

# SPIRIT AIRLINES, INC.

## FORM 10-Q (Quarterly Report)

Filed 10/27/11 for the Period Ending 09/30/11

Address	2800 EXECUTIVE WAY MIRAMAR, FL 33025
Telephone	954-447-7920
CIK	0001498710
Symbol	SAVE
SIC Code	4512 - Air Transportation, Scheduled
Industry	Airline
Sector	Transportation
Fiscal Year	12/31

**UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**  
Washington, D.C. 20549

**Form 10-Q**

(Mark One)

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2011

OR

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission File Number 001-35186

**SPIRIT AIRLINES, INC.**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

**Delaware**  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

**38-1747023**  
(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)

**2800 Executive Way**  
**Miramar, Florida**  
(Address of principal executive offices)

**33025**  
(Zip Code)

**(954) 447-7920**

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "small reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer   
Non-accelerated filer  Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined by Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the registrant's classes of common stock as of the close of business on October 27, 2011:

Class	Number of Shares
Voting Common Stock, \$0.0001 par value	72,530,256
Non-Voting Common Stock, \$0.0001 par value	0

---

## Table of Contents

## Table of Contents

### INDEX

	<u>Page No.</u>
<b>Part I. Financial Information</b>	
Item 1. Financial Statements (unaudited)	1
Condensed Statements of Operations – Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011 and September 30, 2010	1
Condensed Balance Sheets – September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010	2
Condensed Statements of Cash Flows – Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011 and September 30, 2010	3
Notes to Condensed Financial Statements	4
Item 2. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	14
Glossary Of Airline Terms	25
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	26
Item 4. Controls and Procedures	26
<b>Part II. Other Information</b>	
Item 1. Legal Proceedings	27
Item 1A. Risk Factors	27
Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	44
Item 6. Exhibits	45
Signature	46

## Table of Contents

### PART I. Financial Information

#### ITEM 1. Unaudited Condensed Financial Statements

**Spirit Airlines, Inc.**  
**Condensed Statements of Operations**  
*(In thousands, except per share data)*

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Operating revenues:				
Passenger	\$186,682	\$138,232	\$520,380	\$401,513
Non-ticket	102,032	65,423	276,887	163,552
<b>Total operating revenue</b>	<b>288,714</b>	<b>203,655</b>	<b>797,267</b>	<b>565,065</b>
Expenses:				
Aircraft fuel	104,985	63,553	293,219	178,159
Salaries, wages and benefits	45,148	39,935	133,514	114,719
Aircraft rent	29,220	25,487	86,009	72,936
Landing fees and other rents	13,966	13,039	38,628	35,651
Distribution	14,177	10,906	39,146	30,421
Maintenance, materials and repairs	11,440	8,238	26,978	20,644
Depreciation and amortization	2,059	1,517	5,296	4,317
Other operating	23,141	19,784	65,700	61,107
Loss on disposal of assets	4	—	39	77
Restructuring and termination costs	18	214	2,379	137
<b>Total operating expenses</b>	<b>244,158</b>	<b>182,673</b>	<b>690,908</b>	<b>518,168</b>
<b>Operating income</b>	<b>44,556</b>	<b>20,982</b>	<b>106,359</b>	<b>46,897</b>
Other expense (income):				
Interest expense	444	12,568	24,408	38,007
Capitalized interest	(444)	(397)	(2,519)	(927)
Interest income	(99)	(83)	(256)	(242)
Other expense	42	23	165	102
<b>Total other (income) expense</b>	<b>(57)</b>	<b>12,111</b>	<b>21,798</b>	<b>36,940</b>
Income before income taxes	44,613	8,871	84,561	9,957
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	16,956	(52,869)	32,104	(52,993)
<b>Net income</b>	<b><u>\$ 27,657</u></b>	<b><u>\$ 61,740</u></b>	<b><u>\$ 52,457</u></b>	<b><u>\$ 62,950</u></b>
<b>Net income per share, basic</b>	<b><u>\$ 0.38</u></b>	<b><u>\$ 2.35</u></b>	<b><u>\$ 1.12</u></b>	<b><u>\$ 2.41</u></b>
<b>Net income per share, diluted</b>	<b><u>\$ 0.38</u></b>	<b><u>\$ 2.33</u></b>	<b><u>\$ 1.11</u></b>	<b><u>\$ 2.36</u></b>

The accompanying Notes are an integral part of these Condensed Financial Statements.

## Table of Contents

### Spirit Airlines, Inc. Condensed Balance Sheets (unaudited, in thousands)

	<u>September 30,</u> <u>2011</u>	<u>December 31,</u> <u>2010</u>
<b>Assets</b>		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 350,973	\$ 82,714
Restricted cash	—	72,736
Accounts receivable	14,729	9,471
Deferred income taxes	30,502	51,492
Other current assets	53,684	34,806
<b>Total current assets</b>	<b>449,888</b>	<b>251,219</b>
Property and equipment:		
Flight equipment	4,204	3,901
Ground and other equipment	43,995	39,441
Less accumulated depreciation	(27,327)	(24,013)
	20,872	19,329
Deposits on flight equipment purchase contracts	72,811	44,188
Prepaid aircraft maintenance to lessors	119,790	116,857
Long-term deferred income taxes	—	1,319
Security deposits and other long-term assets	58,802	42,845
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>\$ 722,163</b>	<b>\$ 475,757</b>
<b>Liabilities and shareholders' equity (deficit)</b>		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	17,874	13,360
Air traffic liability	123,197	104,788
Other current liabilities	97,947	73,041
Current maturities of long-term debt and obligations, due to related parties	—	20,000
Current maturities of long-term debt and obligations, due to non-related parties	—	3,240
<b>Total current liabilities</b>	<b>239,018</b>	<b>214,429</b>
Deferred credits and other long-term liabilities	40,423	29,101
Due to related parties, less current maturities	—	245,621
Long-term debt, less current maturities	—	11,966
Mandatorily redeemable preferred stock	—	79,717
<b>Shareholders' equity (deficit)</b>		
Common stock	7	3
Additional paid-in-capital	496,014	676
Accumulated deficit	(53,299)	(105,756)
<b>Total shareholders' equity (deficit)</b>	<b>442,722</b>	<b>(105,077)</b>
<b>Total liabilities and shareholders' equity (deficit)</b>	<b>\$ 722,163</b>	<b>\$ 475,757</b>

The accompanying Notes are an integral part of these Condensed Financial Statements.

## Table of Contents

**Spirit Airlines, Inc.**  
**Condensed Statements of Cash Flows**  
*(unaudited, in thousands)*

	<b>Nine Months Ended September 30,</b>	
	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
<b>Net cash provided by operating activities</b>	<b>\$149,488</b>	<b>\$ 3,796</b>
<b>Investing activities:</b>		
Proceeds from sale of property and equipment	5,604	230
Pre-delivery deposits for flight equipment	(27,194)	(12,790)
Purchase of property and equipment, net	(9,573)	(3,727)
<b>Net cash used in investing activities</b>	<b>(31,163)</b>	<b>(16,287)</b>
<b>Financing activities:</b>		
Proceeds from issuance of common stock, net of offering expenses	171,247	—
Payments on debt	(20,564)	—
Repurchase of restricted common stock	(757)	—
Debt issuance costs	8	2
<b>Net cash provided by financing activities</b>	<b>149,934</b>	<b>2</b>
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	268,259	(12,489)
<b>Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period</b>	<b>82,714</b>	<b>86,147</b>
<b>Cash and cash equivalents at end of period</b>	<b><u>\$350,973</u></b>	<b><u>\$ 73,658</u></b>
<b>Supplemental disclosures</b>		
Cash payments for:		
Interest paid	\$ 2,615	\$ 3,543
Taxes paid	\$ 379	\$ 504
Non-cash transactions		
Exchange of Notes due to related parties for common stock	\$279,206	\$ —
Exchange of mandatorily redeemable preferred stock for common stock	\$ 81,747	\$ —
Liability and offsetting reduction to equity recorded related to tax receivable agreement	\$ 36,522	\$ —

The accompanying Notes are an integral part of these Condensed Financial Statements.

**Notes to Condensed Financial Statements****1. Basis of Presentation**

The accompanying unaudited condensed financial statements include the accounts of Spirit Airlines, Inc. (the “Company”). These unaudited condensed financial statements reflect all normal recurring adjustments which management believes are necessary to present fairly the financial position, results of operations and cash flows of the Company for the respective periods presented. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in the annual financial statements prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) have been condensed or omitted pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission for Form 10-Q. These unaudited interim condensed financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited financial statements of the Company and notes thereto included in the registration statement of the Company on Form S-1 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

The interim results reflected in the unaudited condensed financial statements are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for other interim periods or for the full year.

**2. Recent Accounting Developments**

In May 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-04, Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards (Topic 820)—Fair Value Measurement (ASU 2011-04), to provide a consistent definition of fair value and ensure that the fair value measurement and disclosure requirements are similar between U.S. GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards. ASU 2011-04 changes certain fair value measurement principles and enhances the disclosure requirements particularly for Level 3 fair value measurements (as defined in Note 8). ASU 2011-04 is effective for the Company in the first quarter of fiscal 2012 and should be applied prospectively. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of ASU 2011-04 on its financial position, results of operations, cash flows and disclosures.

In January 2010, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2010-06, Fair Value Measurements Disclosures, which amends Subtopic 820-10 of the FASB Accounting Standards Codification to require new disclosures for fair value measurements and provides clarification for existing disclosure requirements. More specifically, this update will require (a) an entity to disclose separately the amounts of significant transfers in and out of Level 1 and 2 fair value measurements and to describe the reasons for the transfers; and (b) information about purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements to be presented separately (i.e., present the activity on a gross basis rather than net) in the reconciliation for fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3 inputs). This update clarifies existing disclosure requirements for the level of disaggregation used for classes of assets and liabilities measured at fair value and requires disclosures about the valuation techniques and inputs used to measure fair value for both recurring and nonrecurring fair value measurements using Level 2 and Level 3 inputs. Certain provisions requiring new disclosures and clarifications of existing disclosures of the guidance are effective for interim and reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2009. Certain other provisions for new disclosures are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010. Adoption of those provisions of the accounting guidance that became effective for this interim period has resulted in new fair value disclosures. See related fair value disclosures in Note 8.

In October 2009, the FASB issued an Accounting Standards Update (ASU No. 2009-13) pertaining to multiple-deliverable revenue arrangements. The new guidance affects accounting and reporting for companies that enter into multiple-deliverable revenue arrangements with their customers when those arrangements are within the scope of ASC 605-25, Revenue Recognition—Multiple-Element Arrangements. ASU No. 2009-13 eliminates the residual method of allocation and requires that arrangement consideration be allocated at the inception of the arrangement to all deliverables using the relative selling price method. The new guidance is effective prospectively for revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified in fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010. On January 1, 2011, the Company adopted ASU No. 2009-13.

The Company entered into a new affinity card program which became effective April 1, 2011. The agreement calls for the marketing of a co-branded Spirit credit card and the delivery of award miles over a five year period. At the inception of the arrangement, the Company evaluated all deliverables in the arrangement to determine whether they represent separate units of accounting using the criteria as set forth in ASU No. 2009-13. The Company determined the

## Table of Contents

arrangement had three separate units of accounting: (i) travel miles to be awarded, (ii) licensing of brand and access to member lists, and (iii) advertising and marketing efforts. Under ASU No. 2009-13, arrangement consideration should be allocated based on relative selling price. At inception of the arrangement, the Company established the relative selling price for all deliverables that qualified for separation. The manner in which the selling price was established is based on a hierarchy of evidence that the Company considered. Total arrangement consideration was then allocated to each deliverable on the basis of the deliverable's relative selling price.

In considering the hierarchy of evidence under ASU No. 2009-13, the Company first determined whether vendor specific objective evidence of selling price or third-party evidence of selling price existed. It was determined by the Company that neither vendor specific objective evidence of selling price nor third-party evidence existed due to the uniqueness of the Company's program. As such, the Company developed its best estimate of the selling price for all deliverables. For the award miles, the Company considered a number of entity-specific factors when developing the best estimate of the selling price including the number of miles needed to redeem an award, average fare of comparable segments, breakage, restrictions, and fees. For licensing of brand and access to member lists, the Company considered both market-specific factors and entity-specific factors including general profit margins realized in the marketplace/industry, brand power, market royalty rates, and size of customer base. For the advertising element, the Company considered market-specific factors and entity-specific factors including, the Company's internal costs (and fluctuations of costs) of providing services, volume of marketing efforts, and overall advertising plan.

Consideration allocated based on the relative selling price to both brand licensing and advertising elements will be recognized as revenue when earned and recorded in non-ticket revenue. Consideration allocated to award miles will be deferred and recognized ratably as passenger revenue over the estimated period the transportation is expected to be provided (historically estimated at 15 to 19 months and currently estimated at 20 months).

The Company used entity-specific assumptions coupled with the various judgments necessary to determine the selling price of a deliverable in accordance with the required selling price hierarchy. Changes in these assumptions (e.g., cost of fare, number of miles to redeem awards, marketing plan, and approval rate of credit cards) could result in changes in the estimated selling prices. Determining the frequency to reassess selling price for individual deliverables requires significant judgment. During the three months ended September 30, 2011, the Company noted no changes to either entity-specific assumptions or market-specific assumptions that would warrant a reassessment of selling prices from those determined at inception.

### 3. Restructuring Charges and Termination Costs

In 2010, in an effort to gain efficiencies, the Company relocated all of its Detroit, Michigan maintenance operations to Fort Lauderdale, Florida, the Company's largest city of operations. The restructuring included the closure of facilities in Detroit, relocation of equipment and tools, and the relocation and reduction of workforce. The Company determined the relocation of these facilities and the planned relocation and reduction of certain employees met the requirement of an exit activity. Included within Restructuring in our Statement of Operations for the twelve months ended December 31, 2010 and the nine months ended September 30, 2011 were \$0.9 million and \$0.1 million, respectively, resulting from restructuring charges. The remaining accruals relating to the relocation of maintenance operations were \$0.3 million as of December 31, 2010.

The Company incurred termination costs of \$2.3 million in connection with its initial public offering of common stock during the second fiscal quarter, which included \$1.8 million paid to Indigo Partners, LLC to terminate its professional services agreement with the Company and \$0.5 million paid to three individual, unaffiliated holders of the Company's subordinated notes.

### 4. Net Income per Share

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per common share (in thousands except for per share amounts):

	Three months ended September 30,		Nine months ended September 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
<b>Numerator</b>				
Net income	<u>\$27,657</u>	<u>\$61,740</u>	<u>\$52,457</u>	<u>\$62,950</u>
<b>Denominator</b>				
Weighted-average shares outstanding, basic	72,175	26,241	46,840	26,155
Effect of dilutive nonvested stock awards	<u>252</u>	<u>285</u>	<u>289</u>	<u>539</u>
Adjusted weighted-average shares outstanding, diluted	<u>72,427</u>	<u>26,526</u>	<u>47,129</u>	<u>26,694</u>



## Table of Contents

	Three months ended		Nine months ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Basic earnings per common share	\$0.38	\$2.35	\$1.12	\$2.41
Diluted earnings per common share	0.38	2.33	1.11	2.36

At September 30, 2011 there were 121,000 options that were anti-dilutive.

### 5. Accrued Liabilities

Accrued liabilities included in other current liabilities as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 consist of the following (in thousands):

	September 30,	December 31,
	2011	2010
Current portion of tax receivable agreement	\$ 32,977	\$ —
Federal excise and other passenger taxes and fees payable	17,631	19,035
Salaries and wages	14,134	14,842
Aircraft maintenance	9,391	10,909
Airport expenses	8,709	9,779
Interest	1,475	6,885
Aircraft and facility rent	5,614	4,455
Other	8,016	7,136
Accrued liabilities	<u>\$ 97,947</u>	<u>\$ 73,041</u>

### 6. Financial Instruments and Risk Management

As part of the Company's risk management program, the Company from time to time uses a variety of financial instruments, primarily costless collar contracts, to reduce its exposure to fluctuations in the price of jet fuel. The Company does not hold or issue derivative financial instruments for trading purposes.

The Company is exposed to credit losses in the event of nonperformance by counterparties to these financial instruments. The Company periodically reviews and seeks to mitigate exposure to the counterparty's financial deterioration and nonperformance by monitoring the absolute exposure levels, the counterparty's credit rating, and the counterparty's historical performance relating to hedge transactions. The credit exposure related to these financial instruments is limited to the fair value of contracts in a net receivable position at the reporting date. The Company also maintains security agreements that require the Company to post collateral if the value of selected instruments falls below specified mark-to-market thresholds.

The Company records financial derivative instruments at fair value, which includes an evaluation of the counterparty's credit risk. Fair value of the instruments is determined using standard option valuation models. Management chose not to elect hedge accounting on any of the derivative instruments purchased in 2010 and during the nine-month period ended September 30, 2011 and, as a result, changes in the fair value of these fuel hedge contracts are recorded each period in aircraft fuel expense.

The following table summarizes the components of aircraft fuel expense for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 (in millions):

	Three months ended		Nine months ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Into-plane fuel cost	\$103.7	\$65.7	\$296.3	\$179.2
Changes in value and settlements of fuel hedge contracts	1.3	(2.1)	(3.1)	(1.0)
Aircraft fuel expense	<u>\$105.0</u>	<u>\$63.6</u>	<u>\$293.2</u>	<u>\$178.2</u>

During the three months ended September 30, 2011, \$1.3 million of net fuel derivative losses were recognized consisting of realized gains of \$0.2 million offset by unrealized losses of \$1.5 million. During the three months ended September 30, 2010, \$2.1 million of net fuel derivative gains were recognized consisting of realized losses of \$0.4 million offset by unrealized gains of \$2.5 million. During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, \$3.1 million of net fuel derivative gains were recognized consisting of realized gains of \$7.5 million offset by unrealized losses of \$4.4 million. During the nine months ended September 30, 2010, \$1.0 million of net fuel derivative gains were recognized consisting of realized gains of \$0.1 million and unrealized gains of \$0.9 million.

---

## Table of Contents

As of September 30, 2011, the Company had fuel hedges using US Gulf Coast jet fuel collars in place for approximately 38 and 18 percent of our estimated fuel consumption for the fourth quarter 2011 and first quarter 2012, respectively. Additionally, during hurricane season (August through October), the Company uses basis swaps using NYMEX Heating Oil indexes, to protect the refining price risk between the price of crude oil and the price of refined jet fuel. As of September 30, 2011 the Company had approximately 23 percent of our fourth quarter forecasted fuel requirements protected using these basis swaps.

### 7. Commitments and Contingencies

#### *Aircraft-Related Commitments and Financing Arrangements*

The Company's contractual purchase commitments consist primarily of aircraft and engine acquisitions through manufacturers and aircraft leasing companies. As of September 30, 2011, firm aircraft orders with Airbus consisted of 13 Airbus A319 aircraft (which can be converted to A320 aircraft), 20 A320 aircraft, and five spare V2500 International Aero Engines AG ("IAE") engines. Aircraft are scheduled for delivery in the period of 2011 through 2015, and spare engines are scheduled for delivery in the period 2012 through 2018. Committed expenditures for these aircraft and related flight equipment, including estimated amounts for contractual price escalations and pre-delivery payments, will be approximately \$83 million for the remainder of 2011, \$313 million in 2012, \$322 million in 2013, \$301 million in 2014, \$354 million in 2015 and \$19 million in 2016 and beyond.

During the first quarter of 2011, the Company took delivery of three new A320 aircraft financed under operating leases from two independent leasing companies. During the second quarter of 2011, the Company took delivery of one spare engine financed through a sale and leaseback transaction from an independent leasing company.

#### *Legal Proceedings*

The Company is subject to commercial litigation claims and to administrative and regulatory proceedings and reviews that may be asserted or maintained from time to time. The Company currently believes that the ultimate outcome of such lawsuits, proceedings and reviews will not, individually or in the aggregate, have a material adverse effect on its financial position.

#### *Credit Card Processing Arrangements*

The Company has agreements with organizations that process credit card transactions arising from the purchase of air travel, baggage fees, and other ancillary services by customers. As is standard in the airline industry, the Company's contractual arrangements with credit card processors permit them, under certain circumstances, to retain a holdback or other collateral, which the Company records as restricted cash, when future air travel and other future services are purchased via credit card transactions. The required holdback is the percentage of the Company's overall credit card sales that its credit card processors hold to cover refunds to customers if the Company fails to fulfill its flight obligations. As of September 30, 2011, the Company had amended its processing agreements with all of its processors. Prior to the amendments, the credit card processors required the Company to maintain cash collateral equal to approximately 100 percent of the Company's air traffic liability. The amendments were approved in light of the Company's improved balance sheet as a result of the recently completed IPO and related recapitalization and facilitate a reduction in the holdback held by the credit card processors, effectively bringing the Company's restricted cash balance to zero, provided that the Company continues to satisfy certain financial criteria. Failure to meet these liquidity covenants would provide the processors the right to reinstate a holdback, resulting in a commensurate reduction of unrestricted cash.

At December 31, 2010, the required holdback for one of the processors was partially satisfied by a letter of credit ("LC"), issued in favor of the processor in the amount of \$15 million. The LC was due to expire on April 30, 2011. On April 29, 2011, the Company extended its letter of credit facility until April 30, 2014 and increased the amount to \$30 million. This extended facility was subject to a commitment fee of 15 percent per annum payable on a monthly basis. In addition, the letter of credit was subject to a \$0.6 million termination fee if terminated before June 30, 2012. On June 1, 2011, the Company terminated the LC and paid the \$0.6 million termination fee. The termination of this LC resulted in a \$30 million increase in restricted cash funded by the Company to its principal credit card processor due to the then applicable holdback requirements.

## Table of Contents

### Employees

Approximately 51 percent of the Company's employees are covered under collective bargaining agreements. The table below sets forth our employee groups and status of the collective bargaining agreements.

<u>Employee Groups</u>	<u>Representative</u>	<u>Amendable Date</u>
Pilots	Air Line Pilots Association, International (ALPA)	August 2015
Flight Attendants	Association of Flight Attendants (AFA)	August 2007
Dispatchers	Transport Workers Union (TWU)	July 2012

The collective bargaining agreement between the Company and the Company's pilots, as represented by the Air Line Pilots Association International, represents 20 percent of the Company's employees and became amendable on January 31, 2007. On May 14, 2010, the NMB released the Company and the pilots' union from mandatory supervised mediation, which release commenced a 30-day "cooling off" period as provided in the Railway Labor Act.

Early on June 12, 2010, following several negotiation sessions with the pilots' union during the cooling off period that did not result in reaching an agreement, the pilots declared a strike, and the Company ceased all flight operations. The parties reconvened in negotiations on June 15, 2010 and were able to reach a tentative agreement on June 16, 2010, which was ratified on July 23, 2010 and executed on August 1, 2010.

The collective bargaining agreement between the Company and the Company's flight attendants, as represented by the Association of Flight Attendants AFL-CIO, represents approximately 30 percent of the Company's employees and became amendable on August 6, 2007. The Company and the union are currently in negotiations to reach a new collective bargaining agreement. The CBA between the Company and its dispatchers represents approximately one percent of the Company's employees.

The Company is self-insured for health care claims for eligible participating employee and qualified dependent medical claims, subject to deductibles and limitations. The Company's liabilities for claims incurred but not reported are determined based on an estimate of the ultimate aggregate liability for claims incurred. The estimate is calculated from actual claim rates and reviewed and adjusted periodically as necessary. The Company has accrued \$1.9 million and \$2.1 million for health care claims as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

### Other

The Company is contractually obligated to pay the following minimum guaranteed payments to the provider of its reservation system as of September 30, 2011: \$0.6 million in 2011, \$2.9 million in 2012, \$3.1 million in 2013, \$3.7 million in 2014, \$3.7 million in 2015 and \$9.9 million in 2016 and beyond.

## 8. Fair Value Measurements

Under ASC 820, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures*, disclosures are required about how fair value is determined for assets and liabilities, and a hierarchy for which these assets and liabilities must be grouped is established, based on significant levels of inputs, as follows:

*Level 1* — Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

*Level 2* — Observable inputs other than Level 1 prices such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities.

*Level 3* — Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities.

Fair value is defined as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. Spirit utilizes several valuation techniques in order to assess the fair value of the Company's financial assets and liabilities. The Company's fuel derivative contracts, which primarily consist of costless collar contracts, are valued using energy and commodity market data, which is derived by combining raw inputs with quantitative models and processes to generate forward curves and volatilities.

The Company utilizes the market approach to measure fair value for its financial assets and liabilities. The market approach uses prices and other relevant information generated by market transactions involving identical or comparable assets or liabilities.

## Table of Contents

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis are summarized below (in millions):

	Fair Value Measurements as of September 30, 2011			
	Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 351.0	\$ 351.0	\$ —	\$ —
Total assets	\$ 351.0	\$ 351.0	\$ —	\$ —
Aircraft fuel derivatives	\$ 0.9	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 0.9
Total liabilities	\$ 0.9	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 0.9

	Fair Value Measurements as of December 31, 2010			
	Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 82.7	\$ 82.7	\$ —	\$ —
Aircraft fuel derivatives	3.5	—	—	3.5
Total assets	\$ 86.2	\$ 82.7	\$ —	\$ 3.5
Total liabilities	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —

Cash and cash equivalents at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 are comprised of liquid money market funds and cash. The Company maintains cash with various high-quality financial institutions.

## Table of Contents

The Company did not elect hedge accounting on any of the derivative instruments, and as a result, changes in the fair values of these fuel hedge contracts are recorded each period in fuel expense. Fair values of the instruments are determined using standard option valuation models. The Company also considers counterparty risk and its own credit risk in its determination of all estimated fair values. The Company has consistently applied these valuation techniques in all periods presented and believes it has obtained the most accurate information available for the types of derivative contracts it holds. Due to the fact that certain of the inputs utilized to determine the fair value of option contracts are unobservable (principally implied volatility), the Company has categorized these option contracts as Level 3. The following table presents the Company's activity for assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis (in millions):

	<b>Fuel Derivative Activity for Three Months ended September 30,</b>
Balance at June 30, 2011	\$ 0.6
Total gains realized or unrealized included in earnings, net	(1.3)
Purchase and settlements, net	(0.2)
Balance at September 30, 2011	<u>\$ (0.9)</u>

  

	<b>Fuel Derivative Activity for Nine Months ended September 30,</b>
Balance at December 31, 2010	\$ 3.5
Total gains realized or unrealized included in earnings, net	3.1
Purchase and settlements, net	(7.5)
Balance at September 30, 2011	<u>\$ (0.9)</u>

Total losses during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 included in earnings attributable to the change in unrealized gains or losses related to liabilities still held at September 30, 2011 is \$1.5 million and \$4.4 million, respectively.

The carrying amounts and estimated fair values of the Company's long-term debt at December 31, 2010, were as follows (in millions):

	<b>Carrying Value</b>	<b>Estimated Fair Value</b>
Fixed-rate debt	\$ 355.9	\$ 403.8
Variable-rate debt	4.6	5.0
Total long-term debt	<u>\$ 360.5</u>	<u>\$ 408.8</u>

As of December 31, 2010, the Company's debt was not publicly traded. Management determined the enterprise value of the Company using a discounted cash flow analysis and market multiples. The fair values of certain debt instruments were estimated under a contingent claims analysis, in which a Black-Scholes option pricing model was applied. As a corroborative measure, the implied internal rates of return resulting from the application of the Black-Scholes model were compared to the current yields of certain term and other high-yield debt instruments of selected market participants operating in the airline industry.

The Company did not have any outstanding debt as of September 30, 2011.

---

## Table of Contents

### 9. Stock-Based Compensation

The Company has stock plans under which directors, officers, key employees, and consultants of the Company may be granted restricted stock awards or stock options as a means of promoting the Company's long-term growth and profitability. The plans are intended to encourage participants to contribute to and participate in the success of the Company.

Our board of directors adopted, and our stockholders approved, the Amended and Restated 2005 Incentive Stock Plan, or the 2005 Stock Plan, effective January 1, 2008. The total number of shares of common stock authorized for issue pursuant to awards granted under the 2005 Stock Plan was 2,500,000 shares. The 2005 Stock Plan provides for the grant of non-qualified stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, performance shares, phantom stock, restricted stock units and other awards that are valued in whole or in part by reference to our stock.

Our board of directors adopted, and our stockholders approved, the 2011 Plan effective May 9, 2011. The principal purpose of the 2011 Plan is to attract, retain and engage selected employees, consultants and directors through the granting of stock-based compensation awards and cash-based performance bonus awards. Under the 2011 Plan, 3,000,000 shares of common stock are reserved for issuance pursuant to a variety of stock-based compensation awards, including stock options, stock appreciation rights, or SARs, restricted stock awards, restricted stock unit awards, deferred stock awards, dividend equivalent awards, stock payment awards and performance awards and other stock-based awards, plus the number of shares remaining available for future awards under our 2005 Stock Plan. The number of shares reserved for issuance or transfer pursuant to awards under the 2011 Plan will be increased by the number of shares represented by awards outstanding under our 2005 Stock Plan that are forfeited or lapse unexercised and which, following the effective date of the 2011 Plan, are not issued under the 2005 Stock Plan. As of September 30, 2011, 3,116,614 shares of our common stock remained available for future issuance under the 2011 Plan. No further awards will be granted under the 2005 Stock Plan, and all outstanding awards will continue to be governed by their existing terms.

Granted restricted stock and stock options vest 25 percent on each anniversary of issuance.

Restricted stock awards are valued at the fair value of the shares on the date of grant if vesting is based on a service or a performance condition. Compensation expense is recognized on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period.

Stock option awards are granted with an exercise price equal to the fair market value of the Company's common stock at the date of grant and vest over four years of continuous service and have 10-year contractual terms. The fair value of each stock option award is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes model.

For options granted during the nine months ended September 30, 2011, the Company's weighted average assumptions for expected volatility, dividends, term, and risk-free interest rate were 46.2 percent, 0 percent, 6.25 years and 2.0 percent, respectively. There were no grants of option awards during the first nine months of 2010. Expected volatilities are based on the historical volatility of a group of peer entities within the same industry. The expected term of options is based upon the simplified method, which represents the average of the vesting term and the contractual term. The risk-free interest rate is based on U.S. Treasury yields for securities with terms approximating the expected term of the option. Fluctuations in the market that affect these estimates could have an impact on the resulting compensation cost. The above assumptions were used to determine the weighted-average fair value of \$5.72 for stock options granted during the first nine months 2011.

To the extent a market price was not available, the fair value of the Company's common stock was estimated using a discounted cash flow analysis and market multiples, based on management's estimates of revenue, driven by assumed market growth rates, and estimated costs as well as appropriate discount rates. These estimates are consistent with the plans and estimates management uses to manage the Company's business. For the nine months ended September 30, 2011, and 2010, share-based compensation cost included in operating expenses in the accompanying statements of operations amounted to \$411 thousand and \$407 thousand, respectively.

## Table of Contents

A summary of share option activity under the plan as of, and changes during the nine months ended September 30, 2011 is presented below:

	Number of Shares	Weighted- Average Exercise Price (\$)	Average Remaining Contractual Term (Years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (\$000)
Outstanding at December 31, 2010	469,000	7.87	9.3	910
Granted	101,000	12.13		
Exercised	(53,750)	7.80		
Forfeited or expired	(163,750)	7.80		
Outstanding at September 30, 2011	352,500	9.16	9.1	1,179
Exercisable at September 30, 2011	58,625	7.83	8.9	274
Vested or expected to vest at September 30, 2011	328,977	9.11	9.1	1,115

The weighted-average fair value of option awards granted during the nine months ended September 30, 2011 was \$5.72 per share.

A summary of the status of the Company's restricted stock awards as of September 30, 2011, and changes during the nine months ended September 30, 2011:

	Shares	Weighted-Average Grant Date Fair Value
Outstanding at December 31, 2010	573,250	\$ 1.12
Granted	—	—
Vested	(243,250)	0.93
Forfeited	(20,501)	1.09
Outstanding at September 30, 2011	309,499	\$ 1.26

The weighted-average fair value of restricted stock awards granted during the year ended 2010 was \$6.39 per share. There were no restricted stock awards granted during the nine months ended September 30, 2011.

As of September 30, 2011 and September 30, 2010, there was \$1.5 million and \$2.1 million, respectively, of total unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested shares and options granted under the plan expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.8 years and 2.0 years, respectively. The total fair value of shares and options vested during the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 was \$2.9 million and \$1.8 million, respectively.

## 10. Initial Public Offering

On June 1, 2011, the Company raised \$187.2 million of gross proceeds from an initial public offering of 15,600,000 shares of its common stock at a price of \$12.00 per share. The resulting proceeds to the Company were approximately \$176.9 million, after deducting underwriter commissions. The Company retained \$150.0 million of the net proceeds, after paying \$20.6 million of debt, \$450,000 to pay three unaffiliated holders of its subordinated notes, and \$5.9 million in direct costs of the offering. In accordance with a Recapitalization Agreement, all of the principal and accrued and unpaid interest on outstanding Notes, to the extent not paid, totaling \$279.2 million, as well as all of the Class A and B Preferred Stock outstanding immediately prior to the offering along with accrued and unpaid dividends totaling \$81.7 million, were exchanged for 30,079,420 shares of common stock at a share price of \$12.00 per share. Each share of Class B Common Stock was exchanged for one share of common stock. In addition, interest expense was reduced by \$0.4 million due to a write off of the unamortized portion of prepaid loan fees and deferred interest.

---

## Table of Contents

### 11. Tax Receivable Agreement

The Company entered into a Tax Receivable Agreement (“TRA”) with the Company’s Pre-IPO Stockholders (as defined in the TRA) that became effective immediately prior to the consummation of the IPO. Under the TRA, the Company is obligated to pay to the Pre-IPO Stockholders an amount equal to 90 percent of the cash savings in federal income tax realized by the Company by virtue of the use of the federal net operating loss, deferred interest deductions and alternative minimum tax credits held by the Company as of March 31, 2011. Cash tax savings generally will be computed by comparing our actual federal income tax liability to the amount of such taxes that we would have been required to pay had such Pre-IPO NOLs (as defined in the TRA) not been available. Upon consummation of the IPO and execution of the TRA the Company recorded a liability with an offsetting reduction to additional paid in capital.

The amount and timing of payments under the TRA will depend upon a number of factors, including, but not limited to, the amount and timing of taxable income the Company generates in the future and any future limitations that may be imposed on the Company’s ability to use the Pre-IPO NOLs. As of September 30, 2011, the Company estimated a cash benefit of \$36.5 million, or 90 percent of the total cash benefit from the full use of the Pre-IPO NOLs, which is payable to the Company’s Pre-IPO Stockholders under the terms of the TRA. Please see “Certain Relationships and Related Transactions—Tax Receivable Agreement” within the registration statement of the Company on Form S-1 related to the IPO, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission for additional information and terms related to Tax Receivable Agreement.



---

## Table of Contents

### ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

#### Forward-Looking Statements

*This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), which are subject to the "safe harbor" created by those sections. Forward-looking statements are based on our management's beliefs and assumptions and on information currently available to our management. All statements other than statements of historical factors are "forward-looking statements" for purposes of these provisions. In some cases you can identify forward-looking statements by terms such as "may," "will," "should," "could," "would," "expect," "plan," "anticipate," "believe," "estimate," "project," "predict," and "potential," and similar expressions intended to identify forward-looking statements. Such forward-looking statements are subject to risks, uncertainties and other important factors that could cause actual results and the timing of certain events to differ materially from future results expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. Factors that could cause or contribute to such differences include, but are not limited to, those identified below, and those discussed in the section titled "Risk Factors" in this report. Furthermore, such forward-looking statements speak only as of the date of this report. Except as required by law, we undertake no obligation to update any forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date of such statements.*

#### Overview

Spirit Airlines is an ultra low-cost, low-fare airline, headquartered in Miramar, Florida, that provides affordable travel opportunities principally to and from South Florida, the Caribbean and Latin America. Our all-Airbus fleet currently operates more than 175 daily flights to over 45 destinations. We completed an initial public offering during the second quarter of 2011, and our stock currently trades on the Nasdaq Global Select Stock Market under the symbol "SAVE."

Our business strategy empowers customers to save money on air travel by offering ultra low base fares with a range of optional services for a fee, allowing customers the freedom to choose only the extras they value. We have unbundled components of our air travel service that have traditionally been included in base fares, such as selling our big front seats as a seat upgrade fee instead of as a separate fare, baggage and advance seat selection, and offer them as optional, ancillary services for additional fees (which we record in our financial statements as non-ticket revenue) thus giving our customers the power to save by allowing them to select and pay for only the services they want to use.

Our goal is to offer compelling value to our customers by utilizing our low-cost structure and unbundled pricing strategy and, in so doing, stimulate customer demand and grow profitably. Notwithstanding the volatility in the cost of jet fuel and the severe economic recession, we have been profitable in each of the last four years, as well as the first nine months of 2011.

## Table of Contents

### Comparative Operating Statistics:

The following table sets forth our operating statistics for the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2011 and 2010:

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Percent Change
	2011	2010 (2)	
<b>Operating Statistics:</b>			
Available seat miles (ASMs) (thousands)	2,422,962	2,194,099	10.4%
Revenue passenger miles (RPMs) (thousands)	2,109,119	1,824,795	15.6%
Load factor (%)	87.0	83.2	3.8pts
Passenger flight segments (thousands)	2,285	1,910	19.6%
Operating revenue per ASM (RASM) (cents)	11.92	9.28	28.4%
Average ticket revenue per passenger flight segment (\$)	81.71	72.38	12.9%
Average non-ticket revenue per passenger flight segment (\$)	44.66	34.26	30.4%
Total revenue per passenger flight segment (\$)	126.37	106.64	18.5%
Operating costs per ASM (CASM) (cents)	10.08	8.33	21.0%
CASM excluding restructuring and termination costs, loss on disposal of assets and mark-to-market loss (cents)(1)	10.01	8.43	18.7%
CASM excluding fuel, restructuring and termination costs and loss on disposal of assets (cents)(1)	5.74	5.42	5.9%
Fuel gallons consumed (thousands)	31,640	28,791	9.9%
Average economic fuel cost per gallon (\$)	3.27	2.30	42.2%
Aircraft at end of period	35	32	9.4%
Average daily aircraft utilization (hours)	12.9	13.1	(1.5)%
Average stage length (miles)	909	940	(3.3)%
Airports served at end of period	47	39	20.5%

	Nine Months Ended September 30,		Percent Change
	2011	2010 (3)	
<b>Operating Statistics:</b>			
Available seat miles (ASMs) (thousands)	7,048,701	5,919,283	19.1%
Revenue passenger miles (RPMs) (thousands)	6,040,203	4,809,049	25.6%
Load factor (%)	85.7	81.2	4.5pts
Passenger flight segments (thousands)	6,347	5,047	25.8%
Operating revenue per ASM (RASM) (cents)	11.31	9.55	18.4%
Average ticket revenue per passenger flight segment (\$)	81.98	79.56	3.0%
Average non-ticket revenue per passenger flight segment (\$)	43.62	32.41	34.6%
Total revenue per passenger flight segment (\$)	125.60	111.97	12.2%
Operating costs per ASM (CASM) (cents)	9.80	8.75	12.0%
CASM excluding restructuring and termination costs, loss on disposal of assets and mark-to-market loss (cents)(1)	9.71	8.77	10.7%
CASM excluding fuel, restructuring and termination costs and loss on disposal of assets (cents) (1)	5.61	5.74	(2.3)%
Fuel gallons consumed (thousands)	91,076	77,956	16.8%
Average economic fuel cost per gallon (\$)	3.17	2.30	37.8%
Aircraft at end of period	35	32	9.4%
Average daily aircraft utilization (hours)	12.9	12.7	1.6%
Average stage length (miles)	933	937	(0.4)%
Airports served at end of period	47	39	20.5%

- (1) Refer to table on pages 18 and 21 for a reconciliation of CASM to CASM excluding restructuring and termination costs, loss on disposal of assets and mark-to-market loss, and to CASM excluding fuel, restructuring and termination costs and loss on disposal of assets for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively.
- (2) Reflects the effects of the strike of our pilots in June 2010. We estimate that the 2010 pilot strike had a net negative impact on our operating income for the three months ended September 30, 2010 of approximately \$5.5 million in lost revenues.
- (3) Reflects the effects of the strike of our pilots in June 2010. We estimate that the 2010 pilot strike had a net negative impact on our operating income for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 of approximately \$24.7 million consisting of an estimated \$28.5 million in lost revenues, and approximately \$4 million of incremental costs resulting from the strike, offset in part by reduced variable expenses avoided during the strike of approximately \$7.8 million. Additionally, under the terms of the new pilot contract, we also accrued \$2.6 million in return-to-work payments during the second quarter, which are not included in the strike impact costs described above.

---

## Table of Contents

### Executive Summary

During the third quarter of 2011, we generated net earnings of \$27.7 million compared to net earnings of \$61.7 million in 2010. Results for the third quarter of 2010 include the recognition of a \$53.5 million tax benefit due to the release of a substantial portion of the valuation allowance on our net operating loss deferred tax assets. Excluding the release of the valuation allowance and corresponding tax benefit, net earnings for the same period last year would have been \$8.2 million. In addition, we estimate that the 2010 pilot strike had a negative impact on our operating income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 of approximately \$5.5 million and \$24.7 million, respectively. Our 2011 earnings are a result of stronger demand and an improved revenue environment.

Cost per available seat mile excluding fuel, restructuring and termination costs and loss on disposal of assets ("CASM ex-fuel") for the third quarter 2011 was 5.74 cents, a 5.9 percent increase year-over-year. In addition to increases in distribution costs and maintenance expenses, a 3.3 percent decrease in average stage length for the third quarter of 2011 compared to the third quarter 2010 contributed to the year-over-year increase in CASM ex-fuel. During the third quarter of 2011, we cancelled some flights as a result of adverse weather conditions and airport closures in connection with Hurricane Irene, thereby increasing our unit costs.

On June 1, 2011, we completed an initial public offering ("IPO") which raised net proceeds of \$176.9 million, of which \$20.6 million was used to pay down debt. In a recapitalization executed in connection with the IPO, our remaining debt and preferred stock was exchanged for newly-issued shares of our common stock at a conversion rate equal to the IPO price. As a result of eliminating the debt from our balance sheet, we recognized approximately \$12.1 million less interest expense in the third quarter of 2011 compared to the same period in the prior year. Net proceeds from the IPO, existing cash on hand and release of all of our holdbacks with our credit card processors resulted in \$351 million in unrestricted cash at September 30, 2011.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2011, we generated net earnings of \$52.5 million and pre-tax earnings of \$84.6 million on operating revenues of \$797.3 million, and achieved a 13.3 percent operating margin. For the nine months ended September 30, 2010, we generated net earnings of \$63.0 million and pre-tax earnings of \$10.0 million on operating revenues of \$565.1 million, and achieved an 8.3 percent operating margin. Adjusting for the effect of the June 2010 pilot strike, excluding the tax benefit resulting from the release of the valuation allowance, we estimate the nine months ended September 30, 2010 would have resulted in net earnings of approximately \$34.2 million on revenues of approximately \$593.6 million with an operating margin of 12.1 percent. We incurred \$115.1 million higher fuel costs in the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010.

As of September 30, 2011, we had 35 Airbus A320-family aircraft in our fleet consisting of 26 A319s, seven A320s and two A321s. With the scheduled delivery of two A320s in the fourth quarter, we expect to end 2011 with 37 aircraft in the fleet. All 35 of our current aircraft were acquired under operating leases.

### *Comparison of three months ended September 30, 2011, to three months ended September 30, 2010*

#### Revenue

We increased operating revenue approximately \$85.1 million, or 41.8 percent, to \$288.7 million in the third quarter of 2011 from the same period last year as a result of an increase in capacity (ASMs) of 10.4 percent, along with higher ancillary revenue and a stronger demand in traffic (RPMs) of 15.6 percent, resulting in a corresponding load factor increase of 3.8 points. Higher load factors and an improved pricing environment resulted in a 28.4 percent increase in RASM. We believe that demand has outpaced our capacity as a result of increased consumer acceptance of our business model. Revenue in the third quarter of 2010 was negatively impacted by approximately \$5.5 million due to the pilot strike.

For the third quarter of 2011, our passenger ticket revenue rose 12.9 percent per flight segment over the prior year period, due to a more favorable industry pricing and capacity environment. Non-ticket revenue edged up slightly as a percentage of revenue from 32.1 percent in 2010 to 35.3 percent in 2011, and from \$34.26 to \$44.66 per passenger flight segment (a 30.4 percent increase) versus the same period last year. This increase was driven in part by a full quarter of revenue from our carry-on bag fees first instituted in August 2010, as well as enhancements in checked bag pricing. We also experienced growth in other previously adopted non-ticket revenue initiatives.

#### Operating Expenses

Operating expense increased by approximately \$61.5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011 compared to the corresponding period in 2010 primarily due to increases in fuel prices and our 10.4 percent capacity growth year over year.

Fuel, our largest operating cost as a percentage of operating expenses, increased primarily due to a 42.2 percent increase in fuel prices and a 9.9 percent increase in fuel gallons consumed. Aircraft fuel expense includes both into-plane expense (defined below) plus the effect of mark-to-market adjustments to our portfolio of derivative instruments, which is a

## Table of Contents

component of aircraft fuel expense. Into-plane fuel expense is defined as the price that we generally pay at the airport, or the “into-plane” price, including taxes and fees. Into-plane fuel prices are affected by world oil prices and refining costs, which can vary by region in the United States and other countries where we operate. Into-plane fuel expense approximates cash paid to the supplier and does not reflect the effect of our fuel derivatives. Because our fuel derivative contracts do not qualify for hedge accounting, we include both realized and unrealized changes in the fair value of our derivatives when they occur, as a component of aircraft fuel expense.

Aircraft fuel expense increased \$41.4 million, or 65.2 percent, compared to the third quarter of 2010. The elements of the changes are illustrated in the following table (in thousands, except per gallon amounts):

	Three months ended September 30,		Percentage
	2011	2010	Change
Into-plane fuel expense	103,727	65,736	57.8 %
Less: Cash received from settled derivatives, net of cash settlements paid	(237)	411	n/a
Economic fuel expense	103,490	66,147	56.5 %
Impact on fuel expense from unrealized (gains) and losses arising from mark-to-market adjustments to our outstanding fuel derivatives	1,495	(2,594)	n/a
Aircraft fuel expense (per Statement of Operations)	<u>\$104,985</u>	<u>\$63,553</u>	<u>65.2 %</u>
Fuel gallons consumed	31,640	28,791	9.9 %
<b>Economic fuel cost per gallon</b>	<b>\$ 3.27</b>	<b>\$ 2.30</b>	<b>42.2 %</b>
Into-plane fuel cost per gallon	\$ 3.28	\$ 2.28	43.9 %

Fuel gallons consumed increased 9.9 percent as a result of increased operations as evidenced by the 10.4 percent increase in ASMs and the three additional aircraft in operation during the third quarter 2011 as compared 2010. Our average daily aircraft utilization decreased slightly by 1.5 percent or 0.2 hours per day from the prior year period.

Our operations are largely concentrated in the southeast United States with Fort Lauderdale being the highest volume fueling point in the system. Gulf Coast Jet indexed fuel is the basis for a substantial majority of our fuel consumption. The into-plane fuel cost per gallon increased 43.9 percent as a result of higher Gulf Coast jet fuel prices. Gulf Coast jet fuel prices are impacted by both the higher price of crude oil, as well as increased refining margins associated with the conversion of crude oil to jet fuel.

We evaluate economic fuel expense, which we define as into-plane fuel expense plus or minus the cash we received or paid from hedge counterparties for expiring positions that we settle during the relevant period, including hedges that we terminate early during the period. The key difference between aircraft fuel expense and economic fuel expense is unrealized mark-to-market changes. When we refer to economic fuel expense, we include realized gains or losses only when they are settled through a cash payment to or from our derivative contract counterparties for those contracts that were settled during the period. We believe this is the best measure of the effect that fuel prices are currently having on our business because it most closely approximates the net cash outflow associated with purchasing fuel for our operations. Accordingly, many industry analysts evaluate airline results using this measure and it is used in our internal management reporting. Total net benefit recognized for hedges that settled during the period was \$0.2 million in 2011, compared to a net loss of \$0.4 million in 2010. These amounts represent the net cash received for these hedges.

As of September 30, 2011, we had fuel hedges using US Gulf Coast jet fuel collars in place for approximately 38 and 18 percent of our estimated fuel consumption for the fourth quarter 2011 and first quarter 2012, respectively. Additionally, during hurricane season (August through October), we use basis swaps using NYMEX Heating Oil indexes, to protect the refining price risk between the price of crude oil and the price of refined jet fuel. As of September 30, 2011, we had approximately 23 percent of our fourth quarter forecasted fuel requirements protected using these basis swaps.

## Table of Contents

We measure our operating cost performance on a per ASM basis, since one ASM is the unit of production of an airline's capacity. The following table presents Spirit's cost per ASM, or unit cost, for the third quarter of 2011 and third quarter of 2010 followed by explanations of the material changes on a unit cost basis and/or dollar basis (in cents, except for percentages):

	Three months ended September 30,		Per ASM Change	Percent Change
	2011	2010		
Aircraft fuel	4.33	2.90	1.43	49.3
Salaries, wages and benefits	1.86	1.82	0.04	2.2
Aircraft rent	1.21	1.16	0.05	4.3
Landing fees and other rents	0.58	0.59	(0.01)	(1.7)
Distribution	0.59	0.50	0.09	18.0
Maintenance, materials and repairs	0.47	0.38	0.09	23.7
Depreciation and amortization	0.08	0.07	0.01	14.3
Other operating	0.96	0.90	0.06	6.7
Loss on disposal of assets	0.00	0.00	0.00	n/a
Restructuring and termination costs	0.00	0.01	(0.01)	(100.0)
CASM	10.08	8.33	1.75	21.0
CASM excluding restructuring and termination costs, loss on disposal of assets and mark-to-market gains (losses) (1)	10.01	8.43	1.58	18.7
CASM excluding fuel (2), restructuring and termination costs and loss on disposal of assets	5.74	5.42	0.32	5.9

(1) Excludes mark-to-market losses of (0.07) and gains of 0.12 cents per ASM for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

(2) Excludes all components of fuel expense, including realized and unrealized mark-to-market gains and losses.

Our unit cost excluding fuel, restructuring and termination costs and loss on disposal of assets for the third quarter of 2011 is up 5.9 percent as compared to the same period in 2010, primarily resulting from increased ground handling and hotel rates as a result of higher average rates throughout our network due to entering new higher cost markets.

Labor costs increased 13.1 percent on an absolute dollar basis during the third quarter of 2011 and by 2.2 percent on a per unit basis. Our labor cost increases were primarily driven by annual pay rate increases under our collective bargaining agreements and increased headcount. The introduction of three new aircraft and the opening of eight new airport stations in our network drove the increases in crew and airport headcount.

We took delivery of three Airbus A320 aircraft subsequent to the third quarter of 2010. These aircraft were acquired under operating leases which drove the \$3.7 million or 14.6 percent increase in rent expense in the third quarter of 2011 as compared to the same period of 2010. The increase of aircraft rents on a per unit basis of 4.3 percent is primarily due to higher rent expense related to the three new aircraft deliveries.

The increase in distribution costs is primarily driven by an increase in credit card fees that closely correlate to revenues. We also experienced a shift in our sales distribution channel from our website to third party travel agents which are more expensive than selling direct through our website. This shift in distribution mix did not materially affect operating income because the revenues received from sales through third party travel agents more than offset the associated incremental costs.

The table below shows our distribution channel usage.

	Three months ended September 30,		Change
	2011	2010	
Website	65.1%	74.8%	(9.7)
Third-party travel agents	24.9	15.3	9.6
Call center	10.0	9.9	0.1

Maintenance costs for the three months ended September 30, 2011 increased by \$3.2 million on an absolute dollar basis compared to the third quarter of 2010, and increased 23.7 percent on a per ASM basis. The increase in maintenance costs are due partly to the aging of our fleet which requires more comprehensive work during routine

---

## Table of Contents

scheduled maintenance as well as more unscheduled maintenance events in the third quarter of 2011 as compared to the same period of 2010. The average age of our fleet increased to 4.5 years as of September 30, 2011 compared to 3.8 as of September 30, 2010. Maintenance expense is expected to increase significantly as our fleet continues to age, resulting in the need for additional repairs over time.

We account for heavy maintenance under the deferral method. Under the deferral method, the cost of heavy maintenance is capitalized and amortized as a component of depreciation and amortization expense in the statement of operations until the next such heavy maintenance event. The amortization of heavy maintenance costs was \$0.8 million and \$0.4 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. If heavy maintenance events were amortized within maintenance, materials, and repairs expense in the statement of operations, our maintenance, materials, and repairs expense would have been \$12.3 million and \$8.7 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Depreciation and amortization increased by \$0.5 million primarily due to deferred heavy aircraft maintenance events which in turn resulted in higher amortization expense recorded in the third quarter of 2011 compared to the same period of 2010.

Other operating expense increased by 6.7 percent on a per ASM basis and \$3.4 million quarter over quarter on an absolute dollar basis. Increases in departures of 12.7 percent and overall increased rates at the airports we serve resulted in increases in variable operating costs such as ground handling expenses and travel and lodging expense in the third quarter of 2011 compared to the same period in 2010.

We incurred restructuring costs in the third quarter of 2010 related to the relocation of our maintenance operations from Detroit, Michigan to Fort Lauderdale, Florida.

### **Other income (expenses)**

Interest expense decreased by \$12.1 million due to the elimination of all debt on June 1, 2011 as a result of our IPO and recapitalization. Interest expense and corresponding capitalized interest in the third quarter of 2011 relates to interest charged by Airbus on deferred pre-delivery deposits.

### **Income Taxes**

Our third quarter of 2011 effective tax rate was approximately 38 percent. The tax provision for the third quarter of 2010 was significantly lower than the statutory federal income tax rate due to the recognition of a \$53.5 million tax benefit as a result of the release of a substantial portion of the valuation allowance on our net deferred tax assets in the third quarter of 2010.

## ***Comparison of the nine months ended September 30, 2011, to the nine months ended September 30, 2010***

### **Revenue**

We increased operating revenue approximately \$232.2 million or 41.1 percent to \$797.3 million in the first nine months of 2011 from the same period last year on an increase in capacity (ASMs) of 19.1 percent along with a 25.6 percent increase in traffic (RPMs) resulting in a load factor increase of 4.5 points. We believe that stronger demand, which has outpaced our capacity as a result of increased consumer acceptance of our business model, a better pricing environment and higher ancillary revenue resulted in an 18.4 percent RASM increase. Adjusting for the effect of a 2010 pilot strike, we estimate our results for 2010 would have resulted in an increase to operating revenue of approximately \$28.5 million year to date.

Our total revenue per passenger rose 12.2 percent per flight segment due to more favorable industry pricing and capacity environment. Our non-ticket revenue for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, reached \$43.62 per passenger, an increase of 34.6 percent over the prior period driven mainly by a full nine months of revenue from carry-on bag fees instituted in August 2010, enhanced checked bag pricing, selling our big front seats as a seat fee instead of as a passenger fare.

### **Operating Expenses**

Operating expense increased by approximately \$172.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to the corresponding period in 2010 primarily due to increases in fuel prices and our 19.1 percent capacity growth year over year.

Aircraft fuel for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, increased \$115.1 million or 64.6 percent due primarily to 37.8 percent increase in fuel cost per gallon and a 16.8 percent increase in gallons consumed.

## Table of Contents

The elements of the changes in aircraft fuel expense are illustrated in the following table (in thousands, except per gallon amounts):

	Nine months ended		Percentage
	September 30,		Change
	2011	2010	
Into-plane fuel expense	\$296,279	\$179,212	65.3%
Less: Cash received from settled derivatives, net of cash settlements paid	(7,466)	(125)	n/a
Economic fuel expense	\$288,813	\$179,087	61.3%
Impact on fuel expense from unrealized (gains) and losses arising from mark-to-market adjustments to our outstanding fuel derivatives	\$ 4,406	\$ (928)	(574.8)%
Aircraft fuel expense (per Statement of Operations)	\$293,219	\$178,159	64.6%
Fuel gallons consumed (thousands)	91,076	77,956	16.8%
<b>Economic fuel cost per gallon</b>	<b>\$ 3.17</b>	<b>\$ 2.30</b>	<b>37.8%</b>
Into-plane fuel cost per gallon	\$ 3.25	\$ 2.30	41.3%



## Table of Contents

The following table presents Spirit's cost per ASM, or unit cost, for the first nine months of 2011 and 2010 followed by explanations of the material changes on a unit cost basis and/or dollar basis (in cents, except for percentages):

	Nine months ended September 30,		Per ASM	Percent
	2011	2010	Change	Change
Aircraft fuel	4.16	3.01	1.15	38.2
Salaries, wages and benefits	1.89	1.94	(0.05)	(2.6)
Aircraft rent	1.22	1.23	(0.01)	(0.8)
Landing fees and other rents	0.55	0.60	(0.05)	(8.3)
Distribution	0.56	0.51	0.05	9.8
Maintenance, materials and repairs	0.38	0.35	0.03	8.6
Depreciation and amortization	0.08	0.07	0.01	14.3
Other operating	0.93	1.03	(0.10)	(9.7)
Loss on disposal of assets	0.00	0.00	0.00	n/a
Restructuring and termination costs	0.03	0.00	0.03	n/a
CASM	9.80	8.75	1.05	12.0
CASM excluding restructuring and termination costs, loss on disposal of assets and mark-to-market gains (losses) (1)	9.71	8.77	0.94	10.7
CASM excluding fuel (2), restructuring and termination costs and loss on disposal of assets	5.61	5.74	(0.13)	(2.3)

(1) Excludes mark-to-market losses of (0.06) and gains 0.02 cents per ASM for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

(2) Excludes all components of fuel expense, including realized and unrealized mark-to-market gains and losses.

Our unit cost excluding fuel is down 2.3 percent for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010 primarily due to a significant increase in capacity which resulted in reductions in labor, aircraft rent, airport costs and other operating expenses on a per ASM basis.

Although our labor costs have increased 16.4 percent, our cost on a per unit basis decreased 2.6 percent compared to the first nine months of 2010. On a unit basis, our capacity growth and productivity gains outpaced our increased labor costs attributable to a greater headcount and higher contractual pay rates. The nine months ended September 30, 2010, also include a \$2.3 million return to work bonus as part of ratifying the new collective bargaining agreement.

We took delivery of three Airbus A320 aircraft subsequent to the third quarter of 2010 acquired under operating leases which drove the \$13.1 million increase in rent expense. The decrease of aircraft rent on a per ASM basis of 0.8 percent is primarily due to higher utilization of our aircraft in 2011 compared to 2010 due to the five-day pilot strike and added additional routes in 2011.

The increase of \$8.7 million or 28.7 percent in distribution expense is correlated to the increase in revenue which drives corresponding higher credit card fees as well as an increase in bookings period over period which drives increased distribution costs payable by us. The increase year over year was amplified by the fact that bookings were suppressed during the weeks leading into and including the June 2010 pilot strike. We also experienced a shift in our sales distribution channel from our website to third party travel agents which is more expensive than selling direct through our website. The shift in distribution mix did not materially affect operating income because the revenues received from sales through third party travel agents more than offset the associated incremental costs.

The following table shows our distribution channel usage:

	Nine months ended September 30,		Change
	2011	2010	
Website	66.6%	75.8%	(9.2)
Third-party travel agents	23.1	14.9	8.2
Call center	10.3	9.3	1.0

Maintenance, materials and repairs for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 increased by \$6.3 million on a dollar basis compared to the first nine months of 2010, and increased 9.7 percent on a per ASM basis. The average age of our fleet increased to 4.5 years as of September 30, 2011 compared to 3.8 as of September 30, 2010. Maintenance expense is expected to increase significantly as our fleet continues to age, resulting in the need for additional repairs over time.



---

## Table of Contents

We account for heavy maintenance under the deferral method. Under the deferral method, the cost of heavy maintenance is capitalized and amortized as a component of depreciation and amortization expense in the statement of operations until the next such heavy maintenance event. Amortization of engine overhaul costs was \$1.6 million and \$1.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. If engine overhaul costs were amortized within maintenance, materials, and repairs expense in the statement of operations, our maintenance, materials, and repairs expense would have been \$28.6 million and \$21.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Depreciation and amortization increased by \$1.0 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2011 primarily due to increases in capitalized heavy maintenance and capitalized software costs which in turn results in higher amortization expense compared to the same period of 2010. The increase of 14.3 percent on a per ASM basis is due to the increase of depreciating costs exceeding the growth of ASMs during the period.

Although other operating expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 increased by \$4.6 million on a dollar basis, it decreased by 9.7 percent on a per ASM basis compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2010. Increases in departures of 16.9 percent resulted in increases in variable operating costs such as ground handling expenses and travel and lodging expense in the first nine months of 2011 compared to the same period in 2010. These increases in variable costs, however, were offset by better operational performance and continued cost control efforts during the first nine months of 2011.

We incurred termination costs of \$2.3 million in connection with our initial public offering of common stock during the three months ended June 30, 2011, which included \$1.8 million paid to Indigo Partners, LLC to terminate its professional services agreement with us and \$0.5 million paid to three individual, unaffiliated holders of our subordinated notes.

### **Other (income) expense, net**

Interest expense decreased by \$13.6 million due to elimination of all debt on June 1, 2011 as a result of our IPO and recapitalization. We recorded \$1.6 million more capitalized interest in the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010 as a result of higher aircraft pre-delivery deposit balances in 2011 related to timing of scheduled delivery of our aircraft.

### **Income Taxes**

The effective tax rate for the first nine months of 2011 was approximately 38 percent. At September 30, 2010, we determined that, under generally accepted accounting principles the valuation allowance should be reduced by \$53.5 million, which we recognized as a deferred tax benefit in the third quarter of 2010.

## Table of Contents

### Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We have significant obligations for aircraft as all 35 of our aircraft were acquired under operating leases and therefore are not reflected on our balance sheets. These leases expire between 2017 and 2023. Aircraft rent payments were \$30.0 million and \$25.9 million, for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 respectively, and \$88.1 million and \$74.3 million, for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Our aircraft lease payments for 30 of our aircraft are fixed rate obligations. Five of our leases provide for variable rent payments, which fluctuate based on changes in LIBOR (London Interbank Offered Rate).

Our contractual purchase commitments consist primarily of aircraft and engine acquisitions through manufacturers and aircraft leasing companies. As of September 30, 2011, our firm orders consisted of 20 Airbus A320 aircraft, 13 Airbus A319 aircraft (which we are permitted to convert to A320 aircraft at our election), and five spare engines. Our aircraft are scheduled for delivery from November 2011 through 2015, and our spare engines are scheduled for delivery from 2012 through 2018. Committed expenditures for these aircraft and related flight equipment, including estimated amounts for contractual price escalations and aircraft pre-delivery deposits, will be approximately \$83 million for the remainder of 2011, \$313 million in 2012, \$322 million in 2013, \$301 million in 2014, \$354 million in 2015 and \$19 million in 2016 and beyond.

### Commitments and Contractual Obligations

The following table discloses aggregate information about our contractual obligations as of September 30, 2011 and the periods in which payments are due (in millions):

	<u>Total</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012 - 2013</u>	<u>2014 - 2015</u>	<u>Thereafter</u>
Operating lease obligations	\$1,219	\$ 32	\$ 297	\$ 297	\$ 593
Flight equipment purchase obligations	1,392	83	635	655	19
Total future payments on contractual obligations	\$2,611	\$115	\$ 932	\$ 952	\$ 612

---

## Table of Contents

### Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our total cash at September 30, 2011 was \$351.0 million, an improvement of \$268.3 million from December 31, 2010. During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, we completed our IPO which raised net proceeds of \$150 million after repayment of debt, payment of transaction expenses and payments of fees to certain unaffiliated holders of our Notes. Additionally during the nine months ended September 30, 2011, we amended our agreements with our credit card processors enabling us to reduce our restricted cash balance which was \$72.7 million at year-end 2010 to zero at September 30, 2011, thereby increasing our unrestricted cash balance. Our ongoing source of liquidity is cash provided by operations, with our primary uses of liquidity being working capital and capital expenditures.

In prior periods, restricted cash represented cash collateral related to a portion of our obligation to fulfill future flights, or air traffic liability (“ATL”), held by credit card processors. Our credit card processors have historically required holdbacks (collateral), which we record as restricted cash, when future air travel and other future services are purchased via credit card transactions. Our restricted cash balance at September 30, 2011 was eliminated, reflecting a complete release of all holdback requirements by all of our credit card processors provided that we continue to satisfy certain financial criteria. Failure to meet these liquidity covenants would provide the processors the right to reinstate a holdback, resulting in a commensurate reduction of unrestricted cash.

In recent years, our short-term capital needs have been funded primarily by cash from operations. Our most significant capital needs are to fund the acquisition costs of our aircraft and fund maintenance reserves on all aircraft we currently lease. Pre-delivery deposits (“PDP”) relating to future deliveries under our agreement with Airbus are required starting 24 months prior to each delivery date. In the nine months ended September 30, 2011, we have paid \$27.4 million in PDPs and have \$65.7 million on our balance sheet, representing the amount we have paid since inception, net of returns. Maintenance reserves are paid to aircraft lessors to be held as collateral in advance of our performance of major maintenance activities. In the nine months ended September 30, 2011, we have paid maintenance reserves of \$27.4 million and have \$159.4 million (\$39.6 million and \$119.8 million, within other current assets and prepaid aircraft maintenance to lessors, respectively) on our balance sheet, representing the amount we have paid in reserves since inception, net of reimbursements.

We have executed lease agreements for the sale and leaseback for the next seven A320 aircraft deliveries from our existing order with Airbus, involving deliveries currently scheduled between November 2011 and June 2012. These transactions, assuming they are completed on the terms reflected in the lease agreements, will result in an estimated \$31 million in losses of which we estimate \$1 million will be recognized in the fourth quarter of 2011 and the first quarter of 2012, and the remaining \$30 million will be deferred over the 12 year life of the respective leases as an increase to rent expense in accordance with US GAAP. We do not have financing commitments in place for the remaining 26 aircraft currently on order, which are scheduled for delivery in late 2012 through 2015. These future aircraft deliveries may be leased or otherwise financed based on market conditions, our prevailing level of liquidity, and capital market availability.

*Net Cash Flows Provided By Operating Activities.* Operating activities in the nine months ended September 30, 2011 provided \$149.5 million in cash compared to \$3.8 million in cash generated in 2010. The increase is primarily due to the release of our all holdbacks by our credit card processors and higher earnings during the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to 2010.

*Net Cash Flows Used In Investing Activities.* During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, investing activities used \$31.2 million, compared to \$16.3 million used for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. The increase mainly related to higher pre-delivery deposits made period over period due to timing of the delivery schedule for future aircraft, coupled with slightly higher capital expenditures for items other than aircraft in the nine months ended September 30, 2011. Additionally, during the nine months ended September 30, 2011, we completed a sale-leaseback transaction for a spare engine in which approximately \$1.0 million in returned pre-delivery deposits were received.

*Net Cash Provided By Financing Activities.* During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, we received \$176.9 million in proceeds, net of underwriting fees, from the IPO of which we retained \$150 million and used net proceeds of \$20.6 million to pay down shareholder debt. Remaining shareholder debt was exchanged for 30,079,420 newly issued shares of our common stock.

---

## Table of Contents

### GLOSSARY OF AIRLINE TERMS

Set forth below is a glossary of industry terms used in this prospectus:

“CASM, excluding restructuring and termination costs, loss on disposal of assets and mark-to-market loss” means operating expenses, excluding restructuring charges and termination costs, gains or losses on disposal of assets and mark-to-market gains or losses, divided by ASMs.

“CASM excluding fuel, restructuring and termination costs and loss on disposal of assets” means operating expenses less aircraft fuel expense and excluding restructuring charges and termination costs, gains or losses on disposal of assets, divided by ASMs.

“Air traffic liability” or “ATL” means the value of tickets sold in advance of travel.

“Available seat miles” or “ASMs” means the number of seats available for passengers multiplied by the number of miles the seats are flown, also referred to as capacity.

“Average aircraft” means the average number of aircraft used in flight operations, as calculated on a daily basis.

“Average daily aircraft utilization” means block hours divided by number of days in the period divided by average aircraft.

“Average economic fuel cost per gallon” means total aircraft fuel expense, excluding mark-to-market gains and losses, divided by the total number of fuel gallons consumed.

“Average non-ticket revenue per passenger flight segment” means the total non-ticket revenue divided by passengers.

“Average ticket revenue per passenger flight segment” means total passenger revenue divided by passengers.

“Average stage length” means the average number of miles flown per passenger flight segment.

“Average yield” means the average amount one passenger pays to fly one mile, calculated as total revenue divided by RPMs.

“Block hours” means the number of hours during which the aircraft is in revenue service, measured from the time of gate departure before take-off until the time of gate arrival at the destination.

“Capacity” also refers to ASMs.

“CASM” or “unit costs” means operating expenses divided by ASMs.

“DOT” means the United States Department of Transportation.

“Into-plane fuel cost per gallon” means into-plane fuel expense divided by number of fuel gallons consumed.

“Into-plane fuel expense” represents the cost of jet fuel and certain other charges such as fuel taxes and oil.

“Load factor” means the percentage of aircraft seats actually occupied on a flight (RPMs divided by ASMs).

“Operating revenue per ASM,” “RASM” or “unit revenue” means operating revenue divided by ASMs.

“Passenger flight segments” means the total number of passengers flown on all flight segments.

“PDP” means pre-delivery deposit payment.

“Revenue passenger miles” or “RPMs” means the number of miles flown by passengers, also referred to as traffic.

“Traffic” also refers to RPMs.

“ULCC” means “ultra low-cost carrier.”

---

## Table of Contents

### ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

#### Market Risk-Sensitive Instruments and Positions

We are subject to certain market risks, including commodity prices (specifically aircraft fuel). The adverse effects of changes in these markets could pose a potential loss as discussed below. The sensitivity analysis provided below does not consider the effects that such adverse changes may have on overall economic activity, nor does it consider additional actions we may take to mitigate our exposure to such changes. Actual results may differ.

*Aircraft Fuel*. Our results of operations can vary materially due to changes in the price and availability of aircraft fuel. Aircraft fuel expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 represented approximately 42.4 percent of our operating expenses. Increases in aircraft fuel prices or a shortage of supply could have a material adverse effect on our operations and operating results. We source a significant portion of our fuel from refining resources located in the southeast United States, particularly facilities adjacent to the Gulf of Mexico. Gulf Coast fuel is subject to volatility and supply disruptions, particularly during hurricane season when refinery shutdowns have occurred, or when the threat of weather related disruptions has caused Gulf Coast fuel prices to spike above other regional sources. During hurricane season (August through October), we often use basis swaps, priced using West Texas Intermediate or Heating Oil indexes, to protect the refining price risk between the price of crude oil and the price of refined jet fuel. In addition to other fuel derivative contracts, we have historically protected a portion of our forecasted fuel requirements during hurricane season using basis swaps. Gulf Coast Jet indexed fuel is the basis for a substantial majority of our fuel consumption. Based on our annual fuel consumption, a 10 percent increase in the average price per gallon of aircraft fuel for the last 12 months would have increased aircraft fuel expense by approximately \$39 million. To attempt to manage fuel price risk, from time to time we use jet fuel option contracts, swap agreements and basis swaps to mitigate a portion of the risk of between jet fuel price fluctuations. As of September 30, 2011, we had fuel hedges using US Gulf Coast jet fuel collars in place for approximately 38 and 18 percent of our estimated fuel consumption for the fourth quarter 2011 and first quarter 2012, respectively. Additionally, during hurricane season (August through October), we use basis swaps using NYMEX Heating Oil indexes, to protect the refining price risk between the price of crude oil and the price of refined jet fuel. As of September 30, 2011, we had approximately 23 percent of our fourth quarter forecasted fuel requirements protected using these basis swaps.

The fair value of our fuel derivative contracts as of December 31, 2009, December 31, 2010 and September 30, 2011 was a \$1.4 million, \$3.5 million and (\$0.9) million asset (liability), respectively. We measure our financial derivative instruments at fair value. Fair value of the instruments is determined using standard option valuation models. We measure the fair value of the derivative instruments based on either quoted market prices or values provided by the counterparty. Changes in the related commodity derivative instrument cash flows may change by more or less than this amount based upon further fluctuations in futures prices. Outstanding financial derivative instruments expose us to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by the counterparties to the agreements. However, we do not expect the counterparties to fail to meet their obligations. As of September 30, 2011, we believe the credit exposure related to these fuel forward contracts was negligible.

### ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of June 30, 2011. The term “disclosure controls and procedures,” as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act, means controls and other procedures of a company that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by a company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the SEC’s rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by a company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to the company’s management, including its principal executive and principal financial officers, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving their objectives and management necessarily applies its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures. Based on the evaluation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of September 30, 2011, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of such date, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective at the reasonable assurance level.

#### Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the nine months ended September 30, 2011 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

---

## Table of Contents

### PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

#### ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

In June 2011, we and a competitor, Allegiant Travel Services, LLC (“Allegiant,” and together with us, “Petitioners”), filed petitions of appeal in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit, requesting relief from certain aspects of a new rule adopted by the Department of Transportation (“DOT”), which rule is known as Enhancing Airline Passenger Protections Final Rule (“EAPP-2”). The petitions, filed by us on June 15, 2011 and by Allegiant on June 16, 2011, have been consolidated. The aspects of EAPP-2 that we and Allegiant have challenged include (i) a requirement to quote fares inclusive of government taxes and fees, rather than quoting such taxes and fees separately from the base fare, (ii) a requirement that travel reservations be held at the quoted fare without payment, or cancelled without penalty, for at least 24 hours after the reservation is made if the reservation is made one week or more prior to a flight’s departure, (iii) a prohibition on post-purchase price increases for products and services not purchased at the time of the initial booking, (iv) a requirement to deliver to passengers a list of all baggage allowances and pricing on any e-ticket or other electronic confirmation (despite already providing this information at the time of booking), and (v) a requirement to provide notice to passengers of flight schedule changes within 30 minutes after a carrier knows, or should have known, about such change. We consider these aspects of the EAPP-2 to present a risk of stifling competition and of significantly increasing prices in the airline industry, and we intend to pursue our appeal vigorously.

We are not currently a defendant or respondent in any material legal or administrative proceeding, nor, to our knowledge, is any material legal or administrative proceeding threatened against us or any of our subsidiaries. However, we are subject to commercial litigation claims and to administrative and regulatory proceedings and reviews that may be asserted or maintained from time to time. We believe that the ultimate outcome of currently pending lawsuits, proceedings and reviews will not, individually or in the aggregate, have a material adverse effect on our financial position, liquidity or results of operations.

#### ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

*You should carefully consider the risks described below and the other information in this report. If any of the following risks materialize, our business could be materially harmed, and our financial condition and results of operations could be materially and adversely affected. The risks described below are not the only ones facing us. Additional risks not currently known to us or that we currently believe are immaterial may also impair our business, results of operations, financial condition and liquidity.*

##### **We operate in an extremely competitive industry.**

We face significant competition with respect to routes, fares and services. Within the airline industry, we compete with traditional network airlines, other low-cost airlines and regional airlines on many of our routes. Competition in most of the destinations we presently serve is intense, due to the large number of carriers in those markets. Furthermore, other airlines may begin service or increase existing service on routes where we currently face no or little competition. Substantially all of our competitors are larger and have significantly greater financial and other resources than we do.

The airline industry is particularly susceptible to price discounting because once a flight is scheduled, airlines incur only nominal additional costs to provide service to passengers occupying otherwise unsold seats. Increased fare or other price competition could adversely affect our operations. Moreover, many other airlines have begun to unbundle services by charging separate fees for services such as baggage and advance seat selection. This unbundling and other cost reducing measures could enable competitor airlines to reduce fares on routes that we serve.

In addition, airlines increase or decrease capacity in markets based on perceived profitability. Decisions by our competitors that increase overall industry capacity, or capacity dedicated to a particular domestic or foreign region, market or route, especially increased capacity in and out of South Florida, could have a material adverse impact on our business. If a traditional network airline were to successfully develop a low-cost structure or if we were to experience increased competition from other low-cost carriers, our business could be materially adversely affected.

Our growth and the success of our ULCC business model could stimulate competition in our markets through our competitors’ development of their own ULCC strategies or new market entrants. Any such competitor may have greater financial resources and access to cheaper sources of capital than we do, which could enable them to operate their business with a lower cost structure than we can. If these competitors adopt and successfully execute a ULCC business model, we could be materially adversely affected.

There have been numerous mergers and acquisitions within the airline industry including, for example, the recent combinations of Delta Air Lines and Northwest Airlines, United Airlines and Continental Airlines, and Southwest Airlines and AirTran Airways. In the future, there may be additional mergers and acquisitions in our industry. Any business combination could significantly alter industry conditions and competition within the airline industry and could cause fares of our competitors to be reduced.

The extremely competitive nature of the airline industry could prevent us from attaining the level of passenger traffic or maintaining the level of fares or revenues related to ancillary services required to sustain profitable operations in new and existing markets and could impede our growth strategy, which could harm our operating results. Due to our relatively small size, we are susceptible to a fare war or other competitive activities in one or more of our key markets, including South Florida, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

---

## Table of Contents

### **Our low-cost structure is one of our primary competitive advantages, and many factors could affect our ability to control our costs.**

Our low-cost structure is one of our primary competitive advantages. However, we have limited control over many of our costs. For example, we have limited control over the price and availability of aircraft fuel, aviation insurance, airport and related infrastructure taxes, the cost of meeting changing regulatory requirements, and our cost to access capital or financing. In addition, the compensation and benefit costs applicable to a significant portion of our employees are established by the terms of our collective bargaining agreements. We cannot guarantee we will be able to maintain a cost advantage over our competitors. If our cost structure increases and we are no longer able to maintain a cost advantage over our competitors, it could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

### **The airline industry is heavily impacted by the price and availability of aircraft fuel. Continued volatility in fuel costs or significant disruptions in the supply of fuel, including hurricanes and other events affecting the Gulf Coast in particular, could materially adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.**

Aircraft fuel costs represent our single largest operating cost, accounting for 38.9 percent, 30.8 percent, 34.8 percent and 42.4 percent of our total operating expenses for 2008, 2009, 2010 and the nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively. As such, our operating results are significantly affected by changes in the availability and the cost of aircraft fuel, especially aircraft fuel refined in the U.S. Gulf Coast region, on which we are highly dependent. Both the cost and the availability of aircraft fuel are subject to many meteorological, economic and political factors and events occurring throughout the world, which we can neither control nor accurately predict. For example, a major hurricane making landfall along the Gulf Coast could cause disruption to oil production, refinery operations and pipeline capacity in that region, possibly resulting in significant increases in the price of aircraft fuel and diminished availability of aircraft fuel supplies. Any disruption to oil production, refinery operations or pipeline capacity in the Gulf Coast region could have a disproportionate impact on our operating results compared to other airlines that have more diversified fuel sources.

Aircraft fuel prices have been subject to high volatility, fluctuating substantially over the past several years and very sharply beginning in 2008. Due to the large proportion of aircraft fuel costs in our total operating cost base, even a relatively small increase in the price of aircraft fuel can have a significant negative impact on our operating costs and on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

### **Our fuel hedging strategy may not reduce our fuel costs.**

We enter into fuel derivative contracts in order to mitigate the risk to our business from future volatility in fuel prices. As of September 30, 2011, we had fuel hedges using US Gulf Coast jet fuel collars in place for approximately 38 and 18 percent of our estimated fuel consumption for the fourth quarter 2011 and first quarter 2012, respectively. Additionally, during hurricane season (August through October), we use basis swaps using NYMEX Heating Oil indexes, to protect the refining price risk between the price of crude oil and the price of refined jet fuel. As of September 30, 2011, we had approximately 23 percent of our fourth quarter forecasted fuel requirements protected using these basis swaps. There can be no assurance that we will be able to enter into fuel hedge contracts in the future. Our liquidity and general level of capital resources impacts our ability to hedge our fuel requirements. Even if we are able to hedge portions of our future fuel requirements, we cannot guarantee that our hedge contracts will provide sufficient protection against increased fuel costs or that our counterparties will be able to perform under our hedge contracts, such as in the case of a counterparty's insolvency. Furthermore, our ability to react to the cost of fuel, absent hedging, is limited since we set the price of tickets in advance of incurring fuel costs. Our ability to pass on any significant increases in aircraft fuel costs through fare increases could also be limited. Finally, it is currently unknown what impact the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act will have on collateral and margin requirements for fuel hedging, which could significantly impair our ability to hedge our fuel costs. As of September 30, 2011, the fair value of our fuel derivative contracts was a liability of (\$0.9) million. In the event of a further reduction in fuel prices as compared to our hedged position, our hedged positions could counteract the cost benefit of lower fuel prices and could require us to post additional cash margin collateral.



---

## Table of Contents

### **The airline industry is particularly sensitive to changes in economic conditions. Continued negative economic conditions or a reoccurrence of such conditions would negatively impact our business, results of operations and financial condition.**

Our business and the airline industry in general are affected by many changing economic conditions beyond our control, including, among others:

- changes and volatility in general economic conditions, including the severity and duration of any downturn in the United States or global economy and financial markets;
- changes in consumer preferences, perceptions, spending patterns or demographic trends, including any increased preference for higher-fare carriers offering higher amenity levels, and reduced preferences for low-fare carriers offering more basic transportation, during better economic times;
- higher levels of unemployment and varying levels of disposable or discretionary income;
- depressed housing and stock market prices; and
- lower levels of actual or perceived consumer confidence.

These factors can adversely affect, and from time to time have adversely affected, our results of operations, our ability to obtain financing on acceptable terms and our liquidity generally. Unfavorable general economic conditions, such as higher unemployment rates, a constrained credit market, housing-related pressures and increased focus on reducing business operating costs can reduce spending for leisure, visiting friends and relatives (“VFR”) and business travel. For many travelers, in particular the leisure and VFR travelers we serve, air transportation is a discretionary purchase that they may reduce or eliminate from their spending in difficult economic times. The overall decrease in demand for air transportation in the United States in 2008 and 2009 resulting from record high fuel prices and the economic recession required that we take significant steps to reduce our capacity, which reduced our revenues. Unfavorable economic conditions could also affect our ability to raise prices to counteract increased fuel, labor or other costs, resulting in a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

### **The airline industry faces ongoing security concerns and related cost burdens, further threatened or actual terrorist attacks or other hostilities could significantly harm our industry and our business.**

The terrorist attacks of September 11, 2001 and their aftermath negatively affected the airline industry. The primary effects experienced by the airline industry included:

- substantial loss of revenue and flight disruption costs caused by the grounding of all commercial air traffic in or headed to the United States by the Federal Aviation Administration, or FAA, for about three days after the terrorist attacks;
- increased security and insurance costs;
- increased concerns about future terrorist attacks;
- airport shutdowns and flight cancellations and delays due to security breaches and perceived safety threats; and
- significantly reduced passenger traffic and yields due to the subsequent dramatic drop in demand for air travel.

Since September 11, 2001, the Department of Homeland Security and the Transportation Security Administration, or TSA, have implemented numerous security measures that restrict airline operations and increase costs, and are likely to implement additional measures in the future. For example, following the widely publicized attempt of an alleged terrorist to detonate plastic explosives hidden underneath his clothes on a Northwest Airlines flight on Christmas Day in 2009, international passengers became subject to enhanced random screening, which may include pat-downs, explosive detection testing or body scans. Enhanced passenger screening, increased regulation governing carry-on baggage and other similar restrictions on passenger travel may further increase passenger inconvenience and reduce the demand for air travel. In addition, increased or enhanced security measures have tended to result in higher governmental fees imposed on airlines, resulting in higher operating costs for airlines which we may not be able to pass on to consumers in the form of higher prices. Any future terrorist attacks or attempted attacks, even if not made directly on the airline industry, or the fear of such attacks or other hostilities (including elevated national threat warnings or selective cancellation or redirection of flights due to terror threats) would likely have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition, and on the airline industry in general.



---

## Table of Contents

### **Restrictions on or increased taxes applicable to fees or other charges for ancillary products and services paid by airline passengers could harm our business, results of operations and financial condition.**

During 2008, 2009, 2010 and the nine months ended September 30, 2011, we generated non-ticket revenues of \$129.8 million, \$163.9 million, \$243.3 million and \$276.9 million, respectively. Our non-ticket revenues are generated from fees for, among other things, baggage, bookings through our call center or third-party vendors, advance seat selection, itinerary changes and loyalty programs. In April 2011, the U.S. Department of Transportation, or DOT, published a broad set of final rules relating to, among other things, how airlines handle interactions with passengers through advertising, the reservations process, at the airport and on board the aircraft. The final rules require airlines to publish to customers a full fare for a flight, including mandatory taxes and fees, and to enhance disclosure of the cost of optional products and services, including baggage charges. The rules restrict airlines from increasing ticket prices post-purchase (other than increases resulting from changes in government-imposed fees or taxes) and increase significantly the amount and scope of compensation payable to passengers involuntarily denied boarding due to oversales. The final rules also extend the applicability of tarmac delay reporting and penalties to include international flights and provide that reservations made more than one week prior to flight date may be held at the quoted fare without payment, or cancelled without penalty, for 24 hours. Most of these new rules are scheduled to become effective 2011, although the DOT has extended the effective date for certain aspects that are the subject of current litigation (in which we are a party) to January 2012. We are evaluating the actions we will be required to take to implement them, and we cannot assure you that such compliance will not have a material adverse effect on our business. In addition, the U.S. Congress and Federal administrative agencies have undertaken investigations of the airline industry practice of unbundling services, including public hearings held in July 2010. If new taxes are imposed on non-ticket revenues, or if other laws or regulations are adopted that make unbundling of services impermissible, or more cumbersome or expensive than the new rules described above, our business, results of operations and financial condition could be harmed. Congressional and other government scrutiny may also change industry practice or public willingness to pay for ancillary services.

### **Airlines are often affected by factors beyond their control including: air traffic congestion at airports; air traffic control inefficiencies; weather conditions, such as hurricanes or blizzards; increased security measures; new travel related taxes or the outbreak of disease, any of which could harm our business, operating results and financial condition.**

Like other airlines, we are subject to delays caused by factors beyond our control, including air traffic congestion at airports, air traffic control inefficiencies, adverse weather conditions, increased security measures, new travel related taxes and the outbreak of disease. Delays frustrate passengers and increase costs, which in turn could adversely affect profitability. The federal government singularly controls all U.S. airspace, and airlines are completely dependent on the FAA to operate that airspace in a safe, efficient and affordable manner. The air traffic control system, which is operated by the FAA, faces challenges in managing the growing demand for U.S. air travel. U.S. and foreign air-traffic controllers often rely on outdated technologies that routinely overwhelm the system and compel airlines to fly inefficient, indirect routes resulting in delays. Adverse weather conditions and natural disasters, such as hurricanes affecting southern Florida and the Caribbean, winter snowstorms affecting the Northeast United States, or the January 2010 earthquake in Port-au-Prince, Haiti, can cause flight cancellations or significant delays. Cancellations or delays due to weather conditions or natural disasters, air traffic control problems, breaches in security or other factors could harm our business, results of operations and financial condition. Similarly, outbreaks of pandemic or contagious diseases, such as avian flu, severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) and H1N1 (swine) flu, could result in significant decreases in passenger traffic and the imposition of government restrictions in service and could have a material adverse impact on the airline industry. Increased travel taxes, such as the Travel Promotion Act, enacted March 10, 2010, which charges visitors from certain countries a \$10 fee every two years to travel into the United States to subsidize certain travel promotion efforts, could also result in decreases in passenger traffic. Any general reduction in airline passenger traffic could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

---

## Table of Contents

### **Restrictions on or litigation regarding third-party membership discount programs could harm our business, operating results and financial condition.**

We generate a relatively small but growing portion of our revenue from order referral fees, revenue share and other fees paid to us by third-party merchants for customer click-throughs, distribution of third-party promotional materials and referrals arising from products and services of the third-party merchants that we offer to our customers on our website. Some of these third-party referral-based offers are for memberships in discount programs or similar promotions made to customers who have purchased products from us, and for which we receive a payment from the third-party merchants for every customer that accepts the promotion. Certain of these third-party membership discount programs have been the subject of consumer complaints, litigation and regulatory actions alleging that the enrollment and billing practices involved in the programs violate various consumer protection laws or are otherwise deceptive. Any private or governmental claim or action that may be brought against us in the future relating to these third-party membership programs could result in our being obligated to pay damages or incurring legal fees in defending claims. These damages and fees could be disproportionate to the revenues we generate through these relationships. In addition, customer dissatisfaction or a significant reduction in or termination of the membership discount offers on our website as a result of these claims could have a negative impact on our brand, and have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

### **We face competition from air travel substitutes.**

In addition to airline competition from traditional network airlines, other low-cost airlines and regional airlines, we also face competition from air travel substitutes. On our domestic routes, we face competition from some other transportation alternatives, such as bus, train or automobile. In addition, technology advancements may limit the desire for air travel. For example, video conferencing and other methods of electronic communication may reduce the need for in-person communication and add a new dimension of competition to the industry as travelers seek lower-cost substitutes for air travel. If we are unable to adjust rapidly in the event the basis of competition in our markets changes, it could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

### **Increased labor costs, union disputes, employee strikes and other labor-related disruption may adversely affect our operations.**

Our business is labor intensive, with labor costs representing approximately 19.1 percent, 23.0 percent, 22.0 percent and 19.3 percent of our total operating costs for 2008, 2009, 2010 and for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively. As of September 30, 2011, approximately 51 percent of our workforce was represented by labor unions and thereby covered by collective bargaining agreements. We cannot assure you that our labor costs going forward will remain competitive because in the future our labor agreements may be amended or become amendable and new agreements could have terms with higher labor costs; one or more of our competitors may significantly reduce their labor costs, thereby reducing or eliminating our comparative advantages as to one or more of such competitors; or our labor costs may increase in connection with our growth. We may also become subject to additional collective bargaining agreements in the future as non-unionized workers may unionize.

Relations between air carriers and labor unions in the United States are governed by the Railway Labor Act, or the RLA. Under the RLA, collective bargaining agreements generally contain “amendable dates” rather than expiration dates, and the RLA requires that a carrier maintain the existing terms and conditions of employment following the amendable date through a multi-stage and usually lengthy series of bargaining processes overseen by the National Mediation Board, or the NMB. This process continues until either the parties have reached agreement on a new collective bargaining agreement, or the parties have been released to “self-help” by the NMB. In most circumstances, the RLA prohibits strikes; however, after release by the NMB, carriers and unions are free to engage in self-help measures such as lockouts and strikes.

Our flight operations were shut down due to a strike by our pilots beginning on June 12, 2010 and lasting until we and the union representing our pilots reached a tentative agreement for a new contract. Under a Return to Work Agreement, we began to resume flights on June 17, 2010 and resumed our full flight schedule on June 18, 2010. On August 1, 2010, we and the pilots’ union executed a new five-year collective bargaining agreement. This shutdown had a material adverse effect on our results of operations for 2010.

Our collective bargaining agreement with our flight attendants became amendable in August 2007, and we are currently engaged in negotiations with the union representing our flight attendants. Our collective bargaining agreement with our dispatchers becomes amendable in July 2012. The outcome of our collective bargaining negotiations cannot presently be determined and the terms and conditions of our future collective bargaining agreements may be affected by the results of collective bargaining negotiations at other airlines that may have a greater ability, due to larger scale, greater efficiency or other factors, to bear higher costs than we can. The need for workforce reductions and wage and

---

## Table of Contents

benefit concessions in the current adverse economic environment may have an adverse effect on our labor relations and employee morale. In addition, if we are unable to reach agreement with any of our unionized work groups on future negotiations regarding the terms of their collective bargaining agreements, we may be subject to work interruptions or stoppages. Any such action or other labor dispute with unionized employees could disrupt our operations, reduce our profitability, or interfere with the ability of our management to focus on executing our business strategies. Our business, results of operations and financial condition may be materially adversely affected based on the outcome of our negotiations with the union representing our flight attendants.

### **We have a significant amount of aircraft-related fixed obligations that could impair our liquidity and thereby harm our business, results of operations and financial condition.**

The airline business is capital intensive and, as a result, many airline companies are highly leveraged. All of our aircraft are leased, and in 2010 we paid the lessors rent of \$103.4 million and maintenance deposits net of reimbursements of \$35.7 million. In the nine months ended September 30, 2011, we paid the lessors rent of \$88.1 million and maintenance deposits net of reimbursements of \$27.4 million. As of September 30, 2011, we had future operating lease obligations of approximately \$1.2 billion. In addition, we have significant obligations for aircraft and spare engines that that we have ordered from Airbus and International Aero Engines AG, or IAE, for delivery over the next five years. Our ability to pay the fixed costs associated with our contractual obligations will depend on our operating performance and cash flow, which will in turn depend on, among other things, the success of our current business strategy, whether fuel prices continue at current price levels and/or further increase or decrease, further weakening or improving in the U.S. economy, as well as general economic and political conditions and other factors that are, to some extent, beyond our control. The amount of our aircraft related fixed obligations could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition and could:

- require a substantial portion of cash flow from operations for operating lease and maintenance deposit payments, thereby reducing the availability of our cash flow to fund working capital, capital expenditures and other general corporate purposes;
- limit our ability to make required pre-delivery deposit payments, or PDPs, to Airbus or IAE for our aircraft and spare engines on order;
- limit our ability to obtain additional financing to support our expansion plans and for working capital and other purposes on acceptable terms or at all;
- make it more difficult for us to pay our other obligations as they become due during adverse general economic and market industry conditions because any related decrease in revenues could cause us to not have sufficient cash flows from operations to make our scheduled payments;
- reduce our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business and the airline industry and, consequently, place us at a competitive disadvantage to our competitors with less fixed payment obligations; and
- cause us to lose access to one or more aircraft and forfeit our rent deposits if we are unable to make our required aircraft lease rental payments and our lessors exercise their remedies under the lease agreement including under cross default provisions in certain of our leases.

A failure to pay our operating lease and other fixed cost obligations or a breach of our contractual obligations could result in a variety of adverse consequences, including the exercise of remedies by our creditors and lessors. In such a situation, it is unlikely that we would be able to fulfill our obligations, make required lease payments or otherwise cover our fixed costs, which would have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

### **We are highly dependent upon our cash balances and operating cash flows.**

As of September 30, 2011, we had access to lines of credit from two counterparties to our jet fuel derivatives and our purchase credit card issuer aggregating \$15.6 million. These credit facilities are not adequate to finance our operations, and we will continue to be dependent on our operating cash flows and cash balances to fund our operations and to make scheduled payments on our aircraft related fixed obligations. Although under our current credit facilities our credit card processors do not have a right to hold back certain credit card receipts to cover repayment to customers, if we fail to maintain certain liquidity covenants, their rights to holdback would be reinstated, which would result in a reduction of unrestricted cash. In addition, we are required by our aircraft lessors to fund reserves in cash in advance for scheduled maintenance, and a portion of our cash is therefore unavailable until after we have completed the scheduled maintenance in accordance with the terms of the operating leases. Based on the age of our fleet and our growth strategy, these maintenance deposits will increase over the next few years before we receive any significant reimbursement for completed maintenance. If we fail to generate sufficient funds from operations to meet our operating cash requirements or do not obtain a line of credit, other borrowing facility or equity financing, we could default on our operating lease and fixed

---

## Table of Contents

obligations. Our inability to meet our obligations as they become due would have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

### **Our ability to obtain financing or access capital markets may be limited.**

We have significant obligations for aircraft and spare engines that we have ordered from Airbus and IAE over the next five years and we will need to finance these purchases. We may not have sufficient liquidity or creditworthiness to fund the purchase of aircraft and engines, including payment of PDPs, or for other working capital. Factors that affect our ability to raise financing or access the capital markets include market conditions in the airline industry, economic conditions, the level and volatility of our earnings, our relative competitive position in the markets in which we operate, our ability to retain key personnel, our operating cash flows, and legal and regulatory developments. Regardless of our creditworthiness, at times the market for aircraft purchase or lease financing has been very constrained due to such factors as the general state of the capital markets and the financial position of the major providers of commercial aircraft financing.

### **Our liquidity and general level of capital resources impact our ability to hedge our fuel requirements.**

As of September 30, 2011, we had fuel hedges using US Gulf Coast jet fuel collars in place for approximately 38 and 18 percent of our estimated fuel consumption for the fourth quarter 2011 and first quarter 2012, respectively. Additionally, during hurricane season (August through October), we use basis swaps using NYMEX Heating Oil indexes, to protect the refining price risk between the price of crude oil and the price of refined jet fuel. As of September 30, 2011, we had approximately 23 percent of our fourth quarter forecasted fuel requirements protected using these basis swaps. While we intend to hedge a portion of our future fuel requirements, there can be no assurance that, at any given time, we will be able to enter into hedge contracts. In the past we have not had and in the future we may not have sufficient creditworthiness or liquidity to post the collateral necessary to hedge our fuel requirements. Even if we are able to hedge portions of our future fuel requirements, we cannot guarantee that our hedge contracts will provide any particular level of protection against increased fuel costs or that our counterparties will be able to perform under our hedge contracts, such as in the case of a counterparty's insolvency. Furthermore, our ability to react to the cost of fuel, absent hedging, is limited, because we set the price of tickets in advance of knowing our fuel costs at the time the tickets are flown. Our ability to pass on any significant increases in aircraft fuel costs through fare increases could also be limited.

### **We rely on maintaining a high daily aircraft utilization rate to implement our low-cost structure, which makes us especially vulnerable to flight delays or cancellations or aircraft unavailability.**

We maintain a high daily aircraft utilization rate. Our average daily aircraft utilization was 12.6 hours, 13.0 hours, 12.8 hours and 12.9 hours for 2008, 2009, 2010 and the nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively. Aircraft utilization is the average amount of time per day that our aircraft spend carrying passengers. Our revenue per aircraft can be increased by high daily aircraft utilization, which is achieved in part by reducing turnaround times at airports, so we can fly more hours on average in a day. Aircraft utilization is reduced by delays and cancellations from various factors, many of which are beyond our control, including air traffic congestion at airports or other air traffic control problems, adverse weather conditions, increased security measures or breaches in security, international or domestic conflicts, terrorist activity, or other changes in business conditions. The majority of our operations are concentrated in markets such as South Florida, the Caribbean, Latin America and the Northeast United States, which are particularly vulnerable to weather, airport traffic constraints and other delays. In addition, pulling aircraft out of service for unscheduled and scheduled maintenance, which will increase as our fleet ages, may materially reduce our average fleet utilization and require that we seek short-term substitute capacity at increased costs. Due to the relatively small size of our fleet and high daily aircraft utilization rate, the unavailability of one or more aircraft and resulting reduced capacity could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

### **Our maintenance costs will increase as our fleet ages, and we will periodically incur substantial maintenance costs due to the maintenance schedules of our aircraft fleet.**

As of September 30, 2011, the average age of our aircraft was approximately 4.5 years. Our relatively new aircraft require less maintenance now than they will in the future. Our fleet will require more maintenance as it ages and our maintenance and repair expenses for each of our aircraft will be incurred at approximately the same intervals. Moreover, because our current fleet was acquired over a relatively short period, significant maintenance that is scheduled on each of these planes will occur at roughly the same time, meaning we will incur our most expensive scheduled maintenance obligations, known as heavy maintenance, across our present fleet around the same time. These more significant maintenance activities result in out-of service periods during which our aircraft are dedicated to maintenance activities and unavailable to fly revenue service. In addition, the terms of our lease agreements require us to pay supplemental rent, also known as maintenance reserves, to be paid to the lessor in advance of the performance of major maintenance, resulting in our recording significant prepaid deposits on our balance sheet. We expect scheduled and unscheduled

---

## Table of Contents

aircraft maintenance expenses to increase as a percentage of our revenue over the next several years. Any significant increase in maintenance and repair expenses would have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

### **Our lack of marketing alliances could harm our business.**

Many airlines, including the domestic traditional network airlines (American, Delta, United and US Airways) have marketing alliances with other airlines, under which they market and advertise their status as marketing alliance partners. These alliances, such as OneWorld, SkyTeam and Star Alliance, generally provide for code-sharing, frequent flier program reciprocity, coordinated scheduling of flights to permit convenient connections and other joint marketing activities. Such arrangements permit an airline to market flights operated by other alliance members as its own. This increases the destinations, connections and frequencies offered by the airline, and provides an opportunity to increase traffic on that airline's segment of flights connecting with alliance partners. We currently do not have any alliances with U.S. or foreign airlines. Our lack of marketing alliances puts us at a competitive disadvantage to traditional network carriers, whose ability to attract passengers through more widespread alliances, particularly on international routes, and may have a material adverse effect on our passenger traffic, business, results of operations and financial condition.

### **We are subject to extensive regulation by the Federal Aviation Administration, the Department of Transportation, and other U.S. and foreign governmental agencies, compliance with which could cause us to incur increased costs and adversely affect our business and financial results.**

Airlines are subject to extensive regulatory and legal compliance requirements, both domestically and internationally, that involve significant costs. In the last several years, Congress has passed laws, and the DOT, FAA and TSA have issued regulations, relating to the operation of airlines that have required significant expenditures. We expect to continue to incur expenses in connection with complying with government regulations. Additional laws, regulations, taxes and airport rates and charges have been proposed from time to time that could significantly increase the cost of airline operations or reduce the demand for air travel. If adopted, these measures could have the effect of raising ticket prices, reducing revenue and increasing costs. For example, the DOT finalized rules, effective on April 29, 2010, requiring new procedures for customer handling during long onboard tarmac delays, as well as additional reporting requirements for airlines that could increase the cost of airline operations or reduce revenues. The DOT has been aggressively investigating alleged violations of the new rules. In addition, a second set of DOT final rules, which became effective beginning in late August 2011, addresses, among other things, concerns about how airlines handle interactions with passengers through advertising, the reservations process, at the airport and on board the aircraft, including requirements for disclosure of base fares plus a set of regulatorily dictated options and limits on cancellations and change fees. On August 3, 2010, the Airline Baggage Transparency and Accountability Act was introduced in the United States Senate. This legislation, if enacted, would increase disclosure regarding fees for airline ticket sales, impose federal taxes on charges for carry-on and checked baggage, authorize the Department of Transportation's Aviation Consumer Protection Division to oversee lost and stolen baggage claims, and require data collection and the public release of collected data concerning airline handling of lost, damaged and stolen luggage. More recently, the United States Senate passed an amendment to the FAA reauthorization bill that, if enacted, would impose federal taxes at a rate of 7.5 percent on charges for carry-on baggage. If the Airline Baggage Transparency and Accountability Act, the Senate amendment to the FAA reauthorization bill or similar legislation were to be enacted, it is uncertain what effect it would have on our results of operations and financial condition.

We cannot assure you that these and other laws or regulations enacted in the future will not harm our business. In addition, the TSA mandates the federalization of certain airport security procedures and imposes additional security requirements on airports and airlines, most of which are funded by a per ticket tax on passengers and a tax on airlines. The federal government has on several occasions proposed a significant increase in the per ticket tax. The proposed ticket tax increase, if implemented, could negatively impact our financial results.

Our ability to operate as an airline is dependent on our maintaining certifications issued to us by the DOT and the FAA. The FAA has the authority to issue mandatory orders relating to, among other things, the grounding of aircraft, inspection of aircraft, installation of new safety-related items and removal and replacement of aircraft parts that have failed or may fail in the future. A decision by the FAA to ground, or require time consuming inspections of or maintenance on, our aircraft, for any reason, could negatively affect our business and financial results. Federal law requires that air carriers operating large aircraft be continuously "fit, willing and able" to provide the services for which they are licensed. Our "fitness" is monitored by the DOT, which considers factors such as unfair or deceptive competition, advertising, baggage liability and disabled passenger transportation. While the DOT has seldom revoked a carrier's certification for lack of fitness, such an occurrence would render it impossible for us to continue operating as an airline. The DOT may also institute investigations or administrative proceedings against airlines for violations of regulations. In 2009, we entered into a consent order with the DOT for our procedures for bumping passengers from oversold flights and our handling of lost or damaged baggage. Under the consent order, we were assessed a civil penalty of \$375,000, of which we were required to pay \$215,000 based on an agreement with the DOT, subject to our not having similar violations in the year after the date of the consent order.



---

## Table of Contents

International routes are regulated by treaties and related agreements between the United States and foreign governments. Our ability to operate international routes is subject to change because the applicable arrangements between the United States and foreign governments may be amended from time to time. Our access to new international markets may be limited by our ability to obtain the necessary certificates to fly the international routes. In addition, our operations in foreign countries are subject to regulation by foreign governments and our business may be affected by changes in law and future actions taken by such governments, including granting or withdrawal of government approvals and restrictions on competitive practices. We are subject to numerous foreign regulations based on the large number of countries outside the United States where we currently provide service. If we are not able to comply with this complex regulatory regime, our business could be significantly harmed.

### **We may not be able to implement our growth strategy.**

Our growth strategy includes acquiring additional aircraft, increasing the frequency of flights and size of aircraft used in markets we currently serve and expanding the number of markets we serve where our low-cost structure would likely be successful. Effectively implementing our growth strategy is critical for our business to achieve economies of scale and to sustain or increase our profitability. We face numerous challenges in implementing our growth strategy, including our ability to:

- maintain profitability;
- obtain financing to acquire new aircraft;
- access airports located in our targeted geographic markets where we can operate routes in a manner that is consistent with our cost strategy;
- gain access to international routes; and
- access sufficient gates and other services at airports we currently serve or may seek to serve.

Our growth is dependent upon our ability to maintain a safe and secure operation and requires additional personnel, equipment and facilities. An inability to hire and retain personnel, timely secure the required equipment and facilities in a cost-effective manner, efficiently operate our expanded facilities or obtain the necessary regulatory approvals may adversely affect our ability to achieve our growth strategy, which could harm our business. In addition, expansion to new markets may have other risks due to factors specific to those markets. We may be unable to foresee all of the risks attendant upon entering certain new markets or respond adequately to these risks, and our growth strategy and our business may suffer as a result. In addition, our competitors may reduce their fares and/or offer special promotions following our entry into a new market. We cannot assure you that we will be able to profitably expand our existing markets or establish new markets.

Our principal target growth markets in the Caribbean and Latin America include countries with less developed economies that may be vulnerable to unstable economic and political conditions, such as significant fluctuations in gross domestic product, interest and currency exchange rates, civil disturbances, government instability, nationalization and expropriation of private assets and the imposition of taxes or other charges by governments. The occurrence of any of these events in markets served by us and the resulting instability may adversely affect our ability to implement our growth strategy.

In 2008, in response to record high fuel prices and rapidly deteriorating economic conditions, we modified our growth plans by terminating our leases for seven aircraft. We incurred significant expenses relating to our lease terminations, and have incurred additional expenses to acquire new aircraft in place of those under the terminated leases as we expand our network. We may in the future determine to reduce further our future growth plans from previously announced levels, which may impact our business strategy and future profitability.

### **We rely heavily on technology and automated systems to operate our business and any failure of these technologies or systems or failure by their operators could harm our business.**

We are highly dependent on technology and automated systems to operate our business and achieve low operating costs. These technologies and systems include our computerized airline reservation system, flight operations system, financial planning, management and accounting system, telecommunications systems, website, maintenance systems and check-in kiosks. In order for our operations to work efficiently, our website and reservation system must be able to accommodate a high volume of traffic, maintain secure information and deliver flight information. Substantially all of our tickets are issued to passengers as electronic tickets. We depend on our reservation system, which is hosted and

---

## Table of Contents

maintained under a long-term contract by a third-party service provider, to be able to issue, track and accept these electronic tickets. If our reservation system fails or experiences interruptions, and we are unable to book seats for any period of time, we could lose a significant amount of revenue as customers book seats on competing airlines. We have experienced short duration reservation system outages from time to time and may experience similar outages in the future. For example, in November 2010, we experienced a significant service outage with our third-party reservation service provider on the day before Thanksgiving, one of the industry's busiest travel days. We also rely on third-party service providers of our other automated systems for technical support, system maintenance and software upgrades. If our automated systems are not functioning or if the current providers were to fail to adequately provide technical support for any one of our key existing systems, we could experience service disruptions, which could harm our business and result in the loss of important data, increase our expenses and decrease our revenues. In the event that one or more of our primary technology or systems' vendors goes into bankruptcy, ceases operations or fails to perform as promised, replacement services may not be readily available on a timely basis, at competitive rates or at all and any transition time to a new system may be significant.

In addition, our automated systems cannot be completely protected against events that are beyond our control, including natural disasters, computer viruses or telecommunications failures. Substantial or sustained system failures could cause service delays or failures and result in our customers purchasing tickets from other airlines. We have implemented security measures and change control procedures and have disaster recovery plans; however, we cannot assure you that these measures are adequate to prevent disruptions. Disruption in, changes to or a breach of, these systems could result in a disruption to our business and the loss of important data. Any of the foregoing could result in a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

### **Our processing, storage, use and disclosure of personal data could give rise to liabilities as a result of governmental regulation.**

In the processing of our customer transactions, we receive, process, transmit and store a large volume of identifiable personal data, including financial data such as credit card information. This data is increasingly subject to legislation and regulation, typically intended to protect the privacy of personal data that is collected, processed and transmitted. More generally, we rely on consumer confidence in the security of our system, including our internet site on which we sell the majority of our tickets. Our business, results of operations and financial condition could be adversely affected if we are unable to comply with existing privacy obligations or legislation or regulations are expanded to require changes in our business practices.

### **We may not be able to maintain or grow our non-ticket revenues.**

Our business strategy includes expanding our portfolio of ancillary products and services. There can be no assurance that passengers will pay for additional ancillary products and services or that passengers will continue to choose to pay for the ancillary products and services we currently offer. Further, regulating initiatives could adversely affect ancillary revenue opportunities. Failure to maintain our non-ticket revenues would have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition. Furthermore, if we are unable to maintain and grow our non-ticket revenues, we may not be able to execute our strategy to continue to lower base fares in order to stimulate demand for air travel.

### **Our inability to expand or operate reliably or efficiently out of Fort Lauderdale–Hollywood International Airport, an airport on which we are highly dependent, could harm our business, results of operations and financial condition.**

We are highly dependent on markets served from South Florida, where we maintain a large presence with, as of September 30, 2011, approximately 47.6 percent of our daily flights either departing from or arriving at Fort Lauderdale — Hollywood International Airport, or FLL Airport. We operate out of the only international terminal at FLL Airport, Terminal 4. FLL Airport is in the process of a renovation project, which includes the expansion of Terminal 4. The airport expansion would allow us to increase the number of routes we serve from FLL Airport (although the expansion could also increase the number of routes our competitors serve from FLL Airport). If the airport expansion does not occur or is delayed, however, our expansion strategy may be impeded. In addition, FLL Airport presently has relatively low costs and there is no guarantee that the fees and other costs related to operating out of FLL Airport will not increase. Our operating performance and results of operations could be harmed by an increase in fees charged by the airport. If we are unable to operate reliably or efficiently from FLL Airport, we may need to move our South Florida operations to a smaller or more expensive area airport.

---

## Table of Contents

### **Changes in how we or others are permitted to operate at airports, including FLL Airport, could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.**

Our results of operations may be affected by actions taken by governmental or other agencies or authorities having jurisdiction over our operations at airports, including, but not limited to:

- increases in airport rates and charges;
- limitations on take-off and landing slots, airport gate capacity or other use of airport facilities;
- termination of our airport use agreements, some of which can be terminated by airport authorities with little notice to us;
- increases in airport capacity that could facilitate increased competition, such as the planned expansion of the international terminal at FLL Airport;
- international travel regulations such as customs and immigration;
- increases in taxes;
- changes in the law that affect the services that can be offered by airlines in particular markets and at particular airports;
- restrictions on competitive practices;
- the adoption of statutes or regulations that impact customer service standards, including security standards; and
- the adoption of more restrictive locally-imposed noise regulations or curfews.

In general, any changes in airport operations could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

### **We rely on third-party service providers to perform functions integral to our operations.**

We have entered into agreements with third-party service providers to furnish certain facilities and services required for our operations, including ground handling, catering, passenger handling, engineering, maintenance, refueling, reservations and airport facilities as well as administrative and support services. We are likely to enter into similar service agreements in new markets we decide to enter, and there can be no assurance that we will be able to obtain the necessary services at acceptable rates.

Although we seek to monitor the performance of third parties that provide us with our reservation system, ground handling, catering, passenger handling, engineering, maintenance services, refueling and airport facilities, the efficiency, timeliness and quality of contract performance by third-party service providers are often beyond our control, and any failure by our service providers to perform their contracts may have an adverse impact on our business and operations. For example, in 2008, our call center provider went bankrupt. Though we were able to quickly switch to an alternative vendor, we experienced a significant business disruption during the transition period and a similar disruption could occur in the future. We expect to be dependent on such third-party arrangements for the foreseeable future.

### **We rely on third-party distribution channels to distribute a portion of our airline tickets .**

We rely on third-party distribution channels, including those provided by or through global distribution systems, or GDSs (e.g., Amadeus, Galileo, Sabre and Worldspan), conventional travel agents and online travel agents, or OTAs (e.g., Orbitz and Travelocity), to distribute a portion of our airline tickets, and we expect in the future to rely on these channels to an increasing extent to collect ancillary revenues, such as seat selection fees. These distribution channels are more expensive and at present have less functionality in respect of ancillary revenues than those we operate ourselves, such as our call centers and our website. Certain of these distribution channels also effectively restrict the manner in which we distribute our products generally. To remain competitive, we will need to manage successfully our distribution costs and rights, and improve the functionality of third-party distribution channels, while maintaining an industry-competitive cost structure. Negotiations with key GDSs and OTAs designed to manage our costs, increase our distribution flexibility and improve functionality could be contentious, could result in diminished or less favorable distribution of our tickets, and may not provide the functionality we require to maximize ancillary revenues. Any inability to manage our third-party distribution costs, rights and functionality at a competitive level or any material diminishment in the distribution of our tickets could have a material adverse effect on our competitive position and our results of operations.

### **We rely on a single service provider for our fuel.**

As of September 30, 2011, we purchased all of our aircraft fuel under a single fuel service contract with World Fuel Services Corporation. A failure by this provider to fulfill its obligations could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.



---

## Table of Contents

### **Our reputation and business could be adversely affected in the event of an emergency, accident or similar incident involving our aircraft.**

We are exposed to potential significant losses in the event that any of our aircraft is subject to an emergency, accident, terrorist incident or other similar incident, and significant costs related to passenger claims, repairs or replacement of a damaged aircraft and its temporary or permanent loss from service. There can be no assurance that we will not be affected by such events or that the amount of our insurance coverage will be adequate in the event such circumstances arise and any such event could cause a substantial increase in our insurance premiums. In addition, any future aircraft emergency, accident or similar incident, even if fully covered by insurance or even if it does not involve our airline, may create a public perception that our airline or the equipment we fly is less safe or reliable than other transportation alternatives, which could have an adverse impact on our reputation and could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

### **Negative publicity regarding our customer service could have a material adverse effect on our business.**

In the past we have experienced a relatively high number of customer complaints related to, among other things, our customer service, reservations and ticketing systems and baggage handling. In particular, we generally experience a higher volume of complaints when we make changes to our unbundling policies, such as charging for baggage. In addition, in 2009, we entered into a consent order with the DOT for our procedures for bumping passengers from oversold flights and our handling of lost or damaged baggage. Under the consent order, we were assessed a civil penalty of \$375,000, of which we were required to pay \$215,000 based on an agreement with the DOT and our not having similar violations in the year after the date of the consent order. Our reputation and business could be materially adversely affected if we fail to meet customers' expectations with respect to customer service or if we are perceived by our customers to provide poor customer service.

### **We depend on a limited number of suppliers for our aircraft and engines.**

One of the elements of our business strategy is to save costs by operating an aircraft fleet consisting solely of Airbus A320-family, single-aisle aircraft, powered by engines manufactured by IAE. We currently intend to continue to rely exclusively on these aircraft and engine manufacturers for the foreseeable future. If Airbus or IAE becomes unable to perform its contractual obligations, or if we are unable to acquire or lease aircraft or engines from other owners, operators or lessors on acceptable terms, we would have to find other suppliers for a similar type of aircraft or engine. If we have to lease or purchase aircraft from another supplier, we would lose the significant benefits we derive from our current single fleet composition. We may also incur substantial transition costs, including costs associated with retraining our employees, replacing our manuals and adapting our facilities and maintenance programs. Our operations could also be harmed by the failure or inability of aircraft, engine and parts suppliers to provide sufficient spare parts or related support services on a timely basis. Our business would be significantly harmed if a design defect or mechanical problem with any of the types of aircraft or components that we operate were discovered that would ground any of our aircraft while the defect or problem was corrected, assuming it could be corrected at all. The use of our aircraft could be suspended or restricted by regulatory authorities in the event of any actual or perceived mechanical or design problems. Our business would also be significantly harmed if the public began to avoid flying with us due to an adverse perception of the types of aircraft that we operate stemming from safety concerns or other problems, whether real or perceived, or in the event of an accident involving those types of aircraft or components. Carriers that operate a more diversified fleet are better positioned than we are to manage such events.

---

## Table of Contents

### **Reduction in demand for air transportation, or governmental reduction or limitation of operating capacity, in the South Florida, Caribbean or Latin American markets could harm our business, results of operations and financial condition.**

A significant portion of our operations are conducted to and from the South Florida, Caribbean or Latin American markets. Our business, results of operations and financial condition could be harmed if we lost our authority to fly to these markets, by any circumstances causing a reduction in demand for air transportation, or by governmental reduction or limitation of operating capacity, in these markets, such as adverse changes in local economic or political conditions, negative public perception of these destinations, unfavorable weather conditions, or terrorist related activities. Furthermore, our business could be harmed if jurisdictions that currently limit competition allow additional airlines to compete on routes we serve. Many of the countries we serve are experiencing either economic slowdowns or recessions, which may translate into a weakening of demand and could harm our business, results of operations and financial condition.

### **Increases in insurance costs or significant reductions in coverage could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.**

We carry insurance for public liability, passenger liability, property damage and all-risk coverage for damage to our aircraft. As a result of the September 11, 2001 terrorist attacks, aviation insurers significantly reduced the amount of insurance coverage available to commercial air carriers for liability to persons other than employees or passengers for claims resulting from acts of terrorism, war or similar events (war risk insurance). Accordingly, our insurance costs increased significantly and our ability to continue to obtain certain types of insurance remains uncertain. While the price of commercial insurance has declined since the period immediately after the terrorist attacks, in the event commercial insurance carriers further reduce the amount of insurance coverage available to us, or significantly increase its cost, we would be adversely affected. We currently maintain commercial airline insurance with several underwriters. However, there can be no assurance that the amount of such coverage will not be changed, or that we will not bear substantial losses from accidents. We could incur substantial claims resulting from an accident in excess of related insurance coverage that could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

We have obtained third-party war risk insurance, which insures against some risks of terrorism, through a special program administered by the FAA, resulting in lower premiums than if we had obtained this insurance in the commercial insurance market. If the special program administered by the FAA is not re-authorized, or if the government discontinues this coverage for any reason, obtaining comparable coverage from commercial underwriters could result in substantially higher premiums and more restrictive terms, if it is available at all. Our business, results of operations and financial condition could be materially adversely affected if we are unable to obtain adequate war risk insurance.

### **Failure to comply with applicable environmental regulations could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.**

We are subject to increasingly stringent federal, state, local and foreign laws, regulations and ordinances relating to the protection of the environment, including those relating to emissions to the air, discharges to surface and subsurface waters, safe drinking water, and the management of hazardous substances, oils and waste materials. Compliance with all environmental laws and regulations can require significant expenditures and any future regulatory developments in the United States and abroad could adversely affect operations and increase operating costs in the airline industry. For example, climate change legislation was previously introduced in Congress and such legislation could be re-introduced in the future by Congress and state legislatures, and could contain provisions affecting the aviation industry, compliance with which could result in the creation of substantial additional costs to us. Similarly, the Environmental Protection Agency issued a rule that regulates larger emitters of greenhouse gases. Future operations and financial results may vary as a result of such regulations. Compliance with these regulations and new or existing regulations that may be applicable to us in the future could increase our cost base and could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Governmental authorities in several U.S. and foreign cities are also considering or have already implemented aircraft noise reduction programs, including the imposition of nighttime curfews and limitations on daytime take-offs and landings. We have been able to accommodate local noise restrictions imposed to date, but our operations could be adversely affected if locally-imposed regulations become more restrictive or widespread.

---

## Table of Contents

### **If we are unable to attract and retain qualified personnel or fail to maintain our company culture, our business, results of operations and financial condition could be harmed.**

Our business is labor intensive. We require large numbers of pilots, flight attendants, maintenance technicians and other personnel. The airline industry has from time to time experienced a shortage of qualified personnel, particularly with respect to pilots and maintenance technicians. In addition, as is common with most of our competitors, we have faced considerable turnover of our employees. We may be required to increase wages and/or benefits in order to attract and retain qualified personnel. If we are unable to hire, train and retain qualified employees, our business could be harmed and we may be unable to complete our growth plans.

In addition, as we hire more people and grow, we believe it may be increasingly challenging to continue to hire people who will maintain our company culture. Our company culture, which is one of our competitive strengths, is important to providing high-quality customer service and having a productive, accountable workforce that helps keep our costs low. As we continue to grow, we may be unable to identify, hire or retain enough people who meet the above criteria, including those in management or other key positions. Our company culture could otherwise be adversely affected by our growing operations and geographic diversity. If we fail to maintain the strength of our company culture, our competitive ability and our business, results of operations and financial condition could be harmed.

### **Our business, results of operations and financial condition could be materially adversely affected if we lose the services of our key personnel.**

Our success depends to a significant extent upon the efforts and abilities of our senior management team and key financial and operating personnel. In particular, we depend on the services of our senior management team, including Ben Baldanza, our President and Chief Executive Officer. Competition for highly qualified personnel is intense, and the loss of any executive officer, senior manager or other key employee without adequate replacement or the inability to attract new qualified personnel could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition. We do not maintain key-man life insurance on our management team.

### **We will be required to pay our Pre-IPO Stockholders for 90 percent of certain tax benefits related to federal net operating losses, deferred interest deductions and tax credits incurred prior to the IPO, and could be required to make substantial cash payments in which the stockholders purchasing the Company's shares subsequently will not participate.**

Immediately prior to the completion of the IPO, we entered into the Tax Receivable Agreement which required us to distribute to each holder of our common stock as of such time, or the Pre-IPO Stockholders, the right to receive such stockholders' pro rata share of the future payments to be made by us under the Tax Receivable Agreement. Each such pro rata share is a fraction equal to the number of shares of our common stock beneficially owned by each Pre-IPO Stockholder divided by the number of shares of common stock outstanding immediately prior to the completion of the IPO. Under the Tax Receivable Agreement, we are obligated to pay to the Pre-IPO Stockholders an amount equal to 90 percent of the cash savings in federal income tax realized by us by virtue of our future use of the federal net operating loss, deferred interest deductions and alternative minimum tax credits held by us as of March 31, 2011, which we refer to as the Pre-IPO NOL. "Deferred interest deductions" means interest deductions that have accrued as of March 31, 2011, but have been deferred under rules applicable to related party debt. Cash tax savings generally will be computed by comparing our actual federal income tax liability to the amount of such taxes that we would have been required to pay had such Pre-IPO NOLs not been available to us. While payments made under the Tax Receivable Agreement will depend upon a number of factors, including the amount and timing of taxable income we generate in the future and any future limitations that may be imposed on our ability to use the Pre-IPO NOLs, the payments could be substantial. Assuming the federal corporate income tax rates presently in effect and no material change in federal tax law, the cash benefit of the full use of these Pre-IPO NOLs would be approximately \$40.6 million as of September 30, 2011, of which 90 percent, or \$36.5 million, is potentially payable to our Pre-IPO Stockholders under the terms of the Tax Receivable Agreement. Upon a change in control, we will be obligated to make a final payment under the Tax Receivable Agreement equal to 90 percent of the present value of the tax savings represented by any portion of the Pre-IPO NOLs for which payment under the Tax Receivable Agreement has not already been made. Payments resulting from a change in control could be substantial and could exceed our actual cash savings from the Pre-IPO NOLs.

The Pre-IPO Stockholders will not reimburse us for any payments previously made if we incur a net operating loss for federal income tax purposes in a future tax year, although the Tax Receivable Agreement does provide a mechanism by which the tax benefit attributable to such future net operating loss will be deemed to be recognized by us before any further payments are made under the Tax Receivable Agreement. Similarly, the Pre-IPO Stockholders will not reimburse us for any payments previously made if any tax benefits relating to such payments are subsequently disallowed, although the amount of any such tax benefits subsequently disallowed will reduce future payments (if any) otherwise owed to the Pre-IPO Stockholders. For example, if our determinations regarding the applicability (or lack thereof) and amount of any

---

## Table of Contents

limitations on the Pre-IPO NOLs under Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, were to be successfully challenged by the IRS after payments relating to such Pre-IPO NOLs had been made to the Pre-IPO Stockholders, we would not be reimbursed by the Pre-IPO Stockholders and our recovery would be limited to the extent of future payments (if any) otherwise remaining under the Tax Receivable Agreement. As a result, we could make payments to the Pre-IPO Stockholders under the Tax Receivable Agreement in excess of our actual cash tax savings. Furthermore, while we will only make payments under the Tax Receivable Agreement after we have recognized a cash flow benefit from the utilization of the Pre-IPO NOLs, or upon a change of control or other acceleration event, the payments required under the agreement could require us to use a substantial portion of our cash from operations for those purposes. Depending on the amount and timing of our future earnings (if any) and on other factors, including the effect of any limitations imposed on our ability to use the Pre-IPO NOLs, it is possible that all payments required under the Tax Receivable Agreement could become due within a relatively short period of time hereafter.

As of the effective date of the Tax Receivable Agreement, we recognized a liability equal to the estimated total payments estimated as of September 30, 2011 to be approximately \$36.5 million to be made under the Tax Receivable Agreement, which are accounted for as a reduction of additional paid-in capital. Subsequent changes in the estimated liability under the Tax Receivable Agreement will be recorded through earnings in operating expenses. If and when the Pre-IPO NOLs are available to us, the Tax Receivable Agreement will operate to transfer significantly all of the benefit to the Pre-IPO Stockholders. Additionally, the payments we make to the Pre-IPO Stockholders under the Tax Receivable Agreement are not expected to give rise to any incidental tax benefits to us, such as deductions or an adjustment to the basis of our assets.

### **We rely on our private equity sponsors.**

We have in recent years depended on our relationships with Indigo and Oaktree, our private equity sponsors, to help guide our business plan. These two private equity firms have significant expertise in financial matters generally and, in the case of Indigo, the low-cost airline industry in particular. This expertise has been available to us through the representatives these firms have had on our board of directors and through a Professional Services Agreement with Indigo that was in place prior to the completion of the IPO. As of September 30, 2011, investment funds managed by our private equity sponsors, Indigo and Oaktree, own, in the aggregate, approximately 71.7 percent of our common stock. Our private equity sponsors may elect to reduce their ownership in our company or reduce their involvement on our board of directors, which could reduce or eliminate the benefits we have historically achieved through our relationships with them.

### **Control by our principal stockholders could adversely affect our other stockholders.**

As of September 30, 2011, Indigo and Oaktree beneficially own approximately 71.7 percent of our common stock. As a result, Oaktree and Indigo are able to exert a significant degree of influence or actual control over our management and affairs and over matters requiring stockholder approval, including super-majority approval, including the election of directors, a merger, consolidation or sale of all or substantially all of our assets and other significant business or corporate transactions. In addition, under the “controlled company” exception to the independence requirements of the NASDAQ Global Select Stock Market, we are exempt from the rules of the NASDAQ Global Select Stock Market that require that our board of directors be comprised of a majority of independent directors, that our compensation committee be comprised solely of independent directors and that our nominating and governance committee be comprised solely of independent directors. This concentrated control may limit the ability of other stockholders to influence corporate matters and, as a result, we may take actions that our other stockholders do not view as beneficial. For example, this concentration of ownership could have the effect of delaying or preventing a change in control or otherwise discouraging a potential acquirer from attempting to obtain control of us, which in turn could cause the market price of our common stock to decline or prevent our stockholders from realizing a premium over the market price for their common stock.

### **The requirements of being a public company may strain our resources, divert management’s attention and affect our ability to attract and retain qualified board members.**

As a public company, we will incur significant legal, accounting and other expenses that we have not incurred as a private company, including costs associated with public company reporting requirements. We also have incurred and will incur costs associated with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, as amended, the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act and related rules implemented or to be implemented by the Securities and Exchange Commission and the NASDAQ Global Select Stock Market. The expenses incurred by public companies generally for reporting and corporate governance purposes have been increasing. We expect these rules and regulations to increase our legal and financial compliance costs and to make some activities more time-consuming and costly, although we are currently unable to estimate these costs with any degree of certainty. These laws and regulations could also make it more difficult or costly for us to obtain certain types of insurance, including director and officer liability insurance, and we may be forced to accept reduced policy limits and coverage or incur substantially higher costs to obtain the same or similar coverage. These laws and regulations could also make it more difficult for us to attract and retain qualified persons to

---

## Table of Contents

serve on our board of directors, our board committees or as our executive officers and may divert management's attention. Furthermore, if we are unable to satisfy our obligations as a public company, we could be subject to delisting of our common stock, fines, sanctions and other regulatory action and potentially civil litigation.

**We will be required to assess our internal control over financial reporting on an annual basis and any future adverse findings from such assessment could result in a loss of investor confidence in our financial reports, significant expenses to remediate any internal control deficiencies and ultimately have an adverse effect on the market price of our common stock.**

Pursuant to Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, as amended, and beginning with our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ending December 31, 2012, our management will be required to report on, and our independent registered public accounting firm to attest to, the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting. The rules governing the standards that must be met for management to assess our internal control over financial reporting are complex and require significant documentation, testing and possible remediation. We are currently in the process of reviewing, documenting and testing our internal control over financial reporting. We may encounter problems or delays in completing the implementation of any changes necessary to make a favorable assessment of our internal control over financial reporting. In connection with the attestation process by our independent registered public accounting firm, we may encounter problems or delays in completing the implementation of any requested improvements and receiving a favorable attestation. In addition, if we fail to maintain the adequacy of our internal control over financial reporting we will not be able to conclude on an ongoing basis that we have effective internal control over financial reporting in accordance with Section 404. A material weakness was noted in our past internal controls related to our accounting for manufacturers' credits, primarily in 2006 before our current management team was in place. During our 2010 year-end close, a separate material weakness was noted in our internal controls related to the accounting for our travel voucher liability. This material weakness had no impact on our financial statements for periods prior to the second quarter of 2010. We believe we have remediated these weaknesses and have taken steps to improve our internal controls and procedures. If we fail to achieve and maintain an effective internal control environment, we could suffer material misstatements in our financial statements and fail to meet our reporting obligations, which would likely cause investors to lose confidence in our reported financial information. This could harm our operating results and lead to a decline in our stock price. Additionally, ineffective internal control over financial reporting could expose us to increased risk of fraud or misuse of corporate assets and subject us to potential delisting from the NASDAQ Global Select Stock Market, regulatory investigations, civil or criminal sanctions and class action litigation.

**The market price of our common stock may be volatile, which could cause the value of an investment in our stock to decline.**

The market price of our common stock may fluctuate substantially due to a variety of factors, many of which are beyond our control, including:

- announcements concerning our competitors, the airline industry or the economy in general;
- strategic actions by us or our competitors, such as acquisitions or restructurings;
- media reports and publications about the safety of our aircraft or the aircraft type we operate;
- new regulatory pronouncements and changes in regulatory guidelines;
- changes in the price of aircraft fuel;
- announcements concerning the availability of the type of aircraft we use;
- general and industry-specific economic conditions;
- changes in financial estimates or recommendations by securities analysts or failure to meet analysts' performance expectations;
- sales of our common stock or other actions by investors with significant shareholdings, including sales by our controlling stockholders, after the lock-up agreements executed in connection with our IPO expire in late November 2011;
- trading strategies related to changes in fuel or oil prices; and
- general market, political and economic conditions.

The stock markets in general have experienced substantial volatility that has often been unrelated to the operating performance of particular companies. These types of broad market fluctuations may adversely affect the trading price of our common stock.



---

## Table of Contents

In the past, stockholders have sometimes instituted securities class action litigation against companies following periods of volatility in the market price of their securities. Any similar litigation against us could result in substantial costs, divert management's attention and resources, and harm our business or results of operations.

### **Our anti-takeover provisions may delay or prevent a change of control, which could adversely affect the price of our common stock.**

Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and amended and restated bylaws contain provisions that may make it difficult to remove our board of directors and management and may discourage or delay "change of control" transactions, which could adversely affect the price of our common stock. These provisions include, among others:

- our board of directors is divided into three classes, with each class serving for a staggered three-year term, which prevents stockholders from electing an entirely new board of directors at an annual meeting;
- actions to be taken by our stockholders may only be effected at an annual or special meeting of our stockholders and not by written consent;
- special meetings of our stockholders can be called only by the Chairman of the Board or by our corporate secretary at the direction of our board of directors;
- advance notice procedures that stockholders must comply with in order to nominate candidates to our board of directors and propose matters to be brought before an annual meeting of our stockholders may discourage or deter a potential acquirer from conducting a solicitation of proxies to elect the acquirer's own slate of directors or otherwise attempting to obtain control of our company; and
- our board of directors may, without stockholder approval, issue series of Preferred Stock, or rights to acquire Preferred Stock, that could dilute the interest of, or impair the voting power of, holders of our common stock or could also be used as a method of discouraging, delaying or preventing a change of control.

### **Our corporate charter and bylaws include provisions limiting voting by non-U.S. citizens.**

To comply with restrictions imposed by federal law on foreign ownership of U.S. airlines, our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and amended and restated bylaws restrict voting of shares of our common stock by non-U.S. citizens. The restrictions imposed by federal law currently require that no more than 25 percent of our stock be voted, directly or indirectly, by persons who are not U.S. citizens, and that our president and at least two-thirds of the members of our board of directors and senior management be U.S. citizens. Our amended and restated bylaws provide that the failure of non-U.S. citizens to register their shares on a separate stock record, which we refer to as the "foreign stock record" would result in a suspension of their voting rights in the event that the aggregate foreign ownership of the outstanding common stock exceeds the foreign ownership restrictions imposed by federal law.

Our amended and restated bylaws further provide that no shares of our common stock will be registered on the foreign stock record if the amount so registered would exceed the foreign ownership restrictions imposed by federal law. If it is determined that the amount registered in the foreign stock record exceeds the foreign ownership restrictions imposed by federal law, shares will be removed from the foreign stock record in reverse chronological order based on the date of registration therein, until the number of shares registered therein does not exceed the foreign ownership restrictions imposed by federal law. We are currently in compliance with these ownership restrictions. See "Business—Foreign Ownership" and "Description of Capital Stock—Anti-Takeover Provisions of Our Certificate of Incorporation and Bylaws to be in Effect Upon the Completion of this Offering—Limited Voting by Foreign Owners" in our prospectus dated May 27, 2011 filed with the SEC, pursuant to Rule 424(b) under the Securities Act. A non-U.S. citizen fund managed by Indigo may cause all or a portion of its shares of our common stock to be exchanged for non-voting common stock, and the right to convert on a share-for-share basis into common stock will be at the election of the holder for as long as they hold such non-voting common stock. As of September 30, 2011, all of our non-citizen funds own, in the aggregate, approximately 20 percent of our common stock.

### **We do not intend to pay cash dividends for the foreseeable future.**

We have never declared or paid cash dividends on our common stock. We currently intend to retain our future earnings, if any, to finance the further development and expansion of our business and do not intend to pay cash dividends in the foreseeable future. Any future determination to pay dividends will be at the discretion of our board of directors and will depend on our financial condition, results of operations, capital requirements, restrictions contained in current or future financing instruments, business prospects and such other factors as our board of directors deems relevant.

---

**Table of Contents**

**ITEM 2—UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS**

**Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities**

None

**Use of Proceeds from the Sale of Registered Securities**

None

## Table of Contents

### ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

<u>Exhibit Number</u>	<u>Description of Exhibits</u>
10.1*	Second Amendment to Signatory Agreement, effective as of September 6, 2011, by and between the Company and U.S. Bank, National Association (“U.S. Bank”).
31.1	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1**	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2**	Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
101.INS***	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH***	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema
101.CAL***	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase
101.LAB***	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase
101.PRE***	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase

\* Confidential treatment has been requested to portions of this exhibit. The redacted information has been filed separately with the SEC.

\*\* Exhibits 32.1 and 32.2 are being furnished and shall not be deemed to be “filed” for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the “Exchange Act”), or otherwise subject to the liability of that section, nor shall such exhibits be deemed to be incorporated by reference in any registration statement or other document filed under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Exchange Act, except as otherwise specifically stated in such filing.

\*\*\* Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, these interactive data files are deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933 or Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and otherwise are not subject to liability.





## SECOND AMENDMENT TO SIGNATORY AGREEMENT

THIS SECOND AMENDMENT TO SIGNATORY AGREEMENT (this “Amendment”) is entered into as of September 6, 2011, by and between Spirit Airlines, Inc., a company organized under the laws of the state of Delaware (“Carrier”), and U.S. Bank National Association as Member and Servicer (“Bank”).

### RECITALS

A. Bank and Carrier are parties to a Signatory Agreement (U.S. VISA and MasterCard Transactions) dated as of May 21, 2009 (as the same has been amended, restated or otherwise modified from time to time, the “Card Processing Agreement”) pursuant to which Bank processes certain payments made to Carrier using Cards (as such term is defined in the Card Processing Agreement) bearing the servicemark of Visa International, Visa U.S.A. Inc. or MasterCard International Incorporated.

B. Carrier and Bank each desire to make certain changes to the Card Processing Agreement and have therefore agreed to enter into this amendment.

### AGREEMENT

**NOW, THEREFORE**, for good and valuable consideration, the receipt and adequacy of which are hereby acknowledged, the parties hereto hereby covenant and agree to be bound as follows:

**Section 1. Capitalized Terms** . Capitalized terms used herein and not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings assigned to them in the MTOS or the Card Processing Agreement, as each may be amended from time to time, unless the context shall otherwise require.

**Section 2. Amendments** . The Card Processing Agreement is hereby amended by as follows:

**2.1 Term** . Section 10 of the Signatory Agreement is amended and restated in its entirety to read as follows:

10. Term . This Agreement shall become effective as of the Effective Date and, unless earlier terminated pursuant to Section 14 of the MTOS, shall continue until December 31, 2012; provided that this Agreement will automatically extend for successive terms of one (1) year each thereafter unless either party provides written notice to the other of its intent not to extend the Agreement for any such additional year by giving written notice of such determination at least ninety (90) days prior to the expiration of the then current term.

\*\*\*\*\*Confidential portions of the material have been omitted and filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

**2.2 Exposure Protection Schedule** . The Exposure Protection Schedule attached to the Card Processing Agreement is amended and restated in its entirety to read in the form attached hereto as Exhibit A. Any prior amendments to the Exposure Protection Schedule shall be deemed superseded by the Exposure Protection Schedule attached hereto.

**Section 3. Representations and Warranties of Carrier** . Carrier hereby represents and warrants to Bank that on and as of the date hereof and after giving effect to this Amendment:

**3.1** All of Carrier's representations and warranties contained in the Card Processing Agreement are true, correct and complete in all respects as of the date hereof as though made on and as of such date; provided, that references in Section 9.1(e) of the MTOS to financial statements shall be to the most recent financial statements of such type delivered to Bank by Carrier.

**3.2** Carrier has the power and legal right and authority to enter into this Amendment and has duly authorized as appropriate the execution and delivery of this Amendment and none of the agreements contained herein contravene or constitute a default under any agreement, instrument or indenture to which the Carrier is a party or a signatory or a provision of Carrier's Certificate or Articles of Incorporation or, to the best of the Carrier's knowledge, any other agreement or requirement of law, or result in the imposition of any lien on any of its property under any agreement binding on or applicable to Carrier or any of its property except, if any, in favor of Bank.

**3.3** Carrier is duly organized and in good standing under the laws of the state of its organization and is qualified to do business in each state where the nature of its activities or the character of its properties makes such qualification necessary or desirable and the failure to so qualify would have a material adverse effect on the assets or operations of Carrier.

**3.4** Upon the effective date of this Amendment, this Amendment and the Card Processing Agreement, as supplemented and amended hereby, will constitute the legal, valid and binding obligations of Carrier enforceable in accordance with their terms, subject to applicable bankruptcy, insolvency, reorganization, moratorium and other laws affecting the rights of creditors generally, and to the exercise of judicial discretion in accordance with general principles of equity.

**Section 4. Representations and Warranties of Bank** . Bank represents and warrants to Carrier that Bank has full and complete power and authority to enter into and perform under this Amendment and has obtained, and there remain in effect, all necessary licenses, resolutions and filings which are necessary for Bank to perform its obligations under this Amendment.

**Section 5. Ratification of Agreement; Acknowledgment** . Except as expressly modified under this Amendment, all of the terms, conditions, provisions, agreements,

\*\*\*\*\*Confidential portions of the material have been omitted and filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

---

requirements, promises, obligations, duties, covenants and representations of Carrier and Bank, respectively, under the Card Processing Agreement are hereby ratified by Carrier and Bank, respectively. All references contained in the Card Processing Agreement and the Schedules thereto to "Agreement" shall mean the Card Processing Agreement as supplemented and amended hereby.

**Section 6. Effective Date.** This Amendment shall become effective upon execution and delivery to Bank of duly executed counterparts hereof by Bank and Carrier.

**Section 7. Merger and Integration, Superseding Effect.** This Amendment, from and after the date hereof, embodies the entire agreement and understanding between the parties hereto, and supersedes and has merged into it all prior oral and written agreements, on the same subjects by and between the parties hereto with the effect that this Amendment shall control with respect to the specific subjects hereof and thereof.

**Section 8. Governing Law.** This Amendment shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of Minnesota.

**Section 9. Counterparts.** This Amendment may be executed in any number of counterparts, each of which when so executed and delivered shall be deemed to be an original, and all of which counterparts of this Amendment when taken together, shall constitute one and the same instrument.

\*\*\*\*\*Confidential portions of the material have been omitted and filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

---

**IN WITNESS WHEREOF**, the parties hereto have caused this Amendment to be executed as of the date and year first above written.

CARRIER:

SPIRIT AIRLINES, INC.

By: /s/ David Lancelot

Name: David Lancelot

Title: SVP & CFO

BANK:

U.S. BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION

By: /s/ Michael Kennedy

Name: Michael Kennedy

Title: Its Authorized Representative

\*\*\*\*\*Confidential portions of the material have been omitted and filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

**AMENDED AND RESTATED EXPOSURE PROTECTION SCHEDULE**  
**(U.S. Transactions)**

\*\*\*\*Confidential portions of the material have been omitted and filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

---

## AMENDED AND RESTATED EXPOSURE PROTECTION SCHEDULE

This Amended and Restated Exposure Protection Schedule is to the Signatory Agreement dated as of May 21, 2009 by and among Spirit Airlines, Inc. (“Carrier”) and U.S. Bank National Association, as “Member” and “Servicer” (as amended, together with the Master Terms of Service incorporated therein and all Schedules, Exhibits and other attachments to the Signatory Agreement and the Master Terms of Service, this or the “Agreement”).

### 1. Certain Definitions

All terms not otherwise defined herein that are capitalized and used herein shall have the meanings given to them in the Agreement. References to Sections in “this Agreement” or “the Agreement” mean any such Section in the MTOS. As used in this Exposure Protection Schedule, the following terms shall have the meanings indicated:

Aggregate Protection – The sum of (i) the Deposit, (ii) the amount remaining to be drawn upon any valid and outstanding Letter of Credit, and (iii) the proceeds of any previous draw on a Letter of Credit held by Servicer or Member and not applied to any Obligations or credited to the Deposit.

Carrier’s Rights – Any and all rights that Carrier has or may at any time acquire in any Sales Records, any Deposit amount, any right to payment under the Agreement prior to the exercise of any setoff rights or net settlement hereunder, or from any third parties as a result of any Sales Records or Card sales arising under or relating to the Agreement.

\*\*\*\*\*

\*\*\*\*\*

Cash Operating Expenses – The amount of Operating Expenses as determined by GAAP during a given period, exclusive of any depreciation, amortization or other non-cash operating expenses.

\*\*\*\*\*

Deposit – The aggregate of (a) Reserved Funds and (b) any cash remitted and pledged by Carrier to Member or Servicer or any other Secured Party pursuant to or in connection with the Agreement to secure the Obligations hereunder, and all additions to such aggregate made from time to time and all monies, securities, investments and instruments purchased therewith and all interest, profits and/or dividends accruing thereon and proceeds thereof. Separate Deposits may be maintained in the event there are multiple currencies, in such currencies.

\*\*\*\*\*

\*\*\*\*\*Confidential portions of the material have been omitted and filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

(U.S. Transactions)

\*\*\*\*\*

Gross Exposure – As defined in Section 8 of this Exposure Protection Schedule.

\*\*\*\*\*

Letter of Credit – One or more valid and outstanding irrevocable standby letters of credit that are (i) issued for the benefit of all Secured Parties, (ii) in form and substance acceptable to Servicer, as determined by Servicer in its sole discretion, (iii) issued by a financial institution acceptable to Servicer, as determined by Servicer in its sole discretion and (iv) expressly accepted by Servicer or Member, as agent for all Secured Parties.

Lien – Any mortgage, pledge, security interest, encumbrance, lien, hypothec or charge of any kind (including any agreement to provide any of the foregoing), any conditional sale or other title retention agreement or any lease in the nature thereof, or any filing or agreement to file a financing statement as debtor on any property leased to any Person under a lease which is not in the nature of a conditional sale or title retention agreement.

\*\*\*\*\*

Methodology – As defined in Section 3 of this Exposure Protection Schedule.

Obligations – All of Carrier's obligations under the Agreement whether now existing or hereafter arising, whether now existing or hereafter arising (including any of the foregoing obligations that arise prior to or after any Insolvency Event and any obligations arising pursuant to this Exposure Protection Schedule).

\*\*\*\*\*

\*\*\*\*\*

Rentals – For any applicable period, the amount paid by Carrier to rent aircraft under operating leases.

Required Amount – \*\*\*\*\*

Secured Parties – Any of Servicer, Member, and each Association Obligor under the Signatory Agreement.

\*\*\*\*\*

## **2. Exposure Protection**

- (a) Upon commencement of the Agreement, Member or Servicer may retain and hold all funds paid to Member by a Card Association on account of Sales Records submitted by Carrier to Servicer or Member as Reserved Funds until the amount

\*\*\*\*\*Confidential portions of the material have been omitted and filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

(U.S. Transactions)



---

of the Aggregate Protection equals the Required Amount, as determined in accordance with Sections 3 and 8 of this Exposure Protection Schedule. In lieu of retaining Reserved Funds, or in addition to retaining and holding Reserved Funds, Member or Servicer, in its sole discretion, may, based upon the Net Activity report delivered under Section 6.4 of the MTOS or other relevant documentary evidence, demand that Carrier, and Carrier shall upon such demand, remit to Servicer within two (2) Business Days of Servicer's demand immediately available funds to hold as the Deposit in an amount that when added to amounts (if any) retained and held by or on behalf of Member or Servicer as the Deposit causes the amount of the Aggregate Protection to equal the Required Amount. The Deposit amount shall be subject to adjustment as provided in Section 3 of this Exposure Protection Schedule. Member, Servicer or any Secured Party will hold the Deposit as security for the due and punctual payment of and performance by Carrier of the Obligations.

- (b) To the extent Carrier has or may at any time acquire any rights in Carrier's Rights, Carrier grants to each of Servicer, Member, and all other Secured Parties a Lien on the Deposit and all other Carrier's Rights to secure the payment and performance by Carrier of all Obligations. Each Secured Party shall act as agent for all Secured Parties to the extent that any such Secured Party controls or possesses the Deposit or any collateral hereunder or is named as Secured Party on any filing, registration or recording. Carrier hereby acknowledges that notwithstanding the foregoing grant of a Lien, Reserved Funds represent only a future right to payment owed to Carrier under the Agreement, payment of which is subject to the terms and conditions of the Agreement and to Carrier's complete and irrevocable fulfillment of its obligations and duties under the Agreement and do not constitute funds of Carrier.
- (c) Carrier further agrees that during the term of the Agreement, Carrier shall not grant, or attempt to grant, to any other Person or suffer to exist in favor of any other Person any Lien or other interest in Carrier's Rights (if any) unless any such Lien or other interest and the priority thereof are subject to a subordination agreement in favor of Member, Servicer and all other Secured Parties and reasonably satisfactory to Servicer.
- (d) Carrier hereby acknowledges that Member and Servicer dispute the existence of any interest of Carrier in any rights to payment from Cardholders or Card Issuers arising out of the Sales Records and further acknowledges that to the extent it may have an interest therein, such interest is subordinate to the interests of the Secured Parties and of any of their respective subrogees.
- (e) Carrier will do all acts and things, and will execute, endorse, deliver, file, register or record all instruments, statements, declarations or agreements (including pledges, assignments, security agreements, financing statements, continuation statements, etc.) reasonably requested by Servicer, in form reasonably satisfactory

\*\*\*\*\*Confidential portions of the material have been omitted and filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

to Servicer, to establish, perfect, maintain and continue the perfection and priority of the security interest and hypothec of Secured Parties in all Carrier's Rights and in all proceeds of the foregoing, as granted by Carrier pursuant to Section 2(b) and 2(d) of this Exposure Protection Schedule. Carrier will pay the reasonable costs and expenses of all filings and recordings, including taxes thereon or fees with respect thereto and all searches reasonably necessary or deemed necessary by Servicer, to establish and determine the validity and the priority of such security granted in favor of Servicer. Carrier hereby irrevocably appoints Servicer (and all persons, officers, employees or agents designated by Servicer), its agent and attorney-in-fact to do all such acts and things contemplated by this paragraph in the name of Carrier. Without limiting the foregoing, Carrier hereby authorizes Servicer to file one or more financing statements or continuation statements in respect hereof, and amendments thereto, relating to any part of the collateral described herein without the signature of Carrier. A carbon, photographic or other reproduction of the Agreement or of a financing statement shall be sufficient as a financing statement and may be filed in lieu of the original in any or all jurisdictions which accept such reproductions.

### 3. Adjustments to Deposit

- (a) Servicer will use the Methodology described in Section 8 of this Exposure Protection Schedule (the "Methodology") to calculate Gross Exposure each Business Day. Carrier acknowledges that Servicer has explained to it and it understands Servicer's Methodology for determining Gross Exposure and the amount of the Aggregate Protection and hereby agrees to be bound by such Methodology and the determinations made by Servicer as a result thereof, absent manifest error. Among other things, Carrier understands that Gross Exposure includes the value of Travel Costs for goods or services sold to Cardholders who used their Cards to purchase such goods or services with respect to which Carrier has not yet provided such goods or services. Servicer and Carrier may change the Methodology by mutual agreement.
- (b) The amount of the Deposit shall be increased or decreased each Business Day, as appropriate, based on the Methodology so that the amount of the Aggregate Protection will at all times equal the Required Amount. Any necessary increases to the Deposit may be made, at Servicer's sole discretion by Member or Servicer withholding as Reserved Funds an amount up to \*\*\*\*\* of amounts otherwise payable to Carrier under Section 6.2 of the MTOS until the amount of the Aggregate Protection is at least equal to the Required Amount, or by federal wire transfer of immediately available funds from Carrier to an account designated by Servicer, on the second (2nd) Business Day after Carrier's receipt of notice from Servicer that an increase is required and the amount thereof. If the Servicer agrees to permit increases to the amount of the Deposit by wire transfer and the funds required to increase the amount of the Deposit so that the Aggregate Protection is equal to the Required Amount are not transferred to Servicer as

\*\*\*\*\*Confidential portions of the material have been omitted and filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

(U.S. Transactions)

required by this Section 3, Member or Servicer may immediately withhold on a daily basis as Reserved Funds an amount up to \*\*\*\*\* of amounts otherwise payable to Carrier under Section 6.2 of the MTOS until the amount of the Aggregate Protection at least equals the Required Amount. Member or Servicer shall remit to Carrier from the Deposit the amount necessary to reduce the amount of the Aggregate Protection to equal the Required Amount on each Business Day in accordance with Section 6.2 of the MTOS.

- (c) The amount of the Deposit to be maintained hereunder may be reduced in accordance with Section 9 of this Exposure Protection Schedule pursuant to which Servicer accepts Letter of Credit in lieu of all or a portion of the Deposit so long as the Aggregate Protection equals the Required Amount.
- (d) Although Servicer has the right at all times to require that the amount of the Aggregate Protection equal the Required Amount, Servicer may, from time to time, in its sole discretion make remittances to Carrier or release portions of any Letter of Credit such that the Aggregate Protection is less than the Required Amount. The duration of any such reduction is within the sole discretion of Servicer. At any time that the amount of the Aggregate Protection is less than the Required Amount Servicer, in its sole discretion, may again require that the amount of the Aggregate Protection equal the Required Amount. Any required increase may be made as provided in Section 3(b) of this Exposure Protection Schedule as determined by Servicer. Any reductions in the amount of the Aggregate Protection as described in this paragraph shall not be deemed a course of dealing nor give rise to any rights by Carrier in the future to require that the amount of the Aggregate Amount be less than the Required Amount.

#### **4. Control of Deposit**

Carrier acknowledges that (i) funds remitted to Member or Servicer by Carrier and (ii) funds paid by Card Associations and held by Member, Servicer or any Secured Party as the Deposit may be commingled with other funds of Member, Servicer or such Secured Party, and further acknowledges that all such funds, and any investment of funds shall be in the name and control of Member, Servicer or such Secured Party, and Carrier shall have no interest in any securities, instruments or other contracts or any interest, dividends or other earnings accruing thereon or in connection therewith. It is the understanding of the Parties that, notwithstanding any other provision of the Agreement to the contrary, (a) the sole obligation of Member or Servicer with respect to the Deposit shall be the obligation to pay to Carrier amounts equal to the amounts attributable to Travel Costs with respect to which Carrier has provided goods or services net of any Obligations owed Carrier to any Secured Party, (b) such obligation to make payment to Carrier is at all times subject to the terms of the Agreement, and (c) such payment shall only be due and payable upon complete and irrevocable fulfillment by Carrier of all of its obligations and duties under the Agreement.

\*\*\*\*\*Confidential portions of the material have been omitted and filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

---

**5. Investment**

To the extent permitted by applicable law or regulation, all amounts held as the Deposit will be deemed to earn a yield equal to the Applicable Rate. The amount so earned shall be credited to the Deposit.

**6. Right of Offset; Recoupment; Application**

At any time that an amount is due Member, Servicer or any other Secured Party from Carrier, and Member, Servicer or such other Secured Party does not obtain payment of such amount due as provided in the Agreement, Member or Servicer (each on behalf of itself and any other Secured Party) shall have the right to apply, recoup or set off any amounts otherwise owed by Member, Servicer or any other Secured Party to Carrier hereunder, including, without limitation, any amounts attributable to the Deposit, to the amount owed by Carrier. Servicer may exercise any such right for its benefit or the benefit of Member or any other Secured Party. Where any application, recoupment or set off requires the conversion of one currency into another, Servicer or Member shall be entitled to effect such conversion in accordance with its prevailing practice and Carrier shall bear all exchange risks, losses, commissions and other bank charges which may thereafter arise.

**7. Retention of Deposit After Cessation of Flight Operations**

Notwithstanding any other provision of the Agreement to the contrary, during the period not to exceed \*\*\*\*\* months from the earlier of termination of this Agreement or the date upon which Carrier permanently ceases flight operations, Member and Servicer may retain the Deposit and Letters of Credit so that the Aggregate Protection at least equals the Required Amount on each day. As the Required Amount is reduced because Gross Exposure has been reduced in a means acceptable to Servicer, Servicer is obligated to remit sufficient funds from the Deposit and/or return the Letter of Credit to Carrier within two (2) business days of such determination so that the Aggregate Protection does not exceed the Required Amount (except with respect to the Projected Exposure set forth in Section 11). Subject to the foregoing and the eighteen month time frame, Servicer shall continue to hold the Deposit and/or Letters of Credit until Servicer has determined that Carrier has no further Obligations or potential Obligations and Servicer shall be without any obligation to remit funds to Carrier until such time.

**8. Methodology**

\*\*\*\*\*

**9. Standby Letter of Credit**

- (a) The amount of the Aggregate Protection which Servicer or Member may maintain pursuant to this Exposure Protection Schedule shall include the sum of (a) the amount remaining to be drawn upon any valid and outstanding Letter of Credit

\*\*\*\*\*Confidential portions of the material have been omitted and filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

---

and (b) the proceeds of any previous draw on a Letter of Credit held by Servicer or Member and not applied. At such time as the Servicer or Member may no longer draw on the Letters of Credit, Servicer may require that the amount of the Deposit plus proceeds of any draw on the Letters of Credit held by Servicer or Member and not applied equal the Required Amount.

- (b) Upon the occurrence of any event that gives rise to Servicer's right under this Agreement to make demand on Carrier for payment to Servicer or Member of any Obligations and after (i) application of all amounts held as part of the Deposit and (ii) application of all amounts that would otherwise be payable to Carrier from Member or Servicer under the Agreement on such date, if any, then the Servicer, at its option, may draw on any Letter of Credit issued for its benefit with respect to the Agreement to pay such Obligations in an amount that does not exceed the sum of (A) the amount the Servicer has a right to demand that the Carrier pay the Servicer or Member under this Agreement on such date plus (B) the amounts the Servicer reasonably believes it will have a right to demand that the Carrier pay the Servicer or Member as Obligations during the following seven day period.
- (c) Notwithstanding anything to the contrary contained in this Section 9 to the contrary, Servicer may draw upon the full amount of a Letter of Credit if sixty (60) days have passed since Servicer delivered written notice to Carrier that the rating of the bank that issued the Letter of Credit has fallen below (A) \*\*\*\*\* under the Moody's Investors Service rating system or (B) \*\*\*\*\* under the Standard and Poor's rating system, or (C) if ratings from either of those services are unavailable, the equivalent rating of any of the foregoing under any similar rating system.
- (d) Notwithstanding anything to the contrary contained in this Section 9 to the contrary, Servicer may draw upon the full amount of a Letter of Credit if (i) five (5) Business Days have passed since the rating of the bank that issued the Letter of Credit has fallen below (A) \*\*\*\*\* under the Moody's Investors Service rating system or (B) \*\*\*\*\* under the Standard and Poor's rating system, or (C) if ratings from either of those services are unavailable, the equivalent rating of any of the foregoing under any similar rating system, (ii) an Insolvency Proceeding is commenced by or against Carrier or (iii) the Letter of Credit is set to expire within 60 days and Servicer has not received notice of renewal of the Letter of Credit or an replacement letter of credit acceptable as to form and issuer in the sole discretion of Servicer.
- (e) Carrier acknowledges that subject to its right to receive payments under this Agreement, it has no interest in any proceeds of any draw on any Letter of Credit issued for the benefit of Servicer or Member and that upon any valid draw on any Letter of Credit, Servicer or Member shall be entitled to hold the proceeds thereof for payment of the Obligations under the Agreement and apply such proceeds in payment thereof as and when Servicer reasonably deems appropriate, subject to

\*\*\*\*\*Confidential portions of the material have been omitted and filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

the provisions of Section 7 of this Exposure Protection Schedule. Neither Servicer nor Member shall have any obligation to remit to any Person any excess proceeds of any draw on any Letter of Credit until expiration of the period specified in Section 7 of this Exposure Protection Schedule. In the event of any dispute between Carrier and the issuer of a Letter of Credit or any subrogee thereof, or any other Person with respect to entitlement to any proceeds of a Letter of Credit, Servicer or Member may retain all such proceeds until final resolution of such dispute by a court of competent jurisdiction, subject to the right of Servicer or Member to retain and apply proceeds in payment of the Obligations. In the event that Servicer or Member draws on a Letter of Credit and holds the proceeds thereof at a time when Carrier is conducting normal flight operations, Servicer or Member, at its option, may include such proceeds in its calculation of coverage for the Required Amount and remittances to Carrier may be made in accordance with Section 2 of this Exposure Protection Schedule as if the proceeds were part of the Deposit. Carrier further agrees that at Servicer's option, any excess proceeds of a Letter of Credit, as determined by Servicer in good faith after taking into account all obligations of the Carrier to the Secured Parties, may be remitted to the issuer of a Letter of Credit, or if the issuer has been reimbursed in full for all amounts owed to it on account of the draw on the Letter of Credit, to the account party thereof.

#### **10. Fare Club Exposure**

- (a) Notwithstanding anything to the contrary contained in the Letters dated on or about February 10, 2010 or June 13, 2011, the "Fare Club Exposure," as determined in accordance with this Section 10 shall be added to the calculation of Gross Exposure at all times; provided, however, the amount of the Fare Club Exposure will only be modified as of the last Business Day of each month.
- (b) Within 10 days of the end of each month, Carrier shall provide Servicer with a report of its Fare Club membership sales for the preceding month made through the use of a Card (the "Fare Club Sales Report").
- (c) At the conclusion of each six month period, Servicer shall complete a reconciliation between the actual Fare Club Exposure then held and the actual exposure based upon the Fare Club Sales Reports (the "Reconciled Exposure"). The actual exposure for determining the Reconciled Exposure shall be determined by taking the fare club sales for any particular month and reducing such amount by \*\*\*\*\* of the original monthly sale amount in each month after such sale until the amount reaches zero, with the first \*\*\*\*\* reduction occurring in the month the fare club sale occurs (the "Exposure Reduction Methodology"). Based upon such reconciliation, the amount of Fare Club Exposure will modified to be equal to the Reconciled Exposure.

\*\*\*\*\*Confidential portions of the material have been omitted and filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

(U.S. Transactions)

- (d) During each successive six month period, the Fare Club Exposure will be determined by (i) using the most recent Reconciled Exposure and reducing such amount in each successive month in accordance with the Exposure Reduction Methodology and (ii) adding to the Fare Club Exposure each month an amount equal to the average monthly amount of fare club sales as determined by the most recent six Fare Club Sales Reports, but subtracting from the Fare Club Exposure an amount determined by applying the Exposure Reduction Methodology in succeeding months to the amounts added to the Fare Club Exposure.
- (e) Servicer reserves the right to modify the Fare Club Exposure to the extent that Carrier sells fare club memberships with a term longer than one year. Carrier may request that Servicer adjust the calculation of Fare Club Exposure if at any time more the 40% of the fare club memberships then outstanding, when originally sold, were for terms materially shorter than one year.

#### **11. Deposit Upon Termination of the Agreement.**

In the event that any Party gives notice to the other Party of termination of the Agreement or non-renewal, or in the absence of a notice, the Agreement is terminated or a Party attempts to terminate the Agreement, and at such time the Aggregate Protection maintained is less than 100% of Gross Exposure, Servicer may make a reasonable determination based upon historical information with respect to Carrier of Chargebacks and other obligations of Carrier under the Agreement that may arise or be asserted from and after such date ("Projected Exposure"). If the amount of Projected Exposure plus the then applicable Required Amount exceeds the amount of the Aggregate Protection then held or posted, Servicer may, in its sole discretion, demand that Carrier, and Carrier shall upon such demand, immediately remit to Servicer in immediately available funds for Servicer to hold as part of the Aggregate Protection an amount sufficient to cause the amount of the Aggregate Protection to equal Projected Exposure plus the then applicable Required Amount. Upon failure by Carrier to remit such funds by the close of business on the first Business Day after any such demand is made, Servicer in its sole discretion may withhold as Reserved Funds an amount up to \*\*\*\*\* of amounts otherwise payable to Carrier under Section 6.2 of the MTOS until the amount of the Aggregate Protection is at least equal to Projected Exposure plus the then applicable Required Amount. Servicer may retain the Aggregate Protection pursuant to the provisions of Section 7 of this Exposure Protection Schedule, except that during such period (i) the amount of the Aggregate Protection shall equal Projected Exposure plus the then applicable Required Amount and (ii) payments shall be remitted to Carrier on each Business Day in the amount by which the amount of the Aggregate Protection exceeds the sum of the Projected Exposure and the then applicable Required Amount. Upon and after the occurrence of an event that would cause the Required Amount to increase, even if the same shall occur after termination or non-renewal, the amount of the Aggregate Protection shall not be less than the new Required Amount plus the Projected Exposure. The provisions of Section 3 of this Exposure Protection Schedule with respect to increases to the Aggregate Protection shall apply in any such circumstance.

\*\*\*\*\*Confidential portions of the material have been omitted and filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

(U.S. Transactions)



---

## 12. Compliance Certificate and Monthly Unrestricted Cash Report

Carrier shall furnish Servicer, as soon as practicable, and in any event no later than \*\*\*\*\* days after the end of each fiscal quarter, (i) a compliance certificate in the form attached hereto as Attachment 1, and (ii) the quarterly unaudited consolidating financial statements of Carrier. Carrier shall also, promptly upon Servicer's reasonable request for the same, provide any additional financial information requested by Servicer. The compliance certificate shall be signed by the chief financial officer, controller, or an authorized officer of Carrier reasonably acceptable to Servicer.

As soon as available, and in any event within \*\*\*\*\* days after the end of each fiscal month, Carrier shall provide Servicer with (i) its unaudited financial statements and (ii) a report, which may be in the form of an electronic mail transmission, of the Cash to Cash Expense Percentage. Upon delivery of each such report, Carrier shall be deemed to have certified to Servicer that all information in such report is true and correct in all material respects as of the date of such report.

\*\*\*\*\*Confidential portions of the material have been omitted and filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

(U.S. Transactions)

**QUARTERLY COMPLIANCE CERTIFICATE**

This Compliance Certificate is being submitted pursuant to Section 12 of the Amended and Restated Exposure Protection Schedule to the Signatory Agreement dated as of May 21, 2009 by and among Spirit Airlines, Inc. (“Carrier”) and U.S. Bank National Association, as “Member” and “Servicer (as amended, together with the Master Terms of Service incorporated therein and all Schedules, Exhibits and other attachments to the Signatory Agreement and the Master Terms of Service, this or the “Agreement”) for the fiscal quarter ending \_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_\_\_. The undersigned, being the [ **insert title** ] of Carrier, hereby certifies, with respect to all of the following, and [ **insert title** ] of Carrier hereby certifies with respect to paragraphs 6 and 7 below, that, to the best of his/her knowledge after reasonable investigation as of the date of this Certificate, the following information is true and correct and was compiled from the books and records of Carrier, as applicable, in accordance with the terms of the Agreement.

1. \*\*\*\*\*
2. \*\*\*\*\*
3. \*\*\*\*\*
4. \*\*\*\*\*
5. \*\*\*\*\*
6. \*\*\*\*\*

7. The financial statements delivered herewith present fairly the financial condition of Carrier and the same have been prepared in accordance with GAAP, except, with respect to unaudited financial statements, for the absence of footnotes and subject to year-end adjustments.

8. Carrier is in compliance with all statutes and regulations applicable to it, except noncompliance that could not reasonably be expected to have a material adverse effect on the financial condition or business operations of Carrier.

9. All representations and warranties of Carrier in the Agreement and all certifications made by it with respect to the Agreement, and all representations, warranties and certifications made by Carrier with respect to its financial information provided to Servicer, are true and correct in all material respects as of the date hereof, including any representations, warranties or certifications deemed to have been made by Carrier with respect to monthly reports of \*\*\*\*\*.

\*\*\*\*\*Confidential portions of the material have been omitted and filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

[Attachment 1 to Exposure Protection Schedule]

---

All capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the Exposure Protection Schedule to the Agreement.

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_,

SPIRIT AIRLINES, INC.

By \_\_\_\_\_

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Title: \_\_\_\_\_

\*\*\*\*\*Confidential portions of the material have been omitted and filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

[Attachment 1 to Exposure Protection Schedule]

**Certification of Chief Executive Officer  
Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002**

I, B. Ben Baldanza, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Spirit Airlines, Inc. (the "Company");
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the Company as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The Company's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as described in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the Company and have:
  - a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the Company, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - b) evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - c) disclosed in this report any change in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the Company's most recent fiscal quarter (the Company's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The Company's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the Company's auditors and the audit committee of the Company's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the Company's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: October 27, 2011

/s/ B. Ben Baldanza

B. Ben Baldanza

President and Chief Executive Officer

**Certification of Chief Financial Officer  
Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002**

I, David Lancelot, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Spirit Airlines, Inc. (the "Company");
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the Company as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The Company's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as described in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the Company and have:
  - a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the Company, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - b) evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - c) disclosed in this report any change in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the Company's most recent fiscal quarter (the Company's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The Company's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the Company's auditors and the audit committee of the Company's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the Company's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: October 27, 2011

/s/ David Lancelot

---

David Lancelot  
Senior Vice President and  
Chief Financial Officer

**Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350 As Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002**

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the undersigned officer of Spirit Airlines, Inc. (the “Company”) hereby certifies, to such officer’s knowledge, that:

- (i.) the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of the Company for the quarter ended September 30, 2011 (the “Report”) fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or Section 15(d), as applicable, of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; and
- (ii.) the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Date: October 27, 2011

/s/ B. Ben Baldanza

---

B. Ben Baldanza  
President and Chief Executive Officer

**Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350 As Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002**

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the undersigned officer of Spirit Airlines, Inc. (the "Company") hereby certifies, to such officer's knowledge, that:

- (i.) the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of the Company for the quarter ended September 30, 2011 (the "Report") fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or Section 15(d), as applicable, of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; and
- (ii.) the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Date: October 27, 2011

/s/ David Lancelot

David Lancelot  
Senior Vice President and  
Chief Financial Officer