

In January 2011, the U.S. Commerce Department, Bureau of Industry and Security’s (“BIS”) Office of Export Enforcement (“OEE”) contacted the Company to request that the Company provide information related to its relationship with a logistics company in the United Arab Emirates (“UAE”) and with a company in Iran, as well as information on the export classification of its products. As a result of this inquiry the Company, assisted by outside counsel, conducted a review of the Company’s export transactions from 2008 through March 2011 to not only gather information responsive to the OEE’s request but also to review the Company’s overall compliance with export control and sanctions laws. The Company believes its products have been sold into Iran by third parties. The Company does not believe that it directly sold, exported or shipped its products into Iran or any other country subject to a U.S. embargo. However, until early 2010, the Company did not prohibit its distributors from selling its products into Iran or any other country subject to a U.S. embargo. In the course of this review the Company identified that two distributors may have sold the Company’s products into Iran. The Company’s review also found that while it had obtained required Commodity Classification Rulings for its products in June 2010 and November 2010, the Company did not advise its shipping personnel to change the export authorizations used on its shipping documents until February 2011. During the course of the Company’s export control review, the Company also determined that it had failed to maintain adequate records for the five year period required by the Export Administration Regulations (the “EAR”) and the sanctions regulations due to its lack of infrastructure and because it was prior to its transition to its current system of record, NetSuite.

In May 2011, the Company filed a self-disclosure statement with the BIS and OEE. In June 2011, the Company filed a self-disclosure statement with the U.S. Department of the Treasury’s Office of Foreign Asset Control (“OFAC”) regarding the compliance issues noted above. The disclosures address the above described findings and the remedial actions the Company had taken to date. However, the findings also indicate that both distributors continued to sell, directly or indirectly, the Company’s products into Iran during the period from February 2010 through March 2011 and that the Company received

various communications from them indicating that they were continuing to do so. Since January 2011, the Company has cooperated with OEE and, prior to its disclosure filing, the Company informally shared with the OEE the substance of its findings with respect to both distributors. From May 2011 to August 2011, the Company provided additional information regarding its review and its findings to OEE to facilitate its investigation and OEE advised the Company in August 2011 that it had completed its investigation of the Company. In August 2011, the Company received a warning letter from OEE stating that OEE had not referred the findings of the Company's review for criminal or administrative prosecution and closed the investigation of the Company without penalty.

OFAC is still reviewing the Company's disclosure. In the Company's submission, the Company provided OFAC with an explanation of the activities that led to the sales of its products in Iran and the failure to comply with the EAR and OFAC sanctions. Although the Company's OFAC and OEE disclosures covered similar sets of facts, which led the OEE to resolve the case with the issuance of a warning letter, OFAC may conclude that the Company's actions resulted in violations of U.S. export control and economic sanctions laws and warrant the imposition of penalties that could include fines, termination of the Company's ability to export its products, and/or referral for criminal prosecution. The penalties may be imposed against the Company and/or its management. The maximum civil monetary penalty for the violations is up to \$250,000 or twice the value of the transaction, whichever is greater, per violation. Any such fines or restrictions may be material to the Company's financial results in the period in which they are imposed. The Company cannot predict when OFAC will complete its review or decide upon the imposition of possible penalties.

The Company has taken actions designed to ensure that export classification information is distributed to the appropriate personnel in a timely manner, and has adopted policies and procedures to promote its compliance with applicable export laws and regulations, including obtaining written distribution agreements with substantially all of its distributors that contain covenants requiring compliance with U.S. export control and economic sanctions law, notifying all of its distributors of their obligations and obtaining updated distribution agreements from distributors that accounted for approximately 99% of its revenue in fiscal 2013. However the Company cannot be sure such actions will be effective. Additionally, the Company's failure to amend all its distribution agreements and to implement more robust compliance controls immediately after the discovery of Iran-related sales activity in early 2010 may be aggravating factors that could impact the imposition of penalties imposed on the Company or its management. Based on the facts known to the Company to date, the Company recorded an expense of \$1.6 million for this export compliance matter in fiscal 2010, which represents management's estimated exposure for fines in accordance with applicable accounting literature. This amount was calculated from information discovered through the Company's internal review and this loss is deemed to be probable and reasonably estimable. However, the Company also believes that it is reasonably possible that the loss may be higher, but the Company cannot reasonably estimate the range of any further potential losses. Should additional facts be discovered in the future and/or should actual fines or other penalties substantially differ from the Company's estimates, its business, financial condition, cash flows and results of operations may be materially negatively impacted.

Shareholder Class Action Lawsuits

Beginning on September 7, 2012, two shareholder class action complaints were filed against the Company, certain of its officers and directors and the underwriters of the Company's initial public offering in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. On January 30, 2013, the plaintiffs filed an Amended Consolidated Complaint, which alleges claims under the Securities Act of 1933, the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and SEC Rule 10b-5 on behalf of a purported class of those who purchased the Company's common stock between October 14, 2011 and August 9, 2012 and/or acquired the Company's stock pursuant to or traceable to the registration statement for the initial public offering. The Amended Consolidated Complaint alleges that the defendants violated the federal securities laws by issuing false or misleading statements regarding the sale of counterfeit Company products. The consolidated complaint seeks, among other things, damages and interest, rescission, and attorneys' fees and costs. On March 26, 2013, the Company filed a motion to dismiss the complaint. On August 27, 2013, the court held a hearing on the motion to dismiss, and its decision is pending.

The Company believes that the allegations in the consolidated complaint are without merit and intend to vigorously contest the litigation. However, there can be no assurance that the Company will be successful in its defense. Because the case is at a very early stage, the Company cannot say that a loss is probable and cannot currently reasonably estimate the loss or the range of possible losses that may be experienced in connection with this litigation.

NOTE 9—COMMON STOCK AND TREASURY STOCK

As of December 31, 2013 and June 30, 2013, the authorized capital of the Company included 500,000,000 shares of common stock. As of December 31, 2013, 131,978,895 shares of common stock were issued and 87,739,935 were outstanding. As of June 30, 2013, 131,452,763 shares of common stock were issued and 87,213,803 were outstanding.

Common Stock Repurchases

On August 9, 2012, the Company announced that its Board of Directors authorized the Company to repurchase up to \$100 million of its common stock. The share repurchase program commenced August 13, 2012 and expired on August 12, 2013. The share repurchase program was funded with proceeds from the Loan Agreement as discussed in Note 7 and from existing cash on hand.

Common stock repurchase activity under the share repurchase program was as follows (in thousands, except share and per share amounts):

<u>Period</u>	<u>Total Number of Shares Purchased</u>	<u>Average Price Paid per Share</u>	<u>Estimated remaining balance available for share repurchase</u>
August 13, 2012 – August 31, 2012	2,179,900	\$ 8.88	\$ 80,599
September 1, 2012 – September 30, 2012	992,014	\$ 11.93	\$ 68,742
October 1, 2012 - October 31, 2012	371,665	\$ 11.72	\$ 64,377
November 1, 2012 - November 30, 2012	657,700	\$ 11.16	\$ 57,024
December 1, 2012 - December 31, 2012	957,771	\$ 11.86	\$ 45,646
Total	<u>5,159,050</u>	\$ 10.52	\$ 45,646

The Company did not repurchase any of its common stock from January 1, 2013 through August 12, 2013, the date that the plan expired.

Special Dividend

On December 14, 2012, the Company announced that its Board of Directors had authorized a special cash dividend of \$0.18 per share for each share of common stock outstanding on December 24, 2012. The aggregate dividend payment of \$15.7 million was paid on December 28, 2012 to stockholders of record on December 24, 2012. The dividend payment was funded using proceeds from the Loan Agreement as discussed in Note 7.

NOTE 10—STOCK BASED COMPENSATION*Stock-Based Compensation Plans*

The Company's 2010 Equity Incentive Plan and 2005 Equity Incentive Plan are described in its Annual Report. As of December 31, 2013, the Company had 5,620,284 authorized shares available for future issuance under all of its stock incentive plans.

Stock-based Compensation

The following table shows total stock-based compensation expense included in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 (in thousands):

	<u>Three Months Ended December 31,</u>		<u>Six Months Ended December 31,</u>	
	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>
Cost of sales	\$ 148	\$ 104	\$ 292	\$ 185
Research and development	553	401	1,049	667
Sales, general and administrative	721	388	1,248	697
	<u>\$ 1,422</u>	<u>\$ 893</u>	<u>\$ 2,589</u>	<u>\$ 1,549</u>

Stock Options

The following is a summary of option activity for the Company's stock incentive plans for the six months ended December 31, 2013 :

	Common Stock Options Outstanding			
	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
				(In thousands)
Balance, June 30, 2013	3,614,262	\$ 3.07		
Exercised	(455,980)	2.20		
Forfeitures and cancellations	(107,318)	10.55		
Balance, December 31, 2013	3,050,964	\$ 2.93	5.65	\$ 131,270
Vested and expected to vest as of December 31, 2013	3,016,040	\$ 2.85	5.61	\$ 130,033
Vested and exercisable as of December 31, 2013	2,421,183	\$ 1.30	4.97	\$ 108,131

During the three months ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 , the aggregate intrinsic value of options exercised under the Company's stock incentive plans was \$3.8 million and \$185,000 , respectively, as determined as of the date of option exercise. During the six months ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 , the aggregate intrinsic value of options exercised under the Company's stock incentive plans was \$13.7 million and \$363,000 , respectively, as determined as of the date of option exercise.

As of December 31, 2013 , the Company had unrecognized compensation costs of \$2.6 million related to stock options which the Company expects to recognize over a weighted-average period of 2.6 years. Future option grants will increase the amount of compensation expense to be recorded in these periods.

The Company estimates the fair value of employee stock options using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The fair value of employee stock options is being amortized on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period of the awards. The Company did not grant any employee stock options during the three and six months ended December 31, 2013 . For the three and six months ended December 31, 2012 , the fair value of employee stock options was estimated using the following weighted average assumptions:

	Three And Six Months Ended December 31, 2012
Expected term	6.1 years
Expected volatility	52%
Risk-free interest rate	0.8%
Expected dividend yield	—
Weighted average grant date fair value	\$ 5.37

Restricted Stock Units ("RSUs")

The following table summarizes the activity of the RSUs made by the Company:

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value
Non-vested RSUs, June 30, 2013	744,906	\$ 14.74
RSUs granted	77,033	32.48
RSUs vested	(100,431)	16.09
RSUs canceled	(188,905)	14.98
Non-vested RSUs, December 31, 2013	532,603	\$ 16.96

The intrinsic value of RSUs vested in the three months ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 was \$1.5 million and \$142,000 , respectively. The intrinsic value of RSUs vested in the six months ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 was \$2.9 million and \$233,000 , respectively.

As of December 31, 2013, there was unrecognized compensation costs related to RSUs of \$7.0 million which the Company expects to recognize over a weighted average period of 3.2 years.

NOTE 11—INCOME TAXES

As of December 31, 2013, the Company had approximately \$13.2 million of unrecognized tax benefits, substantially all of which would, if recognized, affect its tax expense. The Company has elected to include interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions as a component of tax expense. At December 31, 2013, an insignificant amount of interest and penalties are included in long-term income tax payable. The Company recorded an increase of its unrecognized tax benefits of \$1.0 million for the three months ended December 31, 2013. The Company does not expect any significant increases or decreases to its unrecognized tax benefits in the next twelve months.

The Company recorded income tax provisions of \$5.1 million and \$10.2 million for the three and six months ended December 31, 2013, respectively. The Company’s estimated 2014 effective tax rate differs from the U.S. statutory rate primarily due to profits earned in jurisdictions where the tax rate is lower than the U.S. tax rate.

The Company files U.S. federal income tax returns as well as income tax returns in various states and foreign jurisdictions. The Company’s tax years from 2009 and onwards could be subject to examinations by tax authorities.

NOTE 12—SEGMENT INFORMATION, REVENUES BY GEOGRAPHY AND SIGNIFICANT CUSTOMERS

During the first quarter of fiscal 2014, the Company began presenting its revenues by product type in two primary categories, Service Provider Technology and Enterprise Technology. These categories better represent how the Company’s business is managed and benchmarked internally, and better reflect the Company’s end user customer delineation.

- **Service Provider Technology** includes the Company’s airMAX, EdgeMAX and airFiber platforms, as well as embedded radio products and other 802.11 standard products including base stations, radios, backhaul equipment and Customer Premise Equipment (“CPE”). Additionally, Service Provider Technology includes antennas and other products in the 2.0 to 6.0GHz spectrum and miscellaneous products such as mounting brackets, cables and power over Ethernet adapters.
- **Enterprise Technology** includes the Company’s UniFi, mFi and airVision platforms.

Revenues by product type for the three and six months ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 were as follows (in thousands, except percentages):

	Three Months Ended December 31,				Six Months Ended December 31,			
	2013		2012		2013		2012	
Service Provider Technology	\$ 111,454	81%	\$ 68,170	91%	\$ 205,671	77%	\$ 119,787	88%
Enterprise Technology	26,985	19%	6,731	9%	62,455	23%	16,649	12%
Total revenues	\$ 138,439	100%	\$ 74,901	100%	\$ 268,126	100%	\$ 136,436	100%

The Company generally forwards products directly from its manufacturers to its customers via logistics distribution hubs in Asia. Beginning in the quarter ended December 31, 2012, the Company’s products were predominantly routed through a third party logistics provider in China and prior to the quarter ended December 31, 2012, the Company’s products were predominantly delivered to its customers through distribution hubs in Hong Kong. The Company’s logistics provider, in turn, ships to other locations throughout the world. The Company has determined the geographical distribution of product revenues based upon the customer’s ship-to destinations.

Revenues by geography were as follows (in thousands, except percentages):

	Three Months Ended December 31,				Six Months Ended December 31,			
	2013		2012		2013		2012	
North America(1)	\$ 32,567	24%	\$ 12,106	16%	\$ 70,000	26%	\$ 32,467	24%
South America	27,992	20%	17,081	23%	48,768	18%	27,324	20%
Europe, the Middle East and Africa	58,842	42%	35,929	48%	111,708	42%	59,073	43%
Asia Pacific	19,038	14%	9,785	13%	37,650	14%	17,572	13%
Total revenues	\$ 138,439	100%	\$ 74,901	100%	\$ 268,126	100%	\$ 136,436	100%

(1) Revenue for the United States was \$31.1 million and \$11.4 million for the three months ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Revenue for the United States was \$67.3 million and \$30.7 million for the six months ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Customers with an accounts receivable balance of 10% or greater of total accounts receivable and customers with net revenues of 10% or greater of total revenues are presented below for the periods indicated:

	Percentage of Revenues				Percentage of Accounts Receivable	
	Three Months Ended December 31,		Six Months Ended December 31,		December 31,	June 30,
	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013	2013
Customer A	17%	15%	14%	12%	20%	12%
Customer B	*	14%	*	*	11%	11%
Customer C	*	*	*	*	16%	15%

* denotes less than 10%

NOTE 13—RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS AND CERTAIN OTHER TRANSACTIONS

On November 13, 2013, the Company entered into an aircraft lease agreement (the "Aircraft Lease Agreement") with RJP Manageco LLC (the "Lessor"), a limited liability company owned by the Company's CEO, Robert J. Pera. Pursuant to the Aircraft Lease Agreement, the Company may lease an aircraft owned by the Lessor for Company business purposes. Under the Aircraft Lease Agreement, the aircraft may be leased at a rate of \$ 5,000 per flight hour. This hourly rate does not include the cost of flight crew or on-board services, which the Company will purchase from a third-party provider. Expenses incurred will be included in the Company's sales, general and administrative expenses under its Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion of our financial condition and results of operations should be read together with the financial statements and related notes that are included elsewhere in this quarterly report. In addition to historical consolidated financial information, the following discussion contains forward-looking statements that reflect our plans, estimates and beliefs. Our actual results could differ materially from those discussed in the forward-looking statements. Factors that could cause or contribute to these differences include those discussed below and elsewhere in this quarterly report, particularly in Part II, Item 1, Legal Proceedings and 1A, Risk Factors, in this report.

Overview

Ubiquiti Networks develops high performance networking technology for service providers and enterprises. Our technology platforms focus on delivering highly-advanced and easily deployable solutions that appeal to a global customer base in underserved and underpenetrated markets. Our differentiated business model has enabled us to break down traditional barriers such as high product and network deployment costs and offer solutions with disruptive price-performance characteristics. This differentiated business model, combined with our innovative proprietary technologies, has resulted in an attractive alternative to traditional high touch, high-cost providers, allowing us to advance the market adoption of our platforms for ubiquitous connectivity.

We offer a broad and expanding portfolio of networking products and solutions for service providers and enterprises. Our service provider product platforms provide carrier-class network infrastructure for fixed wireless broadband, wireless backhaul

systems and routing. Our enterprise product platforms provide wireless LAN infrastructure, video surveillance products, and machine-to-machine communication components. We believe that our products are highly differentiated due to our proprietary software protocol innovation, firmware expertise, and hardware design capabilities. This differentiation allows our portfolio to meet the demanding performance requirements of video, voice and data applications at prices that are a fraction of those offered by our competitors.

As a core part of our strategy, we have developed a differentiated business model for marketing and selling high volumes of carrier and enterprise-class communications platforms. Our business model is driven by a large, growing and highly engaged community of service providers, distributors, value added resellers, systems integrators and corporate IT professionals, which we refer to as the Ubiquiti Community. The Ubiquiti Community is a critical element of our business strategy as it enables us to drive:

- **Rapid customer and community driven product development.** We have an active, loyal community built from our customers that we believe is a sustainable competitive advantage. Our solutions benefit from the active engagement between the Ubiquiti Community and our development engineers throughout the product development cycle, which eliminates long and expensive multistep internal processes and results in rapid introduction and adoption of our products. This approach significantly reduces our development costs and time to market.
- **Scalable sales and marketing model.** We do not currently have, nor do we plan to hire, a direct sales force, but instead utilize the Ubiquiti Community to drive market awareness and demand for our products and solutions. This community-propagated viral marketing enables us to reach underserved and underpenetrated markets far more efficiently and cost-effectively than is possible through traditional sales models. Leveraging the information transparency of the Internet allows customers to research, evaluate and validate our solutions with the Ubiquiti Community and via third party web sites. This allows us to operate a scalable sales and marketing model and effectively create awareness of our brand and products. Word of mouth referrals from the Ubiquiti Community generate high quality leads for our distributors at relatively little cost.
- **Self-sustaining product support.** The engaged members of the Ubiquiti Community have enabled us to foster a large, cost efficient, highly-scalable and, we believe, self-sustaining mechanism for rapid product support and dissemination of information.

By reducing the cost of development, sales, marketing and support we are able to eliminate traditional business model inefficiencies and offer innovative solutions with disruptive price performance characteristics to our customers.

Our revenues increased 85% to \$138.4 million in the three months ended December 31, 2013 from \$74.9 million in the three months ended December 31, 2012 . Our revenues increased 97% to \$268.1 million in the six months ended December 31, 2013 from \$136.4 million in the six months ended December 31, 2012 . We believe the overall increase in revenues during the three and six months ended December 31, 2013 was driven by increased adoption of our service provider and enterprise technologies. Additionally, during three and six months ended December 31, 2012 , we believe we experienced lost sales due to the proliferation of counterfeit versions of our products, which also created customer uncertainty regarding the authenticity of their potential purchases. We believe these factors contributed to a buildup in channel inventory with our distributors, further impacting our revenues. We had net income of \$41.8 million and \$17.8 million in the three months ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 , respectively. We had net income of \$82.3 million and \$31.0 million in the six months ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 , respectively. The increase in net income in both the three and six months ended December 31, 2013 as compared to the same periods in the prior year was primarily due to the increase in revenues.

Key Components of Our Results of Operations and Financial Condition

Revenues

Our revenues are derived principally from the sale of networking hardware and management tools. In addition, while we do not sell maintenance and support separately, because we have historically included it free of charge in many of our arrangements, we attribute a portion of our systems revenues to this implied post-contract customer support (“PCS”).

We classify our revenues into two primary product categories, Service Provider Technology and Enterprise Technology.

- **Service Provider Technology** includes our airMAX, EdgeMAX and airFiber platforms, as well as embedded radio products and other 802.11 standard products including base stations, radios, backhaul equipment and Customer Premise Equipment (“CPE”). Additionally, Service Provider Technology includes antennas and other products in the

2.0 to 6.0GHz spectrum and miscellaneous products such as mounting brackets, cables and power over Ethernet adapters.

- **Enterprise Technology** includes the Company's UniFi, mFi and airVision platforms.

We sell substantially all of our products through a limited number of distributors and other channel partners, such as resellers and OEMs. Sales to distributors accounted for 98% and 97% of our revenues in the three months ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Sales to distributors accounted for 98% and 97% of our revenues in the six months ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Other channel partners, such as resellers and OEMs, largely accounted for the balance of our revenues. We sell our products without any right of return.

Cost of Revenues

Our cost of revenues is comprised primarily of the costs of procuring finished goods from our contract manufacturers and chipsets that we consign to certain of our contract manufacturers. In addition, cost of revenues includes tooling, labor and other costs associated with engineering, testing and quality assurance, warranty costs, stock-based compensation, logistics related fees and excess and obsolete inventory.

In addition to utilizing contract manufacturers, we outsource our logistics warehousing and order fulfillment functions, which are located primarily in China, and to a lesser extent, Taiwan. We also evaluate and utilize other vendors for various portions of our supply chain from time to time. Our operations organization consists of employees and consultants engaged in the management of our contract manufacturers, new product introduction activities, logistical support and engineering.

Gross Profit

Our gross profit has been, and may in the future be, influenced by several factors including changes in product mix, target end markets for our products, pricing due to competitive pressure, production costs, foreign exchange rates and global demand for electronic components. Although we procure and sell our products in U.S. dollars, our contract manufacturers incur many costs, including labor costs, in other currencies. To the extent that the exchange rates move unfavorably for our contract manufacturers, they may try to pass these additional costs on to us, which could have a material impact on our future average selling prices and unit costs.

Operating Expenses

We classify our operating expenses as research and development and sales, general and administrative expenses.

- *Research and development expenses* consist primarily of salary and benefit expenses, including stock-based compensation, for employees and costs for contractors engaged in research, design and development activities, as well as costs for prototypes, facilities and travel. Over time, we expect our research and development costs to increase as we continue making significant investments in developing new products and developing new versions of our existing products.
- *Sales, general and administrative expenses* include salary and benefit expenses, including stock-based compensation, for employees and costs for contractors engaged in sales, marketing and general and administrative activities, as well as the costs of legal expenses, trade shows, marketing programs, promotional materials, bad debt expense, professional services, facilities, general liability insurance and travel. As our product portfolio and targeted markets expand, we may need to employ different sales models, such as building a direct sales force. These sales models would likely increase our costs. Over time, we expect our sales, general and administrative expenses to increase in absolute dollars due to continued growth in headcount, expansion of our efforts to register and defend trademarks and patents and to support our business and operations.

Deferred Revenues and Costs

In the event that collectability of a receivable from products we have shipped is not probable, we classify those amounts as deferred revenues on our balance sheet until such time as we receive payment of the accounts receivable. We classify the cost of products associated with these deferred revenues as deferred costs of revenues. At December 31, 2013, \$2.7 million of revenue was deferred for transactions where we lacked evidence that collectability of the receivables recorded was reasonably assured. At June 30, 2013, \$2.2 million of revenue was deferred for transactions where we lacked evidence that

collectability of the receivables recorded was reasonably assured. The related deferred cost of revenues balance was \$1.4 million and \$1.2 million at December 31, 2013 and June 30, 2013 , respectively.

Also included in our deferred revenues is a portion related to PCS obligations that we estimate we will perform in the future. As of December 31, 2013 and June 30, 2013 , we had deferred revenues of \$1.3 million and \$1.0 million respectively, related to these obligations.

Critical Accounting Policies

We prepare our condensed consolidated financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“GAAP”). In many cases, the accounting treatment of a particular transaction is specifically dictated by GAAP and does not require management’s judgment in its application. In other cases, management’s judgment is required in selecting among available alternative accounting standards that provide for different accounting treatment for similar transactions. The preparation of condensed consolidated financial statements also requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts we report as assets, liabilities, revenues, costs and expenses and affect the related disclosures. We base our estimates on historical experience and other assumptions that we believe are reasonable under the circumstances. In many instances, we could reasonably use different accounting estimates, and in some instances changes in the accounting estimates are reasonably likely to occur from period to period. Accordingly, our actual results could differ significantly from the estimates made by our management. To the extent that there are differences between our estimates and actual results, our future financial statement presentation, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows will be affected. Our critical accounting policies are discussed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013 , as filed on September 13, 2013 with the SEC, or the Annual Report, and there have been no material changes.

Results of Operations

Comparison of Three and Six Months Ended December 31, 2013 and 2012

	Three Months Ended December 31,				Six Months Ended December 31,			
	2013		2012		2013		2012	
	(In thousands, except percentages)							
Revenues	\$ 138,439	100%	\$ 74,901	100%	\$ 268,126	100%	\$ 136,436	100%
Cost of revenues	77,468	56%	44,416	59%	149,132	56%	80,931	59%
Gross profit	60,971	44%	30,485	41%	118,994	44%	55,505	41%
Operating expenses:								
Research and development	8,077	6%	5,052	7%	14,394	5%	9,763	7%
Sales, general and administrative	5,774	4%	5,314	7%	11,584	4%	9,848	7%
Total operating expenses	13,851	10%	10,366	14%	25,978	9%	19,611	14%
Income from operations	47,120	34%	20,119	27%	93,016	35%	35,894	27%
Interest expense and other, net	(249)	*	(197)	*	(495)	*	(283)	*
Income before provision for income taxes	46,871	34%	19,922	27%	92,521	35%	35,611	26%
Provision for income taxes	5,079	4%	2,119	3%	10,201	4%	4,629	3%
Net income and comprehensive income	\$ 41,792	30%	\$ 17,803	24%	\$ 82,320	31%	\$ 30,982	23%

* Less than 1%

(1) Includes stock-based compensation as follows:

Cost of revenues	\$ 148	\$ 104	\$ 292	\$ 185
Research and development	553	401	1,049	667
Sales, general and administrative	721	388	1,248	697
Total stock-based compensation	\$ 1,422	\$ 893	\$ 2,589	\$ 1,549

Revenues

Revenues increased \$63.5 million , or 85% , from \$74.9 million in the three months ended December 31, 2012 to \$138.4 million in the three months ended December 31, 2013 . Revenues increased \$131.7 million , or 97% , from \$136.4 million in the six months ended December 31, 2012 to \$268.1 million in the six months ended December 31, 2013 . We believe the overall

increase in revenues during both the three and six months ended December 31, 2013 was driven by increased adoption of our service provider and enterprise technologies. Additionally, during the three and six months ended December 31, 2012, we believe we experienced lost sales due to the proliferation of counterfeit versions of our products, which also created customer uncertainty regarding the authenticity of their potential purchases. We believe these factors contributed to a buildup in channel inventory with our distributors, further impacting our revenues.

In the three and six months ended months ended December 31, 2013, revenues from Customer A represented 17% and 14% of our revenues, respectively. In the three months ended December 31, 2012, revenues from Customer A and Customer B represented 15% and 14%, respectively. In the six months ended December 31, 2012, revenues from Customer A represented 12% of our revenues.

Revenues by Product Type

	Three Months Ended December 31,				Six Months Ended December 31,			
	2013		2012		2013		2012	
	(in thousands, except percentages)							
Service Provider Technology	\$ 111,454	81%	\$ 68,170	91%	\$ 205,671	77%	\$ 119,787	88%
Enterprise Technology	26,985	19%	6,731	9%	62,455	23%	16,649	12%
Total revenues	\$ 138,439	100%	\$ 74,901	100%	\$ 268,126	100%	\$ 136,436	100%

Revenues from Service Provider Technologies increased \$43.3 million, or 63%, from \$68.2 million in the three months ended December 31, 2012 to \$111.5 million in the three months ended December 31, 2013. Revenues from Service Provider Technologies increased \$85.9 million, or 72%, from \$119.8 million in the six months ended December 31, 2012 to \$205.7 million in the six months ended December 31, 2013. The increases in both periods were primarily due to continued expansion of core infrastructure build-outs in our wireless markets. Additionally, we believe we experienced significant lost sales during the three and six months ended December 31, 2012 due to the proliferation of counterfeit versions of our products as discussed above.

Enterprise Technology revenues increased \$20.3 million, or 301% from \$6.7 million in the three months ended December 31, 2012 to \$27.0 million in the three months ended December 31, 2013. Enterprise Technology revenues increased \$45.8 million, or 275% from \$16.6 million in the six months ended December 31, 2012 to \$62.5 million in the six months ended December 31, 2013. The increase in Enterprise Technology revenues during both the three and six months ended December 31, 2013 was due primarily to product expansion and further adoption of our UniFi technology platform.

Revenues by Geography

We generally forward products directly from our contract manufacturers to our customers via logistics distribution hubs in Asia. Beginning in the quarter ended December 31, 2012, our products were predominantly routed through a third party logistics provider in China and prior to the quarter ended December 31, 2012, our products were predominantly delivered to our customers through distribution hubs in Hong Kong. Our logistics provider, in turn, ships to other locations throughout the world. We have determined the geographical distribution of our product revenues based on our customers' ship-to destinations. A majority of our sales are to distributors who in turn sell to resellers or directly to end customers. For the three and six months ended December 31, 2013, revenues in all regions increased due to increased adoption of our Service Provider and Enterprise Technologies. Additionally, during the three and six months ended December 31, 2012, we believe we experienced lost sales due to the proliferation of counterfeit versions of our products, which also created customer uncertainty regarding the authenticity of their potential purchases.

Table of Contents

The following are our revenues by geography for the three and six months ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 (in thousands, except percentages):

	Three Months Ended December 31,				Six Months Ended December 31,			
	2013		2012		2013		2012	
North America(1)	\$ 32,567	24%	\$ 12,106	16%	\$ 70,000	26%	\$ 32,467	24%
South America	27,992	20%	17,081	23%	48,768	18%	27,324	20%
Europe, the Middle East and Africa	58,842	42%	35,929	48%	111,708	42%	59,073	43%
Asia Pacific	19,038	14%	9,785	13%	37,650	14%	17,572	13%
Total revenues	\$ 138,439	100%	\$ 74,901	100%	\$ 268,126	100%	\$ 136,436	100%

(1) Revenue for the United States was \$31.1 million and \$11.4 million for the three months ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Revenue for the United States was \$67.3 million and \$30.7 million for the six months ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Cost of Revenues and Gross Profit

Cost of revenues increased \$33.1 million, or 74%, from \$44.4 million in the three months ended December 31, 2012 to \$77.5 million in the three months ended December 31, 2013. Cost of revenues increased \$68.2 million, or 84%, from \$80.9 million in the six months ended December 31, 2012 to \$149.1 million in the three months ended December 31, 2013. The increase in cost of revenues in both the three and six months ended December 31, 2013 was primarily due to increased revenues and to a lesser extent, changes in product mix.

Gross profit increased to 44% in the three months ended December 31, 2013 compared to 41% in the three months ended December 31, 2012, and increased to 44% in the six months ended December 31, 2013 compared to 41% in the six months ended December 31, 2012, reflecting a high level of revenue growth, increasing economies of scale and changes in product mix.

Operating Expenses

Research and Development

Research and development expenses increased \$3.0 million, or 60%, from \$5.1 million in the three months ended December 31, 2012 to \$8.1 million in the three months ended December 31, 2013. As a percentage of revenues, research and development expenses decreased from 7% in the three months ended December 31, 2012 to 6% in the three months ended December 31, 2013. Research and development expenses increased \$4.6 million, or 47%, from \$9.8 million in the six months ended December 31, 2012 to \$14.4 million in the six months ended December 31, 2013. As a percentage of revenues, research and development expenses decreased from 7% in the six months ended December 31, 2012 to 5% in the six months ended December 31, 2013. The increase in research and development expenses in absolute dollars in both periods was primarily due to increases in headcount as we broadened our research and development activities to new product areas. As a percentage of revenues, research and development expenses decreased primarily due to our overall increase in revenues. Over time, we expect our research and development costs to increase in absolute dollars as we continue making significant investments in developing new products and developing new versions of our existing products.

Sales, General and Administrative

Sales, general and administrative expenses increased \$460,000, or 9%, from \$5.3 million in the three months ended December 31, 2012 to \$5.8 million in the three months ended December 31, 2013. As a percentage of revenues, sales, general and administrative expenses decreased from 7% in the three months ended December 31, 2012 to 4% in the three months ended December 31, 2013. Sales, general and administrative expenses increased \$1.7 million, or 18%, from \$9.8 million in the six months ended December 31, 2012 to \$11.6 million in the six months ended December 31, 2013. As a percentage of revenues, sales, general and administrative expenses decreased from 7% in the six months ended December 31, 2012 to 4% in the six months ended December 31, 2013. The slight increase in sales, general and administrative expenses in the three months ended December 31, 2013 compared to the same period in the prior year was primarily due to increased marketing activity and headcount to further expand our marketing and administrative functions and increased professional fees to support our revenue growth, partially offset by decreases in legal fees from reduced spending on anti-counterfeiting efforts and decreases to our allowance for doubtful accounts. Sales, general and administrative expenses increased in the six months ended December 31, 2013 compared to the same period in the prior year, primarily due to increased professional fees, primarily related to the ancillary support of certain management functions, increased marketing activity and overall increases in headcount to further

expand our marketing and administrative functions to support our revenue growth, partially offset by decreases in legal fees from reduced spending on anti-counterfeiting efforts and decreases to our allowance for doubtful accounts. As a percentage of revenues, sales, general and administrative expenses decreased in both periods primarily due to our overall revenue increase. Over time, we expect our sales, general and administrative expenses to increase in absolute dollars due to continued efforts to protect our intellectual property and growth in headcount to support our business and operations.

Interest Expense and Other, Net

Interest expense and other, net was \$249,000 for the three months ended December 31, 2013 , representing an increase of \$52,000 from \$197,000 for the three months ended December 31, 2012 . Interest expense and other, net was \$495,000 for the six months ended December 31, 2013 , representing an increase of \$212,000 from \$283,000 for the six months ended December 31, 2012 . The increase in interest expense and other, net during the three and six months ended December 31, 2013 compared to the same period in the prior year was primarily due to additional interest expense resulting from our increased borrowings from East West Bank during both the three and six months ended December 31, 2012 .

Provision for Income Taxes

Our provision for income taxes increased \$3.0 million , or 140% , from \$2.1 million for the three months ended December 31, 2012 to \$5.1 million for the three months ended December 31, 2013 . Our effective tax rate remained flat at 11% for the three months ended December 31, 2013 as compared to the three months ended December 31, 2012 . Our provision for income taxes increased \$5.6 million , or 120% , from \$4.6 million for the six months ended December 31, 2012 to \$10.2 million for the six months ended December 31, 2013 . Our effective tax rate decreased to 11% for the six months ended ended December 31, 2013 as compared to 13% in the six months ended December 31, 2012 . The lower effective tax rate in the six months ended December 31, 2013 was primarily due to a larger percentage of our overall profitability occurring in foreign jurisdictions with lower income tax rates.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Sources and Uses of Cash

Since inception, our operations primarily have been funded through cash generated by operations. Cash and cash equivalents increased from \$227.8 million at June 30, 2013 to \$305.6 million at December 31, 2013 .

Consolidated Cash Flow Data

The following table sets forth the major components of our condensed consolidated statements of cash flows data for the periods presented:

	Six Months Ended December 31,	
	2013	2012
	(In thousands)	
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 79,504	\$ 50,525
Net cash used in investing activities	(1,962)	(3,467)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	185	(20,817)
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	<u>\$ 77,727</u>	<u>\$ 26,241</u>

Cash Flows from Operating Activities

Net cash provided by operating activities in the six months ended December 31, 2013 of \$79.5 million consisted primarily of net income of \$82.3 million partially offset by net changes in operating assets and liabilities that resulted in net cash outflows of \$6.4 million . These changes consisted primarily of a \$17.4 million increase in inventory due to our efforts to build warehouse stock levels and ultimately decrease lead times, a \$13.9 million increase in accounts payable and accrued liabilities due primarily to our increase in cost of revenues, a \$2.8 million increase in prepaid taxes due to the timing of federal tax payments, a \$1.3 million increase in taxes payable and a and a \$1.3 million increase in prepaid expenses and other current assets due to timing of deposit payments with our suppliers. Additionally, our net income included non-cash adjustments due to stock-based compensation, depreciation and amortization, increases to our provision for inventory obsolescence, decreases in our allowance for doubtful accounts, a write-off of our intangible assets and taxes. The net of these non-cash adjustments resulted in an increase of our net cash provided by operating activities of \$3.5 million .

Net cash provided by operating activities in the six months ended December 31, 2012 of \$50.5 million consisted primarily of net income of \$31.0 million and net changes in operating assets and liabilities that resulted in net cash inflows of \$15.2 million. These changes consisted primarily of a \$18.5 million decrease in accounts receivable due to decreased revenues and improved cash collections, a \$1.7 million increase in accounts payable and accrued liabilities due to the timing of payments with our vendors, a \$4.4 million increase in taxes payable due the timing of federal tax payments and a \$1.6 million increase in prepaid expenses and other current assets due to an increase in overall business activity. Additionally, our net income included non-cash adjustments due to stock-based compensation, depreciation and amortization, increases to our provision for doubtful accounts and write-downs for inventory obsolescence and an excess tax benefit from stock-based awards. The net of these non-cash adjustments resulted in an increase of our net cash provided by operating activities of \$4.3 million.

Cash Flows from Investing Activities

Our investing activities consist solely of capital expenditures and purchases of intangible assets. Capital expenditures for the six months ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 were \$1.8 million and \$2.6 million, respectively. Additionally, we had cash outflows related to the purchase of intangible assets of \$153,000 and \$814,000 during the six months ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Cash Flows from Financing Activities

On August 7, 2012, we entered into a Loan and Security Agreement (the "Loan Agreement") with U.S. Bank, as syndication agent, and East West Bank, as administrative agent for the lenders party to the Loan Agreement. The Loan Agreement replaced the EWB Loan Agreement discussed below. The Loan Agreement provides for (i) a \$50.0 million revolving credit facility, with a \$5.0 million sublimit for the issuance of letters of credit and a \$5.0 million sublimit for the making of swingline loan advances (the "Revolving Credit Facility"), and (ii) a \$50.0 million term loan facility (the "Term Loan Facility"). We may request borrowings under the Revolving Credit Facility until August 7, 2015. The Loan Agreement replaced a previous agreement whereby we had an existing term loan balance of \$29.2 million as of the date of the new Loan Agreement. On August 7, 2012, we borrowed \$20.8 million of term loans under the Term Loan Facility, and no borrowings remain available thereunder. On November 21, 2012, the Company borrowed \$10.0 million under the Revolving Credit Facility. On December 20, 2012, the Company borrowed an additional \$20.0 million under the Revolving Credit Facility, and \$20.0 million remains available for borrowing thereunder.

On August 9, 2012, we announced that our Board of Directors authorized us to repurchase up to \$100.0 million of our common stock. The share repurchase program commenced August 13, 2012 and expired on August 12, 2013. During the six months ended December 31, 2012, we repurchased 5,159,050 shares for a total cost of \$54.4 million.

On December 14, 2012, we announced that our Board of Directors had authorized a special cash dividend of \$0.18 per share for each share of common stock outstanding on December 24, 2012. The aggregate dividend payment of \$15.7 million was paid on December 28, 2012 to stockholders of record on December 24, 2012. We do not anticipate paying any cash dividends in the foreseeable future.

Liquidity

We believe our existing cash and cash equivalents, cash provided by operations and the availability of additional funds under our loan agreements will be sufficient to meet our working capital and capital expenditure needs for at least the next 12 months. Our future capital requirements may vary materially from those currently planned and will depend on many factors, including our rate of revenue growth, the timing and extent of spending to support development efforts, the timing of new product introductions, market acceptance of our products and overall economic conditions. As of December 31, 2013, we held \$285.9 million of our \$305.6 million of cash and cash equivalents in accounts of our subsidiaries outside of the United States and we will incur significant tax liabilities if we decide to repatriate those amounts.

Commitments and Contingencies

In January 2011, the U.S. Department of Commerce's Bureau of Industry and Security's Office of Export Enforcement ("OEE") contacted us to request that we provide information related to our relationship with a logistics company in the United Arab Emirates ("UAE") and with a company in Iran, as well as information on the export classification of our products. As a result of this inquiry we, assisted by outside counsel, conducted a review of our export transactions from 2008 through March 2011 to not only gather information responsive to the OEE's request but also to review our overall compliance with export control and sanctions laws. We believe our products have been sold into Iran by third parties. We do not believe that we directly sold, exported or shipped our products into Iran or any other country subject to a U.S. embargo. However, until early 2010, we did not prohibit our distributors from selling our products into Iran or any other country subject to a U.S. embargo. In the course of this review we identified that two distributors may have sold Ubiquiti products into Iran. Our review also found that

while we had obtained required Commodity Classification Rulings for our products in June 2010 and November 2010, we did not advise our shipping personnel to change the export authorizations used on our shipping documents until February 2011. During the course of our export control review, we also determined that we had failed to maintain adequate records for the five year period required by the EAR and the sanctions regulations due to our lack of infrastructure and because it was prior to our transition to our current system of record, NetSuite. See “*Risk Factors—We are subject to numerous U.S. export control and economic sanctions laws and a substantial majority of our sales are into countries outside of the United States. Although we did not intend to do so, we have violated certain of these laws in the past, and we cannot currently assess the nature and extent of any fines or other penalties, if any, that U.S. governmental agencies may impose against us or our employees for any such violations. Any fines, if materially different from our estimates, or other penalties, could have a material adverse effect on our business and financial results.*”

In May 2011, we filed a self-disclosure statement with the BIS and the OEE and, in June 2011, we filed a self-disclosure statement with the U.S. Department of the Treasury’s Office of Foreign Asset Control (“OFAC”), regarding the compliance issues noted above. The disclosures address the above described findings and the remedial actions we have taken to date. However, the findings also indicate that both distributors continued to sell, directly or indirectly, our products into Iran during the period from February 2010 through March 2011 and that we received various communications from them indicating that they were continuing to do so. Since January 2011, we have cooperated with OEE and, prior to our disclosure filing, we informally shared with the OEE the substance of our findings with respect to both distributors. From May 2011 to August 2011, we provided additional information regarding our review and our findings to OEE to facilitate its investigation and OEE advised us in August 2011 that it had completed its investigation of us. In August 2011, we received a warning letter from OEE stating that OEE had not referred the findings of our review for criminal or administrative prosecution of us and closed the investigation of us without penalty.

OFAC is still reviewing our disclosure. In our submission, we have provided OFAC with an explanation of the activities that led to the sales of our products in Iran and the failure to comply with the EAR and OFAC sanctions. Although our OFAC and OEE disclosures covered similar sets of facts, which led OEE to resolve the case with the issuance of a warning letter, OFAC may conclude that our actions resulted in violations of U.S. export control and economic sanctions laws and warrant the imposition of penalties that could include fines, termination of our ability to export our products and/or referral for criminal prosecution. The penalties may be imposed against us and/or our management. The maximum civil monetary penalty for the violations is up to \$250,000 or twice the value of the transaction, whichever is greater, per violation. Any such fines or restrictions may be material to our financial results in the period in which they are imposed. Also, disclosure of our conduct and any fines or other action relating to this conduct could harm our reputation and have a material adverse effect on our business. We cannot predict when OFAC will complete its review or decide upon the imposition of possible penalties.

While we have taken actions designed to ensure that export classification information is distributed to the appropriate personnel in a timely manner and have adopted policies and procedures to promote our compliance with applicable export laws and regulations, including obtaining written distribution agreements with substantially all of our distributors that contain covenants requiring compliance with U.S. export control and economic sanctions law; notifying all of our distributors of their obligations and obtaining updated distribution agreements from distributors that account for approximately 99% of our distributor revenue in fiscal 2013 . However we cannot be sure such actions will be effective. Additionally, our failure to amend all our distribution agreements and to implement more robust compliance controls immediately after the discovery of Iran-related sales activity in early 2010 may be aggravating factors that could impact the imposition of penalties imposed on us or our management. Based on the facts known to us to date, we recorded an expense of \$1.6 million for this export compliance matter in fiscal 2010, which represents management’s estimated exposure for fines in accordance with applicable accounting literature. This amount was calculated from information discovered through our internal review and we deem this loss to be probable and reasonably estimable. However, we believe that it is reasonably possible that the loss may be higher, but we cannot reasonably estimate the range of any further potential losses. Should additional facts be discovered in the future and/or should actual fines or other penalties substantially differ from our estimates, our business, financial condition, cash flows and results of operations may be materially negatively impacted.

Warranties and Indemnifications

Our products are generally accompanied by a 12 month warranty, which covers both parts and labor. Generally the distributor is responsible for the freight costs associated with warranty returns, and we absorb the freight costs of replacing items under warranty. In accordance with the Financial Accounting Standards Board’s (“FASB’s”), Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”), 450-30, Loss Contingencies, we record an accrual when we believe it is estimable and probable based upon historical experience. We record a provision for estimated future warranty work in cost of goods sold upon recognition of revenues and we review the resulting accrual regularly and periodically adjust it to reflect changes in warranty estimates.

We may in the future enter into standard indemnification agreements with many of our distributors and OEMs, as well as certain other business partners in the ordinary course of business. These agreements may include provisions for indemnifying the distributor, OEM or other business partner against any claim brought by a third party to the extent any such claim alleges that a Ubiquiti product infringes a patent, copyright or trademark or violates any other proprietary rights of that third party. The maximum amount of potential future indemnification is unlimited. The maximum potential amount of future payments we could be required to make under these indemnification agreements is not estimable.

We have agreed to indemnify our directors, officers and certain other employees for certain events or occurrences, subject to certain limits, while such persons are or were serving at our request in such capacity. We may terminate the indemnification agreements with these persons upon the termination of their services with us but termination will not affect claims for indemnification related to events occurring prior to the effective date of termination. The maximum amount of potential future indemnification is unlimited. We have a director and officer insurance policy that limits our potential exposure. We believe the fair value of these indemnification agreements is minimal. We had not recorded any liabilities for these agreements as of December 31, 2013 or June 30, 2013 .

Based upon our historical experience and information known as of the date of this report, we do not believe it is likely that we will have significant liability for the above indemnities at December 31, 2013 .

Contractual Obligations and Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We lease our headquarters in San Jose, California and other locations worldwide under non-cancelable operating leases that expire at various dates through fiscal 2019.

On August 7, 2012, we entered into the Loan Agreement with U.S. Bank, as syndication agent, and East West Bank, as administrative agent for the lenders party to the Loan Agreement. The Loan Agreement provides for (i) a \$50.0 million revolving credit facility, with a \$5.0 million sublimit for the issuance of letters of credit and a \$5.0 million sublimit for the making of swingline loan advances, and (ii) a \$50.0 million Term Loan Facility. We may request borrowings under the Revolving Credit Facility until August 7, 2015. The Loan Agreement replaced a previous agreement whereby we had an existing term loan balance of \$29.2 million as of the date of the new Loan Agreement. On August 7, 2012, we borrowed \$20.8 million of term loans under the Term Loan Facility bringing the total borrowed to \$50.0 million, and no borrowings remain available thereunder. On November 21, 2012, we borrowed \$10.0 million under the Revolving Credit Facility. On December 20, 2012 we borrowed an additional \$20.0 million under the Revolving Credit Facility, and \$20.0 million remains available for borrowing thereunder. As of December 31, 2013 we have made aggregate payments of \$6.3 million against the Term Loan Facility.

The following table summarizes our contractual obligations as of December 31, 2013 for the remainder of fiscal 2014 and future fiscal years:

	2014 (remainder)	2015	2016	2017	2018	Thereafter	Total
Operating leases	\$ 1,184	\$ 2,494	\$ 2,497	\$ 1,537	\$ 297	\$ 53	\$ 8,062
Debt payment obligations	2,500	6,875	39,375	10,000	15,000	—	73,750
Interest payments on debt payment obligations	911	1,723	1,043	532	125	—	4,334
Total	<u>\$ 4,595</u>	<u>\$ 11,092</u>	<u>\$ 42,915</u>	<u>\$ 12,069</u>	<u>\$ 15,422</u>	<u>\$ 53</u>	<u>\$ 86,146</u>

We subcontract with other companies to manufacture our products. During the normal course of business, our contract manufacturers procure components based upon orders placed by us. If we cancel all or part of the orders, we may still be liable to the contract manufacturers for the cost of the components purchased by the subcontractors to manufacture our products. We periodically review the potential liability and to date no significant liabilities for cancellations have been recorded. Our consolidated financial position and results of operations could be negatively impacted if we were required to compensate the contract manufacturers for any unrecorded liabilities incurred.

As of December 31, 2013 , we had \$13.2 million of unrecognized tax benefits, substantially all of which would, if recognized, affect our tax expense. We have elected to include interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions as a component of tax expense. We do not expect any significant increases or decreases to our unrecognized tax benefits in the next twelve months.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In July 2013, the FASB issued a new accounting standard update on the financial statement presentation of unrecognized tax benefits. The new guidance provides that a liability related to an unrecognized tax benefit would be presented as a reduction of

a deferred tax asset for a new operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss or a tax credit carryforward if such settlement is required or expected in the event the uncertain tax position is disallowed. The new guidance will become effective for the Company on July 1, 2014 and it should be applied prospectively to unrecognized tax benefits that exist as of the effective date with retrospective application permitted. The Company is currently assessing the impact of this new guidance.

Non-GAAP Financial Measures

Regulation G, conditions for use of Non-Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (“Non-GAAP”) financial measures, and other SEC regulations define and prescribe the conditions for use of certain Non-GAAP financial information. To supplement our condensed consolidated financial results presented in accordance with GAAP, we use Non-GAAP financial measures which are adjusted from the most directly comparable GAAP financial measures to exclude certain items, as described below. Management believes that these Non-GAAP financial measures reflect an additional and useful way of viewing aspects of our operations that, when viewed in conjunction with our GAAP results, provide a more comprehensive understanding of the various factors and trends affecting our business and operations. Non-GAAP financial measures used by us include net income and diluted net income per share.

Our Non-GAAP measures primarily exclude stock-based compensation, net of taxes and other special charges and credits. Management believes these Non-GAAP financial measures provide meaningful supplemental information regarding our strategic and business decision making, internal budgeting, forecasting and resource allocation processes. In addition, these Non-GAAP financial measures facilitate management’s internal comparisons to our historical operating results and comparisons to competitors’ operating results.

We use each of these Non-GAAP financial measures for internal managerial purposes, when providing our financial results and business outlook to the public and to facilitate period-to-period comparisons. Management believes that these Non-GAAP measures provide meaningful supplemental information regarding our operational and financial performance of current and historical results. Management uses these Non-GAAP measures for strategic and business decision making, internal budgeting, forecasting and resource allocation processes. In addition, these Non-GAAP financial measures facilitate management’s internal comparisons to our historical operating results and comparisons to competitors’ operating results.

The following table shows our Non-GAAP financial measures:

	Three Months Ended December 31,		Six Months Ended December 31,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
	(In thousands, except per share amounts)			
Non-GAAP net income and comprehensive income	\$ 42,645	\$ 18,339	\$ 83,873	\$ 31,911
Non-GAAP diluted net income per share of common stock	\$ 0.48	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.94	\$ 0.35

We believe that providing these Non-GAAP financial measures, in addition to the GAAP financial results, are useful to investors because they allow investors to see our results “through the eyes” of management as these Non-GAAP financial measures reflect our internal measurement processes. Management believes that these Non-GAAP financial measures enable investors to better assess changes in each key element of our operating results across different reporting periods on a consistent basis and provides investors with another method for assessing our operating results in a manner that is focused on the performance of our ongoing operations.

The following table shows a reconciliation of GAAP net income and comprehensive income to non-GAAP net income and comprehensive income:

	Three Months Ended December 31,		Six Months Ended December 31,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
	(In thousands, except per share amounts)			
Net income and comprehensive income	\$ 41,792	\$ 17,803	\$ 82,320	\$ 30,982
Stock-based compensation:				
Cost of revenues	148	104	292	185
Research and development	553	401	1,049	667
Sales, general and administrative	721	388	1,248	697
Tax effect of non-GAAP adjustments	(569)	(357)	(1,036)	(620)
Non-GAAP net income and comprehensive income	\$ 42,645	\$ 18,339	\$ 83,873	\$ 31,911
Non-GAAP diluted net income per share of common stock	\$ 0.48	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.94	\$ 0.35
Weighted-average shares used in computing non-GAAP diluted net income per share of common stock	89,653	90,056	89,593	91,493

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Interest Rate Sensitivity

We have interest rate risk from the LIBOR index that is used to determine the interest rates on our Loan Agreement. Interest will accrue on the outstanding principal amount of the term loan at a rate per annum equal to an adjusted LIBOR rate (based on one, two or three month interest periods) plus a spread of either 2.50% or 3.00%, which spread shall be determined based on the debt service ratio for the preceding four fiscal quarter period. The loans bear interest, at our option, at the base rate plus a spread of 1.25% to 1.75% or an adjusted LIBOR rate (at our election for a period of 30, 60, or 90 days) plus a spread of 2.25% to 2.75%, in each case with such spread being determined based on our debt service coverage ratio for the most recently ended fiscal quarter. The base rate is the highest of (i) East West Bank's prime rate, (ii) the federal funds rate plus a margin equal to 0.50%, or (iii) the LIBOR rate plus a margin equal to 1.00%. Based on a sensitivity analysis, as of December 31, 2013, an instantaneous and sustained 200-basis-point increase in interest rates affecting our floating rate debt obligations, and assuming that we take no counteractive measures, would result in a charge to our net income before income taxes in excess of \$1.0 million over the next 12 months.

We had cash and cash equivalents of \$305.6 million and \$227.8 million as of December 31, 2013 and June 30, 2013, respectively. These amounts were held primarily in cash deposit accounts. The fair value of our cash and cash equivalents would not be significantly affected by either a 10% increase or decrease in interest rates due mainly to the short-term nature of these instruments.

Foreign Currency Risk

Most of our sales are denominated in U.S. dollars, and therefore, our revenues are not currently subject to significant foreign currency risk. Our operating expenses are denominated in the currencies of the countries in which our operations are located, and may be subject to fluctuations due to changes in foreign currency exchange rates, particularly changes in the Chinese Yuan, Lithuanian Lita and Taiwan Dollar. During the three months ended December 31, 2013, a 10% appreciation or depreciation in the value of the U.S. dollar relative to the other currencies in which our expenses are denominated would not have had a material impact on our financial position or results of operations.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of December 31, 2013. The term "disclosure controls and procedures," as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), means controls and other procedures of a company that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by a company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and

reported, within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by a company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to the company's management, including its principal executive and principal financial officers, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving their objectives and management necessarily applies its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures. Based on the evaluation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of December 31, 2013, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of such date, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective at the reasonable assurance level.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the quarter ended December 31, 2013 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Limitations on the Effectiveness of Controls

Control systems, no matter how well conceived and operated, are designed to provide a reasonable, but not an absolute, level of assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, have been detected. Because of the inherent limitations in any control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected.

PART II: OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. *Legal Proceedings*

Intellectual Property

We are subject to, and may in the future be subject to legal proceedings and claims in the ordinary course of business regarding the rights and use of our intellectual property. We have received, and may in the future receive, claims from third parties alleging infringement of their intellectual property rights and requests for indemnification from our business partners or purchasers of our products arising out of third-party infringement claims. Future litigation may be necessary to defend ourselves, our partners and customers and our wireless carriers by determining the scope, enforceability and validity of third party proprietary rights or to establish our proprietary rights.

Anti-Counterfeiting Litigation

In May 2012, we filed a lawsuit in the U.S. federal court for the Northern District of California against Kozumi USA Corp. and its owner Shao Wei (William) Hsu. The lawsuit alleged that Kozumi and Mr. Hsu have engaged in intellectual property theft, and illegal manufacturing and sale of counterfeit Ubiquiti Networks products. In June 2012, the court granted our application for a temporary restraining order enjoining Kozumi and Mr. Hsu and anyone in active concert or participation with them from using our trademarks, destroying evidence of counterfeiting and infringement, or assisting, aiding or abetting any other person or business entity in engaging in or performing any of such activities. In September 2013, we entered into a settlement agreement with Mr. Hsu under which Mr. Hsu agreed to make certain payments to us, perform 500 hours of community service, attend a business ethics course, and issue a formal letter of apology. Pursuant to the settlement, in October 2013, the U.S. federal court for the Northern District of California entered a judgment in favor of Ubiquiti Networks against Kozumi USA Corporation and Mr. Hsu for infringement of Ubiquiti trademarks and copyrights, and issued a stipulated permanent injunction enjoining Kozumi USA Corp., Mr. Hsu and their affiliates from infringing Ubiquiti Networks trademarks or copyrights in the future.

Export Compliance

In January 2011, OEE contacted us to request that we provide information related to our relationship with a logistics company in the UAE and with a company in Iran, as well as information on the export classification of our products. As a result of this inquiry we, assisted by outside counsel, conducted a review of our export transactions from 2008 through March 2011 to not only gather information responsive to OEE's request but also to review our overall compliance with export control and sanctions laws. We believe our products have been sold into Iran by third parties. We do not believe that we directly sold, exported or shipped our products into Iran or any other country subject to a U.S. embargo. However, until early 2010, we did not prohibit our distributors from selling our products into Iran or any other country subject to a U.S. embargo. It was in the course of this review that we identified the Iranian sales of Distributor 1 after February 2010 and the Iranian sales of Distributor 2. Our review also found that while we had obtained required Commodity Classification Rulings for our products in June 2010 and November 2010, we did not advise our shipping personnel to change the export authorizations used on our shipping documents until February 2011. During the course of our export control review, we also determined that we had failed to maintain adequate records for the five year period required by the EAR and the sanctions regulations due to our lack of infrastructure and because it was prior to our transition to our current system of record, NetSuite. See *"Risk Factors—We are subject to numerous U.S. export control and economic sanctions laws and a substantial majority of our sales are into countries outside of the United States. Sales outside of the United States represented 75% and 77% of our revenues in the six months ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Although we did not intend to do so, we have violated certain of these laws in the past, and we cannot currently assess the nature and extent of any fines or other penalties, if any, that U.S. governmental agencies may impose against us or our employees for any such violations. Any fines, if materially different from our estimates, or other penalties, could have a material adverse effect on our business and financial results."*

In May 2011, we filed a self-disclosure statement with the BIS and OEE. In June 2011 we filed a self-disclosure statement with OFAC, regarding the compliance issues noted above. The disclosures address the above described findings and the remedial actions we have taken to date. However, the findings also indicate that both distributors continued to sell, directly or indirectly, our products into Iran during the period from February 2010 through March 2011 and that we received various communications from them indicating that they were continuing to do so. Since January 2011, we have cooperated with OEE and, prior to our disclosure filing, we informally shared with the OEE the substance of our findings with respect to both distributors. From May 2011 to August 2011, we provided additional information regarding our review and our findings to OEE to facilitate its investigation and OEE advised us in August 2011 that it had completed its investigation of us. In August 2011, we received a

warning letter from OEE stating that OEE had not referred the findings of our review for criminal or administrative prosecution of us and closed the investigation without penalty.

OFAC is still reviewing our disclosure. In our submission, we have provided OFAC with an explanation of the activities that led to the sales of our products in Iran and the failure to comply with the EAR and OFAC sanctions. Although our OFAC and OEE disclosures covered similar sets of facts that led the OEE to resolve the case with the issuance of a warning letter, OFAC may conclude that our actions resulted in violations of U.S. export control and economic sanctions laws and warrant the imposition of penalties that could include fines, termination of our ability to export our products, and/or referral for criminal prosecution. The maximum civil monetary penalty for the violations is up to \$250,000 or twice the value of the transaction, whichever is greater, per violation. The penalties may be imposed against us and/or our management. Any such fines or restrictions may be material to our financial results in the period in which they are imposed. Also, disclosure of our conduct and any fines or other action relating to this conduct could harm our reputation and have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition. We cannot predict when OFAC will complete its review or decide upon the imposition of possible penalties.

We have taken actions designed to ensure that export classification information is distributed to the appropriate personnel in a timely manner and have adopted policies and procedures to promote our compliance with applicable export laws and regulations, including obtaining written distribution agreements with substantially all of our distributors that contain covenants requiring compliance with U.S. export control and economic sanctions law; notifying all of our distributors of their obligations and obtaining updated distribution agreements from distributors that account for approximately 99% of our distributor revenue in fiscal 2013. However we cannot be sure such actions will be effective. Additionally, our failure to amend all our distribution agreements and to implement more robust compliance controls immediately after the discovery of Iran-related sales activity in early 2010 may be aggravating factors that could impact the imposition of penalties imposed on us or our management. Further, should our efforts to ensure our compliance with applicable export laws and regulations not be sufficient in preventing our distributors from distributing our products into a country subject to a U.S. embargo or otherwise violating applicable export laws and regulations in the future, we could be subject to government investigations or penalties in the future. Any such penalties, if they occur, may be more severe in light of our prior violations discussed above. Based on the facts known to us to date, we recorded an expense of \$1.6 million for this export compliance matter in fiscal 2010, which represents management's estimated exposure for fines in accordance with applicable accounting literature. This amount was calculated from information discovered through our internal review and we deem this loss to be probable and reasonably estimable. However, we believe that it is reasonably possible that the loss may be higher, but we cannot reasonably estimate the range of any further potential losses. Should additional facts be discovered in the future and/or should actual fines or other penalties substantially differ from our estimates, our business, financial condition, cash flows and results of operations would be materially negatively impacted.

Shareholder Class Action Lawsuits

Beginning on September 7, 2012, two shareholder class action complaints were filed against us, certain of our officers and directors and the underwriters of our initial public offering in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. On January 30, 2013, the plaintiffs filed an Amended Consolidated Complaint, which alleges claims under the Securities Act of 1933, the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and SEC Rule 10b-5 on behalf of a purported class of those who purchased our common stock between October 14, 2011 and August 9, 2012 and/or acquired our stock pursuant to or traceable to the registration statement for the initial public offering. The Amended Consolidated Complaint alleges that the defendants violated the federal securities laws by issuing false or misleading statements regarding the sale of counterfeit versions of our products. The consolidated complaint seeks, among other things, damages and interest, rescission, and attorneys' fees and costs. On March 26, 2013 we filed a motion to dismiss the complaint. On April 30, 2013, the plaintiffs filed an opposition to our motion to dismiss. On August 27, 2013, the court held a hearing on the motion to dismiss, and its decision is pending.

We believe that the allegations in the consolidated complaint are without merit and intend to vigorously contest the litigation. However, there can be no assurance that we will be successful in our defense. Because the case is at a very early stage, we cannot currently estimate the loss or the range of possible losses we may experience in connection with this litigation.

Other

From time to time, we may be subject to legal proceedings and claims in the ordinary course of business. Except as described above, we are not currently party to any litigation that we expect to be material; however, litigation is inherently unpredictable. Therefore, we could incur judgments or enter into settlements of claims, or indemnify third parties, any of which could materially impact our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

This Report contains forward-looking statements that are subject to risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those projected. These risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to, the risk factors set forth below. These risks and uncertainties are not the only ones we face. Additional risks and uncertainties not presently known to us or that we currently believe are immaterial may also affect our business. If any event related to these known or unknown risks or uncertainties actually occurs and has material adverse effects on our business, financial condition and results of operations could be seriously harmed.

We have limited visibility into future sales, which makes it difficult to forecast our future operating results.

Because of our limited visibility into demand and channel inventory levels, our ability to accurately forecast our future revenues is limited. We sell our products and solutions globally to network operators, service providers and others, primarily through our network of distributors, resellers and OEMs. We do not employ a direct sales force. Sales to distributors accounted for 98% , and 97% of our revenues in the six months ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 , respectively. Generally, our distributors are not obligated to promote our products and solutions and are free to promote and sell the products and solutions of our competitors. We sell our products to our distributors on a purchase order basis. Our distributors do not typically provide us with information about market demand for our products. While we have recently begun efforts to obtain inventory level and sales data from our distributors, this information has been difficult to obtain in a timely manner. Since we have only recently begun gathering this data, we cannot be certain that the information is reliable. Our operating expenses are relatively fixed in the short-term, and we may not be able to decrease our expenses to offset any shortfall in revenues. If we under forecast demand, our ability to fulfill sales orders will be compromised and sales may be deferred or lost altogether as potential purchasers seek alternative solutions.

We are subject to risks associated with our distributors' inventory management practices. Should any of our distributors fail to resell our products in the period of time they anticipate or overstock inventories to address anticipated supply interruptions that do not occur, our revenues and operating results would suffer in future periods.

Our distributors purchase and maintain their own inventories of our products and have no right to return the products they have purchased. We receive limited information from the distributors regarding their inventory levels and their sales of our products. If our distributors are unable to sell an adequate amount of their inventories of our products, their financial condition may be adversely affected, which could result in a decline in our sales to these distributors. Distributors with whom we do business may face issues maintaining sufficient working capital and liquidity or obtaining credit, which could impair their ability to make timely payments to us. In addition, in the past we have experienced shortages of our products and our distributors have ordered quantities in excess of their anticipated near term demand to insulate themselves from supply interruptions. If, in the future, some distributors decide to purchase more of our products than are required to satisfy customer demand in any particular quarter, inventories at these distributors would grow. These distributors likely would reduce future orders until inventory levels realign with customer demand, which could adversely affect our revenues in a subsequent quarter.

We rely on a limited number of distributors, which we consider to be our customers, and the loss of existing distributors, or a need to add new distributors may cause disruptions in our shipments, which may materially adversely affect our ability to sell our products and achieve our revenue forecasts and we may be unable to sell inventory we have manufactured to meet expected demand in a timely manner, if at all.

Although we have a large number of distributors who sell our products, we sell a substantial majority of our products through a limited number of these distributors. In the three and six months ended months ended December 31, 2013 , revenues from Customer A represented 17% and 14% of our revenues, respectively. In the three months ended December 31, 2012 , revenues from Customer A and Customer B represented 15% and 14% , respectively. In the six months ended December 31, 2012 , revenues from Customer A represented 12% of our revenues. We anticipate that we will continue to be dependent upon a limited number of distributors for a significant portion of our revenues for the foreseeable future. The portion of our revenues attributable to a given distributor may also fluctuate in the future. Termination of a relationship with a major distributor, either by us or by the distributor, could result in a temporary or permanent loss of revenues. We may not be successful in finding other suitable distributors on satisfactory terms, or at all, and this could adversely affect our ability to sell in certain geographic markets or to certain network operators and service providers.

We have experienced, and may in the future experience, reduced sales levels and damage to our brand due to production of counterfeit versions of our products.

In the past we have identified parties that are manufacturing and selling counterfeit products that we believe infringe our intellectual property rights. Sales of these counterfeit products were so substantial that our revenues were adversely affected while these products were in the market. Given the popularity of our products, we believe there is a high likelihood that counterfeit products or other products infringing on our intellectual property rights will continue to emerge. In order to combat counterfeit goods, we have and may continue to be required to spend significant resources to monitor and protect our intellectual property rights. Although we have taken steps to prevent further counterfeiting, we may not be able to detect or prevent all instances of infringement and may lose our competitive position in the market before we are able to do so. If the quality of counterfeit products is not representative of the quality of our products, further damage could be done to our brand. In addition, enforcing rights to our intellectual property may be difficult and expensive, and we may not be successful in combating counterfeit products and stopping infringement of our intellectual property rights, particularly in some foreign countries, where we could lose sales.

Our operating results will vary over time and such fluctuations could cause the market price of our common stock to decline.

Our quarterly operating results fluctuate significantly due to a variety of factors, many of which are outside of our control, and we expect them to continue to do so. Our revenues were \$138.4 million, \$129.7 million, \$101.2 million, \$83.2 million and \$74.9 million and our net income was \$41.8 million, \$40.5 million, \$28.8 million, \$20.7 million and \$17.8 million in the three months ended December 31, 2013, September 30, 2013, June 30, 2013, March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. Because revenues for any future period are not predictable with any significant degree of certainty, you should not rely on our past results as an indication of our future performance. If our revenues or operating results fall below the expectations of investors or securities analysts or below any estimates we may provide to the market, the price of our common shares would likely decline substantially, which could have a material adverse impact on investor confidence and employee retention. Our common stock has recently experienced substantial price volatility. For example, from our initial public offering through December 31, 2013, the price of our common stock ranged from \$7.80 to \$46.88 per share. Additionally, the stock market as a whole has experienced extreme price and volume fluctuations that have affected the stock price of many technology companies in ways that may have been unrelated to these companies' operating performance.

Factors that could cause our operating results and stock price to fluctuate include:

- varying demand for our products due to the financial and operating condition of our distributors and their customers, distributor inventory management practices and general economic conditions;
- shifts in our fulfillment practices including increasing inventory levels in attempt to decrease customer lead times;
- inability of our contract manufacturers and suppliers to meet our demand;
- success and timing of new product introductions by us and the performance of our products;
- announcements by us or our competitors regarding products, promotions or other transactions;
- lost sales due to the proliferation of counterfeit versions of our products;
- costs related to the protection of our intellectual property rights, including defense against counterfeiting efforts;
- costs related to responding to government inquiries related to regulatory compliance;
- our ability to control and reduce product costs;
- expenses of our entry into new markets, such as video surveillance microwave backhaul and machine-to-machine communications;
- commencement of litigation or adverse results in litigation;
- changes in the manner in which we sell products;
- increased warranty costs;
- volatility in foreign exchange rates, changes in interest rates and/or the availability and cost of financing or other working capital to our distributors and their customers;
- the impact of write downs of excess and obsolete inventory; and
- the impact of any provisions for doubtful accounts.

In addition, our business may be subject to seasonality; however, our recent growth rates and timing of product introductions may have masked seasonal changes in demand. Although we have not perceived seasonality to date, we may experience seasonality in the future.

We could be adversely affected by unfavorable results from shareholder class action litigation.

Beginning on September 7, 2012, two shareholder class action complaints were filed against us. On January 30, 2013, the plaintiffs filed an Amended Consolidated Complaint, which alleges claims under the Securities Act of 1933, the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and SEC Rule 10b-5 on behalf of a purported class of those who purchased our common stock between October 14, 2011 and August 9, 2012 and/or acquired our stock pursuant to or traceable to the registration statement for our initial public offering. The consolidated complaint seeks, among other things, damages and interest, rescission, and attorneys' fees and costs. Although we believe that the allegations in the complaint are without merit and we intend to vigorously contest the litigation, there can be no assurance that we will be successful in our defense. If one or more of these claims are resolved against us, our consolidated financial statements could be materially adversely affected.

If we fail to protect our intellectual property rights adequately, our ability to compete effectively or to defend ourselves from litigation could be impaired, which could reduce our revenues and increase our costs.

We rely primarily on patent, copyright, trademark and trade secret laws, as well as confidentiality and non-disclosure agreements and other methods, to protect our proprietary technologies and know-how. Our patent rights and the prospective rights sought in our pending patent applications may not be meaningful or provide us with any commercial advantage and they could be opposed, contested, circumvented or designed around by our competitors or be declared invalid or unenforceable in judicial or administrative proceedings. Any failure of our patents to adequately protect our technology might make it easier for our competitors to offer similar products or technologies. In addition, patents may not be issued from any of our current or future applications.

Monitoring unauthorized use of our intellectual property is difficult and costly. Unauthorized use of our intellectual property, such as counterfeits of our products and unauthorized registration of our trademarks by third parties, has occurred in the past and may occur in the future without our knowledge. The steps we have taken may not prevent unauthorized use of our intellectual property. Further, we may not be able to detect unauthorized use of, or take appropriate steps to enforce our intellectual property rights. Our competitors may also independently develop similar technology without infringing our intellectual property rights. Our failure to effectively protect our intellectual property could reduce the value and potential application of our technology and could impair our ability to compete. Any failure by us to meaningfully protect our intellectual property could result in competitors offering products that incorporate our most technologically advanced features, which could seriously reduce demand for our products. We have initiated and may continue to initiate infringement claims or litigation. Litigation, whether we are a plaintiff or a defendant, can be expensive and time-consuming, may place our intellectual property at risk of being invalidated or narrowed in scope, and may divert the efforts of our technical staff and managerial personnel, which could result in lower revenues and higher expenses, whether or not such litigation results in a determination favorable to us.

Enforcement of our intellectual property rights abroad, particularly in China and South America, is limited and it is often difficult to protect and enforce such rights.

The intellectual property protection regimes outside the United States are generally not as comprehensive as in the United States and may not protect our intellectual property in some countries where our products are sold or manufactured or may be sold or manufactured in the future. In addition, effective enforcement of intellectual property rights in certain countries may not be available.

In particular, the legal regimes relating to intellectual property rights in China and South America are limited and it is often difficult to effectively protect and enforce such rights in those countries. For example, the regulatory scheme for enforcing China's intellectual property laws may not be as developed as regulatory schemes in other countries. Any advancement of an intellectual property enforcement claim through China's regulatory scheme may require an extensive amount of time, allowing intellectual property infringers to continue largely unimpeded, to our detriment in the Chinese and other export markets. In addition, rules of evidence may be unclear, inconsistent or difficult to comply with, making it difficult to prove infringement of our intellectual property rights. As a result, enforcement cases may be difficult or ineffective.

These factors may make it increasingly complicated for us to enforce our intellectual property rights against infringers, allowing them to harm our business in the Chinese or other export markets and in the United States by affecting the pricing for our products, reducing our sales, importing infringing products into the United States and diluting our brand or product quality reputation.

If our contract manufacturers do not respect our intellectual property and trade secrets and if they or others produce competitive products reducing our sales or causing customer confusion, our business, operating results and financial condition could be materially adversely affected.

Our contract manufacturers operate in China, where prosecution of intellectual property infringement and trade secret theft is more difficult than in the United States. In the past, our contract manufacturers, their affiliates, their other customers or their suppliers have attempted to participate in efforts to misappropriate our intellectual property and trade secrets to manufacture our products for themselves or others without our knowledge. Although we attempt to enter into agreements with our contract manufacturers to preclude them from misusing our intellectual property and trade secrets, we may be unsuccessful in monitoring and enforcing our intellectual property rights. We have in the past found and expect in the future to find counterfeit goods in the market being sold as Ubiquiti products. Although we take steps to stop counterfeits, we may not be successful

and network operators and service providers who purchase these counterfeit goods may have a bad experience, our brand may be harmed, and our business, operating results and financial condition could be materially and adversely affected.

Our business and prospects depend on the strength of our brand. Failure to maintain and enhance our brand would harm our ability to expand our base of distributors and the number of network operators and service providers who purchase our products.

Maintaining and enhancing the Ubiquiti brand is critical to expanding our base of distributors, network operators, service providers, and VARs who purchase our products. Maintaining and enhancing our brand will depend largely on our ability to continue to develop and provide products and solutions that address the price-performance characteristics sought by these customers and end-users in underserved and underpenetrated markets, which we may not do successfully. If we fail to promote, maintain and protect our brand successfully, our ability to sustain and expand our business and enter new markets will suffer. Furthermore, if we fail to replicate the Ubiquiti Community in other markets that we seek to enter, the strength of our brand in and beyond those markets could be adversely affected. Our brand may be impaired by a number of other factors, including product malfunctions and exploitation of our trademarks or confusingly similar trademarks by others without permission. Despite our efforts to protect our trademarks, we have been unsuccessful to date in obtaining a trademark registration from the United States Patent and Trademark Office for the name of our company, Ubiquiti Networks, and as a result, we only have common law trademark rights in the United States in our name. Additionally, we have been subject to counterfeiting efforts which may damage our brand value. Any inability to effectively police our trademark rights against unauthorized uses by third parties could adversely impact the value of our trademarks and our brand recognition. If we fail to maintain and enhance the Ubiquiti brand, or if we need to incur unanticipated expenses to establish the brand in new markets, our operating results would be negatively affected from reduced sales and increased expenses related to strengthening our brand and our customers may be confused about which products are ours.

The networking, enterprise WLAN, video surveillance, microwave backhaul and machine-to-machine communications markets in which we compete are highly competitive and competitive pressures from existing and new products and solutions may have a material adverse effect on our business, revenues, growth rates and market share.

The networking, enterprise WLAN, video surveillance, microwave backhaul and machine-to-machine communications markets in which we compete are highly competitive and are influenced by competitive factors including:

- price and total cost of ownership and return on investment associated with the solutions;
- simplicity of deployment and use of the solutions;
- ability to rapidly develop high performance integrated solutions;
- reliability and scalability of the solutions;
- market awareness of a particular brand;
- ability to provide secure access to wireless networks;
- ability to offer a suite of products and solutions;
- ability to allow centralized management of the solutions; and
- ability to provide quality product support.

We expect competition to intensify in the future as other established and new companies introduce new products in the same markets we serve or intend to enter and as these markets continue to consolidate. In particular, companies with successful, widely known brands may price their products aggressively to compete with ours. This competition could result in increased pricing pressure, reduced profit margins, increased sales and marketing expenses and failure to increase, or the loss of, market share, any of which would likely seriously harm our business, operating results or financial condition. If we do not keep pace with product and technology advances, end users may switch to other suppliers and our ability to sell our products may be impaired, which could harm our competitive position, revenues and prospects for growth.

A number of our current or potential competitors have longer operating histories, greater brand recognition, larger customer bases and significantly greater financial, technical, sales, marketing and other resources than we do.

As we move into new markets for different types of equipment, our brand may not be as well known as incumbents in those markets. Potential customers may prefer to purchase from their existing suppliers rather than a new supplier, regardless of product performance or features. In the integrated radio market, our competitors include Motorola and Trango, and in the 900MHz product market, Cisco and Proxim. In the embedded radio market, our competitors include Mikrotikls and Senao. In the backhaul market, our competitors include Ceragon, DragonWave and Mikrotikls. In the CPE market, our competitors include Mikrotikls, Ruckus and TP-LINK. In the antenna market, we primarily compete with PCTEL and Radio Waves. In the enterprise WLAN market, we primarily compete with Ruckus, Aruba Networks and Cisco. In the video surveillance market, we primarily compete with Vivotek, Axis Communications and Mobotix. In the microwave backhaul market, we primarily compete with Cambium, DragonWave, SAF Tehnika and Trango. In the machine-to-machine communications market, we primarily compete with EnergyHub, Motorola and AlertMe.com. We expect increased competition from other established and emerging companies if our market continues to develop and expand. As we enter new markets, we expect to face competition from incumbent and new market participants.

In addition, some of our competitors have made acquisitions or entered into partnerships or other strategic relationships with one another to offer a more comprehensive solution than they had offered individually. We expect this consolidation to continue as companies attempt to strengthen or maintain their market positions in an evolving industry and as companies enter into partnerships or are acquired. Many of the companies driving this consolidation trend have significantly greater financial, technical and other resources than we do and are better positioned to acquire and offer complementary products and technologies. The competitors resulting from these possible consolidations may create more compelling product offerings and be able to offer greater pricing flexibility, making it more difficult for us to compete effectively, including on the basis of price, sales and marketing programs, technology or product functionality. Continued industry consolidation may adversely impact perceptions of the viability of smaller and even medium-sized technology companies and, consequently, willingness to purchase from such companies. These pricing pressures and competition from more comprehensive solutions could impair our ability to sell our products profitably, if at all, which could negatively affect our revenues and results of operations.

New entrants and the introduction of other distribution models in our markets may harm our competitive position.

The markets for development, distribution and sale of our products are rapidly evolving. New entrants seeking to gain market share by introducing new technology and new products may make it more difficult for us to sell our products, and could create increased pricing pressure, reduced profit margins, increased sales and marketing expenses or the loss of market share or expected market share, any of which may significantly harm our business, operating results and financial condition.

Historically, large, integrated telecommunications equipment suppliers controlled access to the wireless broadband infrastructure equipment and network management software that could be used to extend the geographic reach of wireless internet networks. However, in recent years, network operators and service providers have been able to purchase wireless broadband infrastructure equipment and purchase and implement network management applications from distributors, resellers and OEMs. In addition, increased competition from providers of wireless broadband equipment may result in fewer vendors providing complementary equipment to our products, which could harm our business and revenues. Broadband equipment providers or system integrators may also offer wireless broadband infrastructure equipment for free or as part of a bundled offering, which could force us to reduce our prices or change our selling model to remain competitive. If there is a major shift in the market such that network operators and service providers begin to use closed network solutions that only operate with other equipment from the same vendor, we could experience a significant decline in sales because our products would not be interoperable with these proprietary standards.

We may not be able to enhance our products to keep pace with technological and market developments, or develop new products in a timely manner or at competitive prices.

The market for our wireless broadband networking equipment is emerging and is characterized by rapid technological change, evolving industry standards, frequent new product introductions and short product life cycles. Our ability to keep pace with technological developments, satisfy increasing network operator and service provider requirements and achieve product acceptance depends upon our ability to enhance our current products and continue to develop and introduce new product offerings and enhanced performance features and functionality on a timely basis at competitive prices. Our inability, for technological or other reasons, to enhance, develop, introduce or deliver compelling products in a timely manner, or at all, in response to changing market conditions, technologies or network operator and service provider expectations could have a material adverse effect on our operating results if end users fail to purchase our products. For example, if we are unable to achieve in a timely manner and keep pace the same level of integration and plug and play functionality for our enterprise

products that characterizes our airMAX product line, our ability to grow our end user base and achieve broad acceptance of our enterprise products could be adversely affected. Our ability to compete successfully will depend in large measure on our ability to maintain a technically skilled development and engineering staff and to adapt to technological changes and advances in the industry, including providing for the continued compatibility of our products with evolving industry standards and protocols and competitive network management environments. Development and delivery schedules for our products are difficult to predict. We may fail to introduce new versions of our products in a timely fashion. If new releases of our products are delayed, our distributors may curtail their efforts to market and promote our products and network operators and service providers may switch to competing products, any of which would result in a delay or loss of revenues and could harm our business. In addition, we cannot assure you that the technologies and related products that we develop will be brought to market by us as quickly as anticipated or that they will achieve broad acceptance among network operators and service providers.

We may become subject to warranty claims, product liability and product recalls.

From time to time, we may become subject to warranty or product liability claims that may require us to make significant expenditures to defend these claims or pay damage awards. In the event of a warranty claim, we may also incur costs if we compensate the affected network operator or service provider. We may also be the subject of product liability claims. Such claims could require a significant amount of time and expense to resolve and defend against and could also harm our reputation by calling into question the quality of our products. We also may incur costs and expenses relating to a recall of one or more of our products. For example, during the three months ended March 31, 2013 we recalled our Rocket Titanium products due to an identified manufacturing issue which was subsequently rectified. The process of identifying recalled products that have been widely distributed may be lengthy and require significant resources and we may incur significant replacement costs, contract damage claims from our network operators or service providers and harm to our reputation. Costs or payments made in connection with warranty and product liability claims and product recalls could cause our operating results to decline and harm our brand.

Our distributors, network operators, service providers, VARs and system integrators may expect us to indemnify them for intellectual property infringement claims, damages caused by defective products and other losses.

Our distributors, network operators, service providers and other parties may expect us to indemnify them for losses suffered or incurred in connection with our products, including as a result of intellectual property infringement, defective products, damages caused by defects and damages caused by viruses, worms and other malicious software, although our agreements with them may not, in all cases, require us to provide this indemnification. The maximum potential amount of future payments we could be required to make may be substantial or unlimited and could materially harm our business, operating results and financial condition. We may in the future agree to defend and indemnify our distributors, network operators, service providers and other parties, irrespective of whether we believe that we have an obligation to indemnify them or whether we believe that our services and products infringe the asserted intellectual property rights. Alternatively, we may reject certain of these indemnity demands, which may lead to disputes with a distributor, network operator, service provider or other party and may negatively impact our relationships with the party demanding indemnification or result in litigation against us. Our distributors, network operators, service providers and other parties may also claim that any rejection of their indemnity demands constitutes a material breach of our agreements with them, allowing them to terminate such agreements. If, as a result of indemnity demands, substantial payments are required, our relationships with our distributors, network operators, service providers and other parties are negatively impacted or if any of our material agreements is terminated, our business, operating results and financial condition could be materially adversely affected.

If we lose the services of our founder and chief executive officer, Robert J. Pera, other key members of our management team or key research and development employees, we would be required to replace these individuals. We may not be able to smoothly transition and may incur additional expense to recruit and employ replacements.

Our success and future growth depend on the skills, working relationships and continued services of our management team and in particular, our founder and chief executive officer, Robert J. Pera. Our future performance will also depend on our ability to continue to retain our other senior management. We do not maintain key person insurance for any of our personnel, except for a minor policy with respect to Mr. Pera.

Our business model relies in part on leanly staffed, independent and efficient research and development teams. Our research and development team is organized around small groups or individual contributors for a given platform and there is little overlap in knowledge and responsibilities. In the event that we are unable to retain the services of any key contributors, we may be unable to bring our products or product improvements to market in a timely manner, if at all, due to disruption in our development activities.

Our future success will also depend on our ability to attract, retain and motivate skilled personnel in the United States and internationally. All of our employees work for us on an at will basis. Competition for personnel is intense in the networking equipment industry, particularly for persons with specialized experience in areas such as antenna design and RF equipment. As a result, we may be unable to attract or retain qualified personnel. Our inability to attract and retain the necessary personnel could adversely affect our business, operating results and financial condition.

We operate in an industry with extensive intellectual property litigation. Claims of infringement against us or our suppliers may cause us to incur substantial expenses to defend ourselves and could impair our ability to sell our products if an adverse outcome were to occur.

Our commercial success depends in part upon us and our component suppliers not infringing, misappropriating or otherwise violating intellectual property rights owned by others and being able to resolve intellectual property claims without major financial expenditures. We operate in an industry with extensive intellectual property litigation and it is not uncommon for suppliers of certain components of our products, such as chipsets, to be involved in intellectual property-related lawsuits by or against third parties. Many industry participants that own, or claim to own, intellectual property aggressively assert their rights. Our key component suppliers are often targets of such assertions, and we may become a target as well. In addition, the network operators and service providers, whom we agree in certain circumstances to indemnify for intellectual property infringement claims related to our products, may be targets of such assertions. We cannot determine with certainty whether any existing or future third party intellectual property rights would require us to alter our technologies, obtain licenses or cease certain activities.

There are numerous patents and patent applications in the United States and other countries relating to communications technologies, and many of our competitors and other parties have substantial patent portfolios in this area. We do not generally conduct searches for patents relating to our technologies or approach third parties to seek a license to their patents. Even if we were to conduct such searches we may not uncover all relevant patents and patent applications. We therefore cannot assure that our products, technologies and operations do not, and will not, infringe third party patents. We have received, and may in the future receive, claims from third parties asserting intellectual property infringement and other related claims. In addition, if our revenues grow and our profile increases, the frequency and severity of these claims may increase. Future litigation may be necessary to defend ourselves and demand indemnification from our suppliers, if appropriate, by determining the scope, enforceability and validity of third party proprietary rights or to establish our own proprietary rights. Some of our competitors may have substantially greater resources than we do and may be able to sustain the costs of complex intellectual property litigation to a greater degree and for longer periods of time than we could. In addition, patent holding companies and other third-party non-practicing entities that focus on extracting royalties and settlements by enforcing patent rights may target our component suppliers, manufacturers, us, our distributors, members of our sales channels, our network operators and service providers, or other purchasers of our products. These companies typically have little or no product revenues and therefore our patents may provide little or no deterrence against such companies filing patent infringement lawsuits against our component suppliers, manufacturers, us, our distributors, members of our sales channels, network operators and service providers, or other purchasers of our products. For example, we have received correspondence from certain patent holding companies who assert that we infringe certain patents related to wireless communication technologies. We believe that these patents are either invalid or not infringed by us. However, we cannot assure you that a court adjudicating a claim that we infringe these patents would rule in our favor should these patent holding companies file suit against us. We believe that in the event of a claim we may be entitled to seek indemnification from our suppliers. However, we cannot provide any assurances that if we seek such indemnification, we will receive it. At any time, any of these third parties could initiate litigation against us, or we may be forced to initiate litigation against them. Regardless of whether claims that we are infringing patents, trademarks or other intellectual property rights have any merit, these claims can be time consuming and costly to evaluate and defend and could:

- adversely affect our relationships with our current or future network operators and service providers or suppliers;
- cause delays or stoppages in the shipment of our products, or cause us to modify or redesign our products;
- cause us to incur significant expenses in defending claims brought against us, for which we may not be able to obtain indemnification, if applicable, from our suppliers;
- divert management's attention and resources;
- subject us to significant damages or settlements;
- require us to enter into settlements, royalty or licensing agreements on unfavorable terms; or
- require us to cease certain activities.

Moreover, even if some of our contract manufacturers are obligated to indemnify us, these contract manufacturers may contest their obligations to indemnify us, or their available assets or indemnity obligation may not be sufficient to cover our losses.

In addition to liability for monetary damages against us or, in certain circumstances, our network operators and service providers, we may be prohibited from developing, commercializing or continuing to provide certain of our products unless we obtain licenses from the holders of the patents or other intellectual property rights. We cannot assure you that we will be able to obtain any such licenses on commercially reasonable terms, or at all. If we do not obtain such licenses, our business, operating results and financial condition could be materially adversely affected and we could, for example, be required to cease offering our products or be required to materially alter our products, which could involve substantial costs and time to develop.

For information regarding our trademarks, see the risk factor above titled “Our business and prospects depend on the strength of our brand. Failure to maintain and enhance our brand would harm our ability to expand our base of distributors and the number of network operators and service providers who purchase our products.”

We base our production on our forecasts of future sales. If these forecasts are materially inaccurate, we may overbuild product, which we may be unable to sell in a timely manner or at all, or we may underbuild product, which may impair our customer relationships.

Our distributors typically provide us with purchase orders for delivery within 60 days. We provide our contract manufacturers forecasts of up to approximately five months of demand for long lead time components. To the extent our forecasts are materially inaccurate because we do not receive anticipated purchase order volume, we may under or overbuild product. We may over or under forecast the distributors’ actual demand for our products or the mix of products and the components associated with the building of our products. We have experienced volatility in orders with limited advanced notice, and we expect such volatility to occur in the future. If we are unable to meet any increases in demand, our business, operating results and financial condition would be materially adversely affected and our reputation with our customers may be damaged. Conversely, if we over forecast demand, we may build excess inventory which could materially adversely affect our business, operating results and financial condition.

We and our contract manufacturers purchase some components, subassemblies and products from a limited number of suppliers. The loss of any of these suppliers may substantially disrupt our ability to obtain orders and fulfill sales as we design in and qualify new components.

We rely on third party components and technology to build and operate our products, and we rely on our contract manufacturers to obtain the components, subassemblies and products necessary for the manufacture of our products. Shortages in components that we use in our products are possible, and our ability to predict the availability of such components is limited. If shortages occur in the future, as they have in the past, our business, operating results and financial condition would be materially adversely affected. Unpredictable price increases of such components due to market demand may occur. While components and supplies are generally available from a variety of sources, we and our contract manufacturers currently depend on a single or limited number of suppliers for several components for our products. If our suppliers of these components or technology were to enter into exclusive relationships with other providers of networking equipment or were to discontinue providing such components and technology to us and we were unable to replace them cost effectively, or at all, our ability to provide our products would be impaired. We and our contract manufacturers generally rely on purchase orders rather than long-term contracts with these suppliers. As a result, even if available, we and our contract manufacturers may not be able to secure sufficient components at reasonable prices or of acceptable quality to build our products in a timely manner. Therefore, we may be unable to meet customer demand for our products, which would have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition.

Our reliance on third party components and technology means that we may not be able to introduce new products or continue to sell existing products without obtaining and maintaining technology licenses from third parties. For example, we currently rely upon a license from Qualcomm Atheros, whose chipsets are incorporated in a majority of our products. This process is critical to our ability to manufacture our products. Obtaining and maintaining these licenses may be costly and may delay the introduction of such features and functionality, and these licenses may not be available or renewable on commercially favorable terms, or at all, and even if entered into, may in some circumstances be terminated. The inability to offer advanced features or functionality, or a delay in our introduction of new products, may adversely affect demand for our products and consequently, materially adversely affect our business, operating results and financial condition.

We are dependent on Qualcomm Atheros for chipsets for our products and do not have short-term alternatives if Qualcomm Atheros were to terminate its agreement with us, which could cause us to be unable to fulfill short-term demand and delay our ability to fulfill orders.

Substantially all of our products currently include chipsets from Qualcomm Atheros. To the extent we are unable to secure an adequate supply of chipsets from Qualcomm Atheros, we would be required to redesign our products to incorporate

components from alternative sources, a process which would cause significant delays and would adversely impact our revenues. We maintain a stockpile chipsets, however it may not be sufficient to cover the time it would take to re-engineer our products to replace the Qualcomm Atheros chipsets. Furthermore, if we sought a suitable second source for Qualcomm Atheros chipsets in our products, there can be no assurances that we would be able to successfully second source our chipsets on acceptable terms, if at all. In any event, our use of chipsets from multiple sources may require us to significantly modify our product designs to accommodate these different chipsets.

We rely on a limited number of contract manufacturers to produce and test all of our products, and failure to successfully manage our relationships with these parties could adversely affect our ability to market and sell our products.

We retain contract manufacturers, which are primarily located in China, to manufacture and control quality of our products. We currently do not have long-term supply contracts with any of these contract manufacturers. Any significant change in our relationship with these manufacturers could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition. We make substantially all of our purchases from our contract manufacturers on a purchase order basis. Our contract manufacturers are not otherwise required to manufacture our products for any specific period or in any specific quantity. We expect that it would take approximately three to six months to transition manufacturing and quality assurance services to new providers. Relying on contract manufacturers for manufacturing and quality assurance also presents significant risks to us, including the inability of our contract manufacturers to:

- assure the quality of our products;
- manage capacity during periods of volatile demand;
- qualify appropriate component suppliers;
- ensure adequate supplies of materials;
- protect our intellectual property rights;
- deliver finished products at agreed upon prices and schedules; and
- safeguard consigned materials and finished goods.

The ability and willingness of our contract manufacturers to perform is largely outside our control. For example, during mid-2009, the technology market was rebounding from the sharp economic contraction that was experienced in 2008. Many suppliers and contract manufacturers were unprepared for the speed of the rebound. This led to significant component shortages and capacity constraints at contract manufacturers. During this time, our contract manufacturers claimed difficulty in procuring components and extended our order lead times significantly, which forced us to extend the lead time for our distributors.

From time to time, we may change contract manufacturers, which may disrupt our ability to obtain our products in a timely manner. We believe that our orders may not represent a material portion of our contract manufacturers' total orders and, as a result, fulfilling our orders may not be a priority in the event our contract manufacturers are constrained in their abilities or resources to fulfill all of their customer obligations in a timely manner. If any of our contract manufacturers suffers an interruption in its business, experiences delays, disruptions or quality control problems in its manufacturing operations or we have to change or add additional contract manufacturers, our ability to ship products to our customers would be delayed, our revenues could become volatile and our cost of revenues may increase.

We have retained the services of third party logistics and warehousing providers in China. The failure or inability of these service providers to safeguard and to accurately segregate, record and report our inventory could disrupt our business and harm our reputation and operating results.

Beginning in the quarter ended December 31, 2012, we began using third party logistics and warehousing providers in China to fulfill the majority of our worldwide sales. Prior to that, our shipments were mainly fulfilled by our contract manufacturers. Depending on the terms of our arrangements with the contract manufacturers, legal title to this inventory may belong to them or to us. We rely on our third party logistics and warehousing providers to accurately segregate and record our inventory for us and to report to us the receipt and shipments of our products. We also rely on our third party logistics and warehousing providers to efficiently manage and track the delivery of products from the warehouse. Further, we rely on our third party logistics provider to safeguard our inventory, which accounts for a vast majority of our inventory balance. If these service providers fail to safeguard and to accurately segregate and record our inventory or manage and track the delivery of products, the resulting product loss and/or administrative burden could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition.

Our third party logistics and warehousing providers in China may fail to deliver products to our customers in an accurate and timely manner, which could harm our reputation and operating results.

We rely on third party logistics and warehousing providers in China to accurately deliver on a timely basis our products to our customers. However, due to factors out of our control, these third party logistics providers could fail to deliver the correct product or to deliver products in a timely manner. Any delay in delivery of our products or inaccurate delivery of our products to our customers could create dissatisfaction among our customers and harm our reputation. Such failure to deliver products to our customers in an accurate and timely manner could also have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition.

We have recently increased our levels of inventory of finished products and are exposed to the risk of carrying excess or obsolete products.

With the use of third party logistics and warehousing providers, we have increased our levels of inventory of finished products and are exposed to the risk of carrying excess or obsolete products. In particular, our inventory balance increased 104% from June 30, 2013 to December 31, 2013. We are also faced with the same risk with respect to the Qualcomm Atheros chipsets and other components necessary to ensure a continuous supply of finished products. If demand for competing or newer versions of our products accelerates more rapidly than we expect, we could be required to write-off excess products for which demand has eroded which could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition.

We are subject to numerous U.S. export control and economic sanctions laws and a substantial majority of our sales are into countries outside of the United States. Sales outside of the United States represented 75% and 77% of our revenues in the six months ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Although we did not intend to do so, we have violated certain of these laws in the past, and we cannot currently assess the nature and extent of any fines or other penalties, if any, that U.S. governmental agencies may impose against us or our employees for any such violations. Any fines, if materially different from our estimates, or other penalties, could have a material adverse effect on our business and financial results.

Sale of certain of our products into Iran, Cuba, Syria, the Sudan and North Korea is restricted or prohibited under U.S. export control and economic sanctions laws. In addition, certain of our products incorporate encryption components and may be exported from and outside the United States only with the required authorization or eligibility for a license exception. Until early 2010, we lacked sufficient familiarity with the export control and sanctions laws and their applicability to our products. Our lack of sufficient familiarity was largely due to our lean corporate infrastructure, the inexperience of our management team in these matters and the fact that our products are manufactured outside the United States and most of our products never enter the United States. In early 2010, as a result of diligence undertaken in connection with the repurchase of our common stock and options in connection with the sale of our Series A preferred stock (the "Summit transaction"), we learned that our products could not be sold, directly or indirectly, into Iran and other countries subject to a U.S. embargo and we learned that some of our products were listed on the Commerce Control List in the EAR, and require authorization from the BIS, prior to export. We then began to evaluate the export controls and sanctions applicable to our product sales and to take steps to comply with these laws. For instance, we revised our standard form distribution agreements to clearly articulate the restrictions imposed by export control and sanctions laws governing business with embargoed countries, disabled downloads of our software by users in these countries, and obtained the required Commodity Classification Rulings for our encryption products as required by the EAR. In February 2011, our Audit Committee retained outside counsel to conduct a review of our export control compliance and possible sales of our products by third persons to embargoed countries. This review was conducted to fully respond to and cooperate with a request for information from OEE, relating to two foreign companies and the export classification of our products and to ensure that we were in compliance with the export control and sanctions laws. The review was completed in April 2011 and we took the actions described below as a result of our review. In May 2011, we filed a disclosure report regarding our findings as a result of this review with OEE. In August 2011, we received a warning letter from the OEE indicating that the OEE had completed its investigation of us, was closing out the matter without issuing a penalty, had not referred the matters described below for criminal or administrative prosecution and closed the investigation of us. In June 2011, we also filed a self-disclosure with OFAC, the results of which are still pending.

Transactions Involving Possible Sales of Products into Iran

Although we do not believe that we directly sold, exported or shipped our products into Iran or any other country subject to a U.S. embargo, we believe our products have been sold into Iran by third parties. Until early 2010, we did not prohibit our distributors from selling our products into Iran or any other country subject to a U.S. embargo.

From 2008 to early 2010, we had a distribution arrangement with a distributor ("Distributor 1"), in the United Arab Emirates ("UAE") that gave this distributor exclusive jurisdiction over eleven countries in the Middle East, including Iran, as well as

authorization to sell worldwide. We had no sales to Distributor 1 in fiscal 2012 or fiscal 2013, and sales to Distributor 1 represented 4% and 6% of our revenues in fiscal 2011 and fiscal 2010, respectively. We cannot determine which of our products Distributor 1 sold directly or indirectly to persons in Iran. At some point prior to February 2010, Distributor 1 requested that we list two resellers on our website as authorized resellers of our products in Iran and we did so. We removed these resellers from our website in late February 2010 upon learning of restrictions under the U.S. embargo.

In early 2010, we began implementing policies prohibiting sales of our products into the countries subject to the U.S. embargo, revised our standard form distribution agreements to clearly articulate this policy and disabled downloads of our software by users in these countries. We also entered into a new distribution agreement with Distributor 1 that excluded Iran as one of its territories and contained explicit covenants that Distributor 1 would comply with U.S. export control and economic sanction laws, including a covenant not to sell our products into Iran.

From March 2010 until February 2011, we continued doing business with Distributor 1 under the amended distribution agreement. However, we now believe that Distributor 1 continued to sell our products into Iran after February 2010 and that we overlooked emails from Distributor 1 that included information about Distributor 1's possible activities related to shipping our products to Iran. In February 2011, we suspended sales of our products to Distributor 1 due to the information learned during our export control review that indicated Distributor 1 may still be selling products into Iran. Also, during our investigation we learned that from December 2009 through February 2011, another distributor, Distributor 2, was selling our products to a company in Iran. At the time of these transactions, we did not have a distribution agreement with Distributor 2 and we had not specifically instructed Distributor 2 that our products could not be sold into Iran. Distributor 2, a distributor in Europe, received orders from an Iranian entity, placed those orders with us and instructed us to ship the products to a third party in the UAE. As such, we believed the products' final destination was the UAE. Our records indicate that we may have made up to 13 shipments to Distributor 2 involving an aggregate value of approximately \$340,000 that may have been resold into Iran during this time. Prior to February 2011, we had not previously notified Distributor 2 of our prohibition against sales of our products into Iran. In March 2011, upon learning that it was receiving orders from a company in Iran, we notified Distributor 2 that the end customer was in Iran and of our prohibition on sales to Iran and also entered into a distribution agreement with Distributor 2. The agreement contains clear language requiring compliance with the export control and economic sanctions laws. We continue to sell products to Distributor 2, as we believe this issue has been resolved and these sales did not represent a material portion of Distributor 2's business with us.

Export Classification of Our Products

Following the Summit transaction, we began to research whether our products were subject to U.S. export controls and we hired outside counsel to assist us with this analysis. We learned that a number of our products, although they are foreign produced and do not enter into the United States, may be considered encryption items under the EAR and required an encryption review by BIS. In May 2010, we filed encryption reviews with BIS for our products, and we obtained the required Commodity Classification Rulings for our products between June 2010 and November 2010. We shipped our products prior to receiving these rulings and these shipments appear to have violated the EAR. In addition, we used incorrect export authorizations on our shipping documents even after we received the required Commodity Classification Rulings.

Accordingly, prior to May 2010, we did not fully comply with applicable encryption controls in the EAR, despite having made foreign sales of such items, and continued to use incorrect export authorizations on shipping documents until February 2011, as we did not fully understand the scope of the requirements. In addition, throughout this period, we lacked an effective compliance program with respect to these laws. We have implemented a significant number of policies and procedures and continue to implement further policies and procedures that will help us to comply with these laws.

Inquiry from U.S. Department of Commerce's Office of Export Enforcement

In January 2011, OEE contacted us to request that we provide information related to our relationship with a logistics company in the UAE and with a company in Iran, neither of which companies are Distributor 1 or Distributor 2, as well as information on the export classification of our products. As a result of this inquiry we, assisted by outside counsel, conducted a review of our export transactions from 2008 through March 2011 to not only gather information responsive to OEE's request but also to review our overall compliance with export control and sanctions laws. We believe our products have been sold into Iran by third parties. We do not believe that we directly sold, exported or shipped our products into Iran or any other country subject to a U.S. embargo. However, until early 2010, we did not prohibit our distributors from selling our products into Iran or any other country subject to a U.S. embargo. In the course of this review we identified that two distributors may have sold Ubiquiti products into Iran. Our review also found that while we had obtained required Commodity Classification Rulings for our products in June 2010 and November 2010, we did not advise our shipping personnel to change the export authorizations used on our shipping documents until February 2011. During the course of our export control review, we also determined that we

had failed to maintain adequate records for the five year period required by the EAR and the sanctions regulations due to our lack of infrastructure and because it was prior to our transition to our current system of record, NetSuite.

In May 2011, we filed a self-disclosure statement with the BIS and OEE. In June 2011 we filed a self-disclosure statement with OFAC, regarding the compliance issues noted above. The disclosures address the above described findings and the remedial actions we have taken to date. However, the findings also indicate that both distributors continued to sell, directly or indirectly, our products into Iran during the period from February 2010 through March 2011 and that we received various communications from them indicating that they were continuing to do so. Since January 2011, we have cooperated with OEE and, prior to our disclosure filing, we informally shared with the OEE the substance of our findings with respect to both distributors. From May 2011 to August 2011, we provided additional information regarding our review and our findings to OEE to facilitate its investigation and OEE advised us in August 2011 that it had completed its investigation of us. In August 2011, we received a warning letter from OEE stating that OEE had not referred the findings of our review for criminal or administrative prosecution of us and closed the investigation without penalty.

OFAC is still reviewing our disclosure. In our submission, we have provided OFAC with an explanation of the activities that led to the sales of our products in Iran and the failure to comply with the EAR and OFAC sanctions. Although our OFAC and OEE disclosures covered similar sets of facts that led the OEE to resolve the case with the issuance of a warning letter, OFAC may conclude that our actions resulted in violations of U.S. export control and economic sanctions laws and warrant the imposition of penalties that could include fines, termination of our ability to export our products, and/or referral for criminal prosecution. The maximum civil monetary penalty for the violations is up to \$250,000 or twice the value of the transaction, whichever is greater, per violation. The penalties may be imposed against us and/or our management. Any such fines or restrictions may be material to our financial results in the period in which they are imposed. Also, disclosure of our conduct and any fines or other action relating to this conduct could harm our reputation and have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition. We cannot predict when OFAC will complete its review or decide upon the imposition of possible penalties.

We have taken actions designed to ensure that export classification information is distributed to the appropriate personnel in a timely manner and have adopted policies and procedures to promote our compliance with applicable export laws and regulations, including obtaining written distribution agreements with substantially all of our distributors that contain covenants requiring compliance with U.S. export control and economic sanctions law; notifying all of our distributors of their obligations and obtaining updated distribution agreements from distributors that account for approximately 99% of our distributor revenue in fiscal 2013 . However we cannot be sure such actions will be effective. Additionally, our failure to amend all our distribution agreements and to implement more robust compliance controls immediately after the discovery of Iran-related sales activity in early 2010 may be aggravating factors that could impact the imposition of penalties imposed on us or our management. Further, should our efforts to ensure our compliance with applicable export laws and regulations not be sufficient in preventing our distributors from distributing our products into a country subject to a U.S. embargo or otherwise violating applicable export laws and regulations in the future, we could be subject to government investigations or penalties in the future. Any such penalties, if they occur, may be more severe in light of our prior violations discussed above. Based on the facts known to us to date, we recorded an expense of \$1.6 million for this export compliance matter in fiscal 2010, which represents management's estimated exposure for fines in accordance with applicable accounting literature. This amount was calculated from information discovered through our internal review and we deem this loss to be probable and reasonably estimable. However, we believe that it is reasonably possible that the loss may be higher, but we cannot reasonably estimate the range of any further potential losses. Should additional facts be discovered in the future and/or should actual fines or other penalties substantially differ from our estimates, our business, financial condition, cash flows and results of operations would be materially negatively impacted.

We may also be subject to export control and economic sanctions laws of jurisdictions outside of the United States and a substantial majority of our sales are into countries outside of the United States. If we fail to comply with those foreign export control and economic sanctions laws, we may be unable to sell our products and our business would be materially and adversely affected and our revenues would decline.

In addition to U.S. export regulations, various other countries regulate the import of certain encryption technology and products, and these laws could limit our ability to distribute our products or our customers' ability to implement our products in those countries. Changes in our products or changes in export and import regulations may create delays in the introduction of our products in other countries, prevent our customers with international operations from deploying our products or, in some cases, prevent the transfer of our products to certain countries altogether. Any change in export or import regulations or related legislation, shift in approach to the enforcement or scope of existing regulations, or change in the countries, persons or technologies targeted by such regulations, could negatively impact our ability to sell our products to existing customers or the ability of our current and potential distributors, network operators and service providers outside the United States.

We have limited experience and personnel to manage our supply chain, our contract manufacturers and our third party logistics services providers, which may cause us to experience lower product margins, impair product quality and result in our inability to fulfill demand for our products and solutions.

We rely on our contract manufacturers to produce and test all of our products. We also rely on our contract manufacturers to obtain the components, subassemblies and products necessary for the manufacture of our products. We have limited experience and personnel to manage our relationships with our contract manufacturers and our supply chain. Inaccurately forecasting our demand for key components, including the Qualcomm Atheros chipsets, could materially adversely affect our ability to build our products in a timely manner and our margins could decline. Any failure by us to effectively and proactively manage these relationships and activities could result in material adverse effects on our business, operating results and financial condition. If we were required or choose to transition some of our supply chain activities from our contract manufacturers to within our organization, we would be required to hire more experienced personnel and develop more supply chain policies and procedures. This transition could be lengthy and could cause significant delays in the production, testing and shipment of our products, any of which may result in material adverse effects, including an increase in our costs and our ability to ship our products and solutions. We cannot assure you that we would ever be able to effectively complete any such transition.

We have significantly increased our transactional sales volumes in recent periods, and if we fail to effectively manage the challenges associated with this transaction volume growth, we may experience difficulty in properly fulfilling customer orders and may incur increased operational costs.

Over the past several years we have and continue to expand our product offerings, the number of customers we sell to and the number of contract manufacturers we utilize to produce our products. Failure to effectively manage the increased logistical complexities associated with this expansion would make it difficult to fulfill customer orders in a timely manner and could lead to customer dissatisfaction. Further, we may need to increase costs to add personnel, upgrade or replace our existing reporting systems as well as improve our business processes and controls. Failure to effectively manage any of these logistical challenges would adversely impact our business performance and operating results.

We rely on the Ubiquiti Community to generate awareness of, and demand for, our products. If participation in the Ubiquiti Community decreases materially, or if negative information, justified or otherwise, spreads quickly through the community, we would need to incur substantial additional expenses to generate awareness of, and demand for, our products.

We believe a significant portion of our growth to date has been driven by the diverse and actively engaged Ubiquiti Community and our business model is predicated on the assumption that the Ubiquiti Community will continue to be actively engaged. Given our lack of a direct sales force and limited marketing expenditures, the marketing model enabled by the Ubiquiti Community is central to the success of our business but is ultimately outside of our control. In light of the rapid spread of information within the Ubiquiti Community and the material influence such community has over product adoption by network operators and service providers, any negative information about us or our products, whether or not justified, could quickly and materially decrease demand for our products and be difficult for us to overcome. If the members of the Ubiquiti Community were to reject our products and solutions or adopt competitors' products on a broad basis, our business, operating results and financial condition would be materially and adversely affected because we would need to incur substantial additional expenses to generate awareness of, and demand for, our products.

We rely on the Ubiquiti Community to provide network operators and service providers with support to install, operate and maintain our products. Any inaccurate information regarding our products that is spread by the Ubiquiti Community or lack of member participation with respect to particular products could lead to a poor user experience or dissatisfaction with our products.

As we offer limited technical support for our products, we rely on the Ubiquiti Community to provide assistance and, in many cases documentation, to network operators and service providers for the installation, operation and maintenance of our products. Because we do not generate or control the information provided through the Ubiquiti Community, inaccurate information regarding the installation, operation and maintenance of our products could be promulgated through forum postings by members of the Ubiquiti Community. Inaccurate information could lead to a poor customer experience or dissatisfaction with our products, which could negatively impact our reputation and disrupt our sales. Although we moderate and review forum postings to learn of reported problems and assess the accuracy of advice provided by the Ubiquiti Community, as our operations continue to grow, we may not have adequate time or resources to adequately monitor the quality of Ubiquiti Community information.

We rely on the Ubiquiti Community to provide our engineers with valuable feedback central to our research and development processes and if the members of the Ubiquiti Community were to stop providing feedback, our internal research and development costs could increase.

We rely on the Ubiquiti Community to provide rapid and substantive feedback on the functionality and effectiveness of our products. The insights, problems and suggestions raised by the Ubiquiti Community enable our engineers to quickly resolve issues with our existing products and improve functionality in subsequent product releases. For example, we developed airSync (part of the airMAX platform) in response to collocation interference issues that were described in forum postings by members of the Ubiquiti Community. If the members of the Ubiquiti Community were to become less engaged or otherwise stopped providing valuable, timely feedback, our internal research and development costs and our time to market would increase, which could cause us to incur additional expenses or make our products less attractive to network operators and service providers.

Our profitability may decline as we expand into new product areas.

We receive a substantial majority of our revenues from the sale of outdoor wireless networking equipment. We have limited experience in selling our products outside of our distribution model. As we expand into new product areas, such as enterprise WLAN, video surveillance equipment, wireless backhaul and machine-to-machine communications, we may not be able to compete effectively with existing market participants and may not be able to realize a positive return on the investment we have made in these products or services. Entering these markets may result in increased product development costs and our new products may have extended time to market relative to our current products. If our introduction of a new product is not successful or we are not able to achieve the revenues or margins we expect, our operating results may be harmed and we may not recover our product development and marketing expenditures. We may also be required to add a direct sales force and customer support personnel to market and support new or existing products, which would require us to accept substantially lower product margins or increase our operating expenses. Adding a direct sales force or customer support personnel could reduce our operating income and may not be successful.

If we fail to maintain an effective system of internal controls, we may not be able to accurately report our financial condition or results of operations which may adversely affect investor confidence in our company and, as a result, the value of our common stock.

Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act ("Section 404") requires our management to furnish a report on, and our independent registered public accounting firm to attest to, the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting. We have implemented an ongoing program to perform the system and process evaluation and testing necessary to comply with these requirements. We have incurred and expect to continue to incur significant expense and to devote significant resources to Section 404 compliance. This assessment must include disclosure of any material weaknesses identified by our management in our internal control over financial reporting. A material weakness is a deficiency or combination of deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of a company's annual and interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Although no material weaknesses have been identified in our internal control over financial reporting, in the event that our management or our independent registered public accounting firm determine that our internal control over financial reporting contains a material weakness as defined under Section 404, investor perceptions of our company may be adversely affected and could cause a decline in the market price of our stock.

Unfavorable tax law changes, an unfavorable government review of our tax returns, changes in our geographic earnings mix, or imposition of withholding taxes on repatriated earnings could adversely affect our effective tax rate and our operating results.

We conduct operations in multiple jurisdictions and therefore our effective tax rate is influenced by the amounts of income and expense attributed to each such jurisdiction. If such amounts were to change so as to increase the amounts of our net income subject to taxation in higher tax jurisdictions, or if we were to commence operations in jurisdictions assessing relatively higher tax rates, our effective tax rate could be adversely affected. Historically, we have earned a significant amount of our operating income from outside the United States in low tax rate jurisdictions. The continued availability of these rates is dependent on how we conduct our business operation across all tax jurisdictions. We are subject to periodic audits or other reviews by tax authorities in the jurisdictions in which we conduct our activities and there is a risk that tax authorities could challenge our assertion that we have conducted our business operations appropriately in order to benefit in these lower tax rate jurisdictions. In addition, there are possible tax proposals that are being considered by the U.S. Congress or the legislative bodies in foreign jurisdictions that could affect our tax rate, the carrying value of deferred tax assets or our other tax liabilities. We cannot predict the form or timing of potential legislative changes, but any newly enacted tax law could have a material adverse impact on our tax provision, net income and cash flows. In the event of an unfavorable outcome, this may result in additional tax liabilities or

other adjustments to our historical results. In addition, we may determine that it is advisable from time to time to repatriate earnings from non-U.S. subsidiaries under circumstances that could give rise to imposition of potentially significant withholding taxes by the jurisdictions in which such amounts were earned and substantial tax liabilities in the United States. In addition, we may not receive the benefit of any offsetting tax credits, which also could adversely impact our effective tax rate. As of December 31, 2013, we held \$285.9 million of our \$305.6 million of cash and cash equivalents in accounts of our subsidiaries outside of the United States and we will incur significant tax liabilities if we were to repatriate those amounts.

Although we believe our tax estimates are reasonable, the ultimate tax outcome may materially differ from the tax amounts recorded in our consolidated financial statements and may materially affect our income tax provision, net income or cash flows in the period or periods for which such determination is made.

The final determination of our income tax liability may be materially different from our income tax provision.

The final determination of our income tax liability may be materially different from our income tax provision. We are subject to income taxes in both the United States and international jurisdictions. Significant judgment is required in determining our worldwide provision for income taxes. In the ordinary course of our business, there are many transactions where the ultimate tax determination is uncertain. Additionally our calculations of income taxes are based on our interpretations of applicable tax laws in the jurisdictions in which we file. Although we believe our tax estimates are appropriate, there is no assurance that the final determination of our income tax liability will not be materially different than what is reflected in our income tax provisions and accruals.

We are also subject to the periodic examination of our income tax returns by the Internal Revenue Service in the United States and other tax authorities. We regularly assess the likelihood of adverse outcomes resulting from these examinations to determine the adequacy of our provision for income taxes. The outcomes from these examinations may have an adverse effect on our operating results and financial condition.

Should additional taxes be assessed as a result of new legislation, an audit or litigation; if our effective tax rate should change as a result of changes in federal, international or state and local tax laws; if we are found to not be in compliance with tax regulations; or if we were to change the locations where we operate, there could be a material effect on our income tax provision and results of operations in the period or periods in which that determination is made, and potentially to future periods as well.

Furthermore, our provision for income tax could increase as we expand our international operations, adopt new products, implement changes to our operating structure or undertake intercompany transactions in light of acquisitions, changing tax laws, expiring rulings, and our current and anticipated business and operational requirements.

Our operating expenses are increasing as we make expenditures to enhance and expand our operations in order to support additional growth in our business and public company reporting and compliance obligations.

Over the past several years, we have increased our expenditure on infrastructure to support our anticipated growth and as a result of our becoming a public company. We are continuing to make significant investments in information systems, hiring more administrative personnel, using more professional services and expanding our operations outside the United States. We intend to make additional investments in systems and personnel and continue to expand our operations to support anticipated growth in our business. In addition, we may determine the need in the future to build a direct sales force to market and sell our products or provide additional resources or cooperative funds to our distributors. Such changes to our existing sales model would likely result in higher selling, general and administrative expenses as a percentage of our revenues. We expect our increased investments to adversely affect operating income. As a result of these factors, we expect our operating expenses to increase.

We have experienced rapid growth in recent periods. If we fail to manage our growth effectively and develop and implement appropriate control systems, our business and financial performance may suffer.

We have substantially expanded our overall business, number of distributors and contract manufacturers, headcount and operations in recent periods. We have made investments in our information systems and significantly expanded our operations outside the United States, including an expansion of our research and development activities in Lithuania and Taiwan. Our expansion has placed, and our expected future growth will continue to place, a significant strain on our managerial, administrative, operational, financial and other resources. Our business model reflects our decision to operate with minimal infrastructure and low support and administrative headcount, so risks related to managing our growth are particularly salient and we may not have sufficient internal resources to adapt or respond to unexpected challenges. As a result of our focus on

managing our rapid growth, we may have not allocated sufficient resources to complying with applicable regulatory and other requirements, such as spectrum operating regulations, export and embargoed countries regulations and the United States Foreign Corrupt Practices Act ("FCPA"), and our development of infrastructure designed to identify and monitor our compliance with these regulatory and other compliance obligations is at an early stage. For example, in February 2011 we hired our first employee charged with complying with spectrum use requirements and we hired a chief counsel in May 2011 and a general counsel in March 2012. Although we have put certain policies and procedures in place in line with the establishment of a chief financial officer position, certain of these policies have been adopted and our procedures have changed and we have limited staff responsible for their implementation and enforcement. For example, we have put in place procedures to verify foreign buyers against U.S. disqualified persons lists and to identify the need for export licenses based on proposed bills of material for new products. Furthermore, our employees who have the most contact with our distributors or who are involved with order entry have attended training regarding export controls sponsored by the BIS. If we are unable to manage our growth successfully, or if our control systems do not operate effectively, our business and operating results will suffer.

A large percentage of our research and development operations are conducted in Taiwan, Lithuania, Illinois, New York, Los Angeles, Russia and Poland, and our ability to introduce new products and support our existing products cost effectively depends on our ability to manage these disparate development sites successfully.

Our success depends on our ability to enhance current products and develop new products rapidly and cost effectively. We currently have a number of our research and development personnel in Taiwan, Lithuania, Illinois, New York, Los Angeles, Russia and Poland. In addition to our corporate headquarters in California, we must successfully allocate product development activities across the various development centers and manage them in such a manner as to meet our time to market windows while maintaining product consistency and quality. We could incur unexpected costs or delays in product development at these remote facilities that could impair our ability to meet market windows or cause us to forego certain new product opportunities.

We rely on third parties for financial and operational services essential to our ability to manage our business. A failure or disruption in these services would materially and adversely affect our ability to manage our business effectively.

We currently use NetSuite to conduct our order management and financial processes. The availability of this service is essential to the management of our business. As we expand our operations, we expect to utilize additional systems and service providers that may also be essential to managing our business. Although the systems and services that we require are typically available from a number of providers, it is time consuming and costly to qualify and implement these relationships. Therefore, our ability to manage our business would suffer if one or more of our providers suffer an interruption in their business, or experience delays, disruptions or quality control problems in their operations, or we have to change or add additional systems and services. We may not be able to control the quality of the systems and services we receive from third party service providers, which could impair our ability to implement appropriate internal controls over financial reporting and may impact our business, operating results and financial condition.

Our debt levels could adversely affect our ability to raise additional capital to fund our operations or limit our ability to react to changes in the economy or our industry.

As of June 30, 2012 we had \$29.6 million of debt related to a term loan with East West Bank. Additionally, in August 2012 we increased the term loan facility to \$50.0 million and entered into a revolving line of credit for up to another \$50.0 million from East West Bank and U.S. Bank under a new loan agreement which replaced our prior loan agreement with East West Bank. As of December 31, 2013, our balance outstanding under these facilities was \$73.8 million.

Our increased debt level could have important consequences, including:

- increasing our vulnerability to general economic and industry conditions;
- requiring a substantial portion of cash flows from operations to be dedicated to the payment of principal and interest on our indebtedness, therefore reducing our ability to use our cash flows to fund our operations, capital expenditures and future business opportunities;
- restricting us from making strategic acquisitions or causing us to make non-strategic divestitures;
- limiting our ability to obtain additional financing for working capital, capital expenditures, debt service requirements, acquisitions and general corporate or other purposes; and
- limiting our ability to adjust to changing market conditions and placing us at a competitive disadvantage compared to our competitors who are less highly leveraged.

We also may be able to incur substantial additional indebtedness in the future. If new indebtedness is added to our current debt levels, the related risks that we now face could intensify.

A significant amount of our cash and cash equivalents are held in accounts of our subsidiaries outside the United States. If we are required to bring cash into the United States to meet our future funding obligations, we would have to pay the attendant high tax rates or seek other available funds.

We have significant operations outside the United States. As of December 31, 2013, we held \$285.9 million of our \$305.6 million of cash and cash equivalents in accounts of our subsidiaries outside of the United States. Although we believe that the combination of our existing United States cash balances and future United States operating cash flows are sufficient to meet our ongoing United States operating expenses and debt repayment obligations, our expenses in the United States could increase faster than expected. If these sources of cash were insufficient to meet our future funding obligations in the United States, we could be required to bring cash into the United States and pay the attendant high tax rates or seek other available funding sources which could negatively impact our operating results, financial condition or stock price.

Failure to comply with the FCPA, and similar laws associated with our activities outside the United States could subject us to penalties and other adverse consequences.

As a substantial majority of our revenues is and will be from jurisdictions outside of the United States, we face significant risks if we fail to comply with the FCPA and other laws that prohibit improper payments or offers of payment to foreign governments and their officials and political parties by us and other business entities for the purpose of obtaining or retaining business, such as the UK Bribery Act. In many foreign countries, particularly in countries with developing economies, which represent our principal markets, it may be a local custom that businesses operating in such countries engage in business practices that are prohibited by the FCPA or other laws and regulations. Although we have implemented a company policy requiring our employees and consultants to comply with the FCPA and similar laws, we have a limited number of employees engaged in sales so we have not conducted formal FCPA compliance training. We have not engaged in training of our distributors and resellers and are in the process of amending our distributor agreements to provide clear requirements for our distributors' and resellers' compliance with U.S. laws, including the FCPA, therefore there can be no assurance that all of our employees, and agents, as well as those companies to which we outsource certain of our business operations, will not take actions in violation of our policies, for which we may be ultimately held responsible. Any violation of FCPA and related policies could result in severe criminal or civil sanctions and suspension or debarment from U.S. government contracting, which could have a material and adverse effect on our reputation, business, operating results and financial condition.

Our products rely on the availability of unlicensed Radio Frequency ("RF") spectrum and if such spectrum were to become unavailable through overuse or licensing, the performance of our products could suffer and our revenues from their sales could decrease.

Our products operate in unlicensed RF spectrum, which is used by a wide range of consumer devices such as cordless phones, baby monitors, and microwave ovens, and is becoming increasingly crowded. If such spectrum usage continues to increase through the proliferation of consumer electronics and products competitive with ours, the resultant higher levels of clutter and interference in the bands of operation our products use could decrease the effectiveness of our products, which could adversely affect our ability to sell our products and our business could be further harmed if currently unlicensed RF spectrum becomes licensed in the United States or elsewhere. Network operators and service providers that use our products may be unable to obtain licenses for RF spectrum at reasonable prices or at all. Even if the unlicensed spectrum remains unlicensed, existing and new government regulations may require we make changes in our products. For example, to provide products for network operators and service providers who utilize unlicensed RF spectrum, we may be required to limit their ability to use our products in licensed RF spectrum. The operation of our products by network operators or service providers in the United States or elsewhere in a manner not in compliance with local law could result in fines, operational disruption, or harm to our reputation.

The complexity of our products could result in unforeseen delays or expenses caused by undetected defects or bugs, which could reduce the market acceptance of our new products, damage our reputation with current or prospective customers and adversely affect our operating costs.

Our products may contain defects and bugs when they are first introduced or as new versions are released. We have focused, and intend to focus in the future, on getting our new products to market quickly. Due to our rapid product introductions, defects and bugs that may be contained in our products may not yet have manifested. We have in the past experienced, and may in the future experience, defects and bugs. For example we announced a recall of our Titanium Rocket products in the quarter ended March 31, 2013. If any of our products contains material defects or bugs, or has reliability, quality or compatibility problems, we may not be able to successfully correct these problems. Consequently, our reputation may be damaged and network operators or service providers may be reluctant to buy our products, which could materially and adversely affect our ability to retain existing network operators or service providers and attract new network operators or service providers. In addition, these

defects or bugs could interrupt or delay sales to our distributors. If any of these problems is not found until after we have commenced commercial production and distribution of a new product, we may be required to incur additional development costs and product recall, repair or replacement costs. These problems may also result in claims against us by our network operators, service providers or others. As a result, our operating costs could be adversely affected.

Confidentiality agreements with employees and others may not adequately prevent disclosure of our trade secrets and other proprietary information.

We have devoted substantial resources to the development of our proprietary technology and trade secrets. In order to protect our proprietary technology and trade secrets, we rely in part on confidentiality agreements with our employees, licensees, independent contractors and other advisors. These agreements may not effectively prevent disclosure of our trade secrets and may not provide an adequate remedy in the event of unauthorized disclosure of our trade secrets. In addition, others may independently discover or obtain trade secrets and proprietary information, and in such cases we could not assert any trade secret rights against such parties. Costly and time consuming litigation could be necessary to determine and enforce the scope of our proprietary rights, and failure to obtain or maintain trade secret protection could adversely affect our competitive business position.

Although we primarily rely on confidentiality agreements to protect our trade secrets, we have failed to obtain such agreements from certain of our employees and third parties due to administrative oversights, including those who participated in the development of certain of our products. Our employment policies require these former employees to continue to protect our trade secrets and to assign to us any intellectual property related to their activities on our behalf. However, we may have difficulty enforcing these rights, which could reduce our competitive differentiation and result in lost sales and customer confusion.

We use open source software in our products that may subject our firmware to general release or require us to re-engineer our products and the firmware contained therein, which may cause harm to our business.

We use open source software in our products, including in connection with our proprietary software, and may use more open source software in the future. From time to time, there have been claims challenging the ownership of open source software against companies that incorporate open source software into their products. As a result, we could be subject to suits by parties claiming ownership of what we believe to be open source software. Some open source licenses contain requirements that we make available source code for modifications or derivative works we create based upon the open source software and that we license such modifications or derivative works under the terms of a particular open source license or other license granting third parties certain rights of further use. If we combine our proprietary firmware or other software with open source software in a certain manner, we could, under certain of the open source licenses, be required to release our proprietary source code publicly or license such source code on unfavorable terms or at no cost. In addition to risks related to license requirements, usage of open source software can lead to greater risks than use of third party commercial software, as open source licensors generally do not provide warranties or controls on origin of the software. Open source license terms relating to the disclosure of source code in modifications or derivative works to the open source software are often ambiguous and few if any courts in jurisdictions applicable to us have interpreted such terms. As a result, many of the risks associated with usage of open source software cannot be eliminated, and could, if not properly addressed, negatively affect our business. We currently disclose or plan to disclose the source code for certain of our proprietary software in an effort to comply with the terms of the licenses applicable to the open source software that we use, and we believe that such disclosure represents the entirety of our source code disclosure obligations under these licenses. However, if we were found to have inappropriately used open source software, we may be required to release our proprietary source code, re-engineer our firmware or other software, discontinue the sale of our products in the event re-engineering cannot be accomplished on a timely basis or take other remedial action that may divert resources away from our development efforts, any of which could adversely increase our expenses and delay our ability to release our products for sale.

Our business is susceptible to risks associated with operations outside of the United States.

As of December 31, 2013, we had international operations in Hong Kong, Taiwan, China, Lithuania, Russia and Poland. We also sell to distributors outside the United States and for the six months ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, our revenues from sales outside the United States were 75% and 77%, respectively. Our operations outside the United States subject us to risks that we have not generally faced in the United States. These include:

- the burdens of complying with a wide variety of U.S. laws applicable to export controls, foreign operations, foreign laws and different legal standards;
- fluctuations in currency exchange rates;

- unexpected changes in foreign regulatory requirements;
- counterfeiting of our products or infringement on our intellectual property rights by third parties;
- difficulties in managing the staffing of remote operations;
- potentially adverse tax consequences, including the complexities of foreign value added tax systems, restrictions on the repatriation of earnings and changes in tax rates;
- dependence on distributors in various countries with different pricing policies, inventory management and forecasting practices;
- reduced or varied protection for intellectual property rights in some countries;
- demand for reliable wireless broadband networks in those countries;
- requirements that we comply with local telecommunication regulations in those countries;
- increased financial accounting and reporting burdens and complexity;
- political, social and economic instability in some jurisdictions; and
- terrorist attacks and security concerns in general.

If any of these risks were to come to fruition, it could negatively affect our business outside the United States and, consequently, our operating results. Additionally, operating in markets outside the United States requires significant management attention and financial resources. We cannot be certain that the investment and additional resources required to establish, acquire or integrate operations in other countries will produce desired levels of revenues or profitability.

Security vulnerabilities in our products, services and systems could lead to reduced revenues and claims against us.

The quality and performance of some of our products and services may depend upon their ability to withstand cyber attacks. Third parties may develop and deploy viruses, worms and other malicious software programs, some of which may be designed to attack our products, systems, or networks. Some of our products and services also involve the storage and transmission of users' and customers' proprietary information which may be the target of cyber attacks. Hardware and software that we produce or procure from third parties also may contain defects in manufacture or design, including bugs and other problems, which could compromise their ability to withstand cyber attacks.

We may have experienced cyber attacks in the past, and may experience cyber attacks in the future. As a result, unauthorized parties may have obtained, and may in the future obtain, access to our systems, data or our users' or customers' data. Our security measures may also be breached due to employee error, malfeasance, or otherwise. Third parties may also attempt to induce employees, users, or customers to disclose sensitive information in order to gain access to our data or our users' or customers' data. Any such breach or unauthorized access could result in significant legal and financial exposure, damage to our reputation, and a loss of confidence in the security of our products and services that could have an adverse effect on our business. Because the techniques used to obtain unauthorized access, disable or degrade service, or sabotage systems change frequently, and often are not recognized until launched against a target, we may be unable to anticipate these techniques or to implement adequate preventative measures.

The costs to us to eliminate or alleviate cyber threats or other security problems, bugs, viruses, worms, malicious software programs and security vulnerabilities can be significant, and our efforts to address these problems may not be successful and could result in interruptions, delays, cessation of service and loss of existing or potential customers that may impede our sales, manufacturing, distribution or other critical functions, as well as potential liability to the company. The risk that these types of events could seriously harm our business is likely to increase as we expand the web-based products and services that we offer. Any such event could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results, and financial condition.

Our contract manufacturers, shipping points and certain administrative and research and development operations are located in areas likely to be subject to natural disasters or other events that could stop us from having our products made or shipped or could result in a substantial delay in our production or development activities.

Our manufacturing capacity may be reduced or eliminated at one or more facilities because our manufacturing, assembly, testing and shipping contractors are all located in southern China, the majority of our products are shipped from China and we have research and development offices in Taiwan, China, Lithuania, Russia, New York, Illinois and California. Our principal executive offices are also located in California. The risk of earthquakes, typhoons and other natural disasters in these geographic areas is significant due to the proximity of major earthquake fault lines. Southern China and Taiwan are also subject to typhoons and other Pacific storms. Earthquakes, fire, flooding or other natural disasters in California, southern China or Taiwan, or political unrest, war, labor strikes, work stoppages or public health crises, in countries where our or our contractors' facilities are located could result in the disruption of our development, manufacturing, assembly, testing or shipping capacity. Any disruption resulting from these events could cause significant delays in product development or shipments of our products

until we are able to shift our development, manufacturing, assembly or testing from the affected contractor to another third party vendor or our research and development activities to another location. We cannot assure you that alternative capacity could be obtained on favorable terms, if at all.

New regulations or changes in existing regulations related to our products may result in unanticipated costs or liabilities, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results, financial condition and future sales, and could place additional burdens on the operations of our business.

Products that involve electromagnetic emissions are subject to regulation in the United States and the other countries in which we do business. In the United States, various federal agencies including the Center for Devices and Radiological Health of the Food and Drug Administration, the Federal Communications Commission, the Occupational Safety and Health Administration and various state agencies have promulgated regulations that concern the use of electromagnetic emissions standards. Member countries of the EU and other countries have enacted similar standards concerning electrical safety and electromagnetic compatibility and emissions standards. If any of our products becomes subject to new regulations or if any of our products becomes specifically regulated by additional government entities, compliance with such regulations could become more burdensome, and we may be unable to ship our products or they may cost substantially more to produce, which would reduce our revenues and increase our cost of revenues.

Government regulations designed to protect consumer privacy may make it difficult for us to sell our products.

Our products may transmit and store personal information. This information is increasingly subject to legislation and regulations in numerous jurisdictions around the world. This government action is typically intended to protect the privacy and security of personal information that is collected, stored and transmitted in or from the governing jurisdiction. In addition, because various foreign jurisdictions have different laws and regulations concerning the storage and transmission of personal information, we may face unknown requirements that pose compliance challenges in new geographic markets that we seek to enter. Such variation could subject us to costs, delayed product launches, liabilities or negative publicity that could impair our ability to expand our operations into some countries and therefore limit our future growth.

As privacy and data protection have become more sensitive issues, we may also become exposed to potential liabilities as a result of differing views on the privacy of personal information. These and other privacy concerns could adversely impact our business, results of operations and financial condition. In addition, our attempts to protect the privacy of customer data may fail if our encryption is inadequate or fails to operate as expected.

We cannot predict our future capital needs and we may not be able to obtain additional financing to fund our operations.

We may need to raise additional funds in the future. Any required additional financing may not be available on terms acceptable to us, or at all. If we raise additional funds by issuing equity securities or convertible debt, investors may experience significant dilution of their ownership interest, and the newly issued securities may have rights senior to those of the holders of our common stock. If we raise additional funds by obtaining loans from third parties, we will incur interest expense and may have to comply with covenants and secure that debt obligation with our assets. If additional financing is not available when required or on acceptable terms, we may have to scale back our operations or limit our production activities. As a result, we may not be able to expand our business, develop or enhance our products, take advantage of business opportunities or respond to competitive pressures, which could result in lower revenues and reduce the competitiveness of our products.

Our existing credit facilities preclude us from entering into additional credit agreements, other than in limited circumstances, and, as a result, we may be required to issue equity securities rather than obtain additional debt financing.

If we are unable to integrate future acquisitions successfully, our operating results and prospects could be harmed.

We have not made any acquisitions to date. In the future, we may make acquisitions to improve or expand our product offerings. Our future acquisition strategy will depend on our ability to identify, negotiate, complete and integrate acquisitions. Mergers and acquisitions are inherently risky and any mergers and acquisitions we complete may not be successful. Any mergers and acquisitions we may pursue would involve numerous risks, including the following:

- difficulties in integrating and managing the operations, technologies and products of the companies we acquire, particularly in light of our lean organizational structure;
- diversion of our management's attention from normal daily operation of our business;
- our inability to maintain the key business relationships and the brand equity of the businesses we acquire;

- our inability to retain key personnel of the acquired company, particularly in light of the demands we place on individual contributors;
- uncertainty of entry into markets in which we have limited or no prior experience and in which competitors have stronger market positions;
- our dependence on unfamiliar affiliates and partners of the companies we acquire;
- insufficient revenues to offset our increased expenses associated with acquisitions;
- our responsibility for the liabilities of the businesses we acquire, including those which we may not anticipate; and
- our inability to maintain internal standards, controls, procedures and policies, particularly in light of our lean organizational structure.

We may be unable to secure the equity or debt funding necessary to finance future acquisitions on terms that are acceptable to us. Completing acquisitions could consume significant amounts of cash. If we finance acquisitions by issuing equity or convertible debt securities, our existing stockholders will likely experience dilution, and if we finance future acquisitions with debt funding, we will incur interest expense and may have to comply with covenants and secure that debt obligation with our assets.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

None.

Item 3. Defaults upon Senior Securities

None.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

None.

Item 5. Other Information

On November 13, 2013, the Company entered into an aircraft lease agreement (the "Aircraft Lease Agreement") with RJP Manageco LLC (the "Lessor"), a limited liability company owned by the Company's CEO, Robert J. Pera. Pursuant to the Aircraft Lease Agreement, the Company may lease an aircraft owned by the Lessor for Company business purposes. Under the Aircraft Lease Agreement, the aircraft may be leased at a rate of \$5,000 per flight hour. This hourly rate does not include the cost of flight crew or on-board services, which the Company will purchase from a third-party provider. As provided by the Audit Committee Charter, the Audit Committee reviewed and approved this lease transaction. The Company believes that the terms under the Aircraft Lease Agreement are no less favorable to the Company than what the Company could have obtained from unaffiliated third parties. Expenses incurred will be included in the Company's sales, general and administrative expenses under its Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Item 6. Exhibits

<u>Exhibit Number</u>		<u>Incorporated by Reference from Form</u>	<u>Incorporated by Reference from Exhibit Number</u>	<u>Date Filed</u>
10.1	Aircraft Lease Agreement between Ubiquiti Networks, Inc. and RJP Manageco LLC, dated November 13, 2013.			
31.1	Certification of Principal Executive Officer Required Under Rule 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.			
31.2	Certification of Principal Financial Officer Required Under Rule 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.			
32.1	Certification of Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer Required Under Rule 13a-14(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and 18 U.S.C. §1350.			
101.INS(1)	XBRL Instance Document			
101.SCH(1)	XBRL Taxonomy Schema Linkbase Document			
101.CAL(1)	XBRL Taxonomy Calculation Linkbase Document			
101.DEF(1)	XBRL Taxonomy Definition Linkbase Document			
101.LAB(1)	XBRL Taxonomy Labels Linkbase Document			
101.PRE(1)	XBRL Taxonomy Presentation Linkbase Document			

(1) In accordance with Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, the information in these exhibits is furnished and deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, is deemed not filed for purposes of section 18 of the Exchange Act of 1934, and otherwise is not subject to liability under these sections.

Signatures

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

UBIQUITI NETWORKS, INC.

Dated: February 6, 2014

By: /s/ Robert J. Pera
Robert J. Pera

*Chief Executive Officer and Director
(Principal Executive Officer)*

Dated: February 6, 2014

By: /s/ Craig L. Foster
Craig L. Foster

*Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer)*

Exhibit Index

<u>Exhibit Number</u>		<u>Incorporated by Reference from Form</u>	<u>Incorporated by Reference from Exhibit Number</u>	<u>Date Filed</u>
10.1	Aircraft Lease Agreement between Ubiquiti Networks, Inc. and RJP Manageco LLC, dated November 13, 2013.			
31.1	Certification of Principal Executive Officer Required Under Rule 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.			
31.2	Certification of Principal Financial Officer Required Under Rule 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.			
32.1	Certification of Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer Required Under Rule 13a-14(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and 18 U.S.C. §1350.			
101.INS(1)	XBRL Instance Document			
101.SCH(1)	XBRL Taxonomy Schema Linkbase Document			
101.CAL(1)	XBRL Taxonomy Calculation Linkbase Document			
101.DEF(1)	XBRL Taxonomy Definition Linkbase Document			
101.LAB(1)	XBRL Taxonomy Labels Linkbase Document			
101.PRE(1)	XBRL Taxonomy Presentation Linkbase Document			

(1) In accordance with Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, the information in these exhibits is furnished and deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, is deemed not filed for purposes of section 18 of the Exchange Act of 1934, and otherwise is not subject to liability under these sections.

AIRCRAFT LEASE AGREEMENT
(Non-Exclusive)

Dated as of the 13th day of November, 2013

between

RJP Manageco, LLC,

as Lessor,

and

Ubiquiti Networks, Inc.

as Lessee,

concerning one Bombardier, Inc. CL600-2B16, aircraft
bearing U.S. registration number N775RP,

and

Manufacturer's Serial Number 5821

* * *

*Carry a copy of this Aircraft Lease Agreement in the aircraft at all times.
(See Instructions for Truth In Leasing Compliance on Exhibit "E" hereto)*

* * *

This **AIRCRAFT LEASE AGREEMENT (Non-Exclusive)** (the "Agreement"), is entered into as of this 13th day of November 2013 (the "Effective Date"), by and between RJP Manageco, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company ("Lessor") and Ubiquiti Networks, Inc., a Delaware corporation ("Lessee").

RECITALS

WHEREAS, Lessor holds title to, and possession of, the Aircraft described and referred to herein; and,

WHEREAS, Lessee desires to lease from Lessor, and Lessor desires to lease to Lessee, the Aircraft, without crew, upon and subject to the terms and conditions of this Agreement.

WHEREAS, Lessee intends to operate the Aircraft under Part 91 of the FAR; and

WHEREAS, during the term of this Agreement, the Aircraft may be subject to concurrent dry leases with one (1) or more parties.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual promises herein contained and other good and valid consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged, the Parties agree as follows:

SECTION 1. DEFINITIONS

1.1 The following terms shall have the following meanings for all purposes of this Agreement:

"Aircraft" means the Airframe, the Engines, and the Aircraft Documents. Such Engines shall be deemed part of the "Aircraft" whether or not from time to time attached to the Airframe or on the ground.

"Aircraft Delivery Receipt" means an Aircraft Delivery Receipt in the form of Exhibit C attached hereto.

"Aircraft Documents" means all flight records, maintenance records, historical records, modification records, overhaul records, manuals, logbooks, authorizations, drawings and data relating to the Airframe, any Engine, or any Part, that have been provided to Lessee by Lessor, or are required by Applicable Law to be created or maintained with respect to the maintenance and/or operation of the Aircraft.

"Airframe" means the aircraft specified in Exhibit A, together with any and all Parts (including, but not limited to, landing gear and auxiliary power units but excluding Engines or engines) so long as such Parts shall be either incorporated or installed in or attached to the Airframe.

"Applicable Law" means, without limitation, all applicable laws, treaties, international agreements, decisions and orders of any court, arbitration or governmental agency or authority and rules, regulations, orders, directives, licenses and permits of any governmental body, instrumentality, agency or authority, including, without limitation, the FAR and 49 U.S.C. § 41101, *et seq.*, as amended.

"Business Day" means any day of the year in which banks are not authorized or required to close in the State of California.

"Commercial Transportation Tax" means the federal excise tax imposed under Internal Revenue Code Section 4261.

"Engines" means the engine(s) specified in Exhibit A, together with any and all Parts so long as the same shall be either incorporated or installed in or attached to such Engine. An Engine shall remain leased hereunder whether or not from time to time attached to the Airframe or on the ground.

"Event of Loss" shall mean any of the following events with respect to any property:

- (i) loss of such property or of the use thereof due to theft or disappearance (with loss being conclusive following 30 days or such other period specified in applicable insurance), destruction, damage beyond economic repair or rendition of such property permanently unfit for normal use for any reason;
- (ii) any damage to such property which results in an insurance settlement with respect to such property on the basis of an actual, constructive or compromised total loss; or
- (iii) the condemnation, confiscation or seizure of, or requisition of title to or use of, such property by private persons or by any governmental or purported governmental authority.

"FAA" means the Federal Aviation Administration or any successor agency.

"FAR" means collectively the Aeronautics Regulations of the Federal Aviation Administration and the Department of Transportation, as codified at Title 14, Parts 1 to 399 of the United States Code of Federal Regulations.

"Flight Hour" means each flight hour of use of the Aircraft by Lessee, as recorded on the Aircraft hour meter, or similar tracking device.

"Lien" means any mortgage, security interest, lease or other charge or encumbrance or claim or right of others, including, without limitation, rights of others under any airframe or engine interchange or pooling agreement.

"Operating Base" means that airport described in Exhibit A.

"Operational Control" has the same meaning given the term in Section 1.1 of the FAR.

"Parts" means all appliances, components, parts, instruments, appurtenances, accessories, furnishings or other equipment of whatever nature (other than complete Engines or engines) which may from time to time be incorporated or installed in or attached to the Airframe or any Engine and includes replacement parts.

"Pilot in Command" has the same meaning given the term in Section 1.1 of the FAR.

"Rent" means the Hourly Rent as set forth in Section 3.3, and on Exhibit B attached hereto.

"Rent Payment Date" means the payments dates as outlined in Exhibit B.

"Taxes" means all taxes, fees and assessments due, imposed, assessed or levied against the Aircraft (or purchase, ownership, delivery, leasing, possession, use or operation thereof), this Agreement (or any rents or receipts hereunder), Lessor or Lessee, by any domestic or foreign governmental entity or taxing authority during or related to the term of this Agreement, including, without limitation, all

license and registration fees, and all sales, use, personal property, excise, gross receipts, franchise, stamp, value added, custom duties, landing fees, airport charges, navigation service charges, route navigation charges or other taxes, imposts, duties and charges, together with any penalties, fines or interest thereon.

"**Term** " means the term of this Agreement set forth in Section 3.1.

SECTION 2. LEASE AND DELIVERY OF THE AIRCRAFT

- 2.1 **Lease** . Lessor agrees to lease to Lessee, and Lessee agrees to lease from Lessor, the Aircraft, on the terms and conditions of this Agreement.
1. **Delivery** . The Aircraft shall be delivered to Lessee on a mutually agreed date (the "Delivery Date") at the Operating Base and "AS IS," "WHERE IS," AND SUBJECT TO EACH AND EVERY DISCLAIMER OF WARRANTY AND REPRESENTATION AS SET FORTH IN SECTION 4 HEREOF. Lessor shall not be liable for delay or failure to furnish the Aircraft pursuant to this Agreement when such failure is caused by government regulation or authority, mechanical difficulty, war, civil commotion, acts of terrorism, strikes or labor disputes, weather conditions, or acts of God.
- 2.3 **Non-Exclusivity**. Lessee and Lessor acknowledge that the Aircraft is leased to Lessee on a non-exclusive basis, and that the Aircraft may be otherwise subject to lease to others during the Term, subject to reasonable notice. During any period which another lessee of Lessor or any other person or entity leasing an interest in the Aircraft has scheduled use of the Aircraft, Lessee's leasehold rights to possession of the Aircraft under this Agreement shall temporarily abate, but all other provisions of this Agreement shall nevertheless continue in full force and effect.

SECTION 3. TERM, SCHEDULING, AND RENT

- 3.1 **Term** . This Agreement shall have a term of twelve (12) months from the Effective Date and shall continue thereafter on an annual basis unless terminated by Lessor or Lessee as provided herein. Either Lessor or Lessee may terminate this Lease at any time upon delivery of thirty (30) days prior written notice of termination to the other party.
- 3.2 **Scheduling** . Lessee's use of the Aircraft during the Term of this Lease is non-exclusive. The parties agree as follows:
- (i) Use by Other Parties . Lessor and Lessee agree that Lessor may Lease the Aircraft to one or more other lessees during the Term on a non-exclusive basis.
 - (ii) Minimum Usage by Lessee . Nothing contained herein shall obligate Lessee to any minimum usage of the Aircraft, it being understood and agreed that Lessee's usage shall be on an "as-needed" basis.
- 3.3 **Rent** . Lessee shall pay rent in an amount equal to the Hourly Rent, specified in Exhibit B attached hereto for each Flight Hour of use by Lessee, which amount may be amended in writing by mutual agreement of the parties from time to time. All rent shall be paid to the Lessor in immediately available U.S. funds and in form and manner as the Lessor in its sole discretion may instruct Lessee from time to time.
-

SECTION 4. DISCLAIMER OF WARRANTIES

- 4.1 **“As Is” Condition** . THE AIRCRAFT IS BEING LEASED BY THE LESSOR TO THE LESSEE HEREUNDER ON A COMPLETELY "AS IS," "WHERE IS," BASIS, WHICH IS HEREBY ACKNOWLEDGED AND AGREED TO BY THE LESSEE.
- 4.2 **No Lessor Representations** . LESSOR HAS NOT MADE AND SHALL NOT BE CONSIDERED OR DEEMED TO HAVE MADE (WHETHER BY VIRTUE OF HAVING LEASED THE AIRCRAFT UNDER THIS AGREEMENT, OR HAVING ACQUIRED THE AIRCRAFT, OR HAVING DONE OR FAILED TO DO ANY ACT, OR HAVING ACQUIRED OR FAILED TO ACQUIRE ANY STATUS UNDER OR IN RELATION TO THIS AGREEMENT OR OTHERWISE), ANY REPRESENTATION OR WARRANTY OF ANY KIND WHATSOEVER, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, WITH RESPECT TO THE AIRCRAFT OR TO ANY PART THEREOF.
- 4.3 **Lessor’s Disclaimers** . LESSOR SPECIFICALLY DISCLAIMS ANY REPRESENTATION OR WARRANTY WHATSOEVER, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING ANY IMPLIED WARRANTY ARISING FROM A COURSE OF PERFORMANCE OR DEALING OR USAGE OF TRADE, WITH RESPECT TO THE AIRCRAFT OR ANY PART THEREOF, INCLUDING AS TO THE TITLE, AIRWORTHINESS, VALUE, CONDITION, DESIGN, MERCHANTABILITY, COMPLIANCE WITH SPECIFICATIONS, CONSTRUCTION, CONDITION OF THE AIRCRAFT, OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE OF THE AIRCRAFT. FURTHER, LESSOR DISCLAIMS AS TO THE ABSENCE OF LATENT AND OTHER DEFECTS, WHETHER OR NOT DISCOVERABLE, AS TO THE ABSENCE OF ANY INFRINGEMENT OR THE LIKE HEREUNDER OF ANY PATENT, TRADEMARK, OR COPYRIGHT, AS TO THE ABSENCE OF OBLIGATIONS BASED ON STRICT LIABILITY IN TORT, OR AS TO THE QUALITY OF THE MATERIAL OR WORKMANSHIP OF THE AIRCRAFT OR ANY PART THEREOF.
- 4.4 **Lessee’s Waiver and Release** . THE LESSEE HEREBY WAIVES, RELEASES, DISCLAIMS AND RENOUNCES ALL EXPECTATION OF OR RELIANCE UPON ANY SUCH WARRANTIES, OBLIGATIONS, OR LIABILITIES OF LESSOR AND RIGHTS, CLAIMS AND REMEDIES OF THE LESSEE AGAINST LESSOR, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, ARISING BY LAW OR OTHERWISE, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO (A) ANY IMPLIED WARRANTY OF MERCHANTABILITY OF FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR USE OR PURPOSE, (B) ANY IMPLIED WARRANTY ARISING FROM COURSE OF PERFORMANCE, COURSE OF DEALING, OR USAGE OF TRADE, (C) ANY OBLIGATION, LIABILITY, RIGHT, CLAIM, OR REMEDY IN TORT, WHETHER OR NOT ARISING FROM THE NEGLIGENCE OF LESSOR, ACTUAL OR IMPUTED, AND (D) ANY OBLIGATION, LIABILITY, RIGHT, CLAIM OR REMEDY FOR LOSS OF OR DAMAGE TO THE AIRCRAFT, FOR LOSS OF USE, REVENUE OR PROFIT WITH RESPECT TO THE AIRCRAFT, OR FOR ANY OTHER DIRECT, INCIDENTAL, OR CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES.

SECTION 5. REGISTRATION, USE, OPERATION, MAINTENANCE AND POSSESSION

- 5.1 **Title and Registration; Subordination** . Lessor has title to the Aircraft. Lessee acknowledges that title to the Aircraft shall remain vested in Lessor and Lessee undertakes, to the extent permitted by Applicable Law, to do all such further acts, deeds, assurances, or things as may, (i) in the reasonable opinion of the Lessor, be necessary or desirable in order to protect or preserve title to the Aircraft and (ii) in the reasonable opinion of the Lessor, be necessary or desirable in order to protect or preserve Lessor’s rights in the Aircraft or hereunder. Notwithstanding anything in this Agreement to the
-

contrary, any rights Lessee may have in or to the Aircraft by virtue of this Agreement, including Lessee's rights to possession and use of the Aircraft, are in all respects subordinate, junior, and subject to Lessor's rights and interests. To the extent requested by Lessor, its successors or assigns, Lessee shall take all action necessary to continue all right, title and interest of Lessor, its successors or assigns in the Aircraft under Applicable Law.

- 5.2 **Use and Operation of the Aircraft** . Except as otherwise expressly provided herein, Lessor shall be solely and exclusively responsible for the use, operation and control of the Aircraft during the Term of this Agreement. Lessee (i) shall operate the Aircraft in accordance with the provisions of Part 91 of the FAR, (ii) shall not operate the Aircraft in commercial service, as a common carrier, or otherwise on a compensatory or "for hire" basis except to the limited extent permitted under Subpart F of Part 91 of the FAR, if applicable, (iii) shall not operate or locate the Airframe or any Engine, or suffer the Airframe or any Engine to be operated or located, in any area excluded from coverage by any insurance policy in effect or required to be maintained hereunder with respect to the Airframe or Engines, or in any war zone, (iv) shall not operate the Airframe or any Engine or permit the Airframe or any Engine to be operated during the Term except in operations for which Lessee is duly authorized, or use or permit the Aircraft to be used for a purpose for which the Aircraft is not designed or reasonably suitable, (v) shall not permit the Airframe or any Engine to be maintained, used or operated during the Term in violation of any Applicable Law, or contrary to any manufacturer's operating manuals or instructions, and (vi) shall not knowingly permit the Aircraft to be used for the carriage of any persons or property prohibited by law, nor knowingly permit the Aircraft to be used during the existence of any known defect except in accordance with the FAR.
- 5.3 **Lessee to Pay All Operating Costs** . Lessee shall arrange for and pay all operating costs associated with Lessee's use of the Aircraft incurred during the Term, including, without limitation, costs of pilots, cabin personnel, mechanics, and other ground support personnel (the foregoing collectively, the "Flight Crew"); landing and navigation fees; airport charges; passenger service and any and all other expenses of any kind or nature, arising directly or indirectly in connection with, or related to the use, movement or operation of the Aircraft by Lessee during the Term. Lessee's obligation hereunder shall not include fuel, oil, or lubricants. The obligations of Lessee under this provision shall survive the end of the Term.
- 5.4 **Maintenance of Aircraft** . Lessor agrees to maintain and to deliver the Aircraft to Lessee in a good and airworthy operating condition and in compliance with applicable maintenance standards and practices. Lessee shall thereafter be solely responsible for any repairs or maintenance of the Aircraft that shall be required during the term of this Agreement associated with Lessee's use, movement or operation of the Aircraft. The term "repairs" shall include all necessary service, repairs, tests, and maintenance of the Aircraft as appropriate to maintain the Aircraft in accordance with Applicable Law. During its operation of the Aircraft, Lessee shall maintain or cause to be maintained all Aircraft Documents required by the FAA, the Airframe manufacturer, the Engine manufacturer, and the manufacturers of component Parts, and said Aircraft Documents shall be maintained in a current, accurate, and complete manner and shall be available at all reasonable times for examination and inspection by Lessor. Lessee also agrees to use its best efforts promptly to furnish Lessor such information with respect to its use of the Aircraft as shall be required to enable Lessor to maintain all Aircraft Documents, and to file all reports required by any government authority relating to Lessor's interest in the Aircraft. Lessor shall have no expense or liability for repair or maintenance delays and shall not be liable to Lessee for any damage from loss of profit or loss of use of Aircraft, either before or after delivery of Aircraft to Lessee.
-

- 5.5 **Flight Crew** . Lessee shall locate and retain (either through direct employment or contracting with an independent contractor for flight services) a properly qualified Flight Crew. All members of the Flight Crew shall be fully competent and experienced, duly licensed, and qualified in accordance with the requirements of Applicable Law and all insurance policies covering the Aircraft. All members of the Flight Crew who are pilots shall be fully trained in accordance with an FAA-approved training program, including initial and recurrent training and, where appropriate, contractor-provided simulator training.
- 5.6 **Operational Control.** THE PARTIES EXPRESSLY AGREE THAT LESSEE SHALL AT ALL TIMES WHILE OPERATING THE AIRCRAFT DURING THE TERM MAINTAIN OPERATIONAL CONTROL OF THE AIRCRAFT, AND THAT THE INTENT OF THE PARTIES IS THAT THIS AGREEMENT CONSTITUTE A "DRY" OPERATING LEASE. Lessee shall exercise exclusive authority over initiating, conducting, or terminating any flight conducted pursuant to this Agreement, and the Flight Crew shall be under the exclusive command and control of Lessee in all phases of such flights.
- 5.7 **Authority of Pilot in Command.** Notwithstanding that Lessee shall have operational control of the Aircraft during any flight conducted pursuant to this Agreement, Lessor and Lessee expressly agree that the Pilot in Command, in his or her sole discretion, may terminate any flight, refuse to commence any flight, or take any other flight-related action which in the judgment of the Pilot in Command is necessitated by considerations of safety. The Pilot in Command shall have final and complete authority to postpone or cancel any flight for any reason or condition, which in his or her judgment would compromise the safety of the flight. No such action of the Pilot in Command shall create or support any liability for loss, injury, damage or delay to Lessor.
- 5.8 **Right to Inspect** . Lessor and its agents shall have the right to inspect the Aircraft or the Aircraft Documents at any reasonable time, upon giving Lessee reasonable notice, to ascertain the condition of the Aircraft and to satisfy Lessor that the Aircraft is being properly repaired and maintained in accordance with the requirements of this Agreement. All required repairs shall be performed as soon as practicable after such inspection.
- 5.9 **Aircraft Documents** . Lessee shall, at its expense, for all periods during which Lessee is operating the Aircraft, maintain and preserve, or cause to be maintained and preserved, in the English language, all Aircraft Documents in a complete, accurate, and up-to-date manner.

SECTION 6. CONDITION DURING TERM AND RETURN OF AIRCRAFT

- 6.1 **Return** . Upon Lessee's return of the Aircraft to Lessor from time to time during the Term, or upon the date of termination of this Agreement, Lessee shall return the Aircraft to the Lessor by delivering the same, at the Lessee's own risk and expense, to the Operating Base, fully equipped with all Engines installed thereon. The Aircraft at the time of its return shall be in the condition set forth in this Section 6 and shall be free and clear of all Liens.
- 6.2 **Condition of Aircraft** . The Aircraft at the time of its return to Lessor shall have been maintained and repaired in accordance with the provisions of this Agreement with the same care and consideration for the technical condition of the Aircraft as if it were to have been kept in continued regular service by the Lessee, and shall meet the following requirements:
- (a) **Operating Condition** . The Aircraft shall be in as good operating condition as on the Delivery Date, ordinary wear and tear excepted.
-

- (b) Cleanliness Standards. The Aircraft shall be clean and free of debris and any personal property of the Lessee.
- (c) Certificate of Airworthiness. The Aircraft shall have, and be in compliance with, a current valid certificate of airworthiness issued by the FAA, and shall be airworthy according to manufacturer's specifications and FAA regulations.

6.3 **Aircraft Documents**. Lessee shall deliver, or cause to be delivered to Lessor, at the time the Aircraft is returned to Lessor, all of the Aircraft Documents, updated and maintained by Lessee, or on behalf of Lessee, through the date of return of the Aircraft.

SECTION 7. LIENS

7.1 Lessee shall ensure that no Liens are created or placed against the Aircraft by Lessee or third parties as a result of Lessee's actions. Lessee shall notify Lessor promptly upon learning of any Liens not permitted by these terms. Lessee shall, at its own cost and expense, take all such actions as may be necessary to discharge and satisfy in full any such Lien promptly after the same becomes known to it. Lessee shall pay all charges related to the Aircraft as they become due and payable.

SECTION 8. INSURANCE

- 8.1 **Liability; Lessee as Additional Insured.** Lessor shall maintain, or cause to be maintained, bodily injury and property damage, liability insurance in an amount no less than the amount outlined on Exhibit "A," and with the coverage described on Exhibit "D" attached hereto. Combined Single Limit for the benefit of itself, Lessee, and Lessor, in connection with the use of the Aircraft. Said policy shall be an occurrence policy, naming Lessee, and its Officers, Directors, employees, agents, and guests as an Additional Named Insured.
- 8.2 **Hull.** Lessor shall maintain, or cause to be maintained, all risks aircraft hull insurance in an amount equal to the fair market value of the Aircraft, which the parties agree is not less than the amount outlined on Exhibit "A," and with the coverage described on Exhibit "D" attached hereto, and such insurance shall name Lessor and Lessee as loss payees as their interests may appear.
- 8.3 **Insurance Certificates.** Lessor will provide Lessee with a Certificate of Insurance upon execution of this Agreement.

SECTION 9. DEFAULTS AND REMEDIES

- 1. Upon the occurrence of any failure of Lessee to duly observe or perform any of its obligations hereunder and at any time thereafter so long as the same shall be continuing, the Lessor may, at its option, declare in writing to the Lessee that this Agreement is in default; and at any time thereafter, so long as the Lessee shall not have remedied the outstanding default within five (5) days of such written notice, the Lessor may cancel, terminate, or rescind this Agreement.

SECTION 10. NOTICES

- 10.1 All communications, declarations, demands, consents, directions, approvals, instructions, requests and notices required or permitted by this Agreement shall be in writing and shall be deemed to have been duly given or made when delivered personally or transmitted electronically by e-mail or facsimile, receipt acknowledged, or in the case of documented overnight delivery service or registered
-

or certified mail, return receipt requested, delivery charge or postage prepaid, on the date shown on the receipt thereof, in each case at the address set forth below:

If to Lessor: RJP Manageco, LLC Tel (415)-321-1243
c/o Eichstaedt Fax: (415)-362-2434
200 S. Virginia 8th Floor
Reno, Nevada 89501
Attn: Jill Lervold

If to Lessee: Ubiquiti Networks, Inc. Tel: (408)-942-3085
2580 Orchard Parkway Fax: (408)-890-4729
San Jose, California 95131
Attn: Craig L. Foster

SECTION 11. RISK OF DAMAGE OR LOSS

1. **Risk of Loss.** At all times during Lessee's operation of the Aircraft during the Term, Lessee shall bear the risk of (i) damage to the Aircraft, or (ii) an Event of Loss to the Aircraft, to the extent such damage or Event of Loss arises from Lessee's use, operation, or storage of the Aircraft. Notwithstanding the foregoing, Lessee shall not bear the risk of damage to the Aircraft, or an Event of Loss to the Aircraft, which arises out of Lessor's failure to maintain the Aircraft, or otherwise provide the Aircraft to Lessee in the condition required under this Agreement. In the event of damage to the Aircraft, or an Event of Loss to the Aircraft, Lessee shall immediately (i) report the damage and/or Event of Loss to Lessor, the insurance company or companies, and to any and all applicable governmental agencies, and (ii) furnish such information and execute such documents as may be required and necessary to collect the proceeds from any insurance policies.

- 11.2 **Limitation of Liability.** Each party to this Agreement agrees to defend, indemnify, and hold harmless the other party and its respective officers, directors, members, managers, partners, employees, shareholders, and affiliates from any claim, damage, loss, or reasonable expense, including any insurance deductible, reasonable attorney's fees resulting from the bodily injury or property damage caused by an occurrence and arising out of the ownership, maintenance, or use of the Aircraft which results from the gross negligence or willful misconduct of such party, provided that neither party shall be liable for any such loss to the extent: (a) such loss is covered through the payment of insurance proceeds from the insurance policies described in Section 8 , (b) such loss is covered by such policies, but the amount of such loss exceeds the policy limits; or (c) such loss consists of expenses incurred in connection with any loss covered, in whole or in part, by the insurance policies in effect, but such expenses are not payable under the insurance policies in effect, excluding any insurance deductibles.

EACH PARTY AGREES THAT (A) THE PROCEEDS OF INSURANCE TO WHICH IT IS ENTITLED, (B) ITS RIGHTS TO INDEMNIFICATION FROM THE OTHER PARTY UNDER THIS SECTION, AND (C) ITS RIGHT TO COLLECT DAMAGES ARISING IN CONTRACT FROM A MATERIAL BREACH OF THE OTHER PARTY'S OBLIGATIONS UNDER THIS AGREEMENT ARE THE SOLE REMEDIES FOR ANY DAMAGE, LOSS OR EXPENSE ARISING OUT OF OR IN CONNECTION WITH THIS AGREEMENT. EXCEPT AS SET FORTH IN THIS SECTION , EACH PARTY WAIVES ANY RIGHT TO RECOVER ANY DAMAGE, LOSS OR EXPENSE ARISING OUT OF OR IN CONNECTION WITH THIS AGREEMENT. IN NO EVENT SHALL EITHER PARTY BE LIABLE FOR OR HAVE ANY DUTY FOR INDEMNIFICATION OR CONTRIBUTION TO THE OTHER PARTY FOR ANY CLAIMED

INDIRECT, SPECIAL, CONSEQUENTIAL, OR PUNITIVE DAMAGES, OR FOR ANY DAMAGES CONSISTING OF DAMAGES FOR LOSS OF USE OR DIMINUTION IN VALUE OF THE AIRCRAFT, OR LOSS OF PROFIT.

SECTION 12. TAXES

- 12.1 Lessee shall report and pay promptly all Taxes arising from Lessee's lease of the Aircraft under this Agreement. Lessee shall promptly reimburse (on an after tax basis) Lessor for any Taxes charged to or assessed against Lessor. Lessee shall show Lessor as the Lessor of the Aircraft on all tax reports or returns, and send Lessor a copy of each report or return and evidence of Lessee's payment of Taxes upon request.
- 12.2 If any taxing authority requires that a tax required to be paid by Lessee hereunder be paid to the taxing authority directly by Lessor, including the Commercial Transportation Tax, Lessee shall, within thirty (30) days of his receipt of written notice from Lessor, pay to Lessor the amount of such tax, unless such tax is being contested pursuant to Section 12.3.
- 12.3 Lessor hereby acknowledges and agrees that Lessee may, from time to time, pursue refunds of any Taxes that Lessee is required to pay, to or on behalf of Lessor or otherwise, hereunder. Lessor agrees to fully cooperate in the process of obtaining such refunds, which may require the submission of claims for refund by Lessor in Lessor's own name. Upon receipt of any such refund, Lessor agrees to immediately pay the amount of such refund to Lessee. In connection with any such refund pursuit by Lessee under the circumstances described above, (i) Lessor shall not be required to take any action pursuant thereto unless Lessor, in its sole discretion, determines there exists a reasonable basis in law and/or fact so to do, and (ii) in any event, Lessee hereby agrees to indemnify Lessor for any liability or loss which Lessor may incur as a result of or in any way relating to such contest or related proceeding and agrees to pay Lessor on demand all costs and expenses, including attorneys' fees, incurred by or on behalf of Lessor in connection with such contest/pursuit.
- 12.4 Notwithstanding the foregoing, the term "Taxes" shall not include Taxes to the extent they are (1) taxes imposed by the United States of America or any state or political subdivision thereof which are on or measured by the gross or net income of Lessor; (2) in the nature of franchise or conduct or business taxes imposed on Lessor; (3) the result of Lessor's own bankruptcy or any act on the part of Lessor in contravention of the provisions of this Lease or any failure of Lessor to observe the provisions of this Lease; (4) imposed as a result of any voluntary sale, assignment, transfer, or other disposition by Lessor of any interest in the Aircraft, unless such transfer or disposition occurs during the existence of default under this Agreement, in which case, Lessor agrees to use commercially reasonable efforts to minimize any adverse tax consequences related to such disposition; (5) so long as no default under this Agreement shall have occurred and be continuing, any personal property taxes imposed with respect to the Aircraft; (6) imposed solely as a result of a transaction which is unrelated to the transactions contemplated under this Agreement; (7) interest or penalties resulting from Lessor's failure to file timely and proper tax returns unless such failure is a result of Lessee's failure to provide Lessor in a timely manner with the information needed to pay such Taxes; or (8) a result of the willful misconduct or gross negligence of Lessor.
- 12.5 For purposes of this Section 12, all references to "Lessor" shall be deemed to include any assignee of Lessor.
- 12.6 Lessee shall also be liable for and shall pay any and all fees for licenses, registrations, permits, and other certificates as may be required for the lawful operation of the Aircraft and as applicable to the
-

lease operations. Lessee shall also pay any and all liabilities, fines, forfeitures, or penalties for violations of any applicable governmental regulations relating to the lease operations and reimburse Lessor for any amounts expended by Lessor on account of such violations except as otherwise set forth herein.

- 12.7 Lessee hereby agrees to reimburse Lessor for any amount paid by Lessor on behalf of Lessee or otherwise for any of Lessee's obligations under this Section 12 within thirty (30) days after Lessee's receipt of a written demand for such reimbursement from Lessor together with supporting invoices relating to such payments.

SECTION 13. MISCELLANEOUS

- 13.1 **Entire Agreement.** This Agreement, and all terms, conditions, warranties, and representations herein, are for the sole and exclusive benefit of the signatories hereto. This Agreement constitutes the entire agreement of the parties as of its Effective Date and supersedes all prior or independent, oral or written agreements, understandings, statements, representations, commitments, promises, and warranties made with respect to the subject matter of this Agreement.
- 13.2 **Other Transactions.** Except as specifically provided in this Agreement, none of the provisions of this Agreement, nor any oral or written statements, representations, commitments, promises, or warranties made with respect to the subject matter of this Agreement shall be construed or relied upon by any party as the basis of, consideration for, or inducement to engage in, any separate agreement, transaction or commitment for any purpose whatsoever.
- 13.3 **Prohibited and Unenforceable Provisions.** Any provision of this Agreement, which is prohibited or unenforceable in any jurisdiction shall, as to such jurisdiction, be ineffective to the extent of such prohibition or unenforceability without invalidating the remaining provisions hereof, and any such prohibitions or unenforceability in any jurisdiction. To the extent permitted by applicable law, each of Lessor and Lessee hereby waives any provision of applicable law which renders any provision hereof prohibited or unenforceable in any respect.
- 13.4 **Enforcement.** This Agreement, including all agreements, covenants, representations and warranties, shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of, and may be enforced by Lessor, Lessee, and each of their agents, servants and personal representatives.
- 13.5 **Headings.** The section and subsection headings in this Agreement are for convenience of reference only and shall not modify, define, expand, or limit any of the terms or provisions hereof.
- 13.6 **Counterparts.** This Agreement may be executed by the parties hereto in separate counterparts, each of which when so executed and delivered shall be an original, but all such counterparts shall together constitute but one and the same instrument.
- 13.7 **Amendments.** No term or provision of this Agreement may be amended, changed, waived, discharged, or terminated orally, but only by an instrument in writing signed by the party against which the enforcement of the change, waiver, discharge, or termination is sought.
- 13.8 **No Waiver.** No delay or omission in the exercise or enforcement or any right or remedy hereunder by either party shall be construed as a waiver of such right or remedy. All remedies, rights, undertakings, obligations, and agreements contained herein shall be cumulative and not mutually exclusive, and in addition to all other rights and remedies which either party possesses at law or in equity.
-

13.9 **No Assignments.** Neither party may assign its rights or obligations under this Agreement without the prior written permission of the other.

13.10 **Governing Law.** This Agreement shall in all respects be governed by, and construed in accordance with, the laws of the state designated in Exhibit A, including all matters of construction, validity and performance, without giving effect to its conflict of laws provisions.

13.11 **Truth In Leasing.**

THE AIRCRAFT, A BOMBARDIER, INC. CL600-2B16 AIRCRAFT, MANUFACTURER'S SERIAL NO. 5821, CURRENTLY REGISTERED WITH THE FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION AS N775RP, HAS BEEN MAINTAINED AND INSPECTED UNDER FAR PART 91 DURING THE 12 MONTH PERIOD PRECEDING THE DATE OF THIS LEASE.

THE AIRCRAFT WILL BE MAINTAINED AND INSPECTED UNDER FAR PART 91 FOR OPERATIONS TO BE CONDUCTED UNDER THIS LEASE. DURING THE DURATION OF THIS LEASE, UBIQUITI NETWORKS, INC., WITH AN ADDRESS OF 2580 ORCHARD PARKWAY, SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA 95131, IS CONSIDERED RESPONSIBLE FOR OPERATIONAL CONTROL OF THE AIRCRAFT UNDER THIS LEASE.

AN EXPLANATION OF FACTORS BEARING ON OPERATIONAL CONTROL AND PERTINENT FEDERAL AVIATION REGULATIONS CAN BE OBTAINED FROM THE NEAREST FAA FLIGHT STANDARDS DISTRICT OFFICE.

THE "INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLIANCE WITH TRUTH IN LEASING REQUIREMENTS" ATTACHED HERETO ARE INCORPORATED HEREIN BY REFERENCE.

I, THE UNDERSIGNED CRAIG L. FOSTER, AS THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER OF UBIQUITI NETWORKS, INC., WITH AN ADDRESS OF 2580 ORCHARD PARKWAY, SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA 95131, CERTIFY THAT IT IS RESPONSIBLE FOR OPERATIONAL CONTROL OF THE AIRCRAFT AND THAT IT UNDERSTAND ITS RESPONSIBILITIES FOR COMPLIANCE WITH APPLICABLE FEDERAL AVIATION REGULATIONS .

IN WITNESS WHEREOF , the Lessor and the Lessee have each caused this **Aircraft Lease Agreement** to be duly executed as of the Effective Date.

LESSOR:

RJP Manageco, LLC,
a Delaware limited liability company,

By: _____ /s/_____

Name: Robert J. Pera

Title: Authorized Signatory

LESSEE:

Ubiquiti Networks, Inc.,
a Delaware corporation,

By: _____ /s/ _____

Name: Craig L. Foster

Title: Chief Financial Officer

AIRCRAFT LEASE AGREEMENT
(Non-Exclusive)

EXHIBIT "A"

Aircraft Make/Model
Bombardier. Inc., CL600-2B16

FAA Registration No.
N775RP

Serial Number
5821

Engine Manufacturer & Model
General Electric CF34-3
Serial Nos. 950861 and 950862

Base Airport
San Jose International (SJC), San Jose, California

Minimum Liability Insurance Coverage
\$200,000,000.00, as further described in Exhibit "D" hereto.

Minimum Hull Insurance Coverage
\$18,000,000.00, as further described in Exhibit "D" hereto.

Controlling State Law & Venue
California and Santa Clara County

AIRCRAFT LEASE AGREEMENT

(Non-Exclusive)

EXHIBIT "B"

Hourly Rent: \$5,000.00

The Hourly Rent Payment Date shall be the tenth (10th) day after receipt of an Invoice from the Lessor.

EXHIBIT "C"

AIRCRAFT DELIVERY RECEIPT

Ubiquiti Networks, Inc. ("Lessee") hereby acknowledges delivery and acceptance of the Aircraft described in that Aircraft Lease Agreement (the "Agreement") by and between Lessee and RJP Manageco, LLC ("Lessor") as of November 13, 2013. Lessee hereby accepts custody of the Aircraft in good working order and airworthy condition for the purposes set forth in the Agreement.

Total Airframe Time at Delivery: 659.5 hours

Total Engine Time at Delivery: 659.5 Left

659.5 Right

Total Landings at Delivery: 469 cycles

Lessee:

Ubiquiti Networks, Inc.,
a Delaware corporation,

By: _____/s/_____

Name: Craig L. Foster

Title: Chief Financial Officer

EXHIBIT “D”

SUMMARY OF MINIMUM POLICY INSURANCE COVERAGES

AVIATION LIABILITY COVERAGES	POLICY LIMITS BUT NOT LESS THAN
Liability for Scheduled Aircraft, Including War Risks *Note: certain aircraft must carry minimum liability limits including war risks based on the maximum takeoff weight of the aircraft. This is a requirement of the EU member countries in order to overfly or land in those areas.	\$150,000,000 BI/PD each occurrence for aircraft with 9 or fewer passenger seats, or \$200,000,000 BI/PD each occurrence (or higher if necessary to meet EU requirements) for aircraft with 10 or more passenger seats* * War risks liability limit for passengers is same as applicable liability limit above. A sublimit of \$50,000,000 per occurrence for bodily injury to persons and property damage automatically applies with respect to war liability to non-passengers.
Liability for Use of Non-Owned Aircraft, Including War Risks	Up to 40 non-crew seats Not less than the policy limit set forth above for Scheduled Aircraft.* * Same terms and conditions regarding war risk and liability limits as above.
Liability for Physical Damage to Non-Owned Aircraft	\$50,000,000 each aircraft/each occurrence
Host Liquor Liability	Not less than the policy limit set forth above for Scheduled Aircraft
Liability Assumed Under Written Contractual Agreements	Not less than the policy limit set forth above for Scheduled Aircraft
Liability for Personal Injury	\$25,000,000 each occurrence/aggregate
Voluntary Settlement, Including Passengers and Flight Crew	\$500,000 each person x maximum seats
Voluntary Settlement for Non-Owned Aircraft, Including Passengers and Flight Crew employed by Solairus Aviation.	\$500,000 each person x maximum seats
Medical Payments for Scheduled and Non-Owned Aircraft	\$50,000 each person
Cargo Liability	\$1,000,000 each occurrence
Liability for Personal Effects & Baggage	\$500,000 each passenger/each occurrence \$500,000 each crew member/each occurrence
Liability for Property Damage to Non-Owned Hangars and Contents	\$10,000,000 aggregate
Products Liability for Sale of Owned Aircraft or Parts	Not less than the policy limit set forth above for Scheduled Aircraft.
Mexican Liability Insurance	Statutory Limits required by Mexico

AIRCRAFT PHYSICAL DAMAGE COVERAGES	POLICY LIMITS BUT NOT LESS THAN
All-Risks Aircraft Physical Damage for Scheduled Aircraft (Including War Risks/Confiscation)	\$18,000,000.00
Automatic Insurance for Increased Value of Scheduled Aircraft	Actual Amount Paid
Spare Engines and Spare Parts	\$6,000,000 maximum any one loss
Extra Expenses Resulting from Covered Loss: Temporary Substitute Aircraft Temporary Replacement Parts	NIL per day/\$2,000,000 per occurrence (180 days maximum) \$500,000 per occurrence
Trip Interruption Expense (arising from covered loss)	\$75,000 each passenger any one occurrence \$75,000 each crew member any one occurrence
Search and Rescue Expense	\$1,000,000 any one occurrence
Runway Foaming & Crash Control Expense	\$1,000,000 any one occurrence
Emergency Landing	Cost to transport

OTHER PROVISIONS	
Reciprocal Breach of Warranty/Invalidation of Interest	Coverage for one insured party will not be voided or invalidated by any act or neglect of another insured party under the policy, provided no knowing consent or prior knowledge that such action would void coverage.
Loss Payable Payee for Hull claims	Loss payment claim reimbursements will be paid to Solairus Aviation, Lessor, and Lessee, and any lienholder, as their interest may appear
Purpose of Use	Any use (including passenger carrying for a charge)
Territory	Worldwide
Notice of Cancellation	90 days (10 days for non-payment of premium) except for Agreement termination (up to 15 days as mutually agreed). War risk per the policy in effect at the time.

- **Deductibles: Nil unless specifically indicated.**

EXHIBIT "E"

**INSTRUCTIONS FOR
COMPLIANCE WITH "TRUTH IN LEASING" REQUIREMENTS**

1. Mail a copy of the Lease to the following address via certified mail, return receipt requested immediately upon the execution of the Lease: (14 C.F.R. 91.23 requires that the copy be sent within twenty four hours after it is signed.)

Federal Aviation Administration
Aircraft Registration Branch
ATTN: Technical Section
P.O. Box 25724
Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73125

2. Telephone (or fax) the nearest Flight Standards District Office at least forty-eight hours prior to the first flight under this Lease.
3. Carry a copy of the Lease in the Aircraft at all times.

**CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER
PURSUANT TO
EXCHANGE ACT RULES 13a-14(a) AND 15d-14(a),
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO
SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

I, Robert J. Pera, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Ubiquiti Networks, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: February 6, 2014

/s/ Robert J. Pera

Robert J. Pera
Chief Executive Officer and Director
(Principal Executive Officer)

**CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER
PURSUANT TO
EXCHANGE ACT RULES 13a-14(a) AND 15d-14(a),
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO
SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

I, Craig L. Foster, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Ubiquiti Networks, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: February 6, 2014

/s/ Craig L. Foster

Craig L. Foster
Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer)

**CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER AND CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER
PURSUANT TO
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

I, Robert J. Pera, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that the Quarterly Report of Ubiquiti Networks, Inc. on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended December 31, 2013 fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and that information contained in such Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q fairly presents in all material respects the financial condition and results of operations of Ubiquiti Networks, Inc.

Date: February 6, 2014

By: /s/ Robert J. Pera
Name: Robert J. Pera
Title: Chief Executive Officer and Director
(*Principal Executive Officer*)

I, Craig L. Foster certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that the Quarterly Report of Ubiquiti Networks, Inc. on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended December 31, 2013 fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and that information contained in such Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q fairly presents in all material respects the financial condition and results of operations of Ubiquiti Networks, Inc.

Date: February 6, 2014

By: /s/ Craig L. Foster
Name: Craig L. Foster
Title: Chief Financial Officer
(*Principal Financial Officer*)